# An identity involving the least common multiple of binomial coefficients and its application

#### BAKIR FARHI

bakir.farhi@gmail.com

#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the identity

$$\operatorname{lcm}\left\{\binom{k}{0}, \binom{k}{1}, \dots, \binom{k}{k}\right\} = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, k, k+1)}{k+1} \qquad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

As an application, we give an easily proof of the well-known nontrivial lower bound  $lcm(1, 2, ..., k) \ge 2^{k-1} \ (\forall k \ge 1)$ .

**MSC**: 11A05.

**Keywords:** Least common multiple; Binomial coefficients; Kummer's theorem.

## 1 Introduction and Results

Many results concerning the least common multiple of a sequence of integers are known. The most famous is nothing else than an equivalent of the prime number theorem; it states that  $\log \operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \ldots, n) \sim n$  as n tends to infinity (see, e.g., [4]). Effective bounds for  $\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \ldots, n)$  are also given by several authors. Among others, Nair [7] discovered a nice new proof for the well-known estimate  $\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \ldots, n) \geq 2^{n-1} \ (\forall n \geq 1)$ . Actually, Nair's method simply exploits the integral  $\int_0^1 x^n (1-x)^n dx$ . Further, Hanson [3] already obtained the upper bound  $\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \ldots, n) \leq 3^n \ (\forall n \geq 1)$ .

Recently, many related questions and many generalizations of the above results have been studied by several authors. The interested reader is referred to [1], [2], and [5].

In this note, using Kummer's theorem on the *p*-adic valuation of binomial coefficients (see, e.g., [6]), we obtain an explicit formula for  $\operatorname{lcm}\left\{\binom{k}{0},\binom{k}{1},\ldots,\binom{k}{k}\right\}$ 

in terms of the least common multiple of the first k+1 consecutive positive integers. Then, we show how the well-known nontrivial lower bound  $lcm(1, 2, ..., n) \ge 2^{n-1}$  ( $\forall n \ge 1$ ) can be deduced very easily from that formula. Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1** For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have:

$$\operatorname{lcm}\left\{\binom{k}{0}, \binom{k}{1}, \dots, \binom{k}{k}\right\} = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, k, k+1)}{k+1}.$$

First, let us recall the so-called Kummer's theorem:

**Theorem (Kummer [6])** Let n and k be natural numbers such that  $n \geq k$  and let p be a prime number. Then the largest power of p dividing  $\binom{n}{k}$  is given by the number of borrows required when subtracting k from n in the base p.

Note that the last part of the theorem is also equivalently stated as the number of carries when adding k and n-k in the base p.

As usually, if p is a prime number and  $\ell \geq 1$  is an integer, we let  $v_p(\ell)$  denote the normalized p-adic valuation of  $\ell$ ; that is, the exponent of the largest power of p dividing  $\ell$ . We first prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2** Let k be a natural number and p a prime number. Let  $k = \sum_{i=0}^{N} c_i p^i$  be the p-base expansion of k, where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_i \in \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$  (for i = 0, 1, ..., N) and  $c_N \neq 0$ . Then we have:

$$\max_{0 \le \ell \le k} v_p\left(\binom{k}{\ell}\right) = v_p\left(\binom{k}{p^N-1}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = p^{N+1}-1\\ N-\min\{i \mid c_i \ne p-1\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** We distinguish the following two cases:

**1**st case. If 
$$k = p^{N+1} - 1$$
:

In this case, we have  $c_i = p - 1$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ . So it is clear that in base p, the subtraction of any  $\ell \in \{0, 1, ..., k\}$  from k doesn't require any borrows. It follows from Kummer's theorem that  $v_p\left(\binom{k}{\ell}\right) = 0, \ \forall \ell \in \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ . Hence

$$\max_{0 \le \ell \le k} v_p \left( \binom{k}{\ell} \right) = v_p \left( \binom{k}{p^N - 1} \right) = 0,$$

as required.

**2<sup>nd</sup> case.** If  $k \neq p^{N+1} - 1$ :

In this case, at least one of the digits of k, in base p, is different from p-1. So we can define:

$$i_0 := \min\{i \mid c_i \neq p - 1\}.$$

We have to show that for any  $\ell \in \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ , we have  $v_p(\binom{k}{\ell}) \leq N - i_0$ , and that  $v_p(\binom{k}{p^N-1}) = N - i_0$ .

Let  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  be arbitrary. Since (by the definition of  $i_0$ )  $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_{i_0-1} = p-1$ , during the process of subtraction of  $\ell$  from k in base p, the first  $i_0$  subtractions digit-by-digit don't require any borrows. So the number of borrows required in the subtraction of  $\ell$  from k in base p is at most equal to  $N - i_0$ . According to Kummer's theorem, this implies that  $v_p(\binom{k}{\ell}) \leq N - i_0$ .

Now, consider the special case  $\ell = p^N - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (p-1)p^i$ . Since  $c_0 = c_1 = \cdots = c_{i_0-1} = p-1$  and  $c_{i_0} < p-1$ , during the process of subtraction of  $\ell$  from k in base p, each of the subtractions digit-by-digit from the rank  $i_0$  to the r

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The identity of Theorem 1 is satisfied for k = 0. For the following, suppose  $k \ge 1$ . Equivalently, we have to show that

$$v_p\left(\operatorname{lcm}\left\{\binom{k}{0}, \binom{k}{1}, \dots, \binom{k}{k}\right\}\right) = v_p\left(\frac{\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, k, k+1)}{k+1}\right),$$
 (1)

for any prime number p.

Let p be an arbitrary prime number and  $k = \sum_{i=0}^{N} c_i p^i$  be the p-base expansion of k (where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ , and  $c_N \neq 0$ ). By Proposition 2, we have

$$v_{p}\left(\operatorname{lcm}\left\{\binom{k}{0}, \binom{k}{1}, \dots, \binom{k}{k}\right\}\right) = \max_{0 \leq \ell \leq k} v_{p}\left(\binom{k}{\ell}\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = p^{N+1} - 1 \\ N - \min\{i \mid c_{i} \neq p - 1\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2)

Next, it is clear that  $v_p(\text{lcm}(1, 2, ..., k, k+1))$  is equal to the exponent of the largest power of p not exceeding k+1. Since (according to the expansion of k in base p) the largest power of p not exceeding k is  $p^N$ , the largest power of p not exceeding k+1 is equal to  $p^{N+1}$  if  $k+1=p^{N+1}$  and equal to  $p^N$  if  $k+1 \neq p^{N+1}$ . Hence, we have

$$v_p(\text{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, k, k+1)) = \begin{cases} N+1 & \text{if } k = p^{N+1} - 1\\ N & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

Further, it is easy to verify that

$$v_p(k+1) = \begin{cases} N+1 & \text{if } k = p^{N+1} - 1\\ \min\{i \mid c_i \neq p - 1\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (4)

By subtracting the relation (4) from the relation (3) and using an elementary property of the p-adic valuation, we obtain

$$v_p\left(\frac{\text{lcm}(1,2,\dots,k,k+1)}{k+1}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = p^{N+1} - 1\\ N - \min\{i \mid c_i \neq p - 1\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(5)

The required equality (1) follows by comparing the two relations (2) and (5).

# 2 Application to prove a nontrivial lower bound for lcm(1, 2, ..., n)

We now apply Theorem 1 to obtain a nontrivial lower bound for the numbers lcm(1, 2, ..., n)  $(n \ge 1)$ .

Corollary 3 For all integer  $n \ge 1$ , we have:

$$lcm(1,2,\ldots,n) \ge 2^{n-1}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. By applying Theorem 1 for k = n - 1, we have:

$$\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, n) = n \cdot \operatorname{lcm} \left\{ \binom{n-1}{0}, \binom{n-1}{1}, \dots, \binom{n-1}{n-1} \right\}$$

$$\geq n \cdot \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} \binom{n-1}{i}$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} = 2^{n-1},$$

as required. The corollary is proved.

### References

[1] P. Bateman, J. Kalb, and A. Stenger, A limit involving least common multiples, *Amer. Math. Monthly.* **109** (2002) 393-394.

- [2] B. Farhi, Nontrivial lower bounds for the least common multiple of some finite sequences of integers, J. Number Theory. **125** (2007) 393-411.
- [3] D. Hanson, On the product of the primes, Canad. Math. Bull. 15 (1972) 33-37.
- [4] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *The Theory of Numbers*, 5th ed., Oxford University. Press, London, 1979.
- [5] S. Hong and W. Feng, Lower bounds for the least common multiple of finite arithmetic progressions, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris. **343** (2006) 695-698.
- [6] E. E. Kummer, Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 44 (1852) 93-146.
- [7] M. Nair, On Chebyshev-type inequalities for primes, Amer. Math. Monthly. 89 (1982) 126-129.