

How to survive in the city

Logan CJ¹

Shaw R²

McCune KB³

2021-06-08



Affiliations: 1) Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Germany; 2) Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand; 3) University of California Santa Barbara, USA. Corresponding authors: corina_logan@eva.mpg.de, rachael.shaw@vuw.ac.nz, kelseybmccune@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Human-modified environments are increasing, causing global changes that other species must adjust to or suffer from. Behavioral flexibility could be key: animals interact with their environment through behavior, making it crucial to an ecologically valid understanding of how species adjust to environmental changes (Lee and Thornton 2021). One of the top priorities for behavioral research to maximize conservation progress is to determine which cognitive abilities and behaviors can predict the ability to adjust to human-modified environments and whether these can be manipulated (Moseby et al. 2016). The rare research that manipulates behavior in a conservation context trains specific behaviors (e.g., predator recognition through predator exposure) to improve individual success in the wild (e.g., Moseby et al. 2012; Jolly et al. 2018; West et al. 2018; Ross et al. 2019; see review in Tetzlaff et al. 2019). However, training a general cognitive ability, such as behavioral flexibility (i.e., the ability to rapidly adapt behavior to changes through learning), has the potential to change a whole suite of behaviors and more broadly influence success in adjusting to human-modified environments. This project asks whether flexibility can be increased, whether such an increase can help threatened species survive in a city, and whether survival information spreads faster through social learning in a global context. We explore whether it is even possible to take insights from highly divergent species and apply them to address critical conservation challenges, which pushes the limits in terms of understanding how conserved these abilities may be and to what extent they can be shaped by the environment. We aim to 1) conduct behavioral flexibility interventions in two flexible species that are successful in human-modified environments (great-tailed grackles and California scrub jays) to understand how flexibility relates to success and whether social learning increases the rate of behavioral change; and 2) implement the effective interventions in a threatened species (toutouwai) to determine whether flexibility as a generalizable cognitive ability can be trained and whether such training improves survival in human-modified environments. This project will significantly advance our understanding of the causes and consequences of flexibility, linking behavior to cognition and success in human-modified environments through a comparative framework.

INTRODUCTION

A. LEVEL OF DATA BLINDNESS

This registered report was written (Jul 2021) prior to collecting any data (Level 6).

B. PROGRAMMATIC REGISTERED REPORT

Multiple Stage 2 articles will result from this one Stage 1 registered report.

C. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

Q1: Can behavioral flexibility in species associated with human modified environments be increased? If so, does such an increase help threatened species survive in a city?

Prediction 1:

Prediction 1 alternative 1:

Q2: Does survival information spread faster through social learning?

Prediction 2:

Prediction 2 alternative 1:

D. METHODS

Planned Sample

Reversal learning experiment: 20 individuals per species will be tested.

Foraging predictability experiment: 60 individuals per species will be tested.

Sample size rationale

Data collection stopping rule

Protocols and open materials

- Manipulating behavioral flexibility through serial reversal learning: [protocol](#), [pseudorandomized option on left order](#), [instructions](#) for interobserver reliability video coders who code 20% of the videos from the experiment.
- Manipulating behavioral flexibility through what where when memory using foraging predictability:
 - GPS tracking: [protocol](#) with radio tag attachment instructions and how to GPS track tagged and non-tagged individuals.

Open data

The data will be published in the Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity's data repository.

Randomization and counterbalancing

Reversal learning: The first rewarded color in reversal learning is counterbalanced across birds at each site. The rewarded option is pseudorandomized for side (and the option on the left is always placed first). Pseudorandomization consists of alternating location for the first two trials of a session and then keeping the same color on the same side for at most two consecutive trials thereafter. A list of all 88 unique trial sequences for a 10-trial session, following the pseudorandomization rules, will be generated in advance for experimenters to use during testing (e.g., a randomized trial sequence might look like: LRLRLRLRLR, where L and R refer to the location, left or right, of the rewarded tube). Randomized trial sequences will be assigned randomly to any given 10-trial session using a random number generator (random.org) to generate a number from 1-88.

Blinding during analysis

Blinding is usually not involved in the final analyses because the experimenters collect the data (and therefore have seen some form of it) and run the analyses. Hypothesis- and data-blind video coders are recruited to conduct interobserver reliability of 20% of the videos for the reversal learning experiment.

E. ANALYSIS PLAN

Interobserver reliability of reversal learning

To determine whether experimenters coded the dependent variables in a repeatable way, hypothesis-blind video coders will first be trained in video coding the dependent variables (reversal learning: whether the bird made the correct choice or not), requiring a Cohen's unweighted kappa of 0.90 or above to pass training. This threshold indicates that the two coders (the experimenter and the video coder) agree with each other to a high degree (Revelle (2017)). After passing training, the video coders will code 20% of the videos for each experiment and the unweighted kappa will be calculated to determine how objective and repeatable scoring was for each variable, while noting that the experimenter has the advantage over the video coder because watching the videos is not as clear as watching the bird participate in person. The unweighted kappa is used when analyzing a categorical variable where the distances between the numbers are meaningless (e.g., 0=incorrect choice, 1=correct choice, -1=did not participate).

```
library(irr)  #ICC package

#### REVERSAL LEARNING

# did video coder pass interobserver reliability training?
data <- read.csv("", header = TRUE, sep = ",", stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
head(data) #Check to make sure it looks right
# Note: c(3,5) is telling R to look at columns 2 and 3 and
# compare them. Double check this:
data[, 3] #coder 1 (live coder)
data[, 5] #coder 2 (video coder)
cohen.kappa(data[, c(3, 5)], w = NULL, n.obs = NULL, alpha = 0.05,
             levels = NULL)

# video coder score for 20% of videos =
data <- read.csv("", header = TRUE, sep = ",", stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
head(data) #Check to make sure it looks right
# Note: c(3,5) is telling R to look at columns 2 and 3 and
```

```
# compare them. Double check this:
data[, 3] #coder 1 (live coder)
data[, 5] #coder 2 (video coder)
cohen.kappa(data[, c(3, 5)], w = NULL, n.obs = NULL, alpha = 0.05,
             levels = NULL)
```

Q1: Can flexibility be increased?

Response variable: whether the bird chose the rewarded or unrewarded color per trial

Q2: Does info spread faster through social learning?

Response variable:

Explanatory variables:

F. ETHICS

This research is carried out in accordance with permits from the:

- 1) US Fish and Wildlife Service (scientific collecting permit number MB76700A-0,1,2)
- 2) US Geological Survey Bird Banding Laboratory (federal bird banding permit number 23872)
- 3) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Arizona State University (protocol number 17-1594R)
- 4) California Department of Fish and Wildlife (scientific collecting permit [specific use] number S-192100001-19210-001)

G. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Logan: Hypothesis development, data collection, data analysis and interpretation, write up, revising/editing, materials/funding.

Shaw: Hypothesis development, data collection, data analysis and interpretation, write up, revising/editing, materials/funding.

McCune: Hypothesis development, data collection, data analysis and interpretation, write up, revising/editing.

H. FUNDING

This research is funded by the Department of Human Behavior, Ecology and Culture at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology.

I. CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE

We, the authors, declare that we have no financial conflicts of interest with the content of this article. CJ Logan is a co-founder of and on the Managing Board at PCI Registered Reports.

J. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Richard McElreath at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology for project support.

K. REFERENCES

- Jolly CJ, Kelly E, Gillespie GR, Phillips B, Webb JK. 2018. Out of the frying pan: Reintroduction of toad-smart northern quolls to southern kakadu national park. *Austral Ecology*. 43(2):139–149.
- Landis JR, Koch GG. 1977. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *biometrics*.:159–174.
- Lee VE, Thornton A. 2021. Animal cognition in an urbanised world. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*. 9:120.
- Moseby KE, Blumstein DT, Letnic M. 2016. Harnessing natural selection to tackle the problem of prey naïveté. *Evolutionary applications*. 9(2):334–343.
- Moseby KE, Cameron A, Crisp HA. 2012. Can predator avoidance training improve reintroduction outcomes for the greater bilby in arid australia? *Animal Behaviour*. 83(4):1011–1021.
- Revelle W. 2017. *Psych: Procedures for psychological, psychometric, and personality research*. Evanston, Illinois: Northwestern University. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych>.
- Ross AK, Letnic M, Blumstein DT, Moseby KE. 2019. Reversing the effects of evolutionary prey naïveté through controlled predator exposure. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 56(7):1761–1769.
- Tetzlaff SJ, Sperry JH, DeGregorio BA. 2019. Effects of antipredator training, environmental enrichment, and soft release on wildlife translocations: A review and meta-analysis. *Biological conservation*. 236:324–331.
- West R, Letnic M, Blumstein DT, Moseby KE. 2018. Predator exposure improves anti-predator responses in a threatened mammal. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 55(1):147–156.