

Type Checking in COOL (II)

Lecture 10

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

1

Lecture Outline

- Type systems and their expressiveness
- Type checking with SELF_TYPE in COOL
- Error recovery in semantic analysis

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

2

Expressiveness of Static Type Systems

- Static type systems detect common errors
- But some correct programs are disallowed
 - Some argue for dynamic type checking instead
 - Others argue for more expressive static type checking
- But more expressive type systems are more complex

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

3

Dynamic And Static Types

- The dynamic type of an object is the class *C* that is used in the “new *C*” expression that created it
 - A run-time notion
 - Even languages that are not statically typed have the notion of dynamic type
- The static type of an expression captures all dynamic types the expression could have
 - A compile-time notion

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

4

Dynamic and Static Types. (Cont.)

- In early type systems the set of static types correspond directly with the dynamic types
- Soundness theorem: for all expressions *E*
 $\text{dynamic_type}(E) = \text{static_type}(E)$
(in all executions, *E* evaluates to values of the type inferred by the compiler)
- This gets more complicated in advanced type systems

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

5

Dynamic and Static Types in COOL

```
class A { ... }
class B inherits A {...}
class Main {
  x:A ← new A;
  ...
  x ← new B;
  ...
}
```

x has static type *A*

Here, *x*'s value has dynamic type *A*

Here, *x*'s value has dynamic type *B*

- A variable of static type *A* can hold values of static type *B*, if $B \leq A$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

6

Dynamic and Static Types

Soundness theorem for the Cool type system:

$$\forall E. \text{dynamic_type}(E) \leq \text{static_type}(E)$$

Why is this OK?

- All operations that can be used on an object of type C can also be used on an object of type $C' \leq C$
 - Such as fetching the value of an attribute
 - Or invoking a method on the object
- Subclasses only add attributes or methods
- Methods can be redefined but with same type!

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

7

An Example

```
class Count {  
  i : int ← 0;  
  inc () : Count {  
    {  
      i ← i + 1;  
      self;  
    }  
  };  
};
```

- Class **Count** incorporates a counter
- The **inc** method works for any subclass
- But there is disaster lurking in the type system

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

8

An Example (Cont.)

- Consider a subclass **Stock** of **Count**

```
class Stock inherits Count {  
  name : String; -- name of item  
};
```

- And the following use of **Stock**:

```
class Main {  
  Stock a ← (new Stock).inc (); Type checking error !  
  ... a.name ...  
};
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

9

What Went Wrong?

- **(new Stock).inc()** has dynamic type **Stock**
- So it is legitimate to write
 Stock a ← (new Stock).inc ()
- But this is not well-typed
 - **(new Stock).inc()** has static type **Count**
- The type checker “loses” type information
 - This makes inheriting **inc** useless
 - So, we must redefine **inc** for each of the subclasses, with a specialized return type

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

10

SELF_TYPE to the Rescue

- We will extend the type system
- Insight:
 - **inc** returns “self”
 - Therefore the return value has same type as “self”
 - Which could be **Count** or any subtype of **Count**!
- Introduce the keyword **SELF_TYPE** to use for the return value of such functions
 - We will also need to modify the typing rules to handle **SELF_TYPE**

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

11

SELF_TYPE to the Rescue (Cont.)

- **SELF_TYPE** allows the return type of **inc** to change when **inc** is inherited
- Modify the declaration of **inc** to read
 inc() : SELF_TYPE { ... }
- The type checker can now prove:
 $C, M \vdash (\text{new Count}).\text{inc}() : \text{Count}$
 $C, M \vdash (\text{new Stock}).\text{inc}() : \text{Stock}$
- The program from before is now well typed

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

12

Notes About SELF_TYPE

- SELF_TYPE is not a dynamic type
 - It is a static type
 - It helps the type checker to keep better track of types
 - It enables the type checker to accept more correct programs
- In short, having SELF_TYPE increases the expressive power of the type system

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

13

SELF_TYPE and Dynamic Types (Example)

- What can be the dynamic type of the object returned by `inc`?

- Answer: whatever could be the type of “self”

```
class A inherits Count { } ;  
class B inherits Count { } ;  
class C inherits Count { } ;
```

(`inc` could be invoked through any of these classes)

- Answer: `Count` or any subtype of `Count`

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

14

SELF_TYPE and Dynamic Types (Example)

- In general, if SELF_TYPE appears textually in the class `C` as the declared type of `E` then
$$\text{dynamic_type}(E) \leq C$$
- Note: The meaning of SELF_TYPE depends on where it appears
 - We write SELF_TYPE_C to refer to an occurrence of SELF_TYPE in the body of `C`
- This suggests a typing rule:
$$\text{SELF_TYPE}_C \leq C \quad (*)$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

15

Type Checking

- Rule (*) has an important consequence:
 - In type checking it is always safe to replace SELF_TYPE_C by `C`
- This suggests one way to handle SELF_TYPE :
 - Replace all occurrences of SELF_TYPE_C by `C`
- This would be correct but it is like not having SELF_TYPE at all

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

16

Operations on SELF_TYPE

- Recall the operations on types
 - $T_1 \leq T_2$ T_1 is a subtype of T_2
 - $\text{lub}(T_1, T_2)$ the least-upper bound of T_1 and T_2
- We must extend these operations to handle SELF_TYPE

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

17

Extending \leq

Let `T` and `T'` be any types but SELF_TYPE
There are four cases in the definition of \leq

1. $\text{SELF_TYPE}_C \leq \text{SELF_TYPE}_C$
 - In Cool we never need to compare SELF_TYPEs coming from different classes
2. $\text{SELF_TYPE}_C \leq T$ if $C \leq T$
 - SELF_TYPE_C can be any subtype of `C`
 - This includes `C` itself
 - Thus this is the most flexible rule we can allow

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

18

Extending \leq (Cont.)

3. $T \leq \text{SELF_TYPE}_C$ always false
Note: SELF_TYPE_C can denote any subtype of C .
4. $T \leq T'$ (according to the rules from before)

Based on these rules we can extend lub ...

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

19

Extending $\text{lub}(T, T')$

Let T and T' be any types but SELF_TYPE

Again there are four cases:

1. $\text{lub}(\text{SELF_TYPE}_C, \text{SELF_TYPE}_C) = \text{SELF_TYPE}_C$
2. $\text{lub}(\text{SELF_TYPE}_C, T) = \text{lub}(C, T)$
This is the best we can do because $\text{SELF_TYPE}_C \leq C$
3. $\text{lub}(T, \text{SELF_TYPE}_C) = \text{lub}(C, T)$
4. $\text{lub}(T, T')$ defined as before

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

20

Where Can SELF_TYPE Appear in COOL?

- The parser checks that SELF_TYPE appears only where a type is expected
 - But SELF_TYPE is not allowed everywhere a type can appear:
1. $\text{class } T \text{ inherits } T' \{ \dots \}$
 - T, T' cannot be SELF_TYPE
 2. $x : T$
 - T can be SELF_TYPE
 - An attribute whose type is $\leq \text{SELF_TYPE}_C$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

21

Where Can SELF_TYPE Appear in COOL?

3. $\text{let } x : T \text{ in } E$
 - T can be SELF_TYPE
 - x has a type $\leq \text{SELF_TYPE}_C$
4. $\text{new } T$
 - T can be SELF_TYPE
 - Creates an object of the same type as self
5. $m@T(E_1, \dots, E_n)$
 - T cannot be SELF_TYPE

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

22

Where Can SELF_TYPE Not Appear in COOL?

6. $m(x : T) : T' \{ \dots \}$
 - Only T' can be SELF_TYPE !

What could go wrong if T were SELF_TYPE ?

```
class A { comp(x : SELF_TYPE) : Bool { ... }; };
class B inherits A {
  b : int;
  comp(x : SELF_TYPE) : Bool { ... x.b ... }; };
...
let x : A ← new B in ... x.comp(new A); ...
...
```

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

23

Typing Rules for SELF_TYPE

- Since occurrences of SELF_TYPE depend on the enclosing class we need to include that context during type checking
- Recall the form of a typing judgment:
 $O, M, C \vdash e : T$
(An expression e occurring in the body of C has static type T given a variable type environment O and method signatures M)

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

24

Type Checking Rules

- The next step is to design type rules using **SELF_TYPE** for each language construct
- Most of the rules remain the same except that \leq and **lub** are the new ones
- Example:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O(\text{Id}) = T_0 \\ O, M, C \vdash e_1 : T_0 \\ T_1 \leq T_0 \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash \text{Id} \leftarrow e_1 : T_1}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

25

What's Different?

- Recall the old rule for dispatch

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \vdots \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ M(T_0, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', T_{n+1}') \\ T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF_TYPE} \\ T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash e_0.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_{n+1}'}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

26

What's Different?

- If the return type of the method is **SELF_TYPE** then the type of the dispatch is the type of the dispatch expression:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \vdots \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ M(T_0, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', \text{SELF_TYPE}) \\ T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash e_0.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_0}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

27

What's Different?

- Note this rule handles the **Stock** example
- Formal parameters cannot be **SELF_TYPE**
- Actual arguments can be **SELF_TYPE**
 - The extended \leq relation handles this case
- The type T_0 of the dispatch expression could be **SELF_TYPE**
 - Which class is used to find the declaration of f ?
 - Answer: it is safe to use the class where the dispatch appears

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

28

Static Dispatch

- Recall the original rule for static dispatch

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \vdots \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ T_0 \leq T \\ M(T, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', T_{n+1}') \\ T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF_TYPE} \\ T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash e_0.T.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_{n+1}'}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

29

Static Dispatch

- If the return type of the method is **SELF_TYPE** we have:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \vdots \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ T_0 \leq T \\ M(T, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', \text{SELF_TYPE}) \\ T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash e_0.T.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_0}$$

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

30

Static Dispatch

- Why is this rule correct?
- If we dispatch a method returning `SELF_TYPE` in class `T`, don't we get back a `T`?
- No. `SELF_TYPE` is the type of the self parameter, which may be a subtype of the class in which the method appears

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

31

New Rules

- There are two new rules using `SELF_TYPE`

$$\frac{}{O, M, C \vdash \text{self} : \text{SELF_TYPE}_C}$$
$$\frac{}{O, M, C \vdash \text{new SELF_TYPE} : \text{SELF_TYPE}_C}$$

- There are a number of other places where `SELF_TYPE` is used

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

32

Summary of SELF_TYPE

- The extended `≤` and `lub` operations can do a lot of the work.
- `SELF_TYPE` can be used only in a few places. Be sure it isn't used anywhere else.
- A use of `SELF_TYPE` always refers to any subtype of the current class
 - The exception is the type checking of dispatch. The method return type of `SELF_TYPE` might have nothing to do with the current class

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

33

Why Cover SELF_TYPE ?

- `SELF_TYPE` is a research idea
 - It adds more expressiveness to the type system
- `SELF_TYPE` is itself not so important
 - except for the project
- Rather, `SELF_TYPE` is meant to illustrate that type checking can be quite subtle
- In practice, there should be a balance between the complexity of the type system and its expressiveness

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

34

Error Recovery

- As with parsing, it is important to recover from type errors
- Detecting where errors occur is easier than in parsing
 - There is no reason to skip over portions of code
- The Problem:
 - What type is assigned to an expression with no legitimate type?
 - This type will influence the typing of the enclosing expression

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

35

Error Recovery Attempt

- Assign type `Object` to ill-typed expressions
$$\text{let } y : \text{Int} \leftarrow x + 2 \text{ in } y + 3$$
- Since `x` is undeclared its type is `Object`
- But now we have `Object + Int`
- This will generate another typing error
- We then say that that `Object + Int = Object`
- Then the initializer's type will not be `Int`
⇒ a workable solution but with cascading errors

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

36

Better Error Recovery

- We can introduce a new type called `No_type` for use with ill-typed expressions
- Define `No_type ≤ C` for all types `C`
- Every operation is defined for `No_type`
 - With a `No_type` result
- Only one typing error for:
`let y : Int ← x + 2 in y + 3`

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

37

Notes

- A “real” compiler would use something like `No_type`
- However, there are some implementation issues
 - The class hierarchy is not a tree anymore
- The `Object` solution is fine in the class project

Prof. Aiken CS 143 Lecture 10

38