#### Final Exam

Mike Gao 260915701 Prof. Prakash Panangaden

# Question 1

 $\epsilon + (b^*ba)^*b^*b$ 

# Question 2

Minimize the dfa and check if it only has one state. If yes, check whether the state is accepting, if yes, then it accepts  $\Sigma^*$ 

## Question 3

#### 3.1

Decidable. Since the intersection of a context free language and a regular language is context free, and we can build context-free grammar from the language. For a given CFG G, checking whether L(G) is empty or not is decidable.

#### 3.2

It is a well known problem that  $L = \Sigma^*$  is undecidable. We combine the PDA of L and DFA of R to create a new PDA. Because of the CFL-PDA equivalence, it is undecidable whether  $L \cap R = \Sigma^*$ .

## Question 4

Context free but not regular.

The following grammar generates it:

$$S \to aSc|T|\epsilon \ T \to bTc|\epsilon$$

Thus it is context free. Now we need to prove that it is not regular:

Regular languages are closed under intersection. We intersect L with another regular language, we get  $L \cap \{b^*c^*\} = \{b^mc^m\}$  which is not regular. According to the closure property of regular language, we get L is not regular language as well.

## Question 5

### 5.1

Suppose you have an input on which M does not halt. This detection algorithm will run forever. Therefore it is undecidable.

#### 5.2

Consider the following set  $\overline{H_{TM}} = \{ < M, w > | \text{M does not halt on w} \}$  Such set is non-CE as we mentioned in class. We do a reduction  $\overline{H_{TM}} \leq_m FIN$ 

Construct a new turing machine M' with input x, and let l be length of x.

We run w on M for l steps.

There are two cases:  $(\overline{H_{TM}})$  If M does not halt during the l steps, nothing is accepted. So  $M'=nil\in FIN$ 

 $(H_{TM})$  If M halts, all strings x with length  $\geq l$  will be accepted by M', which means M' accepts infinitely many strings, so  $M' \notin FIN$ 

We know that  $\overline{H_{TM}}$  is non-CE, then FIN is non-CE as well.

# Question 6

#### 6.1

Decidable. Whether a regular language is co-finite is decidable. The regular languages are closed under complement so this is essentially asking whether regular language is finite, which is decidable.

### 6.2

Not decidable. We know that a set of invalid computations is a CFL. Such set is co-finite iff the Turing machine can only accept a finite set. Since it is undecidable to determine whether a given Turing machine accepts a finite or infinite number of inputs (Corollary from Rice's theorem), the statement is undecidable as well.

#### 6.3

Not decidable by Rice's Theorem.

# Question 7

#### 7.1

True

#### 7.2

True

### 7.3

True

### 7.4

True

#### 7.5

False

## Honor Code

I solemnly swear that I am up to no mischief. I did not consult anyone nor did I use the internet to search for answers to these questions

```
######################################
####((((((#(#########%&&%##(//////*********///(((((((((#####((((####
((((((((/////////##&&%#(//*(#%##(/******/(*,,**//**////((((((((((
///////////////@&%%%(#(((#(((((/////////********///////((((
//////////////@@@%%&%%%#(((//******///((#**********//////((
////////**#@@@@@%%&&&%#(//((////(%((&@&#**********////////
//////*(&@@@@@@@@@@%%%%%%%%%%%%%%###((((/(@@@&%*********///////
////%&%@@@@@@@@@@@@@%###(#%#((////((///@%&&@&&&%%%/****///////
(&&#%&&&&%&%&@@&@@@@@@&#(//(((///////@&@@@&@%%&&&%%%%%///////
&&&&&&%#%&@&%@@&&&&&@@&@&&#(//////#**#&%&@@@&@&&&&&&&@@&&#%//
@@&&&@@%&@&&&@@@&@&%&@@%@@&@&/********(&&&&&&@%&&&%@&&&&&#%&
&0&&&&&00%&0&00000&&#&&&&00000000%(******(&&&&%%&00&#%&%%%&00&&#&&
@@@&&&@@&%@@&%@@&&%%%%%&&&&#%%&(@@@@(,*(%&%%@%%%%%%&&&&&@&%&&&#&&
```