```
2025-02-22 STEP Practice: Problem 38 (2012.02.04)
                                                                                                   ln(x+1) = x - 2 + 2 - 2 + 2 - 2 + ... + (-1) n+1 2 + ... for |x|<1
                                                                i) Let nEZ and n>1. For eny REZ+,
                                                                                                  n (P+1) > n k : f(x) = n x is a stritly inversing function for n > 1
                                                                       > : (RH) nRH < Fin Fr : f(x) = x is strictly decreasing for x >0
                                                                                                   \ln\left[1+\frac{1}{n}\right] = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{3n^2} - \frac{1}{4n^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{(-1)^{R+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{(R+1)n^{R+1}} - \frac{1}{Rn^R} + \dots + \frac{1}{(-1)^{R+2}} + \dots + \frac{1}
                                                                                                   From the above inequality, it follows that
                                                                                                    (k+Unk+1 - knk 20.
                                                                                                   Then, ln[1+n] eyeds in plus en inferite number of terms, all of which one less than 0.
                                                                                                  ... ln[1+h]< h
                                                                                                                 nh(1+h)<1 : n>1>0
                                                                                                                en[[]+h]"]<1
                                                                                                      e^{\ln\left[\left[1+\frac{1}{n}\right]^{n}\right]} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{n}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} dt dt\right]} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{n}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} dt dt\right]} = e^{-\frac{1}{
                                                                                                  ..[1+h]n < e
                                                          (i) l_n \left[ \frac{2y+1}{2y-1} \right] = l_n \left[ \frac{1+\frac{2y}{2y}}{1-\frac{2y}{2y}} \right] = l_n (1+\frac{1}{2y}) - l_n (1-\frac{1}{2y})
                                                                                                   ln(1+ zy) = zy - zzy + z[2y] - x[2y] + ... + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) + (-1) +
                                                                                               ln(1-2y) = - 1/2 - 2[2y] - 2[2y] - 1[2y] + ... - 1[2y] + ... for y > 2
                                                                                                   = \frac{1}{y} + \frac{2}{3[2y]^2} + \frac{2}{5[2y]^5} + \dots + \frac{2}{[2k-1][2y]^{2k-1}} + \dots
                                                                                                                                                                               > \frac{1}{y} : \frac{1}{[2R-1][2y]^{2R-1}} > 0 for all R \ge 1 and y > \frac{1}{z} > 0 (all the terms are positive)
```

$$[h(\frac{2y+1}{y-1}] > \frac{1}{y} \text{ for } y > \frac{1}{2}$$

$$[1+\frac{1}{n}]^{n+\frac{1}{2}} > e > [1+\frac{1}{n}]^n$$

 $2y - 1\sqrt{2y + 1}$

Zy - 1

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2y+1}{2y-1} \end{bmatrix}^y = \begin{bmatrix} 1+\frac{2y-1}{2y-1} \end{bmatrix}^y = \begin{bmatrix} 1+y-\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^y$$

$$\sim D \left[\frac{2y+1}{2y-1}\right]^{y} = \left[1+\frac{1}{N}\right]^{N+\frac{1}{2}}$$
 for $N>0$ $\sim D$ stutement true for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

$$[1+\frac{1}{n}]^{n+\frac{1}{2}} > e$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

(ii) From the previous results,

$$[1+\frac{1}{n}]^{n+\frac{1}{2}} > e > [1+\frac{1}{n}]^n$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$[1+\frac{1}{n}]^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{e}{[1+\frac{1}{n}]^n} > 1$$
 inequality direction presented $:[1+\frac{1}{n}]^n > 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right]^{n}} < \frac{\left[1+\frac{1}{n}\right]^{n}}{e} < 1$$

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{n})^n} < \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{[1+\frac{1}{n}]^n}{e} < \lim_{n\to\infty} 1$ limit presences inequality direction : inequality holds for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$

Notes

This is not en incredibly difficult quarties. The mein challenge is spotting the first step. The method thereafter is not complicated. The algebraic manipulations are fer simpler than what you may see in some other STEP questions. I have noticed that STEP questions that test you on a topic that is relatively odvenced by A-level standards tend to be exict then question that you can attempt with much less knowledge. Considering the fact that I have not completed an endul lot of STEP question, compared to how many there we, this apparent puttern may not hold. It makes sense, however. More credit is owarded to being able undestand a more advanced topic, and this there is less emphasis on the application and nume. In this problem, we are given the taylor series expension for the function In (1+x) We ere given in interval for which this converges we are not isked to do much with this, end it is thus not necessary to brink about be details. One can link it to their knowledge of other topics, such as the conditions for the convergence of a geometric series or the binomial exponsions considering such links is always a your thing to do, as it cases you to think more. It simply is not needed for the suke of completing this greation, and this should be at the back of your mind until you are done Regardless, this question still contains brings worth considering. I have explained my recogning whenever I have applied a senction to an inequality for the first time on the matter of whether the direction of the inequality is preserved or not. I find this to be un important bing to do so that it is clear as to why you see a sign flipped when you do, rather than it sixt being the result of en expitrory set of rules. The last part of this greation takes a bit of the purpose of STEP question - to make connections - away. It still involves a nice application of the Squeeze Theorem, and it links Eules number in its relation with the natural logarithm and its financial interpretation. I have spent - careful not to say wasted - quite some time on this question attempting to bruteforce it Then, I am frustrated after seeing how simple the solution is The only way to be more efficient with solving problems is to solve (a lot) more problems. Kence, that is what I am doing.