Greedy Algorithms

We looked at divide and conquer where we solved an identical problem on a smaller subset of input.

We will now look at greedy algorithm solutions.

Greedy algorithms - Overview

- Algorithms for solving (optimization) problems typically go through a sequence of steps, with a set of choices at each step.
- A greedy algorithm always makes the choice that looks best at the moment, without regard for future consequence, i.e., "take what you can get now" strategy
- Greedy algorithms do not always yield optimal solutions,

Local optimum \implies Global optimum

but for many problems they do.

Problem statement:

Input: Set
$$S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$$
 of n activities $s_i = \text{start time of activity } i$ $f_i = \text{finish time of activity } i$

Output: Maximum-size subset $A \subseteq S$ of compatible activities

Notes:

- Activities i and j are compatible if the intervals $[s_i, f_i)$ and $[s_j, f_j)$ do not overlap.
- Without loss of generality, assume

$$f_1 \le f_2 \le \cdots \le f_n$$

Greedy algorithm:

pick the compatible activity with the earliest finish time.

Why?

- Intuitively, this choice leaves as much opportunity as possible for the remaining activities to be scheduled
- That is, the greedy choice is the one that maximizes the amount of unscheduled time remaining.

```
Greedy_Activity_Selector(s,f)
n = length(s)
A = {1}
j = 1
for i = 2 to n
    if s[i] >= f[j]
        A = A U {i}
        j = i
    end if
end for
```

Remarks

return A

- Assume the array f already sorted
- ▶ Complexity: T(n) = O(n)

Question: Does Greedy_Activity_Selector work?

Answer: Yes!

Theorem. Algorithm Greedy_Activity_Selector produces a solution of the activity-selection problem.

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

Property 1.

There exists an optimal solution A such that the greedy choice "1" in A.

Proof:

- ▶ let's order the activities in A by finish time such that the first activity in A is "k₁".
- ▶ If $k_1 = 1$, then A begins with a greedy choice
- ▶ If $k_1 \neq 1$, then let $A' = (A \{k_1\}) \cup \{1\}$.
 - Then
 - 1. the sets $A \{k_1\}$ and $\{1\}$ are disjoint
 - 2. the activities in A' are compatible
 - 3. A' is also optimal, since |A'| = |A|
- Therefore, we conclude that there always exists an optimal solution that begins with a greedy choice.

Property 2.

If A is an optimal solution, then $A' = A - \{1\}$ is an optimal solution to $S' = \{i \in S, s[i] \ge f[1]\}.$

Proof: By contradiction. If there exists B' to S' such that |B'| > |A'|, then let

$$>$$
 $|A'|$, then let $B=B'\cup\{1\},$

we have

|B| > |A|,

which is contradicting to the optimality of A.

Proof of Theorem: By Properties 1 and 2, we know that

- After each greedy choice is made, we are left with an optimization problem of the same form as the original.
- By induction on the number of choices made, making the greedy choice at every step proceduces an optimal solution.

Therefore, the Greedy_Activity_Selector produces a solution of the activity-selection problem.

▶ Property 1 is called the greedy-choice property, generally casted as

a globally optimal solution can be arrived at by making a locally optimal (greedy) choice.

 Property 2 is called the optimal substructure property, generally casted as

an optimal solution to the problem contains within it optimal solution to subprograms.

These are two key properties for the success of greedy algorithms.