

Should an individual based mechanism be implemented in the protracted birth death model? [Think of something better]

Kees W. Wesselink & Richèl J.C. Bilderbeek
September 01, 2016

1. Abstract

Speciation models are used to construct phylogenetic trees. Most of the currently used models, including the protracted birth-death model, lack a mechanism on individual level. The models assume several constant factors to determine if a new lineage should arise or an old lineage should go extinct. An individual based model might give us more realistic phylogenies and answer evolutionary questions better than the currently used (protracted) birth-death model.

2. Introduction

2.1 Speciation models

2.1.1 The need for speciation models

Speciation models help us construct phylogenies to answer evolutionary biological questions. So far most models focus on finding unique parameters for which speciation occurs, but (according to Gavrillets) we need to shift focus to more detailed questions about the probability, the duration or the waiting time of speciation [6]. The answers can be used to give a better understanding of speciation in nature.
[REF 4]

2.1.2 Birth-death model (BD)

The standard birth-death model [5] shows an accelerating increase in the number of species. This is not shown in phylogenetic trees, which have a slowdown in the increase of number of lineages. [2] The birth-death model is one of the simplest of models [4] that has a constant speciation rate (λ) and a constant extinction rate (μ).

2.1.3 Protracted birth-death model (PBD)

By assuming that speciation is not instant and happens over a longer period of time we can change the birth-death model to a protracted birth-death model. “Good” species have a chance of making incipient species with rate b_g that will become good species with rate λ . Incipient can initiate speciation with rate b_i . Both good and incipient species can go extinct with rate μ_g and μ_i respectively. [2] [REF 4] The (P)BD model assumes the speciation is allopatric and therefor has no gene flow between two (incipient) species. Incipient species have two

outcomes; they either go extinct or become a good species, whereas a good species can only go extinct eventually.

2.1.4 Relationship between birth-death and protracted birth-death

[2]

2.1.5 Other speciation models

[2] [REF]

2.1.6 Problems of speciation models

Speciation models, or models in general, tend to simplify the real world as much as possible. This is done to

2.2 The use of mechanisms to model speciation

2.2.1 Sexual and ecological selection (SES)

The Sexual and Ecological selection model of van Doorn en Weissing was made to be a more complete model to look at sympatric speciation [1]. To do this they combined older models with an ecological trait or some sort of sexual selection. The model leads to ecological differentiation, and mating strategies, which resulted in assortative mating.

2.2.2 Bateson-Dobzhansky-Müller (BDM)

[REF Jorik]

2.2.3 Island Model Lyke

[REF Lyke]

2.3 Differences protracted birth-death model and Sexual and Ecological selection model

[1] [2]

The original PBD model uses allopatric speciation [2] while the SES model is focused on unravelling sympatric speciation. [1] With SES gene flow between an old and a new species is still possible and will often result in a new species flowing back to the old species before they can branch off completely.

2.4 This study

This study compares the phylogenetic trees of the protracted birth-death model and Sexual and Ecological selection mechanism. The SES model is one of the simplest speciation model which includes an ecological aspect. [REF?] To compare both models I will study the SES model with an maximum likelihood estimation [REF?] to find parameters for the PBD and compare the outcomes with an nLTT analysis.

2.5 Novelty

Previous research stops after finding specific scenarios for sympatric speciation [6]. I want to connect found parameters to nature and find the probability of sympatric speciation in the real world.

2.6 Analysis

[3] I will use phylogenetic trees from the SES model to get parameters for the PBD. This way both outcomes will be comparable.

2.7 Expectations

3. Methods

3.1 Parameters

3.1.1 PBD




Figure 2: The states and transitions of a species. b_i : speciation-initiation rate of incipient species. b_g : speciation-initiation rate of good species. λ : speciation completion rate. μ_i : extinction rate of incipient species. μ_g : extinction rate of good species. Figure after Etienne et al, 2014, Evolution

The PBD model has five parameters (see figure 1). A speciation-initiation (or birth-) rate (b_g and b_i) and an extinction rate (μ_g and μ_i) for both (good and incipient) kinds of species. The fifth parameter is the speciation completion rate or the rate incipient species become good species (λ). [2]

3.1.2 Sexual and Ecological selection model

Van Doorn's model has ten parameters (see figure 2). Most of them indicate the specificity of mate choice or the range of the three types (x , p and q)[1]

[ADD FIGURE 2 SES]

3.2 Creating species trees

To create the phylogenetic trees the SES model has to be altered so it will output the number of species through time instead of the different genetic types through time [1]. To find the number of species for the trees the individuals have to be in groups without mutual individuals (otherwise they would be grouped together). These groups should be based on the attractiveness (the chance of mating) between all individuals; which is partly calculated every iteration step in van Doorns model [1].

3.3 Analysis

3.3.1 nLTT

[3] An nLTT plot of average trees from both models will be made to compare the results. When there is a big difference between the two trees you'd expect a large area between the two graphs (see figure 3a). Two very similar trees give a smaller area between graphs thus a smaller difference (see figure 3b)




Figure 3: Two example nLTT outcomes. (A) shows a bigger difference than (B) which has two very similar phylogenetic trees.

3.3.2 γ

The Gamma statistic (Pybus & Harvey 2000) is given by:

Pybus, O. & Harvey, P. (2000) Testing macro-evolutionary models using incomplete molecular phylogenies. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 267, 2267–72.

3.4 Peripherals

3.4.1 Software

<https://github.com/Richelbilderbeek/pbdtmms>

3.4.2 Hardware

4. Results

5. Discussion

References

- [1] Van Doorn, G. S., & Weissing, F. J. (2001). *"Ecological versus sexual selection models of sympatric speciation."* *Selection*, 2, 17 - 40.
- [2] Etienne, Rampal S., and James Rosindell. (2011) *"Prolonging the past counteracts the pull of the present: protracted speciation can explain observed slowdowns in diversification."* *Systematic Biology*: syr091.
- [3] Janzen, Thijs, Höhna, Sebastian, Etienne, Rampal S. (2015) *"Approximate Bayesian Computation of diversification rates from molecular phylogenies: introducing a new efficient summary statistic, the nLTT."* *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 6, 566 - 575.
- [4] (My current work)
- [5] Kendall, D. G. (1948) *"On some modes of population growth giving rise to R.A. Fisher's logarithmic series distribution."* *Biometrika*, 35, 6 – 15.