Politecnico di Milano

Software Engineering II project

SafeStreets

Requirements Analysis and Specifications Document

Authors:
Matteo Pacciani
Francesco Piro

Professor:
Matteo Giovanni Rossi

December 9, 2019

version 2.0

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

This document completely describes the system in terms of functional and non-functional requirements and is used as a contractual basis between the customer and the developer. The structure follows the template studied during lessons and is integrated with specific documents relative in particular to: the template used [2], the requirements engineering process [1] and the categorization of the phenomena [4]. Hence this document is the result of the requirements elicitation and the analysis activities paired with a specific description aimed to precise the behavior of the system.

1.2 Context

SafeStreets is a *crowd-sourced* application that intends to provide a way to notify authorities when a traffic violation occurs. Nowadays almost everyone uses a device for most of the activities and this gives the opportunity to build products whose knowledge is based on the concept of *outsourcing*. Thanks to the system we are going to describe, in fact, each person will be able to report a violation to the authority in a very easy and fast way. The big amount of data SafeStreets expects to receive allows it also to provide to its customers additional functionalities relative to statistics and important considerations about safety. However the main purpose of the application still remains to come up with a simple and effective way to report traffic violations, a problem that is getting more and more important in particular in big cities where authorities are unable to deal with all the infractions that may occur. Without loss of generality we will consider the system to work only in Italy. This assumption is made in particular to obtain a way to recognize authorities as such using a technology already widely used (PEC, Posta Elettronica Certificata). The correct recognition of the authorities allows also to manage correctly one of the most difficult problem that SafeStreets has to deal with: the *law*. In this way we accomplish both the problem of the security of the data relative to the violations and the one related to the correctness of the violations reported by the users.

1.3 Scope

The aim of the system is to achieve, through outsourcing, a simple way to notify traffic violations and use this information to retrieve interesting data for both its customers: users and authorities. Hence we can think as the main objective of SafeStreets the one of the notification. Then, the other functionalities in the basic and advanced services will be described.

The system will describe the notification functionality in terms of *parking violations* but is thought to include further extensions in order to deal with the other types of violations. Users are the customers allowed to report a violation and authorities will be notified whenever a new one will be reported. This process takes place entirely inside the application where both the users and authorities, once recognized, will only be able to benefit of the services related to their role. As we have already said, SafeStreets is a crowd-sourced application;

thanks to this property the big amount of data that is going to be managed will be used to provide additional functionalities based on the processes of mining and crossing this information. We call the *basic functionality* the one related to the data mining used to retrieve statistical data interesting for both the users and authorities. It is important to highlight that this functionality considers the role of each customer in order to provide him a way for retrieving the data with a specific level of visibility. As completely described in the section related to the functionalities provided by the application (2.2), the authorities in fact benefit of an additional service that allows them to retrieve the information about the reported parking violations in a more detailed way (for example with the entire description of an infraction that contains also the data about who reported it and the plate of the vehicle). The *advanced functionality* instead is related to the concept of **safety** that can be attributed to a street. This functionality is based on the crossing process between the data stored in SafeStreets system that are related to the violations and the one of the accidents possibly provided by a municipality. The safety of each street our application is able to retrieve is strictly bound to an additional service that allow customers to find a suggestion for a possible intervention for a street that has been marked as *unsafe*.

Now that we have a first description of what SafeStreets aims to achieve, we can have a look at the **goals** that have been chosen in order to accomplish these functionalities. Further in this document, we will have the precise definition of each goal with the prove of their satisfaction described in terms of requirements and assumptions (section 3.2). The description will be carried on first with the identification of the phenomena (section 2.5), and then with the additional strict relationship with the part that describes the requirements used for the goals and the use case diagrams (section 3.3.3 and traceability matrix 3.3.4).

1.3.1 Goals

G1 Users should be able to notify authorities when traffic violations occur, in particular parking violations.

G2 Users and authorities should be able to mine the information stored by SafeStreets, with different levels of visibility.

- **G2A** Users and authorities should be able to know where the highest number of violations occur.
- **G2B** Users and authorities should be able to know what types of vehicle make the most violations.
- **G2C** Authorities should be able to consult every violation report sent by users.

G3 Users should be able to know which streets are safe and which ones are not.

G4 Users and authorities should be able to know the possible interventions that could be done in a city.

1.4 Glossary

1.4.1 Definitions

- **DBMS:** a software system that allows to manage efficiently the data stored in the databases of the system.
- **Posta Elettronica Certificata:** a technology that allows to send email with a legal approach.
- **Crowdsourcing:** a sourcing model in which individuals or organizations obtain goods and services from a large, relatively open and often rapidly-evolving group of internet users.

1.4.2 Acronyms

- **DBMS:** Database Management System
- **EMS:** Email Management System
- **PEC:** Posta Elettronica Certificata
- **API:** Application Programming Interface
- **IRI:** Image Recognition Interface
- **MI:** Map Interface
- **ACI:** Authority Common Interface
- **GPS:** Global Positioning System
- **OS:** Operating System

1.4.3 Abbreviations

- **i.i.f.:** if and only if
- **a.k.a.:** also known as
- **e.g.:** exempli gratia
- **G:** goal
- **DA:** domain assumption
- **R:** requirement
- **US:** user scenario
- **AS:** authority scenario

1.5 Document Structure

The document is structured in a double linked way in order to provide an easier and quicker navigation in particular for the parts where several abbreviations are used and the entire text would not fit in the layout (the reader can try this by looking at the requirements in the traceability matrix 3.3.4). The aim is to give a description that interconnects the most interesting parts of the document that are also related in a theoretical point of view: **World and Machine**, **Goals and Requirements** and **Use Cases.**

Moreover the document is structured as now briefly described:

1. **Introduction:** gives a first description of the problem and describes the purpose of the SafeStreets system. Goals are also highlighted to enforce the previous shallow description; the section ends with the glossary.

2. **Overall Description:** starts with the product perspective where first the system is highlighted from the outside and then from the inside with a high-level description of its structure. State diagrams are then used to clarify the behavior of the most critical objects identified in the modeling process and then product functions are ready to be precisely described. The section ends with the identification of the important phenomena for the problem that are now clearly described with the World and Machine paradigm.

3. **Specific Requirements:** in this section, requirements are precisely described starting with the ones of the interfaces that SafeStreets uses to provide its services to the external world. Functional requirements, where the satisfaction of the goals is proved thanks to the requirements, and the domain assumptions previously defined, are the core of this section. Use cases are also important in particular to highlight their strict relation with the requirements also highlighted with the traceability matrix.

4. **Formal Analysis Using Alloy:** includes the model that is described formally thanks to the alloy language [3]. This section highlights the most critical aspects of the entire problem and proves their satisfaction in specific worlds generated for this purpose.

5. **Effort Spent:** this section has been used to keep track of the hours spent to complete this document. The first table defines the hours spent together while taking the most important decisions, the seconds instead contain the individual hours.

6. **References:** includes all the references used to define the document.

2 Overall Description

2.1 Product Perspective

Thanks to the general introduction and the scope definition from the previous sections, we are now able to look at our system first from the outside and then from the inside. To deal with this description we are going to see the external interfaces the system has to interact with and then the definition of the model in order to have a feasible structure with them; at the end of the section, **state diagrams** are used to emphasize the dynamic behavior of the most critical classes identified for the model.

2.1.1 System Interfaces

In this section we are going to deal with the interfaces that SafeStreets needs to *use* in order to provide its functionalities. The definition of SafeStreet's interfaces to the external world, instead, will be described in the further related section (3.1), while precise details will be given in the Design Document [5].

To accomplish the **goals** stated in the introduction, the application interacts with three main external systems, as reported in the following picture (Figure 1).



Figure 1: System Interfaces

Two different kinds of interface are distinguished in the picture above:

- **Left hand side:** interfaces that provide functions for the system to perform internal algorithmic operations. In particular:
  - IRI is used to process the pictures received from a violation. Whenever an infraction is reported, the application needs to retrieve the plate's number of the violation in order to be stored and notified to the authority. The image recognition algorithm "has always the last word": it uses the information provided by the user to help the recognition and, in all the cases a plate number will be found, it will be considered correct in the stored information and thus notifiable.

Hence, whenever the algorithm does not recognize any plate number, the entire notification will be discarded.

- MI is used to process the map issues. This interface provides three fundamental services for the application: the first is used to retrieve the exact street where a reported violation has occurred (using its GPS position), the second allows to find the best path between two positions specified by the customer, while the third one offers the system a way to obtain a file that contains all the information needed in order to highlight the map for the *UnsafeStreets* functionality. This interface provides also another service related to the data of all the streets and cities the system has to deal with: once stored in its database the initial information, we need to keep track of the updates that can occur in the territory; in order to do so, we use this interface to be aligned with the newest maps.

- **Right hand side:** considers all the interfaces that provide management services on which the system has to rely on. In particular:

  - ACI is global interface that manages both the accidents provided by the authorities and their PEC addresses: a publishing system will be needed to allow the authorities to publish their accidents in order to provide a way to retrieve them by the system. This interface allows also to grab all the information related to the PEC addresses of each authority the application has to manage.
  - DBMS API allows the management of all the data the system needs to use in order to provide its functionalities.
  - EMS API allows the management of the email services needed by the system, in particular for the access functionalities.

2.1.2 Model Structure

The static analysis now continues to define the internal structure of the system, in particular with a high-level class diagram (Figure 2) that considers the most important objects and their relations in order to achieve the functionalities described by the **goals**.

The main objects in the UML class diagram are:

- **Customer:** the system has to track two types of clients. The distinction, in fact, is fundamental to recognize the municipality providing accident's data but also to give a different level of visibility to the information asked by a request. This class keeps track of the information related to the login (username and password).

- **User:** identifies a citizen with all the data he provides in its registration. Users are the clients of the application that report violations and mine the data related both to the frequency of parking violations and the most dangerous vehicles or to the safety of an area and its related suggested intervention.

- **Authority:** identifies both the authority/municipality with all the data related to its recognition. Authorities are the clients of the application that gets notified of the reported violations, but they also: mine for infractions and safety information and provide data about the accidents (crossed by the system).
- **Violation:** represents a general traffic violation. This class is thought to be used in a future extension of the system in case more violations are going to be considered.
- **ParkingViolation:** is the result of a notification provided by a user. In this way the application considers all the possible information that is provided whenever an infraction is reported. As we see in the UML diagram, the class contains: multiple images for an improved help to both the image recognition algorithm and the authorities; the position retrieved by the GPS (used to find the name of the street); the type of the vehicle; the plate's number, date and time. The type of the violation, selected by the users, will be determined considering one of the possible extending classes: **DoubleParking, DisabledZone, NoParkingZone, BikeLaneParking...** The relation with the safety class helps to highlight how *safety* is determined as it will be precisely described in further sections 2.1.3 and 2.2.3.
- **Accident:** will be used to store the data received by the municipality by characterizing each accident as: **BikeCrash, MotorcycleCrash, CarCrash, ParkingHit...** The relation with the safety class helps to highlight how *safety* is determined as it will be precisely described in further sections 2.1.3 and 2.2.3.
- **Position:** stores the coordinates obtained by the GPS of where the parking violation occurred. The position of each infraction will be used to retrieve the street thanks to the functionalities provided by the MI.
- **Street:** is one of the most important objects to be managed. Each recognized street will be added to the system to achieve its basic and advanced functionalities. As we see is one of the classes with the most relations, in fact: it defines the city and the path, it is queried by the mining and safety requests and has a possible suggestion associated in case it is considered unsafe. The relation with the safety class determines the one of the street.
- **City:** represents the entire area that is governed by a municipality. Also this class is important to be considered as a filter in the functionalities of the system but also for the requests.
- **Path:** represents the last way in which a filter about an area can be performed. This option is mainly thought for the advanced function about retrieving the most unsafe streets in the selected path.
- **Vehicle:** vehicles are considered in order to define the feasible types which have plates and thus that can be reported in a violation. Some examples of classes are: **Truck, Car, Motorcycle...**

- **Request:** is the general class representing the interaction of a user with the system whenever mining, safety or suggestion is asked by the customer. In order to answer with the correct data it will be important to retrieve the user who sends the request and provide it to him with the correct visibility.
- **BasicRequest:** is the request that deals with the basic functionality. It is extended in fact with the three different requests that can be performed by a customer: the two related to the mining service (**MostViolationsRequest** and **DangerousVehiclesRequest**), and the one that provides a different level of visibility to the authority (**FindReportsRequest**).
- **AdvancedRequest:** is the request that deals with the advanced functionality. It is extended in fact by the different requests that can be performed by a customer: **UnsafeStreetsRequest** and **InterventionRequest.**
- **PossibleIntervention:** represents a possible intervention suggested for a precise street. The relation with the safety class helps to highlight how *safety* is determined as it will be precisely described in further sections 2.1.3 and 2.2.3.
- **Safety:** is a support class used to highlight the relations between the objects that determine whether a street is **safe** or **unsafe**.



Figure 2: High-level model structure

2.1.3 State Diagrams

Considering now the main functionalities of the system, it is important to highlight the events that make its objects change their state. State diagrams are used to describe the most critical aspects of the objects previously described in the UML class diagram (Figure 2).

Notification Starting with the report of a violation it is important to remember that each infraction will be refused by the system i.i.f no plate is found by the image recognition algorithm. The diagram (Figure 3) starts when a notification is received in the *unprocessed* state. First the system needs to use the functionalities provided by the IRI in order to find the plate of the vehicle. If no plate number is found, the notification is *rejected* and the diagram ends, otherwise the *accepted* violation needs now to use the MI functionalities to retrieve the street where the infraction occurred. All the information about the violation is now known in the *accepted* state, before storing and notifying it, the application has to look for duplicates checking in its stored data for a violation with the same: plate number, street, date and time, type of vehicle and type of violation. If a *duplicate is found* the notification is still rejected by the system, otherwise it is stored and then notified to the related authority. The notification diagrams ends with the violation marked as **unread** for the authority that will be able, once logged in the system, to retrieve all the violations that occurred in its territory.



Figure 3: Notification state diagram

Request Information retrieval is another critical functionality that needs a state diagram to define how the information to be mined is provided with different levels of visibility depending on the role of the customer. The diagram (Figure 4) considers in fact the process of how the query obtained by a request will be managed in order to avoid providing secret data to the users. Whenever a request is received the relative query will be retrieved in the *unprocessed* state and then executed in the *processed* one. A check is now needed in order to understand the kind of customer from which the request is coming. If the query

comes from a customer who could perform it the data is *accepted* and sent back to the inquirer, otherwise it is *rejected* and the diagram ends.



Figure 4: Request for data state diagram

Safety The last state diagram is used to highlight how the system is able to determine the safety of a street and update its state whenever new violations occur or new accidents data is provided by the municipality. The diagram (Figure 5) shows how the safety of a street evolves in the time. The system, each day, does two checks related to all the parking violations and accidents that occurred in a certain street in the previous 30 days. Two thresholds are defined to make the *safe* state trigger: one is related to each **Possible Intervention** the other to the sum of all **Violations** and **Accidents**. It is enough that one threshold for a possible intervention **or** that the sum threshold is exceeded in order to reach the *unsafe[RED]* state; the opposite happens for reaching the *safe[GREEN]* one. In the section 2.2.3 all details are provided to understand how this counting works, for now it is important to understand that thanks to it the safety of each street can be updated daily.



Figure 5: Area safety state diagram

2.2 Product Functions

SafeStreet is a crowd-sourced application that intends to provide users with the possibility to notify authorities when parking violations occur. This has to be considered the main product function of the system because of its importance to obtain **crowdsourcing**. The information provided by users is what SafeStreet focuses on and what makes it able to provide services about the violations in a particular area. Thanks to mining and crossing processes, the system can retrieve filtered data about frequency, safety and suggestions for possible interventions in unsafe streets. As the subsection is structured, the product functions will be precisely described following the same order of how we have just shallowly presented: first the **notification** function then the **mining** one and in the end **safety**.

Before starting with the description it is important to highlight that in order to benefit of SafeStreets functionalities the customer **must** be logged in the system as he can be recognized.

2.2.1 Notification Function

Users are allowed to notify authorities thanks to SafeStreets: the notification process takes place entirely inside the application. It starts when the user reports a violation and ends when the authority has the notification marked as unread in his interface (hence in the system too). Each user can report a violation as soon as he spots it, this means he is not allowed to notify it later; in this way we reduce the number of possible incorrect notifications as we have assumed that the *date* and *time* are automatically added by the application as well as the position, always retrieved because a user **must** enable his GPS before reporting any violation.

The notification functionality is provided to the user by an interface that allows him to insert all the information needed to report a violation. The fields a user fills are reported here with a label that describes if they are mandatory or not.

- **Pictures** `[MANDATORY]`: users must provide at least one picture of the violation in order to report it. The pictures are taken **inside** the application where a functionality allows to select one or more of them; in this way we are sure that every image that has been received is authentic. Having always a picture it is possible to run the image recognition algorithm to understand the plate's number, in fact it is important to remark that whenever a number is not retrieved the entire violation will be discarded. Thanks to the option of inserting multiple images we provide a larger number of possibilities to recognize the plate; these pictures can be also very useful for the authorities that may decide in this way on which of the violations to take action first.
- **Position** `[MANDATORY]`: this field is marked as mandatory because, as we have previously said, the position will be retrieved by the GPS of the reporting device. The application does not allow a user to report a violation if its GPS service is not enabled, in this way, if a user is trying to report

an infraction without the GPS, he will be forced to switch it on in order to complete the notification.

- **Type of Violation** `[MANDATORY]`: users need to give additional information providing also the type of the violation they are reporting. This kind of data is used as a filter in the mining functionalities and it can be a very useful knowledge to both users and authorities.
- **Type of Vehicle** `[MANDATORY]`: users must provide also this information in order to complete the notification. It is another filter in the mining functionalities.
- **Plate** `[OPTIONAL]`: this field is thought to give additional information to the functionalities provided by the IRI in order to recognize the plate of the reported vehicle. As we have already said it is the image recognition algorithm who has the last word when deciding whether to discard or not a violation: if he recognizes a number, also thanks to this information, the notification will be considered, otherwise it will not be.

Once the notification is received the system first tries to retrieve the plate of the reported vehicle. If the plate's number is found it means that the violation is valid and the check for duplicates can be carried out. If no duplicate is found, the violation is ready to be stored in the system and thus notified to the authority. The **notification** works as follows: each violation that has been accepted is stored as **unread** for the authority that governs the territory that contains its street, in this way, when the authority enters the system it will be able to see all the notifications that have been reported. Once checked by the authorities the notifications will be marked as **read** and removed from the *Check Unread Reports* functionality. Even if a notification for an authority that is not registered to the system yet is received by the system, it will be stored and marked as unread in order not to loose this information.

2.2.2 Mining Function

Data mining is used to retrieve the information about the stored data and provide the customers different ways to filter it. Thanks to the details of each infraction, in fact, the system is able to offer this service both to users and authorities.

Two functionalities are offered to customers thanks to the mining process:

- **Highest Number of Violations:** returns an ordered list of the streets where the highest numbers of violations occur.
- **Most Dangerous Vehicles:** returns an ordered list of the types of vehicles that make the highest number of violations.

Both these functionalities but also the one allowed only to the authorities (*Find Reports*) can be filtered with some of the the filters listed below.

- **Area:** there are three levels of filters defined in the area one. The widest is called **everywhere** and returns the information generally all over Italy, the second is called **city** and considers all the streets contained in the specified city, and the most specific one considers just a single **street**.

- **Date:** this filter is allowed only to authorities in the *find reports* functionality, in this way they are able to retrieve the violations in a more detailed search.
- **Time:** customers are able to filter by choosing a time lapse in which they are interested in.
- **Type of vehicles:** the system stores in each notification the type of the vehicle that committed the violation. In this way this data can be filtered choosing one of the types: **trucks, cars, motorcycles...**
- **Type of violation:** thanks to the information about the type of the violation (provided by the user) the application allows also to filter by type. We can think of this mainly filter for the authorities who will look for the highest type of vehicles that commit a violation in a street in order to take action; however this filter is also allowed to users who may be interested in this kind of data too.

Each functionality considers only the filters that are needed to achieve its mining process. As we see in the interfaces (section **??**) and in the use case diagrams (section 3.3.3) just some of the listed filters are allowed to be selected.

It is important to highlight that SafeStreets provides information to customers with different levels of visibility depending on their role. To accomplish this distinction the system provides the authorities an additional functionality that allows them to retrieve the information in the highest level of detail possible. *Highest Number of Violations* and *Most Dangerous Vehicles* are in fact statistical functionalities that are not meant to be provided differently depending on the user that is requiring them. Moreover the **Find Reports** functionality is able to give the authorities a way to retrieve each violation in detail as it is stored in the system. This functionality is the one that contains the highest number of filters as it can provide a specific way to retrieve the violations of interest to the authorities.

2.2.3 Safety Function

SafeStreets is able to process the information provided by the municipality about the accidents that occur in a territory. Thanks to this additional data the system can cross it with its stored one and determine the **safety** of each street as we now precisely describe. Whenever a municipality is not able to provide the information about the accidents to SafeStreets the safety can be still determined thanks to the mathematical model further defined that will just use the information stored about the violations.

The system dynamically changes the safety of a streets thanks to two different kinds of threshold defined for each street:

1. **Intervention Threshold:** each intervention has a "personal" threshold that once exceeded determines a street to be unsafe. Every type of accident or violation is related to one or more intervention so that, once they occur in a street they increase the counter of each intervention. If one of the counters of the interventions for a street exceeds the threshold it means

that the street has become **unsafe** and that the exceeded intervention should be taken into account as the suggestion to enhance the **safety** of the now dangerous street.

2. **Sum Threshold:** another threshold is needed to consider also the accidents that are not related to any intervention but anyway determine a street to be **unsafe**. This threshold is based on the sum of the accidents and parking violations that occur in the street. If the sum exceeds the threshold it means that the street has become **unsafe** and the related possible intervention is the one whose counter is the nearest to the threshold.

Hence the first of the two types of threshold that is exceeded in a street determines it to be unsafe. The safety of each street and then the related intervention is determined each day by the system with the stored information about the previous month. Thanks to the data retrieved by the *crossing* process, SafeStreets is able to provide the following functionalities:

- **Unsafe Streets:** thanks to the MI, SafeStreets can use its services to retrieve the portion of an area and highlight its streets with two different colors to distinguish *safe streets*, colored in **GREEN**, from *unsafe streets*, colored in **RED**. The map interface provides methods that require a set of streets and the safety data and return a map with colored streets.
- **Urgent Interventions:** thanks to the thresholds we always have at least one suggestion for a possible intervention whenever a street is considered to be unsafe. This functionality allows the customers to retrieve the possible interventions for the area in which they are interested in.

Both these functionalities in fact can be filtered in order to reduce the size of the interested area, the possible filters used in one or the other are: **city, path, street.**

2.3 User Characteristics

SafeStreets has two different customers that are very important to distinguish in order to provide correctly the functionalities previously described in subsection 2.2. In the entire document a general client is called **customer** and the distinction is made between:

- **USER**
  - Always inserts correct data while registering.
  - Always inserts correct data while reporting violations.
  - Can not register with a username that corresponds to an existing PEC address.
  - Must have a device to take pictures of a parking violation.
  - Must enable the GPS of the device in order to report a violation.
  - Can register to SafeStreets in order to be recognized as such.
  - Must login to SafeStreets to benefit of its services.
  - Can filter the mined data.

  - Can retrieve the safety of a street.
  - Can retrieve the suggestion for a possible intervention of an unsafe street.

- **AUTHORITY/MUNICIPALITY**
  - Is supposed to be in Italy.
  - Always inserts correct data while registering.
  - Knows the PEC address of the territory they manage.
  - Provides correct information about accidents.
  - Can register to SafeStreets in order to be recognized as such with their PEC address.
  - Must login to SafeStreets to benefit of its services.
  - Can search for detailed violations.
  - Can filter the mined data.
  - Can provide information about the accidents that occur in its territory.
  - Can retrieve the safety of a street.
  - Can retrieve the suggestion for a possible intervention of an unsafe street.

2.4 Domain Assumptions

Domain assumptions are used to define clearly the world in which SafeStreets works. Thanks to them, we are able to add constraints that define the bounds of the environment. It is first important to describe one of these assumption to clarify why we decided to consider it. The fact that *The system is allowed to work in Italy* is used to deal with the recognition of the authorities. It is very important in fact to be sure of the identity of each customer in order to manage the security of the stored data, problems relative to the law and simplify the description with only the correct level of details. Thanks to this assumption in fact we can consider that every authority knows its PEC address and thus use this existing technology to define the recognition process.

We assume that these assumptions hold true in the domain of the system:

DA1 Customers always insert correct data while registering to SafeStreets.

DA2 Users always insert correct data while reporting violations to SafeStreets.

DA3 The association between cities and PEC is well-known by SafeStreets.

DA4 PEC addresses are unique.

DA5 Special characters are all the characters that are not letters nor numbers.

DA6 Date and time on the devices on which SafeStreets runs are always correct.

DA7 The GPS module of the devices on which SafeStreets runs always works correctly and has an accuracy of 2 meters.

DA8 The camera module of the devices on which SafeStreets runs always works correctly.

DA9 Internet connection works always without errors.

DA10 The system is assumed to work in Italy.

DA11 Maps of Italy are well known, complete and up to date.

DA12 There are no streets without a name.

DA13 No one physically and maliciously replaces license plates.

DA14 There are no cities with the same name in a given region.

DA15 In a city there are not streets with the same name.

DA16 Every street belongs exactly to one city.

DA17 Accidents data provided by municipalities are always correct.

DA18 No multiple violations of the same vehicle occur in the same place at the same time.

2.5 The World and the Machine

Thanks to the constraints on the domain of interest for the system (section 2.4), we are now able to describe SafeStreets with the *World and the Machine* approach that allows to underline the most important phenomena that are present in the problem we are dealing with. The machine represents the system to be developed while the world (a.k.a environment) is the portion of the real world affected by the machine.

Thanks to this distinction, we highlight in the next picture (Figure 6) the main phenomena of our problem: in the world affect the world only, the ones in the machine affect the machine only and the ones in between affect both the world and the machine. This figure can be also used to start guessing the requirements (section 3) of our system: in fact they can be defined as *prescriptive assertions formulated in terms of shared phenomena.*



Figure 6: The World and the Machine

3 Specific Requirements

This section is devoted to a specific description of every kind of requirement our system has to deal with in order to achieve all the functionalities described.

3.1 External Interface Requirements

3.1.1 Customer Interfaces

The following interfaces are meant to give a first description of how the functionalities will be offered in practice to the customers of SafeStreets. A precise description of how each mockup precisely reflects one functionality of SafeStreets is given in the Design Document [5]. It is sufficient for now to start with their illustration as we can understand the relation with the goals (section 1.3.1) and use cases (section 3.3.3) described in this document.

First are shown the interfaces of the **Mobile App** for the users, then the ones of the **Web App** for the authorities.



Figure 7: Login Mobile App



Figure 8: Registration Mobile App

Figure 9: Home Page Mobile App



Figure 10: Notification Mobile App



Figure 11: Violations Frequency Mobile App



Figure 12: Dangerous Vehicles Mobile App



Figure 13: Urgent Interventions Mobile App



Figure 14: Interventions Found Mobile App

Figure 15: Unsafe Streets Mobile App

Figure 16: Login Web App



Figure 17: Registration Web App

Figure 18: Home Page Web App



Figure 19: Unread Reports Web App

Figure 20: Find Reports Web App



Figure 21: Reports Found Web App

Figure 22: Violations Frequency Web App



Figure 23: Dangerous Vehicles Web App



Figure 24: Urgent Interventions Web App



Figure 25: Interventions Found Web App



Figure 26: Unsafe Streets Web App

3.1.2 Hardware Interfaces

Hardware interfaces have to be considered on the clients' software in order to interact with the camera and the GPS modules. As described in the section 2.2.1 in fact, a user must always take at least one picture and enable the GPS in order to report a violation.

3.1.3 Software Interfaces

The interfaces used by SafeStreets to benefit of services provided by external systems are precisely described in the section 2.1.1. Internal interfaces instead are needed in particular to manage the DBMS of the system; as described in the section 3.5.1 we will need to manage all the information with different logical models in order to store the different kind of data that the application has to deal with. SafeStreets does not provide APIs to external possible clients.

3.1.4 Communication Interfaces

An important communication interface that must be considered while developing the system is the one that makes SafeStreets receive the accidents data provided by the municipality. As we described in the global external interface of the authorities we expect to have a system where all of them can publish their accidents as our system will be able to retrieve them.

3.2 Functional Requirements

This subsection aims to give a *complete* description of the *functional requirements* of our system by defining them together with the domain assumptions that satisfy the identified **goals**.

3.2.1 Requirements

R1 The system must allow users to register.

R2 The system must allow authorities to register.

R3 The system must allow the user to log in.

R4 The system must allow authorities to log in.

R5 The system must guarantee that each username is unique.

R6 The system must save the customers registration data.

R7 The system must prevent users to use special characters in their username.

R8 The system must allow users to take pictures of the violation they want to report.

R9 The system must retrieve date and time while the user reports a violation.

R10 The system must retrieve the GPS position while the user reports a violation.

R11 The system must allow users to insert the type of violation they're reporting.

R12 The system must allow users to insert the type of the vehicles they're reporting.

R13 The system must allow users to insert the license plate number of the vehicle they are reporting.

R14 The system must read the license plate from every picture sent by the user.

R15 The system must store the data received along with the name of the street where the violation occurred.

R16 The system must be able to retrieve the name of the street from the GPS coordinates.

R17 The system must be able to show authorities all the violation reports sent by users.

R18 The system must be able to mine the stored violation reports.

R19 The system must allow the customer to filter by city.

R20 The system must allow the customer to filter by street.

R21 The system must allow the customer to filter by path.

R22 The system must allow the customer to filter by type of violation.

R23 The system must allow the customer to filter by time slot.

R24 The system must allow the authority to filter by date intervals.

R25 The system must allow the customer to filter by type of vehicle.

R26 The system must be able to sort streets by number of violations.

R27 The system must be able to sort types of vehicle by number of violations they make.

R28 The system must be able to provide different levels of visibility.

R29 The system must be able to store and manage data about accidents, if provided by the authority.

R30 The system must be able to cross accidents data with violations one.

R31 The system must be able to determine whether a street is safe or not.

R32 The system must be able to access all the available maps.

R33 The system must find a path between two given points in a map.

R34 The system must be able to color the streets in a map according to their safety.

R35 The system must be able to determine the most urgent interventions in a street.

3.2.2 Goals

G1 Users should be able to notify authorities when traffic violations occur, in particular parking violations.

R1 The system must allow users to register.

R2 The system must allow authorities to register.

R3 The system must allow the user to log in.

R4 The system must allow authorities to log in.

R5 The system must guarantee that each username is unique.

R6 The system must save the customers' registration data.

R7 The system must prevent users to use special characters in their username.

R8 The system must allow users to take pictures of the violation they want to report.

R9 The system must retrieve date and time while the user reports a violation.

R10 The system must retrieve the GPS position while the user reports a violation.

R11 The system must allow users to insert the type of violation they're reporting.

R12 The system must allow users to insert the type of the vehicles they're reporting.

R13 The system must allow users to insert the license plate number of the vehicle they are reporting.

R14 The system must read the license plate from every picture sent by the user.

R15 The system must store the data received along with the name of the street where the violation occurred.

R16 The system must be able to retrieve the name of the street from the GPS coordinates.

R17 The system must be able to show authorities all the violation reports sent by users.

DA1 Customers always insert correct data while registering to SafeStreets.

DA2 Users always insert correct data while reporting violations to SafeStreets.

DA3 The association between cities and PEC is well-known by SafeStreets.

DA4 PEC addresses are unique.

DA5 Special characters are all the characters that are not letters nor numbers.

DA6 Date and time on the devices on which SafeStreets runs are always correct.

DA7 The GPS module of the devices on which SafeStreets runs always works correctly and has an accuracy of 2 meters.

DA8 The camera module of the devices on which SafeStreets runs always works correctly.

DA9 Internet connection works always without errors.

DA10 The system is assumed to work in Italy.

DA11 Maps of Italy are well known, complete and up to date.

DA12 There are no streets without a name.

DA13 No one physically and maliciously replaces license plates.

DA15 In a city there are not streets with the same name.

DA16 Every street belongs exactly to one city.

DA18 No multiple violations of the same vehicle occur in the same place at the same time.

G2 Users and authorities should be able to mine the information stored by SafeStreets, with different levels of visibility.

G2A Users and authorities should be able to know where the highest number of violations occur.

R1 The system must allow users to register.

R2 The system must allow authorities to register.

R3 The system must allow the user to log in.

R4 The system must allow authorities to log in.

R6 The system must save the customers registration data.

R15 The system must store the data received along with the name of the street where the violation occurred.

R18 The system must be able to mine the stored violation reports.

R19 The system must allow the customer to filter by city.

R22 The system must allow the customer to filter by type of violation.

R23 The system must allow the customer to filter by time slot.

R25 The system must allow the customer to filter by type of vehicle.

R26 The system must be able to sort streets by number of violations.

DA1 Customers always insert correct data while registering to SafeStreets.

DA2 Users always insert correct data while reporting violations to SafeStreets.

DA3 The association between cities and PEC is well-known by SafeStreets.

DA4 PEC addresses are unique.

DA5 Special characters are all the characters that are not letters nor numbers.

DA9 Internet connection works always without errors.

DA11 Maps of Italy are well known, complete and up to date.

DA12 There are no streets without a name.

DA15 In a city there are not streets with the same name.

DA16 Every street belongs exactly to one city.

G2B Users and authorities should be able to know what types of vehicle make the most violations.

R1 The system must allow users to register.

R2 The system must allow authorities to register.

R3 The system must allow the user to log in.

R4 The system must allow authorities to log in.

R6 The system must save the customers registration data.

R15 The system must store the data received along with the name of the street where the violation occurred.

R18 The system must be able to mine the stored violation reports.

R19 The system must allow the customer to filter by city.

R22 The system must allow the customer to filter by type of violation.

R27 The system must be able to sort types of vehicle by number of violations they make.

DA1 Customers always insert correct data while registering to SafeStreets.

DA2 Users always insert correct data while reporting violations to SafeStreets.

DA3 The association between cities and PEC is well-known by SafeStreets.

DA4 PEC addresses are unique.

DA5 Special characters are all the characters that are not letters nor numbers.

DA9 Internet connection works always without errors.

DA11 Maps of Italy are well known, complete and up to date.

DA12 There are no streets without a name.

DA15 In a city there are not streets with the same name.

DA16 Every street belongs exactly to one city.

G2C Authorities should be able to consult every violation report sent by users.

R2 The system must allow authorities to register.

R4 The system must allow authorities to log in.

R6 The system must save the customers registration data.

R15 The system must store the data received along with the name of the street where the violation occurred.

R18 The system must be able to mine the stored violation reports.

R19 The system must allow the customer to filter by city.

R20 The system must allow the customer to filter by street.

R22 The system must allow the customer to filter by type of violation.

R23 The system must allow the customer to filter by time slot.

R24 The system must allow the authority to filter by date intervals.

R25 The system must allow the customer to filter by type of vehicle.

R28 The system must be able to provide different levels of visibility.

DA1 Customers always insert correct data while registering to SafeStreets.

DA2 Users always insert correct data while reporting violations to SafeStreets.

DA3 The association between cities and PEC is well-known by SafeStreets.

DA4 PEC addresses are unique.

DA5 Special characters are all the characters that are not letters nor numbers.

DA9 Internet connection works always without errors.

DA11 Maps of Italy are well known, complete and up to date.

DA12 There are no streets without a name.

DA15 In a city there are not streets with the same name.

DA16 Every street belongs exactly to one city.

G3 Users should be able to know which streets are safe and which ones are not.

R1 The system must allow users to register.

R2 The system must allow authorities to register.

R3 The system must allow the user to log in.

R4 The system must allow authorities to log in.

R6 The system must save the customers registration data.

R15 The system must store the data received along with the name of the street where the violation occurred.

R30 The system must be able to cross accidents data with violations one.

R31 The system must be able to determine whether a street is safe or not.

R29 The system must be able to store and manage data about accidents, if provided by the authority.

R32 The system must be able to access all the available maps.

R33 The system must find a path between two given points in a map.

R34 The system must be able to color the streets in a map according to their safety.

R19 The system must allow the customer to filter by city.

R20 The system must allow the customer to filter by street.

R21 The system must allow the customer to filter by path.

DA1 Customers always insert correct data while registering to SafeStreets.

DA2 Users always insert correct data while reporting violations to SafeStreets.

DA3 The association between cities and PEC is well-known by SafeStreets.

DA4 PEC addresses are unique.

DA5 Special characters are all the characters that are not letters nor numbers.

DA9 Internet connection works always without errors.

DA11 Maps of Italy are well known, complete and up to date.

DA12 There are no streets without a name.

DA15 In a city there are not streets with the same name.

DA16 Every street belongs exactly to one city.

DA17 Accidents data provided by municipalities are always correct.

G4 Users and authorities should be able to know the possible interventions that could be done in a city.

R1 The system must allow users to register.

R2 The system must allow authorities to register.

R3 The system must allow the user to log in.

R4 The system must allow authorities to log in.

R6 The system must save the customers registration data.

R15 The system must store the data received along with the name of the street where the violation occurred.

R30 The system must be able to cross accidents data with violations one.

R29 The system must be able to store and manage data about accidents, if provided by the authority.

R32 The system must be able to access all the available maps.

R35 The system must be able to determine the most urgent interventions in a street.

R19 The system must allow the customer to filter by city.

DA1 Customers always insert correct data while registering to SafeStreets.

DA2 Users always insert correct data while reporting violations to SafeStreets.

DA3 The association between cities and PEC is well-known by SafeStreets.

DA4 PEC addresses are unique.

DA5 Special characters are all the characters that are not letters nor numbers.

DA9 Internet connection works always without errors.

DA11 Maps of Italy are well known, complete and up to date.

DA12 There are no streets without a name.

DA15 In a city there are not streets with the same name.

DA16 Every street belongs exactly to one city.

DA17 Accidents data provided by municipalities are always correct.

3.3 Use Cases Identification

In the following subsection the usage of the system is going to be described first with a general description using scenarios and then in a more specific way using use case diagrams. These diagrams are going to be described only once for the functionalities that totally coincide between users and authorities. Hence only the ones where critical behaviors are needed to be considered are duplicated highlighting these parts. The subsection ends with the traceability matrix that allows to define the correspondence between *requirements, use cases and scenarios.*

3.3.1 Scenarios

Consider these scenarios in order to clarify the usage of the system and the further description with use case diagrams.

User

US1 A man living in Milan has a son with disabilities named Gianluca. His son in particular suffers leg paralysis and therefore is confined to a wheelchair. Since he wants his son to live his life at its fullest, he made him take up para table tennis, the variant of table tennis designed for people with disabilities. The problem is, although few places are reserved for people with disabilities, there's no much parking in the area where training sessions are held, and so, unfortunately, people get to park in reserved spots anyway, even if they're not allowed to. Gianluca's father got really annoyed because of this situation, especially because sidewalks are not in good conditions and therefore he can't park too far away. In addition, policemen never show up in the area. He discovers SafeStreets, and starts reporting to the authorities all of those vehicles that have not exposed the pass for people with disabilities, by sending pictures of those vehicles. He makes sure that the license plate is readable and hopes that local police will take action.

US2 A group of guys fond of bicycles and competitions is going to organize a treasure hunt in Citta Studi. In this kind of game the competitors have to seek the treasures and who first finds all of them wins. The organizers must position the treasures in each area where the less number of parking violations occur to avoid bikers getting hurt when reaching them on a very high speed. Typically each organizer has an area in which he has to decide where to put the treasure. Using SafeStreet's basic functionality each of them can select Milan as the filter for the area together with the time lapse in which they expect the bikers to arrive and determine the best street in their area where the less number of violations occur.

US3 A sportive family from Milan decides to go by bike on a weekend trip to the Idroscalo. Knowing that the bicycle lane from Milan to Idroscalo gets interrupted once reached the city of Novegro, they have to decide which street is better to reach Idroscalo safely. Thanks to SafeStreets advanced functionality they can select the path and choose whether to continue taking a short part aside the highway or to get inside Novegro and enter the park from the bottom.

Authority

AS1 Circolo Vela Bellano (CVB) is a sailing club located on the high part of the Como lake. Every year it organizes a competition named *Coppa Bellano* where all members of the club tend to participate. CVB every year tries to ask to the municipality if for the entire week-end when the competition is organized the competitors can be enabled to park also on the spots for the residents (highly more than the free ones definitely not enough for the hundreds of people coming). This year, fortunately, the municipality of Bellano has decided to take action for this request, but first wants to be sure that the problem is really the one reported by the club. To solve this doubt it registers to SafeStreets using the PEC address and thanks to the *Find Reports* functionality it can filter all the violations that occurred the previous year when the competition took place. The high level of details in each violation shows that the plates numbers are all of vehicles owned by people not from Bellano. Hence the municipality decides to accomplish the desire of the club understanding that it is better to encourage the hundreds of people arriving in a small town like Bellano rather than giving them fines!

AS2 Cesate Public Library is the only library in Cesate and is usually open for too little time. The problem is the municipality of Cesate has lately become short on money and therefore can't pay enough people to work in there. Students living in that small town have no other place to study in silence and if they really need it, they can do nothing but go to Arese or Saronno, that have really big libraries but are only reachable after 15 minutes by car. Since Lombardy Region hasn't got any plan to give funds to Cesate, the municipality, that really cares about the future of its young citizens, decides to go his own way and tries to give the most traffic tickets it can. In order to do this without wasting time, the municipality uses SafeStreets to figure out where the highest number of traffic violations occur, and then sends policemen in those streets to give fines.

AS3 It's almost election time in Cesate and the outgoing local administration would like to be elected again for their second mandate. In order to gain the highest number of votes in the upcoming elections, the party decides that finally it's time to make some useful public works. The problem is they really have no clue about what their citizens need most, but fortunately, Ascanio, the City council member to the transports, knows SafeStreets. He is able to get the list of the most urgent works, according to SafeStreets. He in particular finds out that an old street that links the old part of the city to the newest one is the place where a lot of cyclists fall because of potholes. They asphalt that street and are elected again.

3.3.2 Use Case Diagram

The following diagram is a high-level description of the possible interactions of actors with the system and highlights the different use case in which actors are involved.



Figure 27: Use Case Diagram

3.3.3 Use Cases Description

User

1. **User Registration**

Name	**User Registration**
Actors	User
Entry conditions	The mobile application has started
Flow of events	(a) The user chooses the sign up option (b) The user chooses a username and a password (c) The user inserts his name, surname and address (d) The user accepts the *Terms and Conditions* of SafeStreets (e) The user submits the form (f) The system checks the username to be unique (g) The system saves the user data
Exit conditions	The user is registered in the system
Exceptions	• If the username inserted by the user is already used by another user, or if the username contains any special character, the system displays an error message asking the user to insert a different one

Table 1: *User Registration* use case description



Figure 28: User Registration sequence diagram

2. **User Login**

Name	**User Login**
Actors	User
Entry conditions	The mobile application has started
Flow of events	(a) The user chooses the login option (b) The user inserts his username (c) The user inserts his password (d) The user decides whether to be remembered or not (e) The user submits the form (f) The system checks the username to be existing (g) The system checks the password to be right for that username (h) The system notifies the user that login is successful
Exit conditions	The user is logged in

Exceptions

- If the username is not recognized by the system, that means that the user is not registered yet, or the username is incorrect. The system notifies the user and the procedure is aborted
- If the inserted password is wrong, the system notifies the user and the procedure is aborted

Table 2: *User Login* use case description



Figure 29: User Login sequence diagram

3. **Report Violation**

Name	**Report violation**
Actors	User
Entry conditions	The user is logged in
Flow of events	(a) The user selects the report violation option (b) The system retrieves the GPS location (c) The user chooses the option to take pictures (d) The user takes some pictures through the application (e) The user selects the pictures he wants to send (f) The user optionally inserts the license plate number (g) The user chooses the type of violation from a list (h) The user chooses the type of vehicle from a list (i) The user chooses the option to confirm (j) The system receives the sent data (k) The system runs an algorithm to read the license plate, with the help of the information provided by the user (l) The system retrieves the name of the street from the GPS location (m) The system stores the violation report
Exit conditions	The information about the violation is stored
Exceptions	• If the system fails to retrieve the GPS location, the user is notified and the application shows the home page • If the system fails to read the license plate, or what it reads does not match the information provided by the user, the notification will be discarded

Table 3: *Report violation* use case description



Figure 30: Report Violation sequence diagram

4. **Find streets with the highest number of violations**

Name	**Find streets with the highest number of violations**
Actors	User
Entry conditions	The user is logged in

Flow of events	(a) The user selects the 'streets with highest number of violation' option (b) The user chooses the region he is looking for from a list (c) The user chooses the city from a list (d) The user chooses the types of violation to be included (e) The user chooses the time slot (f) The user chooses the types of vehicle to be included (g) The user confirms the query and sends it (h) The system returns a list of the streets ordered by the highest number of violations, with the actual number next to the name of the street, according to the filters
Exit conditions	The list of the streets is shown to the user
Exceptions	• If no type of violation is selected, the system shows an error message and the procedure is aborted • If no type of vehicle is selected, the system shows an error message and the procedure is aborted

Table 4: *Find streets with the highest number of violations* use case description



Figure 31: Highest Number of Violations sequence diagram

5. **Find Most Dangerous Vehicles**

Name	**Find dangerous vehicles**
Actors	User
Entry conditions	The user is logged in
Flow of events	(a) The user selects the 'most dangerous vehicles' option (b) The user selects the region and the city from a list, or selects a street, or selects everywhere (c) The user selects the types of violation he wants to include (d) The user confirms the query and sends it (e) The system returns a list of the types of vehicle, ordered by the highest number of violations they committed, according to the filters
Exit conditions	The list is shown to the user
Exceptions	• If no type of violation is selected, the system notifies the user and wait for him to insert at least one

Table 5: *Find most dangerous vehicles* use case description



Figure 32: Dangerous Vehicles sequence diagram

6. **Find Urgent Interventions**

Name	**Find urgent interventions**
Actors	User
Entry conditions	The user is logged in
Flow of events	(a) The user selects the 'urgent interventions' option (b) The user selects the region and the city from a list (c) The user confirms the query and sends it (d) The system returns a list of the most urgent interventions in the selected city, each with their respective street
Exit conditions	The list is shown to the user
Exceptions	

Table 6: *Find urgent interventions* use case description



Figure 33: Urgent Interventions sequence diagram

7. **Find Unsafe Streets**

Name	**Find unsafe streets**
Actors	User
Entry conditions	The user is logged in
Flow of events	(a) The user selects the 'unsafe streets' option (b) The user selects the either the 'city' option, the 'route' option or the single street option (c) The user chooses the region and the city from a list if 'city' option is chosen, if 'route' option is chosen he enters start and end points of the route, otherwise he inserts the name of the street (d) The user confirms the query and sends it (e) The system returns a map where the streets selected with the filter are colored according to their safety: green if they're 'safe', red if they're 'unsafe'
Exit conditions	The list is shown to the user

Exceptions	• If no city is selected when 'city' option is chosen, the system notifies the user and waits for him to insert it • If no start or end points are chosen when 'route' option is selected, the system notifies the user and waits for him to insert them

Table 7: *Find unsafe streets* use case description



Figure 34: Unsafe Streets sequence diagram

Authority

1. **Authority Registration**

Name	**Registration**
Actors	Authority
Entry conditions	The web application has started

Flow of events	(a) The authority chooses the sign up option (b) The authority chooses the region and the city of competence (c) The authority inserts its PEC address (d) The system checks that PEC address matches the chosen city (e) The system sends a confirmation code to the PEC address (f) The authority enters the confirmation code in a text box (g) The authority chooses the password (h) The authority accepts the *Terms and Conditions* of SafeStreets (i) The authority submits the form (j) The system checks the entered code to match the sent one (k) The system saves the authority's data
Exit conditions	The authority is registered in the system
Exceptions	• If the selected city already has an authority registered, an error message is shown and the procedure is aborted • If the PEC address doesn't match the chosen city, an error message is shown and the procedure is aborted • If the entered code doesn't match the sent one, an error message is shown and the procedure is aborted

Table 8: *Authority Registration* use case description



Figure 35: Authority Registration sequence diagram

2. **Authority Login**

Name	**Authority Login**
Actors	Authority
Entry conditions	The web application has started
Flow of events	(a) The authority chooses the login option (b) The authority chooses the 'authority' login type (c) The authority inserts its PEC address as username (d) The authority inserts its password (e) The authority decides whether to be remembered or not (f) The authority submits the form (g) The system checks the username to be registered (h) The system checks the password to be right for that username (i) The system notifies the authority that login is successful
Exit conditions	The authority is logged in
Exceptions	• If the username is not recognized by the system, that means that the authority is not registered yet, or the username is incorrect. The system notifies the authority and the procedure is aborted • If the inserted password is wrong, the system notifies the authority and the procedure is aborted

Table 9: *Authority Login* use case description

3. **Check Unread Reports**

Name	**Check unread reports**
Actors	Authority
Entry conditions	The authority is logged in

Flow of events	(a) The authority chooses the 'unread reports' option (b) The system provides a list with all the unread violation reports that match the city of competence of the authority
Exit conditions	The list is shown to the authority
Exceptions	

Table 10: *Check unread reports* use case description



Figure 36: Unread Reports sequence diagram

4. **Find Reports**

Name	**Find reports**
Actors	Authority
Entry conditions	The authority is logged in
Flow of events	(a) The authority chooses the 'find reports' option (b) The authority selects the types of violation to be included (c) The authority selects date interval and time slot (d) The authority selects the types of vehicle to be included (e) The authority optionally selects the street to include, otherwise 'city' will be selected (f) The authority confirms and sends the query (g) The system provides a list with all the violation reports that match the filter, sorted by chronological order from the most recent one. In case 'city' option is selected, the considered city is that of competence of the authority
Exit conditions	The list is shown to the authority
Exceptions	(a) If the date interval is not valid, the system notifies the authority and the procedure is aborted

Table 11: *Find reports* use case description



Figure 37: Find Reports sequence diagram

3.3.4 Traceability Matrix

	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10
Registration	✘	✘			✘	✘	✘			
Login			✘	✘	✘		✘			
Report Violation	✘		✘				✘	✘	✘	✘
Highest Violations	✘	✘	✘	✘						
Dangerous Vehicles	✘	✘	✘	✘						
Urgent Interventions	✘	✘	✘	✘						
Unsafe Streets	✘	✘	✘	✘						
Unread Reports		✘		✘						
Find Reports		✘		✘						
US1	✘		✘				✘	✘	✘	✘
US2	✘		✘							
US3	✘		✘							
AS1		✘		✘						
AS2		✘		✘						
AS3		✘		✘						

Table 12: Requirements from R1 to R10

	R11	R12	R13	R14	R15	R16	R17	R18	R19
Registration									
Login									
Report Violation	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	
Highest Violations								✘	✘
Dangerous Vehicles								✘	✘
Urgent Interventions					✘				✘
Unsafe Streets					✘				✘
Unread Reports					✘				
Find Reports					✘				✘
US1	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	
US2								✘	✘
US3					✘				✘
AS1					✘				✘
AS2								✘	✘
AS3					✘				✘

Table 13: Requirements from R11 to R19

	R20	R21	R22	R23	R24	R25	R26	R27	R28
Registration									
Login									
Report Violation									
Highest Violations			✘	✘		✘	✘	✘	
Dangerous Vehicles			✘	✘			✘		
Urgent Interventions	✘								
Unsafe Streets	✘	✘							
Unread Reports									
Find Reports	✘		✘	✘	✘	✘			✘
US1									
US2			✘	✘		✘	✘		
US3	✘	✘							
AS1	✘		✘	✘	✘	✘			✘
AS2			✘	✘		✘	✘		
AS3	✘								

Table 14: Requirements from R20 to R28

	R29	R30	R31	R32	R33	R34	R35
Registration							
Login							
Report Violation							
Highest Violations							
Dangerous Vehicles							
Urgent Interventions	✘	✘		✘			✘
Unsafe Streets	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	
Unread Reports							
Find Reports							
US1							
US2							
US3	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘	
AS1							
AS2							
AS3	✘	✘		✘			✘

Table 15: Requirements from R29 to R35

3.4 Performance Requirements

SafeStreets is a system that intends to serve all those mobile devices that have the possibility to take pictures and to retrieve the position thanks to the GPS and the terminals of the recognized authorities. All the computation will take place on the servers of the system, thus we expect a light application that can be accessed by both users and authorities. Interaction with modules of the device has to be considered for what concerns the mobile application, in particular with the camera and the GPS in order to provide all mandatory data while reporting a violation. The notification functionality requires a quick response to each violation in order to store it in the system as soon as possible. Further considerations about responsiveness have to be considered for the basic and advanced functionalities that require mining and crossing processes in order to obtain a result. To accomplish this problem we will give a possible solution in the further section of how to organize the design in order to run algorithms that allow to mine and cross the data. Technical support for installation is not required as the result is a simple device application each user can download from the store and authorities can reach with a browser; moreover it is important to highlight that the registration process for the authority needs to provide a verification code and thus a quick interaction needs to be considered again.

3.5 Design Constraints

3.5.1 Standards Compliance

The most important standard that needs to be highlighted here is the one related to the interaction with the databases. Hence it will be mandatory for the system to interact with a DBMS in order to benefit of the services that allows it to manage the big amount of data we expect to manage. As already said, SafeStreets is a crowd-sourced application that enables also to mine the data provided by the users. This consideration highlights even better the fact that the interaction with the databases is going to be crucial and thus a lot of modules will be needed to deal with this very important aspect.

3.5.2 Hardware Limitations

Hardware limitations would be critical in devices that do not provide a camera or a GPS module. As described in the section 2.2.1 in fact a user must provide at least one picture and enable his GPS in order to report a violation. No further considerations have to be done as we have also specified that the image recognition algorithms will be helped by the information provided by the users; this allow the system not to require high definition pictures of the infractions reported, nor the newest cameras in the devices.

3.5.3 Any other Constraint

Regulatory policies have to be considered for the interaction between SafeStreets and both users and authorities. The application in fact will ask the position of each user while reporting a violation but will also provide its name to authorities whenever they receive a notification or use the *find reports* functionality. Au-

thorities instead need to accept the process that will be carried out by crossing the accidents data they provide to SafeStreets.

3.6 Software System Attributes

3.6.1 Reliability

As the system aims to be a crowd-sourced application, it needs to take care of the data he stores, in particular the one used for the mining and crossing processes. Design considerations are needed in order to face any failure. If a decision needs to be made to choose which data is the most important to protect, the one relative to the violations is going to be preferred rather than the one of the customers. The loss of the information of a client in fact is definitely not a problem as he would create a new account without any limitation.

3.6.2 Availability

As nowadays systems tend to be always more available, we need to accomplish this feature but with some considerations related to the fact that we expect to receive the highest affluence in the day rather than in the night. Hence an availability of 99% is needed for the notification functionality, for the basic and advanced functionalities instead 96% should be enough to cover the desires of both customers.

3.6.3 Security

SafeStreets needs to deal with security to store the information of a user while registering but also to ensure the correct registration of an authority. The recognition of a customer is the most important feature to consider in order to ensure providing the correct level visibility of the data they query. The recognition process considers to send a verification code to the PEC address of the registering authority, this deals with all security problems avoiding more complex technologies that each authority would not possess.

3.6.4 Maintainability

The software needs to take into account the possibility of encountering bugs even if a high test coverage should be considered in the developing process. In particular, in the query definition, this may lead to critical problems related to the requests that have to be performed with the DBMS APIs. Concerning the capability of meeting new requirements, the system is thought to be extensible, in particular for the additional types of violations that may be considered.

3.6.5 Portability

We expect to have a mobile application for the users and a web application for the authorities; hence, portability on the clients would not be a big issue if a native approach is chosen for the mobile application. The server side, instead, always needs to consider the fact that the data management is a crucial issue for the system. Thus, the design of modules that are thought to interact with the APIs of the DBMS needs to focus on the standards of the query formulation in

order to be ready for a DBMS replacement if the non-functional requirements of the system change.

4 Formal Analysis Using Alloy

The following section considers the essential properties and constraints identified for the specification of the problem and provides a formal model in which it is shown how they will be satisfied. The alloy modeling language is used to model the problem, and some possible worlds are also provided in order to clarify the most critical aspects. A few things have been simplified, for example the way in which the system computes if a street is safe, or what interventions to suggest in a given street. Only two types of request have been considered and the reason is they're pretty much the same: indeed they are all based on filters that work in the same way. The next section is composed of the description of the model in alloy followed by three generated example worlds.

4.1 Alloy Model

```
sig Username{}

sig Password{}

sig Registration{
  username: one Username,
  password: one Password
}

abstract sig Customer{
  registration: one Registration
}

sig User extends Customer{}

sig Authority extends Customer{
  city: one City,
  unreadReports : set StoredReport
}

fact UnreadReportsRule{
  all sr:StoredReport, a:Authority | sr in a.unreadReports
    iff
  (
    sr.status = Unread and sr.report.position.street.city in
     a.city
  )
}

sig City{
  streets: some Street,
  authority: lone Authority
}

sig Street{
  city : one City,
  streetName: one StreetName,
```

```
  positions: some Position,
  reports: set Report,
  accidents: set Accident,
  suggestedInterventions: set Intervention,
  status : one StreetStatus
}

abstract sig StreetStatus{}

one sig Safe extends StreetStatus{}

one sig Unsafe extends StreetStatus{}

sig StreetName{
}

sig Position{
  street : one Street
}

sig Date{}

sig Time{}

sig ViolationType{
  interventions: set Intervention
}

sig VehicleType{}

sig Intervention{}

sig Plate{}

sig Report{
  user: one User,
  position: one Position,
  violationType: one ViolationType,
  vehicleType: one VehicleType,
  date : one Date,
  time: one Time,
  plate : lone Plate,
}

sig StoredReport{
  report : one Report,
  plate : one Plate,
  streetName : one StreetName,
  status : one ReportStatus
}

abstract sig ReportStatus{}

one sig Read extends ReportStatus{}
```

```
90
91  one sig Unread extends ReportStatus{}
92
93  sig AccidentType{
94    interventions: set Intervention
95  }
96
97  sig Accident{
98    type : one AccidentType,
99    street: one Street
100 }
101
102 ////////////////////////////////////////////////
103 //STREETS
104 ////////////////////////////////////////////////
105
106 fact NoStreetWithoutCity{
107   all s: Street | one c:City | s in c.streets
108 }
109
110 fact StreetCityLinked{
111   all s:Street, c:City | s in c.streets iff c in s.city
112 }
113
114 fact NoStreetsWithSameNameInCity{
115   all s1, s2:Street | ((some c:City| s1 in c.streets and s2
      in c.streets) and
116     not(s1=s2)) implies not(s1.streetName = s2.streetName)
117 }
118
119 fact NoStreetNameWithoutStreet{
120   all sn: StreetName | some s:Street | sn in s.streetName
121 }
122
123 fact PositionOnlyInOneStreet{
124   no disj s1, s2: Street | s1.positions & s2.positions not =
      none
125 }
126
127 fact NoPositionWithoutStreet{
128   all p:Position | some s:Street | p in s.positions
129 }
130
131 fact PositionStreetLinked{
132   all s:Street, p:Position | p in s.positions implies s in p
      .street
133 }
134
135 fact ReportStreetLinked{
136   all s:Street, r:Report | r in s.reports iff s in r.
      position.street
137 }
138
139 fact AccidentStreetLinked{
```

```
140   all s:Street, a: Accident | a in s.accidents iff s in a.
        street
141 }
142
143 ////////////////////////////////////////////////////
144 //INTERVENTIONS
145 ////////////////////////////////////////////////////
146
147 //an intervention is suggested for a street iff the number
        of reports in
148 //that street that contain violation types linked to that
        intervention
149 //is greater than 0 OR the number of accidents in that
        street linked to
150 //that intervention is greater than 0
151 fact InterventionSuggestedThreshold{
152   all s: Street, i:Intervention| (i in s.
        suggestedInterventions iff
153     (#getReportsFromInterventionAndStreet[i, s] > 0 or
154     #getAccidentsFromInterventionAndStreet[i, s] > 0))
155 }
156
157 //gets all the reports for that street, having as violation
        type one linked to the
158 //given intervention
159 fun getReportsFromInterventionAndStreet[i : Intervention, s
        : Street] : set Report{
160   {
161     r : Report | i in r.violationType.interventions and r in
         s.reports
162   }
163 }
164
165 //same thing as above but for accidents
166 fun getAccidentsFromInterventionAndStreet[i: Intervention, s
        : Street] : set Accident{
167   {
168     a : Accident | i in a.type.interventions and a in s.
        accidents
169   }
170 }
171
172
173 ////////////////////////////////////////////////////
174 //UNSAFE STREETS
175 ////////////////////////////////////////////////////
176
177 --a street is considered unsafe iff
178 --the number of total accidents in that street is greater
        than 1 OR
179 --if the number of total violations in that street is
        greater than 1
180
181 fact UnsafeStreetThreshold{
```

```
182   all s: Street | s.status = Unsafe iff (
183     #s.reports > 1 or
184     #s.accidents > 1
185   )
186 }
187
188 ////////////////////////////////////////////////////
189 //REGISTRATION
190 ////////////////////////////////////////////////////
191
192 fact NoRegistrationWithoutCustomer{
193   all r: Registration | one c:Customer | r in c.registration
194 }
195
196 fact NoUsernameWithoutRegistration{
197   all u:Username | some r:Registration | u in r.username
198 }
199
200 fact NoPasswordWithoutRegistration{
201   all p: Password | some r: Registration | p in r.password
202 }
203
204 fact UniqueUsernames{
205   no disj r1,r2: Registration| r1.username = r2.username
206 }
207
208 fact CityAuthorityLink{
209   all c:City, a:Authority | a in c.authority iff c in a.city
210 }
211
212 ////////////////////////////////////////////////////
213 //REQUESTS AND RESULT
214 ////////////////////////////////////////////////////
215 //requests
216
217 sig ReportsRequest {
218   authority : one Authority,
219   violationTypes : some ViolationType,
220   dates : some Date,
221   time : some Time,
222   vehicleTypes: some VehicleType,
223   street : lone Street
224
225 }
226
227 sig InterventionsRequest{
228   city : one City
229 }
230
231 ////////////////////////////////////////////////////
232 //results
233
234 sig ReportsResult{
235   request : one ReportsRequest,
```

```
236   storedReports : set StoredReport
237 }
238
239 //this is the rule to apply the filter that comes with the
      ReportsRequest
240 //only those reports that satisfy these conditions will be
      included in the result
241 fact ReportsResultRule{
242   all sr:StoredReport, result:ReportsResult | sr in result.
      storedReports iff
243   (
244     sr.report.violationType in result.request.violationTypes
       and
245     sr.report.date in result.request.dates and
246     sr.report.time in result.request.time and
247     sr.report.vehicleType in result.request.vehicleTypes and
248     (result.request.street = none implies sr.report.position
      .street.city in
249       result.request.authority.city else sr.report.position.
      street in
250         result.request.street
251     )
252   )
253 }
254
255 sig InterventionsResult{
256   request : one InterventionsRequest,
257   interventions : set Intervention
258 }
259
260
261 //this is the rule to apply the filter that comes with the
      InterventionsRequest
262 //only those interventions that satisfy the following
      conditions will be included
263 //in the result
264 fact InterventionsResultRule{
265   all i:Intervention, result:InterventionsResult | i in
      result.interventions iff
266   (
267     some s:Street | s in result.request.city.streets and
268       i in s.suggestedInterventions
269   )
270 }
271
272 //every request has exactly one result
273 fact OneResultForOneRequestReports{
274   all req : ReportsRequest | one res :ReportsResult | req in
       res.request
275 }
276
277 fact OneResultForOneRequestIntervention{
278   all req : InterventionsRequest | one res :
      InterventionsResult | req in res.request
```

```
}

//////////////////////////////////////////////////
//REPORTS
//////////////////////////////////////////////////

//the system must not store reports that have the exact same
    fields
//nor reports that are considered to be duplicate
fact NoDuplicateStoredReports{
  no disj r1,r2 : StoredReport |
    r1.report = r2.report or
    (
      r1.report.position = r2.report.position and
      r1.report.violationType = r2.report.violationType and
      r1.plate = r2.plate and
      r1.report.date = r2.report.date
    )
}

fact CorrectStreetNameInReport{
  all sr: StoredReport | sr.streetName in
    sr.report.position.street.streetName
}

//the system correctly receives and store reports sent by
    users
//even if there's no authority registered for the city to
    which the
//position of the report belongs
fact UnreadReportIfNoAuthority{
  all sr: StoredReport | sr.report.position.street.city.
    authority = none implies
    sr.status = Unread
}

//////////////////////////////////////////////////
//PREDICATES AND COMMANDS
//////////////////////////////////////////////////

pred world1{
  #Report = 0 and
  #ReportsRequest = 0 and
  #InterventionsRequest = 0 and
  #ViolationType = 0 and
  #AccidentType = 0 and

  #City = 3
  #Authority = 2
  #User = 2
}

run world1 for 4
```

```
pred world2{
  #City = 1 and
  #ReportsRequest = 0 and
  #InterventionsRequest = 0 and
  #StoredReport = 2 and
  #Accident = 2 and
  #AccidentType = 1 and
  #Registration = 2 and
  #Intervention = 2
}

run world2 for 2

pred world3{
  #City = 1 and
  #InterventionsRequest = 1 and
  #ReportsRequest = 0 and
  #Report = 1 and
  #AccidentType = 0 and
  #Accident = 0
  #Intervention = 1
}

run world3 for 2
```

4.2 First World

In this first world (Figure 38), the focus is on the registration and on the map structure. A street is considered as a set of position, and one position belongs to exactly one street. Inside a city, all the streets must have different names, but this constraint is not valid anymore if we consider two different cities. Usernames are unique, but there's no constraint on customer's passwords. An authority is always registered with a city, but a city could have no authority registered.

4.3 Second World

This second world (Figure 39) captures a few aspects of the model: they are essentially reports, accidents and interventions. The threshold to activate the suggestion of an intervention has been lowered to 1 here. That means that if there's a report for a street, and that report has a violation type that is linked to an intervention, that intervention will be suggested by the system for that street. Alternatively, the same applies for accidents that are registered for a particular street. The two conditions for activating a suggested intervention are put in 'or'. The street name retrieved by the system while storing the report is of course the name of the street that contains the position that comes with that report. A stored report could be read by the authority. In case it's not, it will be part of the unread reports list for that authority.



Figure 38: First World generated



Figure 39: Second World Generated

4.4 Third World

This third and last example world focuses on the request mechanism. The use case is the one where a customer asks the system for possible interventions in a particular city. The result of that request will contain all the interventions suggested by the system, considering all the streets of the specified city.



Figure 40: Third World Generated

5 Effort Spent

5.1 Teamwork

Task	Teamwork's Hours
Introduction	0.5
Product Perspective	2
Product Functions	3
Domain Assumptions	1
External Interface Requirements	0.5
Functional Requirements	6
Non-functional Requirements	1
Formal Analysis Using Alloy	5

Table 16: Teamwork effort

5.2 Individual Work

Task	Matteo Pacciani's Hours
Introduction	0.5
Product Perspective	2
Product Functions	3
Domain Assumptions	3
External Interface Requirements	1.5
Functional Requirements	10
Non-functional Requirements	1
Formal Analysis Using Alloy	15

Table 17: Matteo's effort

Task	Francesco Piro's Hours
Introduction	2
Product Perspective	7
Product Functions	11
Domain Assumptions	1
External Interface Requirements	4
Functional Requirements	6
Non-functional Requirements	2
Formal Analysis Using Alloy	3

Table 18: Francesco's effort

Appendices

A Revision History

- **v.1.0** November 10, 2019
- **v.1.1** December 1, 2019
  - Update mockups with mobile app and web app interfaces in the customer interfaces section 3.1.1
  - Update to the use case diagrams in the use cases section 3.3.3 for consistency with the new mockups
- **v.1.2** December 1, 2019
  - General refactor related to the design decisions
  - Added reference to the design document [5]
  - Removed unused definitions and acronyms from the glossary section 1.4
  - Updated the performance requirements section 3.4 for consistency with the new design decisions
- **v.1.3** December 9, 2019
  - Update external interfaces figure due to design decisions (Figure 1)
  - Update external interfaces description due to design decisions (sec 2.1.1)
  - Minor fix on class diagram related to interfaces presence (Figure 2)
- **v.2.0** December 9, 2019
  - Typos and beautifying all over the document

B Software and Tools used

- LaTeX as document preparation system
- Git & GitHub as version control system. The repository of the project is here
- Draw.io for the pictures (interfaces and World and Machine)
- Balsamiq for the mockups
- StarUML for the UML diagrams
- Alloy as model analyzer

6 References

[1] ISO/IEC/IEEE 29148. *Systems and software engineering — Life cycle processes — Requirements engineering.* Technical report, 2011.

[2] IEEE Std 830-1998. *IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Requirements Specifications.* Technical report, 1998. URL: http://www.math.uaa.alaska.edu/~afkjm/cs401/IEEE830.pdf.

[3] alloytool.org. *Alloy Documentation.* Software Design Group. URL: http://alloytools.org/documentation.html.

[4] Michael Jackson. The world and the machine, 1995. URL: http://mcs.open.ac.uk/mj665/icse17kn.pdf.

[5] Matteo Pacciani and Francesco Piro. *SafeStreets: Design Document.* Politecnico di Milano - Software Engineering 2 Project, 2019.