

## MA2101 AY17/18 SEM 1 SOLUTIONS

JOSHUA KIM KWAN AND THANG PANG ERN

**Question 1.** Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$  be a complex matrix of size  $2 \times 2$  and let

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{be an invertible matrix such that} \quad P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $y_i = y_i(x)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be differentiable functions in  $x$ . Solve the following system of differential equations:

$$Y' = \begin{pmatrix} y_1' \\ y_2' \end{pmatrix} = AY = A \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Hint.* You may assume the solution to the differential equation

$$z'(x) + p(x)y = q(x)$$

is given by

$$z = \frac{1}{\mu} \left( \int \mu q(x) dx + C \right) \quad \text{where} \quad \mu = e^{\int p(x) dx}.$$

Your solution should be of the form:  $y_i = y_i(x)$  is a function in  $x$  with coefficients involving the entries  $a, b, c, d$  of the matrix  $P$ .

*Solution.* Let  $PY = Z$ , where  $Z = (z_1, z_2)$  is a column vector. This implies that  $Y' = PZ'$ , and so it follows that

$$PZ' = AY = APZ.$$

Hence,  $Z' = P^{-1}APZ$  or equivalently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1' \\ z_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives us the following system of linear equations

$$z_1' = z_1 + 2z_2$$

$$z_2' = -z_2.$$

Solving the second equation yields  $z_2 = a_1 e^{-x}$  for some  $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $z_1' - z_1 = 2a_1 e^{-x}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= \frac{1}{e^{-x}} \left( \int e^{-x} (2a_1 e^{-x}) dx + a_2 \right) \\ &= e^x \left( 2a_1 \left( -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2x} \right) + a_2 \right) \\ &= -a_1 e^{-x} + a_2 e^x. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Z = PY$ , then  $Y = P^{-1}Z$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -a_1 e^{-x} + a_2 e^x \\ a_1 e^{-x} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{pmatrix} da_2 e^x - a_1 (b+d) e^{-x} \\ -ca_2 e^x + a_1 (a+c) e^{-x} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= da_2 e^x - a_1 (b+d) e^{-x} \\ y_2 &= -ca_2 e^x + a_1 (a+c) e^{-x} \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . □

**Question 2.** Consider the following real matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Find the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $A$ .
- (b) Find a basis  $B_i$  for each eigenspace  $V_{\lambda_i}(A)$  of  $A$ .
- (c) Find an invertible matrix  $P$  such that  $P^\top A P$  equals a diagonal matrix  $D$ ; determine this  $D$ . Here  $P^\top$  is the transpose of  $P$ .

*Solution.*

- (a) Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$ . The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 2 & 2 \\ 2 & \lambda - 5 \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 5) - 4 = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 6 = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 6).$$

This implies that 1, 6 are the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

(b) When  $\lambda = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $B_1 = \{(2, 1)\}$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $V_1(A)$ .

When  $\lambda = 6$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $B_6 = \{(1, -2)\}$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $V_6(A)$ .

(c) Normalising the bases  $B_1$  and  $B_6$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{B}_1 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ \widetilde{B}_6 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Let

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $P$  is invertible since  $\det(P) = -\frac{4}{5} - \frac{1}{5} = -1 \neq 0$ . Then

$$P^T A P = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix} = D$$

□

**Question 3.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over a field  $F$ , and let  $T : V \longrightarrow W$  be a surjective linear transformation. Let  $D$  be a linearly independent subset of  $W$ . For each  $\mathbf{d}$  in  $D$ , fix a vector  $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}}$  in  $V$  such that  $T(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}}) = \mathbf{d}$ , and denote

$$C = \{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}} : \mathbf{d} \in D\}.$$

- (a) Show that  $C$  is a linearly independent subset of  $V$ .
- (b) Show that if the  $C$  above is a basis of  $V$  then  $T$  is an isomorphism.

**Note.** You are not supposed to assume  $D$  is a finite set.

*Solution.*

- (a) Let  $C' \subseteq C$  be a non-empty finite subset of  $C$  and write  $C' = \{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_m}\}$  and suppose that

$$a_1 \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_1} + \dots + a_m \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_m} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Applying  $T$  to both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= T(\mathbf{0}) \\ &= T(a_1 \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_1} + \dots + a_m \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_m}) \\ &= a_1 T(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_1}) + \dots + a_m T(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_m}) \\ &= a_1 \mathbf{d}_1 + \dots + a_m \mathbf{d}_m \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$  since  $D$  is a linearly independent subset of  $W$ . Hence,  $C'$  is a linearly independent (finite) subset of  $C$ . Since  $C' \subseteq C$  is arbitrary, then  $C$  is a linearly independent subset of  $V$ .

- (b) Suppose that  $C$  is a basis of  $V$ . By assumption,  $T$  is surjective. It suffices to show that  $T$  is injective. Suppose that  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$  is such that

$$T(\mathbf{v}_1) = T(\mathbf{v}_2).$$

Since  $C$  is a basis for  $V$ , then there exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in F$  (where we allow some  $\alpha_k, \beta_l$  to be zero) and  $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_m} \in C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_i} \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_j}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{0} &= T\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_i}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \beta_i) T(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{d}_i}.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $C$  is a basis and in particular is linearly independent, then  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2$ . Hence,  $T$  is injective and so  $T$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Question 4.** Let  $V$  be a vector space of finite dimension  $n$  over a field  $F$ , and let  $B$  be a basis of  $V$ . Let

$$H = \text{Hom}_F(V, V) = \{f : V \longrightarrow V : f \text{ is a linear transformation}\}.$$

- (a) State the definition of an isomorphism between two vector spaces.
- (b) State the definitions of addition  $f + g$  and scalar multiplication  $\alpha f$  for linear transformations  $f, g$  in  $H$  and scalar  $\alpha$  in  $F$ , in the way that  $H$  becomes a vector space over  $F$ .  
(You are **not** required to verify that  $H$  satisfies the axioms to be a vector space).
- (c) Construct linear transformations

$$\varphi : H \longrightarrow M_n(F),$$

$$\psi : M_n(F) \longrightarrow H$$

such that they are isomorphisms and inverse to each other. **Justify** your answers.

- (d) What is  $\dim_F H$ ? (You are **not** required to justify your answer).

*Solution.*

- (a) Let  $V_1, V_2$  be vector spaces over the same field  $F$ . A map  $\varphi : V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$  is called a linear transformation from (or between)  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  if  $\varphi$  is compatible with the vector addition and scalar multiplication on  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  in the sense below:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1) + \varphi(\mathbf{v}_2)$$

$$\varphi(a\mathbf{v}_1) = a\varphi(\mathbf{v}_1)$$

for all  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$  and  $a \in F$ . A linear transformation  $\varphi : V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$  between vector spaces  $V_1, V_2$  over the same field  $F$  is an isomorphism if  $\varphi$  is a bijection.

(b) For any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and  $\alpha \in F$ , define

$$\begin{aligned}(f + g)(\mathbf{v}) &= f(\mathbf{v}) + g(\mathbf{v}) \\ \alpha f(\mathbf{v}) &= f(\alpha \mathbf{v})\end{aligned}$$

(c) Define

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : H &\longrightarrow M_n(F) \\ f &\mapsto [f]_{B,B}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi : M_n(F) &\longrightarrow H \\ A &\mapsto f_A\end{aligned}$$

where  $f_A$  is the linear transformation from  $V$  to  $V$  such that  $[f_A(\mathbf{u}_i)]_B$  is the  $i$ -th column of  $A$ .

We first show that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are linear transformations. Let  $B = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ ,  $f, g \in H$ , and  $k \in F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(f + g) &= [f + g]_{B,B} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [f(\mathbf{u}_1) + g(\mathbf{u}_1)]_B & \cdots & [f(\mathbf{u}_n) + g(\mathbf{u}_n)]_B \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [f(\mathbf{u}_1)]_B + [g(\mathbf{u}_1)]_B & \cdots & [f(\mathbf{u}_n)]_B + [g(\mathbf{u}_n)]_B \end{pmatrix} \\ &= [f]_B + [g]_B \\ &= \varphi(f) + \varphi(g) \\ \varphi(kf) &= [kf]_B \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [kf(\mathbf{u}_1)]_B & \cdots & [kf(\mathbf{u}_n)]_B \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} k[f(\mathbf{u}_1)]_B & \cdots & k[f(\mathbf{u}_n)]_B \end{pmatrix} \\ &= k[f]_B \\ &= k\varphi(f)\end{aligned}$$

so that  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation. Next, for any  $\mathbf{u}_j \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(A+B)(\mathbf{u}_j) &= f_{A+B}(\mathbf{u}_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) \mathbf{u}_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij} \mathbf{u}_i \\
 &= f_A(\mathbf{u}_j) + f_B(\mathbf{u}_j) \\
 &= \psi(A)(\mathbf{u}_j) + \psi(B)(\mathbf{u}_j) \\
 \psi(kA)(\mathbf{u}_j) &= f_{kA}(\mathbf{u}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n k a_{ij} \mathbf{u}_i = k \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \mathbf{u}_i = k f_A(\mathbf{u}_j) = k \psi(A)(\mathbf{u}_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\psi$  is a linear transformation.

Now, we show that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are inverses of each other. Let  $f \in H$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_i \in B$  and  $\mathbf{e}_j \in F^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi \circ \varphi(f)(\mathbf{u}_i) &= \psi([f]_B)(\mathbf{u}_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_n \end{pmatrix} [f(\mathbf{u}_i)]_B = f(\mathbf{u}_i) \\
 \varphi \circ \psi(A)(\mathbf{e}_j) &= \varphi(f_A)(\mathbf{e}_j) = [f_A]_B(\mathbf{e}_j) = A\mathbf{e}_j
 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{M_n(F)}$  and  $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_F(V,V)}$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are isomorphisms and inverse to each other.

(d) Since  $H \cong M_n(F)$  and  $\dim_F M_n(F) = n^2$ , then  $\dim_F H = n^2$ .  $\square$

**Question 5.** Let  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$  be a complex inner product space of dimension  $n$ , and let  $T : V \longrightarrow V$  be a linear transformation.

(a) State the definition, i.e., the characteristic property, of the adjoint linear operator  $T^* : V \longrightarrow V$  of  $T$ .

(b) State the definition of an orthonormal basis of  $V$ .

In (c) and (d) below,  $B = (\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ . Assume  $T(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n) = (\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)A$  for a complex matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . For  $\mathbf{w} \in V$ , denote by  $[\mathbf{w}]_B = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^\top$  the coordinate vector of  $\mathbf{w}$  relative to the basis  $B$ , and  $\overline{[\mathbf{w}]_B} = (\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n})^\top$  its conjugate.

(c) Is it true that  $\langle \mathbf{u}, T^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = ([\mathbf{v}]_B)^\top A^\top \overline{[\mathbf{u}]_B}$  for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$ ? If it is true prove it; if it is false, provide a **concrete** counterexample.

(d) Is it true that  $\langle \mathbf{u}, T^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = ([\mathbf{u}]_B)^\top A^\top \overline{[\mathbf{v}]_B}$  for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$ ? If it is true prove it; if it is false, provide a **concrete** counterexample.

**Note.** You are not supposed to assume  $V$  is the column space or  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$  is the standard inner product column space.

*Solution.*

- (a) Let  $T : V \longrightarrow V$  be a linear operator on an  $n$ -dimensional inner product space  $V$  over a field  $F$  ( $F = \mathbb{R}$ , or  $F = \mathbb{C}$ ). A linear operator  $T^* : V \longrightarrow V$  such that

$$\langle T(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, T^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$$

for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$  is called an adjoint linear operator of  $T$ .

- (b) Let  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$  be a real or complex inner product space. A basis  $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is called an orthonormal basis of the inner product space  $V$  (relative to the inner product  $\langle, \rangle$ ) if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(a) **Orthogonality:** for all  $i \neq j$ , we have:  $\mathbf{v}_i \perp \mathbf{v}_j$ , i.e.,  $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ .

(b) **Normalized:** for all  $i$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{v}_i\| = 1$ , i.e.,  $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle = 1$ . Namely,  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is a **unit** vector.

- (c) False. Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^2$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 3i & 4 \end{pmatrix}^\top$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -5i \end{pmatrix}^\top$ , and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 13 \\ -7i & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let  $\langle, \rangle$  be the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and  $T = L_A$  be the left-multiplication by  $A$ . Let  $B$  be the standard orthonormal basis on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, T^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 3i \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 7i \\ 13 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ -5i \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 3i \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 112 \\ 91 - 15i \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = 364 - 276i.$$

On the other hand,

$$([\mathbf{v}]_B)^\top A^\top \overline{[\mathbf{u}]_B} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -5i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 11 & -7i \\ 13 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3i \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = -195 - 487i.$$

Hence,  $\langle \mathbf{u}, T^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle \neq ([\mathbf{v}]_B)^\top A^\top \overline{[\mathbf{u}]_B}$



- (d) True. Let  $\mathbf{u} = a_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + a_n \mathbf{w}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = b_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + b_n \mathbf{w}_n$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathbf{u}, T^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{w}_i, T^* \left( \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \mathbf{w}_j \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{w}_i, \sum_{j=1}^n b_j T^*(\mathbf{w}_j) \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{w}_i, \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{a_{jk}} \mathbf{w}_k \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i \overline{b_j} \left\langle \mathbf{w}_i, \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{a_{jk}} \mathbf{w}_k \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i \overline{b_j} \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \overline{a_{ji}} \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i \overline{a_{ji}} \overline{b_j} \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \\
 &= ([\mathbf{u}]_B)^\top A^\top [\mathbf{v}]_B
 \end{aligned}$$

□

### Question 6.

- (a) State the definition of the conjugate  $\overline{\lambda}$  for the complex number  $\lambda = a + bi$ , with  $a, b$  real numbers.

- (b) State the definition of a unitary matrix.

In (c) - (d) below, assume  $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a unitary matrix, and  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^\top$  is a non-zero column vector such that  $UY = \lambda Y$  for some complex number  $\lambda$ . Let  $U^*$  be the adjoint linear operator of  $U$  and  $\overline{Y} = (\overline{y_1}, \dots, \overline{y_n})^\top$  the conjugate of  $Y$ .

- (c) Is it true that  $U^*Y = \overline{\lambda}Y$ ? If it is true prove it; if it is false, provide a **concrete** counterexample.
- (d) Is it true that  $U^*\overline{Y} = \overline{\lambda}\overline{Y}$ ? If it is true prove it; if it is false, provide a **concrete** counterexample.

*Solution.*

- (a) Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and write  $\lambda = a + bi$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\overline{\lambda} = a - bi$ .
- (b) A complex matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is unitary if  $AA^* = I_n$ , or equivalently,  $A^*A = I_n$ , where  $A^* = (\overline{A})^\top$  is the adjoint of the matrix  $A$ .
- (c) True. Since  $UY = \lambda Y$ , then

$$Y^*Y = Y^*U^*UY = \lambda \overline{\lambda} Y^*Y = |\lambda|^2 Y^*Y$$

so that  $|\lambda|^2 = 1$ . This implies that  $1/\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ . Now,  $UY = \lambda Y$  implies that  $U^*UY = \lambda U^*Y$ , so that  $(1/\lambda)Y = U^*Y$ . Since  $1/\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ , then  $U^*Y = \bar{\lambda}Y$ .

(d) False. Let

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, we see that

$$UY = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda Y$$

where  $\lambda = (1/\sqrt{2}) + (i/\sqrt{2})$ . But

$$U^*\bar{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{Y}.$$

□

**Question 7.** Let  $\mathbf{A} \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$  be a complex matrix of size  $3 \times 3$  such that  $\mathbf{A}^3 = \mathbf{A}$ .

- (a) Is  $\mathbf{A}$  diagonalizable? **Justify** your answer.
- (b) Find **all** possible Jordan canonical forms  $\mathbf{J}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Justify your answers.

*Solution.*

- (a) Yes (in fact this holds for any field  $F$ ). To see why, recall that a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable if and only if its minimal polynomial  $m_{\mathbf{A}}(x)$  splits over  $\mathbb{C}$  and has no repeated roots.

Note that the characteristic polynomial is  $c_{\mathbf{A}}(x) = x^3 - x$ . Since  $m_{\mathbf{A}}(x) \mid c_{\mathbf{A}}(x)$ , it forces  $m_{\mathbf{A}}(x)$  to not have any repeated roots. Also,  $m_{\mathbf{A}}(x)$  splits completely over  $\mathbb{C}$ , making  $\mathbf{A}$  diagonalisable.

- (b) Setting  $c_{\mathbf{A}}(x) = 0$ , we have  $x = 0$  or  $1$  or  $-1$ . We shall consider
  - **Case 1 (3 distinct Jordan blocks):** This means  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalisable, so

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **Case 2 (1 Jordan block of size 2):** This implies that the other Jordan block is of size 1 and it contains one of the other two eigenvalues that does not appear in the Jordan block of size 2. Hence, we have either of the following:

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

□

**Question 8.** Let  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$  be a complex inner product space of dimension  $n$ . Let  $T : V \longrightarrow V$  be a linear transformation and  $T^* : V \longrightarrow V$  the adjoint linear operator of  $T$ .

- (a) State the definition of a normal operator.
  - (b) Prove that if  $T$  is a normal operator then there is an orthonormal basis  $B$  such that the representation matrix  $[T]_B$  relative to  $B$  is a diagonal matrix. Namely, prove one direction of the Principal Axis Theorem 10.31 (3) (or equivalently 10.31 (4)).
- Note.** If you use results in lecture notes or question sheets of tutorial assignments, state them clearly.

*Solution.*

- (a) Let  $T : V \longrightarrow V$  be a linear operator on an  $n$ -dimensional inner product space which is over a field  $F$ , and with an orthonormal basis  $B$ . Let  $T^*$  be the adjoint of  $T$  as in (5a). A linear operator  $T$  over a complex inner product space is normal if  $TT^* = T^*T$ .
- (b) Suppose that  $T$  is normal. By the fundamental theorem of algebra, the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits in  $\mathbb{C}$ . By Schur's theorem, there exists an orthonormal basis  $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $V$  such that  $[T]_B$  is upper triangular.

Since  $[T]_B$  is upper triangular, then  $v_1$  is an eigenvector of  $T$ . Suppose that  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$  are eigenvectors of  $T$ . We will show that  $v_k$  is an eigenvector of  $T$ . It follows from induction on  $k$  that all the  $v_i$ 's are eigenvectors of  $T$ . By assumption, since for any  $j < k$ ,  $v_j$  is an eigenvector of  $T$ , then  $T(v_j) = \lambda_j v_j$ , where  $\lambda_j$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$  corresponding to the eigenvector  $v_j$ . Since  $[T]_B$  is upper triangular, then we may write

$$T(v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle T(v_k), v_i \rangle v_i.$$

But for any  $i \neq k$ ,

$$\langle T(v_k), v_i \rangle = \langle v_k, T^*(v_i) \rangle = \langle v_k, \overline{\lambda_i} v_i \rangle = \lambda_i \langle v_k, v_i \rangle = 0$$

so that

$$T(v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle T(v_k), v_i \rangle v_i = \langle T(v_k), v_k \rangle v_k = \lambda_k v_k$$

where  $\lambda_k = \langle T(v_k), v_k \rangle$ . This implies that  $v_k$  is an eigenvector of  $T$ , with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$ . By induction, all the  $v_i \in B$  are eigenvalues of  $T$ , and so  $[T]_B$  is indeed diagonal.  $\square$