

Test Setup			Identifiers					Assertion			Test data				Test Structure					Legibility		
MTC	Separate Test Setup	Instantiate in the same method	Clear (sensible) identifier	Vague Identifier	Short naming (identifier)	Long naming	Clear Test name	not simple (effective) assertion	weak assertion	Specific Assertion	Clear (Meaningful) Test data	Unclear test data	Clear (Concrete) Logic	Order of calls	Better Variable type	Concise Test	Simpler	Shorter (line lengths)	Code duplication	Annotation	Enough Seperation	No Seperation
The testing method is more complex than the method it tests. The test is more complex than the method it tests. The test is more complex than the method it tests.			+															-		+		
All parts look fine																						
The test is short, easy to understand.																		+				
It's very good to have a separate test method. It's very good to have a separate test method. It's very good to have a separate test method.				-														-				
The methods do what they say, and it's a common pattern and better method																						
The method names and test name are clear. The test data is clear too.			+								+											
There is no code duplication.																			+			
The test is very good. It's very good to have a separate test method. It's very good to have a separate test method.	-		+													-						
Everything is pretty much the same. It's very good to have a separate test method. It's very good to have a separate test method.																						
Mainly the test name is not very descriptive.							-															
Good test and method names. It's very good to have a separate test method. It's very good to have a separate test method.			+					+			+										+	
The baseline, is defined early. The setup function makes the test easier to understand.	+																					
The variable naming is clear. It's not too long. It's not too long. It's not too long.			+													-						
Good test and method names. It's very good to have a separate test method. It's very good to have a separate test method.							-									-						
Good test and method names. It's very good to have a separate test method. It's very good to have a separate test method.							-									-						
The name is not very clear							-															
Everything seems fine																						
Good test and method names. It's very good to have a separate test method. It's very good to have a separate test method.				-												-						
It's not clear what Locale does.																						
The flow that allows parameter access is somewhat confusing. It's not clear what Locale does.																-						
The domain logic is not clear. It's not clear what Locale does.												-										
The naming is not clear. It's not clear what Locale does.																-						
Make "dog" a variable to explicitly state it's the same thing																						
The test is not clear. It's not clear what Locale does.											-		+									
Everything is understandable, except the getDescription variable. During a variable access with the variable, the code is not clear. It's not clear what Locale does.			-								+											
There is a setup method. It's not clear what Locale does.											+					-						
The domain is difficult to understand. I couldn't understand what is being said in the bigger picture. The test data is very hard to understand.											+				-							