

Dinero (Stargate Bridge) Audit Report

Version 2.0

Audited by:

MiloTruck

bytes032

September 25, 2024

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	2		
	1.1	About Renascence	2		
	1.2	Disclaimer	2		
	1.3	Risk Classification	2		
2	Executive Summary				
	2.1	About Dinero	3		
		Overview	3		
	2.3	Issues Found	3		
3	Find	lings Summary	4		
4	Find	linas	5		

1 Introduction

1.1 About Renascence

Renascence Labs was established by a team of experts including HollaDieWaldfee, MiloTruck, alexxander and bytes032.

Our founders have a distinguished history of achieving top honors in competitive audit contests, enhancing the security of leading protocols such as Reserve Protocol, Arbitrum, MaiaDAO, Chainlink, Dodo, Lens Protocol, Wenwin, PartyDAO, Lukso, Perennial Finance, Mute and Taurus.

We strive to deliver tailored solutions by thoroughly understanding each client's unique challenges and requirements. Our approach goes beyond addressing immediate security concerns; we are dedicated to fostering the enduring success and growth of our partners.

More of our work can be found here.

1.2 Disclaimer

This report reflects an analysis conducted within a defined scope and time frame, based on provided materials and documentation. It does not encompass all possible vulnerabilities and should not be considered exhaustive.

The review and accompanying report are presented on an 'as-is' and 'as-available' basis, without any express or implied warranties.

Furthermore, this report neither endorses any specific project or team nor assures the complete security of the project.

1.3 Risk Classification

	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	High	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

1.3.1 Impact

- · High Funds are directly at risk, or a severe disruption of the protocol's core functionality
- Medium Funds are indirectly at risk, or some disruption of the protocol's functionality
- · Low Funds are **not** at risk

1.3.2 Likelihood

- · High almost certain to happen, easy to perform, or not easy but highly incentivized
- · Medium only conditionally possible or incentivized, but still relatively likely
- Low requires stars to align, or little-to-no incentive

2 Executive Summary

2.1 About Dinero

Dinero is an experimental protocol which capitalizes on the premium blockspace market by introducing:

- 1. An ETH liquid staking token ("LST") which benefits from staking yield and the Dinero protocol
- 2. A decentralized stablecoin (DINERO) as a medium of exchange on Ethereum
- 3. A public and permissionless RPC for users

2.2 Overview

Project	Dinero (Stargate Bridge)
Repository	dinero-pirex-eth
Commit Hash	6f4fbed86674
Mitigation Hash	a341d24a0f67
Date	18 September 2024 - 23 September 2024

2.3 Issues Found

Severity	Count
High Risk	2
Medium Risk	4
Low Risk	1
Informational	1
Total Issues	8

3 Findings Summary

ID	Description	Status
H-1	Missing extraOptions in StargateAdapter.prepareTransaction() for lzCompose()	Resolved
H-2	Setting ${\tt minAmountLD}$ to ${\tt amountReceivedLD}$ causes L1 sync pool to receive less ETH than intended	Resolved
M-1	$\label{liquidStakingTokenNonNative.sync()} \ \ does \ not \ handle \ ETH \ deposits \\ on \ L2$	Resolved
M-2	Unsafe ERC-20 operations in StargateAdapter	Resolved
M-3	Using transfer() to transfer ETH is unsafe	Resolved
M-4	Stargate's composability feature cannot be used in bus mode	Resolved
L-1	Refunds are not sent to the user in StargateAdapter	Resolved
I-1	_12TokenIn address is wrongly sent to L1 instead of _11TokenIn	Resolved

4 Findings

High Risk

[H-1] Missing extraOptions in StargateAdapter.prepareTransaction() for lzCompose()

Context: StargateAdapter.sol#L151-L159

Description: In StargateAdapter.prepareTransaction(), extraOptions is set to empty bytes in the parameters sent to sendToken():

```
sendParam = SendParam({
    dstEid: DST_EID,
    to: addressToBytes32(_receiver),
    amountLD: _amountLD,
    minAmountLD: _minAmountLD,
    extraOptions: new bytes(0),
    composeMsg: composeMsg,
    oftCmd: takeBus ? new bytes(1) : new bytes(0)
});
```

L1StargateReceiverETH uses 1zCompose to receive data and an external call from L2. According to Stargate's documentation, to use the 1zCompose feature, extraOptions must specify the amount of gas that 1zCompose() is called with on L1:

You also need to pass additional gas for the compose call. You need to set this value to the amount of gas your lzCompose function in the compose receiver consumes.

However, since extraOptions is set to empty bytes in the current implementation, the L2 \rightarrow L1 call to L1StargateReceiverETH will not work.

Recommendation: Add the minimum gas limit that lzCompose() is called with on L1 to extraOptions. This can be done by following the following documentation:

- Stargate's documentation
- LayerZero's documentation

Redacted: Fixed in commit 48e1f12.

Redacted: Verified, extraOptions is now specified with an owner-configured gas limit:

```
function addExecutorLzComposeOption(uint128 _gas) external onlyOwner {
    lzComposeOptions = OptionsBuilder
        .newOptions()
        .addExecutorLzComposeOption(0, _gas, 0);
}
```

[H-2] Setting minAmountLD to amountReceivedLD causes L1 sync pool to receive less ETH than intended

Context:

- StargateAdapter.sol#L205-L209
- StargateAdapter.sol#L151-L162
- L1SyncPool.sol#L276

Description: In StargateAdapter.prepareTransaction(), amountLD is first set to amountIn and minAmountLD is first set to amountOut:

```
(
    uint256 valueToSend,
    SendParam memory sendParam,
    MessagingFee memory messagingFee
) = prepareTransaction(amountIn, amountOut, _receiver, _message);
```

```
sendParam = SendParam({
    dstEid: DST_EID,
    to: addressToBytes32(_receiver),
    amountLD: _amountLD,
    minAmountLD: _minAmountLD,
    extraOptions: new bytes(0),
    composeMsg: composeMsg,
    oftCmd: takeBus ? new bytes(1) : new bytes(0)
});
```

Afterwards, minAmountLD is overwritten with amountReceivedLD returned from quoteOFT():

```
(, , OFTReceipt memory receipt) = stargate.quoteOFT(sendParam);
sendParam.minAmountLD = receipt.amountReceivedLD;
```

amountReceivedLD is the amount of tokens that will be sent to L1 after Stargate's transfer limits are applied and fees are subtracted.

However, since minAmountLD is the minimum amount of tokens that L1 should receive, setting it to amountReceivedLD is dangerous as there is effectively no lower bound on the amount of tokens received. For example, if Stargate's transfer limits are reached and quoteOFT() returns amountReceivedLD = 0, it becomes possible for stargate.sendToken() to send no tokens to L1 when sync() is called.

This breaks the syncing of L2 deposits as L1 sync pool always expects to receive amountIn of ETH from slow sync:

```
if (amountIn != msg.value) revert Errors.InvalidAmount();
```

Recommendation: In sendMessage(), specify _minAmountLD as amountIn instead of amountOut:

```
(
    uint256 valueToSend,
    SendParam memory sendParam,
    MessagingFee memory messagingFee
- ) = prepareTransaction(amountIn, amountOut, _receiver, _message);
+ ) = prepareTransaction(amountIn, amountIn, _receiver, _message);
```

In prepareTransaction(), remove the lines that overwrite minAmountLD with amountReceivedLD:

```
- (, , OFTReceipt memory receipt) = stargate.quoteOFT(sendParam);
- sendParam.minAmountLD = receipt.amountReceivedLD;
```

This ensures that L1 will always receive amount In of ETH from slow sync.

Additionally, if Stargate protocol fees are enabled for the pool being used, amountLD must include the ETH used for Stargate fees (ie. amountLD = amountIn + stargateFees). This means msg.value sent by the user will have to be equal to amountIn + stargateFee + messagingFee.nativeFee.

Redacted: Fixed in commits 414d867, 106a1b2 and 0a1a30c.

Renascence: Verified, overwriting minAmountLD with amountReceivedLD was removed and following check was added instead:

```
if (
    receipt.amountReceivedLD < _minAmountLD &&
    _revertOnInsufficientOutput
) {
    revert Errors.InsufficientAmountOut();
}</pre>
```

This ensures that L1 never receives less ETH than amountOut.

Additionally, L1SyncPool was modified in commit 106a1b2 to accept amountOut of ETH.

Medium Risk

[M-1] LiquidStakingTokenNonNative._sync() does not handle ETH deposits on L2

Context: LiquidStakingTokenNonNative.sol#L86-L93

Description: LiquidStakingTokenNonNative._sync() transfers _12TokenIn to StargateAdapter, followed by msg.value - nativeFee of ETH when calling sendMessage():

```
//transfer funds to messenger
IERC20(_12TokenIn).safeTransfer(getMessenger(), _amountIn);

// send slow sync message
uint256 messageServiceFee = msg.value - _fee.nativeFee;
ICrossDomainMessenger(getMessenger()).sendMessage{
    value: messageServiceFee
}(getReceiver(), data, _minGasLimit());
```

However, this implementation only works when $_12TokenIn$ is an ERC-20 token. If $_12TokenIn$ is Constants.ETH_ADDRESS, the call to safeTransfer() would revert and amountIn of ETH would not be transferred to StargateAdapter.

As such, if LiquidStakingTokenNonNative is used to sync L2 deposits, it would revert when attempting to handle ETH deposits on L2.

Additionally, note that Stargate V2 does not support cross-asset bridging (ie. to receive ETH on L1, ETH must be sent from L2). This means that LiquidStakingTokenNonNative can only be used with ETH deposits, since L1 sync pool expects slow sync to send ETH from L2 to L1.

Recommendation: Refactor LiquidStakingTokenNonNative._sync() to handle ETH deposits on L2:

Redacted: Fixed in commit 0f42b64.

Renascence: Verified, the recommended fix was implemented.

[M-2] Unsafe ERC-20 operations in StargateAdapter

Context:

- StargateAdapter.sol#L54
- StargateAdapter.sol#L65
- StargateAdapter.sol#L99

Description: StargateAdapter directly calls ERC20's approve() and transfer() functions, which might not work for tokens that are non-compliant with the ERC20 standard. The instances of unsafe ERC20 operations are:

• In whitelistToken():

```
IERC20(_token).approve(address(stargate), type(uint256).max);
```

In removeToken():

```
IERC20(_token).approve(address(stargate), 0);
```

• In withdraw():

```
IERC20(_token).transfer(msg.sender, _amount);
```

Additionally, if removeToken() was called with BNB, approve() would revert as BNB reverts on zero-value approvals:

```
/* Allow another contract to spend some tokens in your behalf */
function approve(address _spender, uint256 _value)
    returns (bool success) {
    if (_value <= 0) throw;
     allowance[msg.sender][_spender] = _value;
    return true;
}</pre>
```

Therefore, removeToken() can never be called with BNB.

Recommendation: Use OpenZeppelin's SafeERC20 library to handle these operations. approve() can be replaced with forceApprove(), while transfer() should be replaced with safeTransfer().

If there is ever a need to transfer BNB in StargateAdapter, consider resetting the allowance to 1 wei in removeToken():

```
- IERC20(_token).approve(address(stargate), 0);
+ IERC20(_token).approve(address(stargate), 1);
```

Redacted: Fixed in commit 4c1b5f1.

Renascence: Verified, the recommended fix was implemented.

[M-3] Using transfer() to transfer ETH is unsafe

Context:

StargateAdapter.sol#L97

Description: StargateAdapter.withdraw() uses .transfer() to transfer ETH to the owner:

```
payable(msg.sender).transfer(_amount);
```

However, .transfer() does not work on certain chains (eg. ZKSync) due to the fixed 2300 gas stipend. Moreover, its use is discouraged on other chains as gas costs can change in the future.

See these resources:

- https://twitter.com/zksync/status/1644139364270878720
- https://consensys.io/diligence/blog/2019/09/stop-using-soliditys-transfer-now/

Recommendation: Use a low-level call to transfer ETH instead:

```
- payable(msg.sender).transfer(_amount);
+ (bool success, ) = payable(msg.sender).call{value: _amount}("");
+ if (!success) revert EthTransferFailed();
```

Redacted: Fixed in commits 4c1b5f1 and a341d24.

Renascence: Verified, a low-level call is now used to perform the ETH transfer.

[M-4] Stargates composability feature cannot be used in bus mode

Context:

- StargateAdapter.sol#L105-L115
- L1StargateReceiverETH.sol#L75-L107

Description: The owner of StargateAdapter can configure the takeBus state variable, which determines if $L2 \rightarrow L1$ bridging is performed through Stargate's taxi or bus mode:

```
/**
    * @notice Set if the bus ride should be taken
    * - Taxi: Immediately sends an omnichain message to the destination chain.
    * - Bus: Transaction batching. The message will be sent to destination chain when a
    "bus" reaches a set number of passengers
    * @param _takeBus bool to set if the bus ride should be taken
    */
function setTakeBusRide(bool _takeBus) external onlyOwner {
        takeBus = _takeBus;
        emit TakeBusRide(_takeBus);
}
```

On L1, the protocol uses lzCompose() to receive L2 messages and perform actions when messages are received.

However, according to Stargate's documentation, the lzCompose() feature can only be used in taxi mode:

Note: Only Stargate's taxi() method is composable, you cannot perform destination logic with rideBus().

Therefore, if takeBus is enabled, lzCompose() will not be called on L1, causing L2 deposits to never be synced.

Recommendation: Remove the option to use bus mode from StargateAdapter and only use taxi mode.

Redacted: Fixed in commit b0378cb.

Renascence: Verified, bus mode was removed.

Low Risk

[L-1] Refunds are not sent to the user in StargateAdapter

Context:

- StargateAdapter.sol#L213-L215
- LiquidStakingTokenNonNative.sol#L62-L74

Description: When sending a fast sync message directly through LayerZero, the refund address is specified as the user:

In contrast, in StargateAdapter.sendMessage(), the refund address is set to address(this) when calling stargate.sendToken():

```
(msgReceipt, oftReceipt, ticket) = stargate.sendToken{
   value: valueToSend
}(sendParam, messagingFee, address(this));
```

This is inconsistent and might cause the user calling $\mathrm{sync}()$ to lose funds, since they only receive a portion of refunds. A user might call $\mathrm{sync}()$ with excess native fees for LayerZero/Stargate messaging and expect all excess ETH to be refunded to them, but instead, excess ETH for Stargate messaging is left in the StargateAdapter contract.

Recommendation: Pass caller's address to StargateAdapter.sendMessage() from LiquidStaking-TokenNonNative._sync(), and specify the caller as the refund address when calling sendToken() instead.

Redacted: Fixed in commit bac250d.

Renascence: Verified, the refund address is now specified as the caller.

Informational

[I-1] _12TokenIn address is wrongly sent to L1 instead of _11TokenIn

Context:

- LiquidStakingToken.sol#L851-L857
- LiquidStakingTokenNonNative.sol#L78-L84

Description: When building the message for slow sync in LiquidStakingToken(), _l1TokenIn is passed to L1:

```
bytes memory data = abi.encode(
   endpoint.eid(),
   receipt.guid,
   _l1TokenIn,
   _amountIn,
   _amountOut
);
```

In contrast, the message in LiquidStakingTokenNonNative sends the _12TokenIn address to L1 instead:

```
bytes memory data = abi.encode(
   endpoint.eid(),
   receipt.guid,
   _l2TokenIn,
   _amountIn,
   _amountOut
);
```

This is inconsistent. If <code>_l1TokenIn</code> and <code>_l2TokenIn</code> are different addresses, this could result in the wrong token address being passed to L1.

Recommendation: In LiquidStakingTokenNonNative._sync(), send the _l1TokenIn address instead:

```
bytes memory data = abi.encode(
    endpoint.eid(),
    receipt.guid,
- _l2TokenIn,
+ _l1TokenIn,
    _amountIn,
    _amountOut
);
```

Redacted: Fixed.

Renascence: Verified, this was fixed as a part of comit d415cc3.