# MA3209 MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS III FINAL EXAM (2016/2017 SEMESTER 1)

#### JOSHUA KIM KWAN AND THANG PANG ERN

### Question 1 (18 points).

(a) Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces. Define  $d: (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$d((x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)) = \max \{d_X(x_1,x_2),d_Y(y_1,y_2)\}, x_1,x_2 \in X, y_1,y_2 \in Y.$$

Show that d is a metric on  $X \times Y$ .

(b) Let C[0,1] be the metric space of all continuous real-valued functions on [0,1], equipped with the uniform metric  $d_{\infty}$ . Let  $g \in C[0,1]$  and

$$S = \{ f \in C[0,1] : f(x) < g(x) \text{ for all } x \in [0,1] \}.$$

Is the set S open in C[0, 1]? Justify your answer.

Solution.

- (a) We verify the properties of a metric space:
  - For any  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X \times Y$ , since  $d_X, d_Y$  are metrics, then  $d_X(x_1, x_2) \ge 0$  and  $d_Y(y_1, y_2) \ge 0$ , so that  $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max \{d_X(x_1, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_2)\} \ge 0.$
  - Suppose that  $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = 0$ . Then we must have  $d_X(x_1, x_2) = 0 = d_Y(y_1, y_2)$ , which implies that  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 = y_2$ . Hence,  $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$ . On the other hand, if  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 = y_2$ , then  $d_X(x_1, x_2) = 0 = d_Y(y_1, y_2)$ , so that

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max \{d_X(x_1, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_2)\} = 0.$$

• Since  $d_X$ ,  $d_Y$  are metrics on X and Y respectively, then  $d_X(x_1, x_2) = d_X(x_2, x_1)$  and  $d_Y(y_1, y_2) = d_Y(y_2, y_1)$ , so that

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max \{d_X(x_1, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_2)\} = \max \{d_X(x_2, x_1), d_Y(y_2, y_1)\} = d((x_2, y_2), (x_1, y_1)).$$

• For any  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in X \times Y$ , since

$$d_X(x_1, x_2) \le d_X(x_1, x_3) + d_X(x_3, x_2) \le \max \{d_X(x_1, x_3) + d_X(x_3, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_3) + d_Y(y_3, y_2)\}$$

$$d_Y(y_1, y_2) \le d_Y(y_1, y_3) + d_Y(y_3, y_2) \le \max \{d_X(x_1, x_3) + d_X(x_3, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_3) + d_Y(y_3, y_2)\}$$

then

$$\max \{d_X(x_1, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_2)\} \le \max \{d_X(x_1, x_3) + d_X(x_3, x_2), d_Y(y_1, y_3) + d_Y(y_3, y_2)\}$$

$$\le \max \{d_X(x_1, x_3), d_Y(y_1, y_3)\} + \max \{d_X(x_3, x_2), d_Y(y_3, y_2)\}$$

where we used that  $\max\{a+b,c+d\} \le \max\{a,c\} + \max\{b,d\}$ , since  $a+b \le \max\{a,c\} + \max\{b,d\}$  and  $c+d \le \max\{a,c\} + \max\{b,d\}$ . Hence,

$$d((x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)) \le d((x_1,y_1),(x_3,y_3)) + d((x_3,y_3),(x_2,y_2)).$$

**(b)** Yes. Let  $f \in S$ . Let

$$r_f = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - g(x)| = \frac{1}{2} \min_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - g(x)| > 0.$$

Take any function h in the open ball centred at f of radius  $r_f$ , denoted by  $B(f, r_f)$ . This means that for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $|h(x) - f(x)| < r_f$ . So,  $h(x) < f(x) + r_f$ . By definition, for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$r_f < \frac{g(x) - f(x)}{2}$$
 so  $h(x) < f(x) + \frac{g(x) - f(x)}{2} = \frac{f(x) + g(x)}{2}$ .

Since  $\frac{1}{2}(f(x) + g(x)) < f(x)$ , then h(x) < g(x), so  $h \in S$ . Hence, S is open in C[0,1].

Question 2 (20 points). Let (X,d) be a metric space.

(a) For  $x \in X$  and r > 0, denote by B[x, r] the closed ball  $\{y \in X : d(y, x) \le r\}$  in X. Suppose that whenever  $\{B[x_n, r_n]\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of closed balls in X satisfying the conditions

$$B[x_n, r_n] \supseteq B[x_{n+1}, r_{n+1}], n = 1, 2, ..., \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = 0,$$

then the intersection  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B[x_n, r_n]$  is non-empty. Prove that (X, d) is complete.

- (b) (i) Let  $x \in X$ . Prove that the singleton set  $\{x\}$  is nowhere dense in X if and only if x is an accumulation point of X.
  - (ii) Suppose that (X,d) is complete and every x in X is an accumulation point of X. Prove that X is uncountable.

Solution.

- (a) Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Cauchy sequence in (X,d). So, there exists  $N_1$  such that for all  $n,m \ge N_1$ ,  $d(x_n,x_m) < 2^{-3}$ . In particular,  $d(x_{N_1},x_m) < 2^{-3}$ . By applying the definition of a Cauchy sequence again, there exists  $N_2 \ge N_1$  such that for all  $n,m \ge N_2$ ,  $d(x_n,x_m) < 2^{-4}$ . In particular,  $d(x_{N_2},x_m) < 2^{-4}$ . Going in this manner, we can define  $r_k = 2^{1-k}$ . As such, we obtain the following:
  - an increasing sequence of natural numbers  $N_1 \le N_2 \le N_3 \le \dots$ ,
  - a subequence  $\{x_{N_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$
  - a sequence of closed balls  $\{B[x_{N_k}, r_k]\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ .

We first show that  $B[x_{N_k}, r_k] \supseteq B[x_{N_{k+1}}, r_{k+1}]$ . Let  $z \in B[x_{N_{k+1}}, r_{k+1}]$ . Then

$$d(z,x_{N_k}) \leq d(z,x_{N_{k+1}}) + d(x_{N_{k+1}},x_{N_k})$$
 by the triangle inequality  

$$\leq r_{k+1} + 2^{-k-2}$$

$$= 2^{-k} + 2^{-2-k}$$

$$= \frac{5}{2^{k+2}}$$

$$< \frac{2}{2^k}$$

$$= r_k$$

which implies that  $z \in B[x_{N_k}, r_k]$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By assumption,

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B[x_{N_k}, r_k] \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B[x_{N_k}, r_k]$ , so that  $d(x, x_{N_k}) \le r_k = 2^{1-k}$  for each k. We will show that  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^{1-K} < \varepsilon/2$ . Then for any  $n \ge N_K$ ,

$$d(x_n, x) \le d(x_n, x_{N_K}) + d(x_{N_K}, x) \le r_K + r_K = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

and so  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus, (X, d) is complete.

(b) (i) For the forward direction, suppose that x is not an accumulation point of X. Then there exists r > 0 such that  $B(x,r) \cap X \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $B(x,r) \subseteq \{x\}$ , and so  $B(x,r) = \{x\}$ . This implies that  $B(x,r) \subseteq \{x\} \subseteq \overline{\{x\}}$ , so that x is an interior point of  $\overline{\{x\}}$ , contradicting that int  $\left(\overline{\{x\}}\right) = \emptyset$ . Thus, if  $\{x\}$  is nowhere dense in X, then x is an accumulation point of X.

For the reverse direction, suppose that x is an accumulation point of X. Let  $G \subseteq X$  be an open set. If  $x \notin G$ , then for any open ball  $B \subseteq G$ , we have  $B \cap \{x\} = \emptyset$ . If  $x \in G$ , since G is an open set, then there exists  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $B(x, r_1) \subseteq G$ . Since x is an accumulation point, then  $B(x, r_1) \cap (X \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y \in B(x, r_1) \cap (X \setminus \{x\})$ , so that  $y \neq x$  and d(x, y) > 0. Since  $y \in B(x, r_1)$ , there exists  $r_2 > 0$  such that  $B(y, r_2) \subseteq B(x, r_1)$ . Let  $r_3 = d(x, y)/4 > 0$  and  $r_4 = \min\{r_2, r_3\} > 0$ . We will show that  $B(y, r_4) \subseteq G$  and  $x \notin B(y, r_4)$ . From the definition of  $r_1, r_2, r_4$ , we have

$$B(y, r_4) \subseteq B(y, r_2) \subseteq B(y, r_1) \subseteq G$$
.

If  $x \in B(y, r_4)$ , then

$$d(x,y) < r_4 \le r_3 = \frac{d(x,y)}{4} < d(x,y)$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $x \notin B(y, r_4)$ . Hence,  $B(y, r_4) \subseteq G$  such that  $B(y, r_4) \cap \{x\} = \emptyset$  and so  $\{x\}$  is nowhere dense in X.

(ii) Suppose on the contrary that X is countable. Then, we can enumerate the elments of X, i.e.  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $U_n = X \setminus \{x_n\}$ . Since every point in X is an accumulation point, then each  $x_n$  is not isolated, i.e. in every open neighbourhood around  $x_n$ , there is some other point from X. In other words, removing one point  $x_n$  does not *affect* the density of the remaining set. Hence, each  $U_n$  is dense in X.

Recall the Baire category theorem, which states that in a complete metric space, the countable intersection of dense open sets is also dense. Since (X,d) is complete, then by the Baire category theorem,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  is dense in (X,d). But this means  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction since  $x_i \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  for all i. Therefore, X is uncountable.

# **Question 3 (20 points).** Let (X,d) be a metric space.

(a) Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in X converging to some  $x \in X$ . Is the set

$$\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$$

compact? Justify your answer.

**(b)** Let (X,d) be compact, and let  $T: X \longrightarrow X$  be an isometry:

$$d(T(x), T(y)) = d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Determine if T is surjective. Justify your answer.

Solution.

- (a) Yes, the set  $S = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$  is compact. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an open cover for S. Since  $x \in S$ , there exists an open set  $U \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $x \in U$ . Let r > 0 be such that  $B(x,r) \subseteq U$ . Since  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ , for a given  $\varepsilon = r > 0$ , there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $x_n \in B(x,r)$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is an open cover for S, then there exist open sets  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_{M-1}$  such that  $x_i \in U_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, M-1$ . Then  $\{U_1, \ldots, U_{M-1}, U\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  is a finite subcover for S. Hence, S is compact.
- (b) Yes, T is surjective. Suppose on the contrary that T is not surjective. Then there exists  $a \in X$  such that  $T(x) \neq a$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since (X,d) is compact, then (X,d) is sequentially compact. Consider a sequence  $\{T^n(a)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Then there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{T^{n_k}(a)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ , which converges to some  $b \in X$ . Since  $\{T^{n_k}(a)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is convergent, then  $\{T^{n_k}(a)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $K_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k, \ell \geq K_1$ ,  $d(T^{n_k}(a), T^{n_\ell}(a)) < \varepsilon/2$ . Next, since  $T^{n_k}(a) \to b$  as  $k \to \infty$ , then there exists  $K_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k \geq K_2$ ,  $d(T^{n_k}(a), b) < \varepsilon/2$ . Now, choose  $K = \max\{K_1, K_2\}$ . Then for all  $k, \ell \geq K$ , we have

$$\begin{split} d\left(a,T(b)\right) &= d\left(T^{n_k}(a),T^{n_k+1}(b)\right) \quad \text{since } T \text{ is an isometry} \\ &\leq d\left(T^{n_k}(a),T^{n_k+n_\ell+1}(a)\right) + d\left(T^{n_k+n_\ell+1}(a),T^{n_k+1}(b)\right) \\ &= d\left(T^{n_k}(a),T^{n_k+n_\ell+1}(a)\right) + d\left(T^{n_\ell}(a),b\right) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, then d(a, T(b)) = 0, contradicting that  $T(x) \neq a$  for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore, T is surjective.

# **Question 4 (12 points).** Let (X,d) be a metric space. Prove that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is connected.
- (2) Every continuous function  $f: X \longrightarrow \{1,2\}$  is a constant function, where  $\{1,2\}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  with the usual metric.

Solution. We first prove (1) implies (2). Suppose that X is connected but  $f: X \longrightarrow \{1,2\}$  is continuous but non-constant. Since  $\{1,2\}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb R$  with the usual metric, then  $\{1\}$  and  $\{2\}$  are open sets in  $\mathbb R$  under the usual metric since  $\{1\} = B(1,1/2)$  and  $\{2\} = B(2,1/2)$ . Let  $G = f^{-1}(\{1\})$  and  $H = f^{-1}(\{2\})$ . Since f is continuous, then G and H are open in (X,d). Moreover,  $G \cap H = \emptyset$  since f is a function. For any  $x \in X$ , f(x) = 1 or f(x) = 2, this implies that  $x \in G$  or  $x \in H$ , and so  $X = G \cup H$ . The existence of such a partition (G,H) contradicts that X is connected.

We then prove (2) implies (1) using contradiction. Suppose X is disconnected, then there exist non-empty open sets  $G, H \subseteq X$  such that  $G \cap H = \emptyset$  and  $X = G \cup H$ . Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in G \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in H \end{cases}$$

which is continuous but non-constant on X. To justify continuity, note that G and H are open in X so the pre-images of the open sets  $\{1\}$  and  $\{2\}$  are open in  $\{1,2\}$ . f being non-constant is clear as it takes on two values — 1 and 2. This is a contradiction. So, X must be connected.

## Question 5 (14 points).

(a) Let  $f:[a,\infty) \longrightarrow [a,\infty)$  be a differentiable function such that

$$\sup \{ |f'(x)| : a < x < \infty \} < 1.$$

Prove that f has a unique fixed point in  $[a, \infty)$ .

- **(b)** (i) Show that the function  $g(x) = (1 + \sqrt{x})^{1/3}$  is a contraction mapping on the interval  $[1, \infty)$ .
  - (ii) Deduce that the equation  $x^6 2x^3 x + 1 = 0$  has a root in  $[1, \infty)$ .

Solution.

(a) Note that for any  $x \in (a, \infty)$ ,

$$f'(x) = \lim_{y \to x} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} < 1 \quad \text{since sup} \left| f'(x) \right| < 1,$$

so there exists  $M \in (0,1)$  such that  $|f'(x)| \le M < 1$ . In particular, since f is differentiable, for any  $x,y \in [a,\infty)$  with  $x \ne y$ , by the mean value theorem, there exists  $c \in (x,y)$  such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} = M < 1$$

so that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le M|x - y|$ . This shows that f is a contraction mapping in  $([a, \infty)$ , usual metric). Moreover,  $([a, \infty)$ , usual metric) is complete. By Banach's fixed point theorem, f has a fixed point in  $[a, \infty)$ .

(b) (i) We have

$$|g(x) - g(y)| = \left| (1 + \sqrt{x})^{1/3} - (1 + \sqrt{y})^{1/3} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{(1 + \sqrt{x}) - (1 + \sqrt{y})}{(1 + \sqrt{x})^{2/3} + (1 + \sqrt{x})^{1/3} (1 + \sqrt{y})^{1/3} + (1 + \sqrt{y})^{2/3}} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}}{1 + 1 + 1} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left| \sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left| \frac{x - y}{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{6} |x - y|$$

so that g is a contraction mapping on the interval  $[1, \infty)$ .

(ii) Let  $g(x) = (1 + \sqrt{x})^{1/3}$ . By (bi), since g is a contraction mapping on  $([1, \infty)$ , usual metric), and  $[1, \infty)$  is complete, then g has a unique fixed point in  $[1, \infty)$ . This implies that there exists  $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$  such that

 $g(\alpha) = \alpha$ , or equivalently,  $1 + \sqrt{\alpha} = \alpha^3$ . This is equivalent to

$$\alpha^6 - 2\alpha^3 - \alpha + 1 = 0.$$

Hence, the equation  $x^6 - 2x^3 - x + 1 = 0$  has a root  $\alpha$  in  $[1, \infty)$ .

### Question 6 (16 points).

(a) Using the definition of differentiability, show that the function  $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by

$$F(x,y,z) = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2, xy + yz)$$

is differentiable at the point (1,0,2). Find the Jacobian matrix F'(1,0,2).

(b) Using implicit function theorem, show that the system of equations

$$x^{2}-y^{2}-z^{2}-2=0$$
$$x-y+z-2=0$$

and the condition (x, y, z) = (2, 1, 1) determine a continuously differentiable function g(x) = (y, z) near the point x = 2. Find g'(2).

Solution.

(a) First, note that

$$F'(x,y,z) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & 2y & 2z \\ y & x+z & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{(h_1,h_2,h_3)\to(0,0,0)} \frac{\|F\left(1+h_1,h_2,2+h_3\right)-F\left(1,0,2\right)-Ah\|}{\|h\|} \\ &= \lim_{(h_1,h_2,h_3)\to(0,0,0)} \frac{\left\| \begin{pmatrix} (1+h_1)^2+h_2^2+(2+h_3)^2\\ (1+h_1)h_2+h_2(2+h_3) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 5\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2h_1+4h_3\\ 3h_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|}{\left\| \begin{pmatrix} h_1\\ h_2\\ h_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|} \\ &= \lim_{(h_1,h_2,h_3)\to(0,0,0)} \frac{\left\| \begin{pmatrix} h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2+2h_1+4h_3-2h_1-4h_3\\ 3h_2+h_1h_2+h_2h_3-3h_2 \end{pmatrix}}{\sqrt{h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2}} \end{split}$$

which simplifies to

$$\begin{split} &=\lim_{(h_1,h_2,h_3)\to(0,0,0)}\frac{\left\|\binom{h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2}{h_1h_2+h_2h_3}\right\|}{\sqrt{h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2}}\\ &\leq \lim_{(h_1,h_2,h_3)\to(0,0,0)}\frac{\left|h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2\right|+|h_2|\,|h_1+h_3|}{\sqrt{h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2}}\\ &\leq \lim_{(h_1,h_2,h_3)\to(0,0,0)}\frac{\left|h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2\right|+|h_2|\,|h_1|+|h_2|\,|h_3|}{\sqrt{h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2}}\\ &\leq \lim_{(h_1,h_2,h_3)\to(0,0,0)}\frac{\left|h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2\right|+|h_2|\,|h_1|+|h_2|\,|h_3|}{\sqrt{h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2}}\\ &\leq \lim_{(h_1,h_2,h_3)\to(0,0,0)}\sqrt{h_1^2+h_2^2+h_3^2}+|h_1|+|h_3| \end{split}$$

which is bounded above 0. Hence, F is differentiable at (1,0,2). The Jacobian matrix is given by

$$F'(1,0,2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**(b)** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be given by

$$f(x,y,z) = (x^2 - y^2 - z^2 - 2, x - y + z - 2) = (f_1, f_2).$$

Then

$$f'(x,y,z) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & -2y & 2z \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$f'(2,1,1) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible. Note that f is continuously differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  since each component of f is a polynomial in x,y,z. Now f(2,1,1)=(0,0). By the implicit function theorem, there exists an open set  $W\subseteq\mathbb{R}$  with  $2\in W$  and a continuously differentiable function  $g:W\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^2$  such that g(2)=(1,1) and f(x,g(x))=(0,0) for all  $x\in W$ . Furthermore,

$$g'(2) = -f_{(y,z)}(2,1,1)^{-1}f_x(2,1,1) = -\begin{pmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$