## **Elementary Sampling theory**

##3.26, 3.27 The most probable value of r

The sequence h(r|N, M, n) for fixed N, M, n is unimodal, meaning it first increases, then decreases. To see this we argue as follows.

We want to see wether h(r+1|N,M,n) is bigger h(r|N,M,n), so we need to compare their fraction to 1. We compute using 3.22

$$h(r+1|N,M,n)/h(r|N,M,n) =$$

$$[(M-r)/(r+1)][(N-M-n+r+1)/(n-r)] =$$

$$\frac{(r-M)(r-n)}{(r+1)(r+N-M-n+1)} \stackrel{?}{\gtrless} 1$$

$$(r-M)(r-n) \stackrel{?}{\gtrless} (r+1)(r+N-M-n+1)$$

$$Mn - (M+n)r \stackrel{?}{\gtrless} (N-M-n+1) + r(N-M-n+2)$$

$$Mn - (N-M-n+1) \stackrel{?}{\gtrless} r(N+2)$$

$$\frac{Mn - (N-M-n+1)}{N+2} \stackrel{?}{\gtrless} r$$

$$\frac{Mn + M+n+1}{N+2} \stackrel{?}{\gtrless} r+1$$

$$\frac{(M+1)(n+1)}{N+2} \stackrel{?}{\gtrless} r+1$$

The sequence h(r) increases while the left hand side is bigger.

Thus denoting by r' the number  $\frac{(M+1)(n+1)}{N+2}$  we see that if r' is an integer, then h(r) increase until r = r' - 1, then h(r' - 1) = h(r'), then the h(r) decrease. If r' is not an integer, then h(r) increase until h(INT(r')), then decrease.

## **3.29** Symmetry of h(r|N, M, n)

Combinatorial proof that

$$h(r|N, M, n) = h(r|N, n, M).$$

By definition, h(r|N, M, n) is computed as follows. Lay down N balls, labeled 1, ..., N. Pick the subset  $R_0 = \{1, ..., M\}$  of them and paint it red. Then pick a subset D of size n of all the ball, and compute  $r = |D \cap R_0|$ . The fraction of Ds that give specific answer r is by definition h(r|N, M, n).

Now suppose instead we pick a different subset  $R_1$  of size M to be red, and repeat the procedure above: pick D, and comute  $r = |D \cap R_1|$ . We claim that the fraction of Ds that give specific answer r is still h(r|N, M, n). In deed, there exists a permutation of  $\{1, ..., N\}$  taking  $R_1$  to  $R_0$  ("sort the reds to be first"); the same permutation takes Ds that give  $D \cap R_1 = r$  to those that give  $D \cap R_0 = r$ . Hence there are the same number of Ds in both circumstances.

The above argument means that h(r|N, M, n) can be also computed as follows. Lay down N balls, labeled 1, ..., N. Pick **any** subset R of them of size M and paint it red. Then pick a subset D of size n of all the ball, and compute  $r = |D \cap R|$ . The fraction of Rs and Ds that give specific answer r is then h(r|N, M, n).

But the above procedure remains the same if we exchange M and n and rename "paint red" into "pick" and "pick" into "paint red". Then it computes h(r|N, n, M). So the two numbers are equal.