

DOCTORAL THESIS

Quantifying the impacts of land change on biodiversity

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the

Division of Evolution, Behaviour and Environment School of Life Sciences

Author: Supervisor:

Martin Jung Jörn P. W. Scharlemann



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Integer nec venenatis augue. Maecenas sed egestas elit, quis ultrices lacus. Maecenas hendrerit massa nisi, congue congue quam pretium eu. Proin erat quam, iaculis ac iaculis nec, rhoncus vitae tortor. Pellentesque neque eros, aliquet tincidunt enim sed, volutpat ultrices nibh. Nullam feugiat gravida velit, ut aliquam est finibus ac. Cras pharetra nibh enim, vel lacinia enim pulvinar eget. Maecenas gravida ante vitae convallis eleifend.

Praesent iaculis commodo augue, vel facilisis enim ornare a. Donec a augue dictum, finibus purus at, pretium augue. Maecenas interdum magna ut semper aliquam. Cras tristique tincidunt urna, at porta tellus auctor quis. Nulla facilisi. Phasellus sagittis purus nisi, a volutpat mi sagittis sit amet. Suspendisse est purus, vulputate sed sagittis eget, cursus nec quam. Etiam suscipit mi eu elit pellentesque tristique. Etiam at neque lorem. Quisque quam dolor, venenatis in tincidunt a, mattis ut nisi. Aliquam justo massa, elementum in est ut, rutrum viverra lectus. Sed feugiat felis et purus tempor scelerisque.

Donec lacinia pulvinar nibh. Quisque eleifend suscipit finibus. Phasellus non feugiat libero. Phasellus non venenatis ipsum. Phasellus ac tristique nunc, eu consequat augue. Nunc quis purus sed est tempor mattis sit amet ut nibh. Praesent in nisl eget justo molestie placerat. Cras id metus sit amet diam volutpat eleifend. Donec non dictum erat. Phasellus ultricies augue libero. Etiam sed tortor et erat placerat euismod. Sed vel rutrum sapien. Aenean ac ligula non quam consequat feugiat. Fusce posuere vulputate massa, id ultrices orci mattis sed. Duis sit amet iaculis sem.

Phasellus viverra turpis nec nisl tempus sagittis. Nam rhoncus eros eu libero mattis pharetra. Phasellus varius risus nec velit placerat malesuada. Nam efficitur nisi sapien, eget elementum velit iaculis fringilla. Interdum et malesuada fames ac ante ipsum primis in faucibus. Sed rutrum, felis vel tincidunt ultricies, mi velit ultricies magna, vehicula porta risus purus non urna. Sed posuere purus at sem interdum mattis. Suspendisse potenti. Quisque sed neque nec eros suscipit tempor.

Fusce viverra ac nisi quis rutrum. Proin felis metus, convallis nec libero volutpat, condimentum commodo ligula. Morbi luctus pretium placerat. Pellentesque eu ultrices turpis, in ultrices mi. Donec rutrum nec ligula sit amet mollis. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nulla non dignissim velit, quis lacinia dolor. Sed in gravida nisl. Maecenas sagittis diam laoreet dictum consequat. Nullam non gravida nunc, pretium pharetra sapien. Maecenas venenatis ligula vitae enim facilisis rhoncus. Aliquam auctor posuere turpis tempus sodales. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse purus metus, feugiat eget ullamcorper nec, interdum

id magna. Quisque euismod, diam sed ultrices lacinia, magna erat sagittis quam, eget pellentesque mi diam sit amet tellus. Nulla non odio viverra, placerat odio sagittis, vehicula sem.

I, Martin Jung, hereby declare that this thesis had in whole or in part to another university for the	
Brighton, 17th February 2019	——————————————————————————————————————

University of Sussex School of Life Sciences Division of Evolution, Behaviour and Environment

DOCTORAL THESIS

Quantifying the impacts of land change on biodiversity

by Martin Jung

ABSTRACT

Land is constantly changing because of natural and anthropogenic factors. One of the grand challenges facing humanity is the loss of biodiversity, caused by land change, which may affect ecosystem functioning. Attributes of land change, e.g. magnitude, time span, sequence or frequency, can be quantified reliably from remotely-sensed satellite data. Up to now, it was not clear how attributes of past land changes, e.g. those preceding biodiversity sampling, continue to influence local biodiversity across geographic regions and taxonomic groups. This thesis investigates the varying impacts of multiple attributes of land change on biodiversity globally by analysing links between broad-scale data on local biodiversity measures - calculated from the global PREDICTS database - and time series of different remotely-sensed satellite data from the period of 1984 to 2015. Overall past land changes were found to impact local biodiversity more than present differences on land, however with considerable variability among taxonomic groups. Abrupt land changes of greater magnitude, that occurred more recently, reduced local biodiversity measures more, although biodiversity recovered as time passed. Furthermore, impacts of past land change varied depending on trajectories of land-cover types, affecting national and global biodiversity projections. While biodiversity change, quantified from time series of North American breeding bird surveys was correlated with, but not explained by, landscape-wide land changes, the frequency and magnitude of past, instead of concomitant, land changes was more important in explaining biodiversity change. These results indicate that global indicators of the impacts of land change on local biodiversity need to consider lasting influences

of the past as ignoring them would result in incomplete assessments of biodiversity change. Remote sensing can assist in quantifying biologically-relevant attributes of land change in space and time, and such attributes should be incorporated into global assessments and projections of biodiversity change.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 2

1.1 Abstract

Most land on Earth has been changed by humans and past changes of land can have lasting influences on current species assemblages. Yet few globally representative studies explicitly consider such influences even though auxiliary data, such as from remote sensing, are readily available. Time series of satellite-derived data have been commonly used to quantify differences in landsurface attributes such as vegetation cover, which will among other things be influenced by anthropogenic land conversions and modifications. Here we quantify differences in current and past (up to five years before sampling) vegetation cover, and assess whether such differences differentially influence taxonomic and functional groups of species assemblages between spatial pairs of sites. Specifically, we correlated between-site dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity of vegetation (the Enhanced Vegetation Index) with the corresponding dissimilarity in local species assemblage composition from a global database using a common metric for both, the Bray-Curtis index. We found that dissimilarity in species assemblage composition was on average more influenced by dissimilarity in past than current photosynthetic activity, and that the influence of past dissimilarity increased when longer time periods were considered. Responses to past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity also differed among taxonomic groups (plants, invertebrates, amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals), with reptiles being among the most influenced by more dissimilar past photosynthetic activity. Furthermore, we found that assemblages dominated by smaller and more vegetation-dependent species tended to be more influenced by dissimilarity in past photosynthetic activity than prey-dependent species. Overall, our results have implications for studies that investigate species responses to current environmental changes and highlight the importance of past changes continuing to influence local species assemblage composition. We

demonstrate how local species assemblages and satellite-derived data can be linked and provide suggestions for future studies on how to assess the influence of past environmental changes on biodiversity.

2

1.2 Introduction

Throughout the Earth's history, land has changed constantly by a combination of natural and anthropogenic forces. Palaeontological evidence indicates that humans have transformed approximately 75% of the land at least once

Most global meta-analyses investigating the influence of differences in vegetation cover on species assemblages have assumed that any difference in vegetation cover at the time of biodiversity sampling is the dominant influence (Stein et al. 2014, Newbold et al. 2014, 2015, Alroy 2017). However, this assumption might be incorrect as assemblages can be heavily influenced by legacy effects of past changes in vegetation cover (Foster et al. 2003, Watson et al. 2014, Ogle et al. 2015, Perring et al. 2016). For the recent past (e.g., up to five years prior to biodiversity sampling), ecological memory or carry-over effects, i.e. the capacity of past events to influence present and future ecological assemblages (Harrison et al. 2011, O'Connor et al. 2014, Ogle et al. 2015), have been proposed as mechanisms that shape species assemblages. These effects can arise through site-specific environmental factors, for instance altered conditions because of agricultural practices (Perring et al. 2016, 2018) or different sequences and successional recovery from changes in past vegetation cover (Johnson and Miyanishi 2008, Walker et al. 2010, Watson et al. 2014). No detailed global analysis to date has explicitly considered the influence of both current and past differences in vegetation cover on current species assemblages.

While some differences in species assemblages can be traced back to changes in vegetation cover in the late quaternary (Vegas-Vilarrúbia et al. 2011, McMichael et al. 2017), there is some evidence that changes in vegetation cover in the more recent past can influence plant (Jakovac et al. 2016), invertebrate (Valtonen et al. 2013) or vertebrate assemblages (Newton et al. 2014, Cole et al. 2015, Graham et al. 2017). However, this has—to our knowledge—not been assessed comparatively across multiple taxonomic groups. Furthermore, it is likely that species with specific traits, such as certain body size and/or trophic level, may be differentially affected by past changes in vegetation cover because of differences in their metabolic rate (for animals), longevity or dispersal abilities (Sutherland et al. 2000, Brown et al. 2004, Speakman 2005, Thomson et al. 2011, De Palma et al. 2015). Depending on the type and magnitude of a past changes in vegetation cover (as a proxy for land-surface changes) plant assemblages can either be dominated by small,

fast sprouting or taller, nutrient-demanding species (Jakovac et al. 2016, Perring et al. 2018). Until now, our understanding of the influence of past differences in vegetation cover on species assemblages has been limited to case studies focused on specific regions or certain taxonomic and functional groups. However, a recently published globally representative dataset on species assemblages of broad taxonomic coverage (Hudson et al. 2017) and globally available satellitederived data enable us to consider explicitly both current and past differences in land-surface attributes.

Satellite-derived data can provide internally consistent estimates of how land differs across time and space (Pettorelli et al. 2005, Kennedy et al. 2014). Land-surface attributes such as photosynthetic activity of vegetation can be quantified using spectral indicators from satellitederived data (Gamon et al. 1995, Zhang et al. 2006). Changes in photosynthetic activity of vegetation can be related to both climatic (Fensholt et al. 2012, Zhu et al. 2016) and anthropogenic factors such as land conversions and modifications (Lambin et al. 2003, Mueller et al. 2014). Subtle differences in vegetation dynamics (as measured by various satellite-derived vegetation indices), such as faster greening rate or differing seasonal amplitude, between years have been used to characterize land change (Lambin and Strahler 1994, Linderman et al. 2005, Lupo et al. 2007). Recent studies have used such differences to identify changes in land use such as pasture use intensity (Rufin et al. 2015), fallow periods in croplands (Estel et al. 2015, Tong et al. 2017), smallscale deforestation (DeVries et al. 2015) and broad scale land degradation and intensification (de Jong et al. 2011, Mueller et al. 2014). Dissimilarity metrics describing the entirety of recent land history (e.g. including both differences in land use and land cover as well as climatic and sitespecific factors) can be calculated between spatial pairs of time series as the overall dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (Linderman et al. 2005, Lhermitte et al. 2011). Increasingly such methods have been linked to dissimilarity in local species assemblage composition (Rowhani et al. 2008, Goetz et al. 2014, Nieto et al. 2015, Hobi et al. 2017), however few studies have explicitly distinguished between current and past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity.

Here we use a time series dissimilarity metric (the Bray-Curtis index) to quantify dissimilarity in a land-surface attribute, e.g. photosynthetic activity of vegetation, among spatial pairs of sites in the Projecting Responses of Ecological Diversity In Changing Terrestrial Systems (PRE-DICTS) dataset (Hudson et al. 2017). We explicitly distinguish between dissimilarity in current and past photosynthetic activity (BCEVI), defined here as the five years prior to the 'current' year, and assess how they influence compositional dissimilarity (BCBiodiversity) between species assemblages among paired sites. This pairwise comparison approach allows us to investigate (i) the overall influence of past relative to current dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity on species

assemblages where we hypothesize that the influence of past dissimilarity increases with longer past periods considered. Furthermore, we investigate (ii) whether different taxonomic groups respond differently to past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity, and (iii) if species with particular functional characteristics, i.e., those that are smaller and/or more vegetation-dependent, are more affected by past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity than others.

1.3 Data and Methods

1.3.1 Remotely-sensed data

A temporal profile of spectral reflectance values was derived from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensor on board NASA's Terra and Aqua satellites. Since the year 2000, MODIS has provided continuous spectral data of medium-scale resolution (nominal 500 m resolution) with high temporal revisit rates (a global image collection is taken every day) (Schaaf et al. 2002). We used the Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function and Albedo (BRDF) product (MCD43A4.005), which aggregates the highest quality daily spectral reflectance values into 8-day composites of seven spectral bands (Schaaf et al. 2002). Google Earth EngineTM was used to download and process temporal profiles of all spectral bands for each site (Gorelick et al. 2017). We calculated a spectral index measuring photosynthetic activity (the two-band Enhanced Vegetation Index – EVI; Jiang et al. 2008), which is based on a ratio between the near-infrared (nir, 841-876 nm) and red (red, 620-670 nm) spectral band (EVI=2.5 (nir-red)/(nir+2.4red+1)). We used the EVI as it has been designed to reduce atmospheric contamination and not to saturate in high biomass regions such as tropical rainforests (Huete et al. 2002, Jiang et al. 2008). We applied the following pre-processing steps (also see flowchart in Supplementary Fig. 1) to the nir and red BRDF bands individually to fill missing observations and filter out extreme data points. First, we detected and removed extreme outliers in the BRDF data that may have been introduced by cloud shadows, atmospheric haze, inversion errors or sensor failures. We calculated the absolute difference of all values from the median relative to the total median absolute deviation (MAD) of all values (Leys et al. 2013). Pixels which were more than a conservative threshold of two units deviation (but see Leys et al. 2013) away from the MAD as well as greater than 99 Second, we interpolated missing values using a Kalman filter, a smoother for estimating missing data points based on preceding data (Kalman 1960). Previous studies have shown that Kalman filters perform well in filling gaps in BRDF time-series especially in data-poor regions (Samain et al. 2008). The best model for the Kalman filter for a given time-series was estimated using the "forecast" R package ("auto-arima" function) by selecting the model with the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Hyndman and Khandakar 2008). We only interpolated consecutive gaps 40 days (i.e. five consecutive 8-day BRDF composites) as longer interpolations would reduce our ability to detect short-term changes in photosynthetic activity. We excluded all time-series from further analyses with more than 50 Lastly, we applied a Savitzky-Golay filter (filter length = 5, "signal" R-package; Signal developers, 2014) to reduce the amount of random noise remaining in the time series, but retain small abrupt changes that might occur (Joensson and Eklundh 2004). The Savitzky-Golay filter performs well relative to other smoothing techniques in removing noise (Kandasamy et al. 2013). Our pre-processing steps aimed to remove influential outliers and random noise from each time series, but we cannot rule out that some non-informative noise has remained in the time series. From these pre-processed BRDF data we calculated the EVI for each 8-day composite (Jiang et al. 2008).

1.3.2 Species assemblage data

We used data on species' abundance within local-scale assemblages from the PREDICTS database (Hudson et al. 2017; downloaded on 3 February 2016, see Supplementary Fig. 1), which is the largest global database investigating anthropogenic impacts on terrestrial species assemblages to date. The PREDICTS database has collated local-scale species assemblage records from the published literature (henceforth "sources") comparing observations among at least two localities (henceforth "sites") with differing land use or related pressures. Sources in the PREDICTS database having multiple sampling methodologies and taxonomic groups were split accordingly into different "studies". Wherever sampling effort differed among sites within a study, we followed the approach of Newbold et al. (2014) and adjusted abundance values assuming that recorded abundance increase linearly with effort. Each study was assigned to one of six higher taxonomic groups based on the sampled species identity (Plants, Invertebrates, Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds and Mammals). We grouped plants and invertebrates into single individual groups as there were insufficient data to divide them into smaller groups (e.g., functional divisions such as flying vs ground-living insects). Studies of fungi were dropped from the analyses because of insufficient data. Of the 25224 sites with abundance data, we removed 6109 sites because their sampling durations spanned more than a year or because the start of biodiversity sampling differed by more than three months among sites within a study. This was done to avoid seasonal effects confounding any link between species assemblage composition and remote-sensing derived estimates. Furthermore, we removed 10248 sites from studies that sampled biodiversity before the 18th of February 2006 to ensure MODIS data availability for at least five years prior to biodiversity sampling. We chose to use a five-year period to allow sufficient MODIS coverage (since year 2000) for the majority of studies in the PREDICTS database (median biodiversity sampling start date = 2007-07-17). In total 8867 sites were suitable to be linked with MODIS remote-sensing data. The spatial extent of biodiversity sampling at PREDICTS sites typically differs from the resolution of MODIS data. We used the Maximum Linear Extent (MLE) information within the PREDICTS database, which summarises the spatial extent of sampling within a study in metres (Hudson et al. 2017). Sites from a few studies had large MLE (up to 40 km) and after visual exploration, we decided to keep only those sites that were within the 99 We excluded studies from our analyses where all study sites fell within a single MODIS grid cell, to suit our hierarchical statistical approach (see below). Some sites within a study could fall into the same MODIS grid cell, therefore for all further analyses we randomly selected one site per study per grid cell 100 times (See section on analysis – pairwise differences below for description of permutation procedure and Supplementary Fig. 3 for a schematic), resulting in 100 different subsets that we used for all further analyses. Our final dataset included data from 198 studies with 4053 sites per permutation and model covering all major continents and most taxonomic groups (Fig. 1a).

1.3.3 Species trait compilation

A species' size and trophic level are two of the most basic traits for understanding differences in species assemblage structure (Speakman 2005, Terborgh 2015). We classified studies into size and trophic bins based on a simple majority: small (>0-9 g animal body mass or >0-9 cm plant height), medium (10 – 99 g or 10-99 cm) or large species (>= 100 g or >= 100 cm), or predominantly herbivore, omnivore, carnivore or detritivore species, by estimating the dominant number of species (simple sum of measurement) within a study. Studies with species of predominantly unknown size or trophic level were removed from the analysis. We thus classified entire studies to the dominant bins as each study's methodology would likely constrain the average size of animals or plants that can be observed. Data on average adult body mass (in g) were collected for mammals (Jones et al. 2009) and birds (Myhrvold et al. 2015), while for plants we used height (in cm) data from the TRY database (Kattge et al. 2011). The estimates of species trophic levels originate from Kissling et al. (2014), Wilman et al. (2014) and other sources of the literature for invertebrates (mostly collected by L. Bentley). For species for which size or trophic level data were unavailable, we used the genus-wide average for size and the most common trophic level (at least 95

1.3.4 Analysis - Pairwise dissimilarity

We linked dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity of vegetation with compositional dissimilarity in species assemblages globally. Specifically, we examined the differential influence of "current" $(yr_0, asthe 365 days prior to species as semblage sampling) and past 1 (yr_i, the iyear sprior to the current year sprior to t$ 1,..,5) dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity between spatial pairs of sites (Fig 1b, Supplementary Fig 3b) and the site of the site $(2), yr_{(1:3)}, yr_{(1:4)}, yr_{(1:5)}).$ For example, if species as semblages ampling was conducted from the 1ster. Curtis(BC) index, frequently used in community ecology studies, a same trict oquantify dissimilarity inand intra-annual) vegetation dynamics measured through a proxy representing photosynthetic activity of the property of the1-5), which to our knowledge is the first time the BC index has been applied to assess dissimilarity betweensensed time series. The BC index is calculated between two pairs of sites with PREDICTS species as semble to the property of $series from sites x and y as follows: BC_x y = (((k = 1)^n |x_k - y_k|)/((k = 1)^n x_k + (k = 1)^n x_k)$ $(1)^n y_k)$ For species as semblages, x and y are the abundances of observed species (n = total number of speciesoccurring species were assumed to be absent and set to zero), while for the EVI time series x and y are observed as the contraction of the total contractions and the contraction of ttotal number of dates) in the time series at both sites. The BCEV I was calculated on either single or multiple and the site of the sites of the s1-5) of EV I time series (Figure 1b, Supplementary Fig. 3). Compared to other metric squantifying dissingular times of the series of the serseries based on remotely-sensed data (Lhermitte et al. 2011) the BCEV I index has the advantages of (a) takes the contraction of the property of the contraction ofcovertypes, and (b) using the same method for assessing dissimilarity between species as semblages and between the same method for assessing dissimilarity between species as semblages and between the same method for assessing dissimilarity between species as semblages and between the same method for assessing dissimilarity between species as semblages and between the same method for assessing dissimilarity between species as semblages and between the same method for assessing dissimilarity between species as semblages and between the same method for assessing dissimilarity between species as semblages and between the same method for assessing dissimilarity between the same method for assessing dissimilarity between the same method for assessing dissimilarity between the same method for a samsensing observations. In remote-sensing terms, for any vegetation index (such as EVI), the BCEV I index of the such as EVI) and the such as EVI) are the such as EVI) and the such as EVI) and the such as EVI) are the such as EVI) and the such as EVI) and the such as EVI) are the such as EVI) and the such as EVI) are the such as EVI) and the such as EVI) are the such as EVI) and the such as EVI) are the such as EVI). The such as EVI) are the such

1.3.5 Analysis - Statistical modelling

The aim of the statistical modelling is to estimate the influence of current and past BCEVI on the BCBiodiversity (Fig. 1b). For different time periods (o-5 years) we estimated this influence using separate models rather than an interaction term as current and past BCEVI were highly collinear (Random permutation pick: Pearson's r > 0.9, df = 4046, p < 0.001). A hierarchical modelling approach using generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) with Gaussian link function was used to fit models of current and past BCEVI independently for each time period, taxonomic group, size and trophic bins. GLMMs account for differing sampling methodologies among the PREDICTS studies, by including the "study" as a random intercept in all models. We also allowed the effect of current and past BCEVI to vary for each study by incorporating it as a random slope. From each model, we obtained the fixed effects (estimated slope) of the predicted BCBiodiversity per unit of current and past BCEVI. As we are primarily interested in the influence of past BCEVI (of different periods) on differences in BCBiodiversity, we incorporated the influence of current BCEVI by transforming the average past BCEVI effects (across all permutations) relative to current effects

8 1.4 Results

(Past/Current - 1). The resulting ratio describes whether the explicit influence of past BCEVI on BCBiodiversity is larger (> 0) or smaller (< 0) than the influence of current BCEVI (Figure 2). The precision estimates (predicted standard errors) of the effect of past BCEVI were also transformed relative to the precision estimates of current BCEVI ((Imprecision past)/(Imprecision current)). This helps to visually assess the added imprecision after accounting for the imprecision already present in current BCEVI. Estimating pairwise comparisons in any regression model would imply substantial pseudo-replication. To account for this, we took the subdiagonal of 100 permuted siteby-site matrices (Supplementary Fig. 3) to construct the GLMMs of 100 separate permutations. This ensures that for each fitted GLMM, our pairwise comparisons are mutually independent subsets (Longacre et al. 2005, Newbold et al. 2016). Fixed effects and standard errors for both current and past BCEVI were averaged across all permutations. Furthermore, for each model we calculated a marginal and conditional pseudo R-square (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2013) and significance estimate (Halekoh and Højsgaard 2014), and averaged them across all permutations. As for the fixed effects and precision estimates, the differences in explained marginal variance of past BCEVI were assessed relative to the explained marginal variance of current BCEVI. All analyses were performed in R (ver 3.2.2, R Core Team 2015) using lme4 (ver. 1.10, (Bolker et al. 2009, Bates et al. 2015) for modelling, and vegan (ver. 2.2.3, Oksanen et al. 2015) for the BC calculation of species assemblages data. The processed MODIS data and R-code for the analyses are available on GitHub (https://github.com/Martin-Jung/PastLandSurfaceConditions).

1.4 Results

The compositional dissimilarity of species assemblages (BCBiodiversity) increased with between-site dissimilarity in current and past photosynthetic activity (BCEVI; current: = 0.289, SE = 0.063, p < 0.001; past yr1:5: = 0.334, SE = 0.07, p < 0.001; Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 5). When the influence of past BCEVI was assessed relative to current BCEVI, the BCBiodiversity between sites was more pronounced – although the imprecision also increased - when longer time periods (of up to five years) of past BCEVI were considered (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the consideration of past BCEVI calculated up to five years prior to current BCEVI increased the relative explained marginal variance by 16.7 The influence of past BCEVI on species assemblages was found to vary among taxonomic groups and time periods considered (Fig. 4). Dissimilarity in plant, invertebrate, reptilian and bird assemblage composition increased with increasing BCEVI of the past two to five years. In contrast, the influence of past BCEVI on mammalian assemblages was greatest for the first two years relative to the influence of current BCEVI but decreased when longer periods

of three to five years of past BCEVI were considered. Meanwhile, amphibian assemblages were more influenced by current than past BCEVI between sites (Fig. 4). The influence of past BCEVI differed with respect to body size (Fig. 5). Species assemblages that were dominated by small- (> 0-9 g body mass) and medium-sized (10-99 g) mammals were more influenced by differences in BCEVI over the past one to three years, while the influence on assemblages dominated by larger (>= 100 g) mammals increased with longer time periods. Compared to assemblages dominated by medium-sized birds, assemblages of large bird species were up to five times more influenced by past relative to current BCEVI. For plant assemblages with available information on size, we found that assemblages dominated by medium-sized plants were more influenced by past BCEVI compared to those assemblages dominated by larger plant species (Fig. 5). Differences among trophic levels were also seen in the influence of past BCEVI on BCBiodiversity and increased with longer time periods considered (Fig. 6). Species assemblages dominated by omnivorous and herbivorous assemblages were more influenced by past BCEVI of even one year relative to the influence of current BCEVI, while detritivores assemblages were only more influenced by past BCEVI if periods of the past three years were considered (Fig. 6). In contrast, studies with predominantly carnivorous species were more influenced by current BCEVI and showed no overall trend with longer time periods of past BCEVI considered (Fig. 6).

1.5 Discussion

The main aim of this study was to investigate if between-site dissimilarity in current and past photosynthetic activity of vegetation (BCEVI) can predict compositional dissimilarity in sites' species assemblages (BCBiodiversity). In contrast to previous PREDICTS-based studies that used discrete measures of current land use and land-use intensity (Newbold et al. 2015, 2016), we used a continuous measure of between-site dissimilarity in remotely-sensed photosynthetic activity that summarises (inter- and intra-annual) vegetation dynamics in a single metric (the BCEVI). We explicitly differentiated between current (the full year prior to species assemblage sampling) and past BCEVI (periods of up to five years before current) that could have influenced compositional dissimilarity in species assemblages. Similar to previous studies using the same dataset to analyse compositional differences with respect to land use (Newbold et al. 2016), we found that sites with more different current BCEVI also had more different species assemblages (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 5). However, the BCEVI calculated over five years prior to biodiversity sampling had, on average, an even greater influence on between-site dissimilarity in species assemblage composition compared to current BCEVI (Fig. 3). This pattern was consistent across

most taxonomic (Fig. 4) and functional groups (Fig. 5 and 6). Here we discuss potential causes and implications of the observed patterns as well as factors that can affect the BCEVI.

1.5.1 Potential drivers of dissimilarities in photosynthetic activity

Dissimilarities in photosynthetic activity can be caused by many natural (Fensholt et al. 2012, Zhu et al. 2016) and/or anthropogenic factors (Lambin and Geist 2006, Turner et al. 2007). The latter were likely the dominant cause of current differences between sites in our analyses, given that the PREDICTS database includes only studies of mostly small geographic extent with a difference in current human land use or land-use intensity (Hudson et al. 2017), however climatic factors likely influence the BCEVI as well. Dissimilarity metrics of photosynthetic activity can be considered a coarse approximation of overall differences in land use and land cover as well as in climatic and other abiotic factors between sites (Linderman et al. 2005, Lupo et al. 2007, Lhermitte et al. 2011). Past studies have linked differences in vegetation dynamics with the use intensity of agriculture (Estel et al. 2015, Tong et al. 2017), land-cover change such as deforestation events (Lambin and Strahler 1994, DeVries et al. 2015), or land degradation and intensification (de Jong et al. 2011, Mueller et al. 2014). The BCEVI, similar to other metrics used to monitor remotelysensed vegetation dynamics (Linderman et al. 2005, Rowhani et al. 2008, Lhermitte et al. 2011), quantifies dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity across different types of land cover, by exploiting both distance between time series (the absolute difference in EVI data) and amount (area under the time series) of photosynthetic activity. Besides differences in land use and land cover, dissimilarity metrics such as the BCEVI will also be affected by climatic differences in precipitation and radiation (Fensholt et al. 2012, Zhu et al. 2016), soil properties (Ahmed et al. 2017) or plant species composition (He et al. 2009). The BCEVI thus quantifies dissimilarity in vegetation dynamics caused by both natural and anthropogenic factors affecting EVI time-series. However, some natural and anthropogenic factors cannot be directly quantified from remotely-sensed time series (Peres et al. 2006, Turner et al. 2007) and the BCEVI is limited to those aspects that affect photosynthetic activity of vegetation. Furthermore, because of the way the BCEVI is calculated, it can only represent overall dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity but cannot be used to infer directionality or timing of change (vegetation regrowth or loss, disturbances such as fires, etc.). By using entire periods (i.e. five full years, instead of the fifth year) it is not possible to disentangle overall dissimilarity and any 'change' in photosynthetic activity per se (cf. Linderman et al. (2005)). Calculating the BCEVI index on longer time periods did not affect the possible range of observed values (Supplementary Fig. 6), however it likely enhances our ability to capture aspects of past variability in vegetation dynamics caused by either natural and/or anthropogenic

drivers. We recommend that future studies evaluate the performance of the BCEVI relative to other time-series dissimilarity metrics.

1.5.2 Influences of current and past dissimilarities in photosynthetic activity on biodiversity

Our results suggest that species assemblage composition was consistently more dissimilar between sites with greater current dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity of vegetation (as quantified by the BCEVI) (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 5). This is in line with previous studies that have correlated some measurement of dissimilarity in current 'environmental heterogeneity' with compositional dissimilarity in species assemblage composition (Buckley and Jetz 2008, He et al. 2009, Newbold et al. 2016). However species assemblages might also be explicitly influenced by past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (Johnson and Miyanishi 2008, Watson et al. 2014, Ogle et al. 2015, Perring et al. 2016). Differences in past BCEVI were on average more correlated with dissimilarity in species assemblages than differences in current BCEVI (Fig. 2-3). This could indicate that past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity continues to have a lasting influence or memory effect on species assemblages (Ogle et al. 2015), especially as the effect generally increased as longer periods of past BCEVI were considered (Fig. 3), therefore increasing the likelihood that past changes in photosynthetic activity of vegetation have been captured. Longer periods of past BCEVI also increased the explained marginal variance (Supplementary Table 1), although most of the variance was already explained by differences in study identity (thus by sampling methods and local factors). The marginal variance explained was modest, but comparable to other broad-scale studies using the same species assemblage dataset (Newbold et al. 2014, De Palma et al. 2015, Jung et al. 2017). It is a limitation that we used data from a wide variety of sources (Hudson et al. 2017), which were typically not designed to study lag or memory effects of past changes in land-surface attributes such as photosynthetic activity. At many of the sites in our analyses inter-annual photosynthetic activity could have remained relatively stable during the past five years, which would reduce our ability to differentiate any effects of past BCEVI. Similarly, any dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity among pairs of sites could have been even greater before the monitoring period of MODIS (since year 2000), which we were unable to quantify using these data. Notably, species assemblages of some taxonomic groups were more dissimilar in composition than others if past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity was considered (Fig. 4). The influence of past BCEVI on reptilian species assemblages was large (35 Differences in functional traits can influence species responses to dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (Newbold et al. 2013, De Palma et al. 2015) and we expect that on average smaller

species would be more affected by recent dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (a few years before sampling). Our results confirm this assumption as species assemblages with predominantly small- or medium-sized plants, birds and mammals were relatively more influenced by past BCEVI over two to three years prior to sampling than by current BCEVI (Fig. 5). Smaller species tend to live shorter lives and disperse less far than larger species (Brown et al. 2004, Thomson et al. 2011, Stevens et al. 2014), which might make them more susceptible to dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity shortly before sampling (Watson et al. 2014). Similar to previous studies (Jakovac et al. 2016) we showed that smaller plant species were more influenced by past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity over up to five years prior to sampling as quantified by the BCEVI (Fig. 5). For assemblages dominated by larger plants we did not detect such an influence and it is likely that the considered period (five years) was too short to show measurable influences. Overall our results indicate that assemblages dominated by smaller species might have been more influenced by past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity, possibly because of carry-over or ecological memory effects (Harrison et al. 2011, Ogle et al. 2015). Other functional traits, such as generation time or dispersal capability (Watson et al. 2014), as well as better coverage of existing traits for underrepresented taxonomic groups could assist in further disentangling these influences especially given the large uncertainty across most influences (Fig. 5). The response of species assemblages to dissimilarities in past photosynthetic activity also differed between trophic bins. Except for carnivores, species assemblage composition of all trophic bins were on average more influenced by longer periods of past rather than by current dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity, as measured by BCEVI (Fig. 6). Yet we found noticeable lags in the observed influence of past BCEVI with varying time periods. Relative to the influence of current BCEVI, the influence of past BCEVI was larger for assemblages dominated by autotrophs, herbivores, omnivores and detritivores (Fig. 6). Notably, detritivores were more correlated with past BCEVI only if past periods of three to four years were considered. This supports previous studies which have shown that plant-dependant species are highly sensitive to variability in current and past photosynthetic activity as quantified by remote sensing (Pettorelli et al. 2006, Newton et al. 2014). In contrast, we found predominantly carnivorous assemblages to be less influenced by past BCEVI compared to current BCEVI regardless of the considered time period. Possibly, carnivore abundance was more influenced by contemporary prey density (Terborgh 2015) than past dissimilarity in photosynthetic activity (Fig. 6). Because of a lack of data for carnivores and herbivores co-occurring at the same site, we were unable to investigate such interactions.

1.6 Study implications and conclusions

Knowledge about past dissimilarities in land-surface attributes, such as photosynthetic activity, and their influence on species assemblages is important for both the design of ecological studies and interpretation of dissimilarities in current composition of species assemblages. We found that sites with more dissimilar past than current photosynthetic activity (as quantified by the BCEVI) were more strongly correlated with compositional dissimilarity in local species assemblages among spatial pairs of nearby sites. Ignoring such past influences can lead to biased biodiversity estimates by not accounting for extinction debts or immigration credits still to be paid (see Tilman et al. 1994) or lasting ecological memory and carry-over effects because of higher variability in past photosynthetic activity (Rowhani et al. 2008, Harrison et al. 2011, Ogle et al. 2015). We suggest that future broad scale studies investigating biodiversity responses to environmental changes should explicitly consider legacy effects that influence species assemblages in a study area and we demonstrate how remote sensing can help to quantify such effects globally. Our approach could be extended to incorporate differences in the vegetation dynamics of the surrounding landscape. There is some evidence that landscape-wide temporal differences in photosynthetic activity can affect species assemblage composition in the wider landscape (Manning et al. 2009, Fernández et al. 2016). In conclusion, we have demonstrated that compositional dissimilarity of species assemblages, of various taxonomic and functional groups, are not only influenced by dissimilarity in current photosynthetic activity, but also by dissimilarity in past photosynthetic activity over the last five years. Future studies should investigate the influence of disturbance events and directionality of changes in photosynthetic activity for more than five years before local biodiversity sampling.

1.7 Acknowledgements

We thank all PREDICTS data contributors for their biodiversity data, which was collated using support from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC, grant number: NE/Jo11193/2). PREDICTS is endorsed by the GEO BON. This study has benefited from publicly available data from the TRY initiative on plant traits (http://www.try-db.org). We acknowledge the University of Sussex, School of Life Sciences for a doctoral training grant for MJ and for providing computing facilities.

1.8 Data availability

Extracted MODIS data and pairwise biodiversity permutations are available on GitHub. A 2016 snapshot of the PREDICTS database has been openly released earlier (http://dx.doi.org/10.5519/0066354).