

lab08.R

mirrien

2022-04-10

```
# Question 1

# Helper function to calculate L1 distances

manhattan <- function(p1,p2) {
  distance <- matrix(NA, nrow=dim(p1)[1], ncol=dim(p2)[1])
  for(i in 1:nrow(p2)) {
    distance[,i] = rowSums(abs(t(t(p1)-p2[i,])))
  }
  return(distance)
}

# Another function for calculating L1 distances

manhattan2<-function(x,K){
  distance = matrix(NA, nrow= nrow(x), ncol = nrow(K))
  for(j in 1:nrow(K)) {
    for(i in 1:nrow(x)) {
      distance[i,j]<-dist(rbind(x[i,],K[j,]), method = "manhattan")
    }
  }
  return(distance)
}

kmedian <- function(x,K,itters) {
  # convert df to matrix
  x = as.matrix(x)

  # randomly sample some centers, set a seed 100
  set.seed(100)
  K <- x[sample(nrow(x), K),]

  # empty lists to store outputs
  assignments <- vector(itters, mode = "list")
  locations <- vector(itters, mode = "list")

  for(i in 1:itters) {
    # call manhattan distance helper function
    dists = manhattan(x,K)
    # find minimum distance
```

```

clusters <- apply(dists,1,which.min)
# tapply median()
centers <- apply(x,2,tapply,clusters,median)

# store outputs
assignments[[i]] <- clusters
locations[[i]] <- centers
}

# return outputs in list
return(list(locations=locations[[1]], assignments = assignments[[1]]))
}

# Test:

# df = read.csv("parkinsons.data",row.names = 1)
# kmedian(df,3,10)

#####
# Question 2

kmedian2 <- function(x,K,itters) {
  # convert df to matrix
  x = as.matrix(x)

  # randomly sample some centers, set a seed 100
  set.seed(100)
  K <- x[sample(nrow(x), K),]

  # empty lists to store outputs
  assignments <- vector(itters, mode = "list")
  locations <- vector(itters, mode = "list")

  # initialize cluster assignments using ((i-1)%K)+1
  for (i in 1:nrow(x)) {
    assignments[i] = ((i-1)%K)+1
  }

  for(i in 1:itters) {
    # call manhattan distance helper function
    dists = manhattan(x,K)
    # find minimum distance
    clusters <- apply(dists,1,which.min)
    # tapply median()
    centers <- apply(x,2,tapply,clusters,median)

    # store outputs
    assignments[[i]] <- clusters
    locations[[i]] <- centers
  }
}

```

```

# return outputs in list
return(list(locations=locations[[1]], assignments = assignments[[1]]))
}

df = read.csv("parkinsons.data",row.names = 1)
result = kmedian(df,3,1000)
print(result$locations)

## MDVP.Fo.Hz. MDVP.Fhi.Hz. MDVP.Flo.Hz. MDVP.Jitter... MDVP.Jitter.Abs.
## 1 120.168 140.2120 97.5350 0.005275 4e-05
## 2 225.534 242.5295 202.2575 0.002735 1e-05
## 3 180.198 216.3020 109.3790 0.004600 3e-05
## MDVP.RAP MDVP.PPQ Jitter.DDP MDVP.Shimmer MDVP.Shimmer.dB. Shimmer.APQ3
## 1 0.002685 0.003060 0.008065 0.024450 0.2305 0.013655
## 2 0.001545 0.001515 0.004635 0.016255 0.1430 0.008640
## 3 0.002370 0.002540 0.007100 0.025510 0.2550 0.014100
## Shimmer.APQ5 MDVP.APQ Shimmer.DDA NHR HNR status RPDE DFA
## 1 0.014125 0.019525 0.040965 0.012295 22.1520 1 0.5393685 0.727867
## 2 0.009970 0.011410 0.025925 0.004760 24.8555 0 0.4294560 0.678466
## 3 0.015800 0.019090 0.042310 0.018020 20.3660 1 0.4699280 0.715121
## spread1 spread2 D2 PPE
## 1 -5.514255 0.2318010 2.246647 0.217401
## 2 -7.162888 0.1706355 2.272559 0.095626
## 3 -5.845099 0.2180370 2.608749 0.186489

#####
# Question 3

# Helper function to calculate Euclidean distance

euclid <- function(x,K){
  distance = matrix(NA, nrow= nrow(x), ncol = nrow(K))
  for(j in 1:nrow(K)) {
    for(i in 1:nrow(x)) {
      distance[i,j]<-dist(rbind(x[i,],K[j,]), method = "euclidean")
    }
  }
  return(distance)
}

mykmeans <- function(x,K,itters) {
  # convert df to matrix
  x = as.matrix(x)

  # randomly sample some centers, set a seed 100
  set.seed(100)
  K <- x[sample(nrow(x), K),]

  # empty lists to store outputs
  assignments <- vector(itters, mode = "list")
  locations <- vector(itters, mode = "list")

  for(i in 1:itters) {

```

```

# call euclidean distance helper function
dists = euclid(x,K)
# find minimum distance
clusters <- apply(dists,1,which.min)
# tapply mean()
centers <- apply(x,2,tapply,clusters,mean)

# store outputs
assignments[[i]] <- clusters
locations[[i]] <- centers
}

# return outputs in list
return(list(locations=locations[[1]], assignments = assignments[[1]]))
}

# df = read.csv("parkinsons.data",row.names = 1)
result2 = mykmeans(df,3,10)
set.seed(123)
result3 = kmeans(df,3,10)

# Compare cluster assignments
v1 = result2$assignments
v2 = c()
for (i in seq_along(result3$cluster)) {
  v2[i] = result3$cluster[[i]]
}

compare1 = data.frame(mykmeans = v1, kmeans = v2)
compare2 = data.frame(cluster = c(1,2,3),
  count_mykmeans = c(sum(v1 == 1), sum(v1==2),sum(v1==3)),
  count_kmeans = c(sum(v2==1),sum(v2==2),sum(v2==3))
)
# compare1
compare2

```

```

##   cluster count_mykmeans count_kmeans
## 1      1          109          121
## 2      2           24           63
## 3      3           62           11

```

The comparisons show that cluster assignments may vary but the distribution of the sums of data points in each of the three clusters (i.e., the counts of cluster assignments: 109, 24, 62 versus 121, 63, 11) remains similar. It might be due to the different initial centroids of the two methods that were randomly generated. This is manifested in the differences between result2\$locations and result3\$centers.

```

compare3 = list(mykmeans = result2$locations,
  kmeans = result3$centers)
compare3

```

```

## $mykmeans
## MDVP.Fo.Hz. MDVP.Fhi.Hz. MDVP.Flo.Hz. MDVP.Jitter... MDVP.Jitter.Abs.
## 1 126.7810 147.9497 95.9625 0.006437248 5.220183e-05
## 2 222.9895 250.3461 208.3466 0.004764167 2.175000e-05
## 3 175.8662 262.9134 116.5012 0.006403065 3.806452e-05
## MDVP.RAP MDVP.PPQ Jitter.DDP MDVP.Shimmer MDVP.Shimmer.dB.
## 1 0.003384679 0.003536147 0.010154771 0.03048220 0.2844312
## 2 0.002736667 0.002793333 0.008210833 0.02052958 0.2035833
## 3 0.003389355 0.003541290 0.010168710 0.03190339 0.3088710
## Shimmer.APQ3 Shimmer.APQ5 MDVP.APQ Shimmer.DDA NHR HNR
## 1 0.01611431 0.01808248 0.02444110 0.04834312 0.02370156 21.78672
## 2 0.01081833 0.01269833 0.01614708 0.03245542 0.01479917 24.66696
## 3 0.01674855 0.01952435 0.02652065 0.05024565 0.03075048 20.98397
## status RPDE DFA spread1 spread2 D2 PPE
## 1 0.8532110 0.5298757 0.7322895 -5.418370 0.2324567 2.297751 0.2256944
## 2 0.2083333 0.4163468 0.6901854 -6.830502 0.1597985 2.204304 0.1225370
## 3 0.7903226 0.4752525 0.7039565 -5.708436 0.2418802 2.598355 0.2054193
##
## $kmeans
## MDVP.Fo.Hz. MDVP.Fhi.Hz. MDVP.Flo.Hz. MDVP.Jitter... MDVP.Jitter.Abs.
## 1 129.5000 150.6280 99.52977 0.006151488 4.933884e-05
## 2 202.0870 231.5896 153.07944 0.005914603 3.098413e-05
## 3 152.1458 510.8475 90.56327 0.008730909 5.909091e-05
## MDVP.RAP MDVP.PPQ Jitter.DDP MDVP.Shimmer MDVP.Shimmer.dB.
## 1 0.003229669 0.003383802 0.009689504 0.03058983 0.2853554
## 2 0.003231111 0.003361587 0.009694762 0.02802937 0.2714603
## 3 0.004581818 0.004620000 0.013744545 0.02964182 0.3099091
## Shimmer.APQ3 Shimmer.APQ5 MDVP.APQ Shimmer.DDA NHR HNR
## 1 0.01621000 0.01820438 0.02444893 0.04863008 0.02246413 21.86427
## 2 0.01468730 0.01749444 0.02348810 0.04406190 0.02481698 21.88935
## 3 0.01525455 0.01648909 0.02343818 0.04576545 0.05123182 22.10536
## status RPDE DFA spread1 spread2 D2 PPE
## 1 0.8677686 0.5191681 0.7318962 -5.508738 0.2286055 2.307389 0.2184998
## 2 0.5396825 0.4605148 0.6977117 -6.069726 0.2160535 2.499325 0.1807017
## 3 0.7272727 0.4893328 0.6830941 -5.409763 0.2633536 2.527684 0.2231709

```

*# The other reason might be due to the different names created
for the 3 clusters. Because the names (i.e., 1, 2, and 3) are just an
indicator of three different groups rather than something meaningful. There
is no real measurements differetiating the three groups. If we plot out the
distribution of the clustering, we would find the distributions of two methods
are similar.*

#####

Question 4

Three benefits:

*# Because the method doesn't require the data to be labeled,
it is frequently utilized in a variety of real-world problem statements.
K-means clustering is easy to implement.
The approach can handle massive amounts of data.*

Three drawbacks:

```

# The value of K must be manually selected.
# Outliers would have an adverse impact on the clustering.
# Clusters cannot overlap: one point can only belong to one cluster at a time,
# leading to certain points placed in incorrect clusters.

#####
# Question 5

suppressWarnings(suppressMessages(library(ggpubr)))
suppressWarnings(suppressMessages(library(factoextra)))

# Create a test data frame
set.seed(100)
sample_df = data.frame(V1 = rnorm(50,0,10), V2 = rnorm(50,0,10))
# head(sample_df)

# Use mykmeans()

result01 = mykmeans(scale(sample_df),3,1000)
result01$assignments

## [1] 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 3 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 3 1 1 2 1 2 2
## [39] 2 3 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 3 1 1

# Plot

plot1 = fviz_cluster(list(data = sample_df, cluster = result01$assignments),
  data = sample_df,
  palette = c("#2E9FDF", "#00AFBB", "#E7B800"),
  geom = "point",
  ellipse.type = "convex",
  ggtheme = theme_bw()
)

# Use kmeans()

set.seed(222)
result02 = kmeans(scale(sample_df),3,1000)
result02$cluster

## [1] 3 3 1 2 3 1 3 2 1 3 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 1 1 2 1 3 3 2 3 1 3 1 1 3 1 2 3 3 1 1 1 1
## [39] 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 2 3 2 3 3

# Plot

plot2 = fviz_cluster(result02, data = sample_df,
  palette = c("#2E9FDF", "#00AFBB", "#E7B800"),
  geom = "point",
  ellipse.type = "convex",
  ggtheme = theme_bw()
)

```

```
)
```

```
# Combine two plots to visualize comparison
```

```
figure <- ggarrange(plot1, plot2, labels = c("mykmeans", "kmeans"),  
  label.x = 0.35, label.y = 1,  
  ncol=2,nrow=1)
```

```
# Output the combined plot
```

```
figure
```

