

# Algebraic Geometry

Lectured by Prof Mark Gross  
Typed by David Kurniadi Angdinata

Michaelmas 2020

**Syllabus**

# Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Brief review of classical algebraic geometry and motivation for scheme theory</b>	<b>3</b>
0.1	Classical algebraic geometry . . . . .	3
0.2	Why schemes? . . . . .	3
0.3	Categorical philosophy . . . . .	4
0.4	Spectrum of a ring . . . . .	5
<b>1</b>	<b>Sheaves</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1	Sheaves . . . . .	6
1.2	Stalks . . . . .	7
1.3	Kernels, cokernels, and images . . . . .	9
1.4	Passing between spaces . . . . .	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Schemes</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Localisation of a ring . . . . .	11
2.2	Construction of the structure sheaf . . . . .	11
2.3	Affine schemes . . . . .	13
2.4	Schemes . . . . .	15
2.5	Projective schemes . . . . .	16
2.6	Open and closed subschemes . . . . .	18
<b>3</b>	<b>Properties of schemes and morphisms of schemes</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	Fibre products . . . . .	19
3.2	Fibres of morphisms . . . . .	22
3.3	Brief discussion of other properties . . . . .	23
3.4	Separated and proper morphisms . . . . .	24
<b>4</b>	<b>Sheaves of <math>\mathcal{O}_X</math>-modules</b>	<b>25</b>
4.1	Sheaves of modules . . . . .	25
4.2	Locally free and coherent sheaves . . . . .	25
4.3	Line bundles and the Picard group . . . . .	27
4.4	Morphisms to projective space . . . . .	27
<b>5</b>	<b>Divisors</b>	<b>31</b>
5.1	Dimension . . . . .	31
5.2	Class group of Weil divisors . . . . .	31
5.3	Cartier divisors and relation with Weil divisors . . . . .	34
5.4	Correspondence between Cartier divisors and line bundles . . . . .	35
5.5	Effective divisors . . . . .	36
5.6	Dictionary between line bundles and linear systems . . . . .	38
<b>6</b>	<b>Cohomology of sheaves</b>	<b>39</b>
6.1	Injective resolutions . . . . .	39
6.2	Sheaf cohomology . . . . .	39
6.3	Čech cohomology . . . . .	41
6.4	Calculation of cohomology of projective space . . . . .	42
<b>7</b>	<b>Differentials and Riemann-Roch</b>	<b>45</b>
7.1	Normal and conormal bundles . . . . .	45
7.2	Serre duality and Riemann-Roch . . . . .	46
7.3	Curves . . . . .	47
7.4	Surfaces* . . . . .	48

## 0 Brief review of classical algebraic geometry and motivation for scheme theory

The following are the main references for the course.

- R Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, 1977
- U Goertz and T Wedhorn, Algebraic geometry I, 2010
- R Vakil, The rising sea: foundations of algebraic geometry, 2017

Lecture 1  
Friday  
09/10/20

### 0.1 Classical algebraic geometry

Throughout this discussion, we take the base field  $k$  to be algebraically closed. An **affine variety**  $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ , where, once one has chosen coordinates,  $\mathbb{A}^n(k) = k^n$ , is given by the vanishing of polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . If  $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is any ideal, we set

$$\mathbb{V}(I) = \{z \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid \forall f \in I, f(z) = 0\}.$$

First set  $\mathbb{P}^n(k) = (k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) / k^*$  with **homogeneous coordinates**  $(x_0 : \dots : x_n)$ . A **projective variety**  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is given by the vanishing of homogeneous polynomials  $F_1, \dots, F_r \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . If  $I$  is the ideal generated by the homogeneous ideals  $F_i$ , that is if  $F \in I$  then so are all its homogeneous parts, we set

$$\mathbb{V}(I) = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid \forall F \in I \text{ homogeneous}, F(z) = 0\}.$$

If  $V = \mathbb{V}(I) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ , set

$$\mathbb{I}(V) = \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid \forall x \in V, f(x) = 0\}.$$

Observe that  $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{I}(V)) = V$ , by tautology, and  $\mathbb{I}(\mathbb{V}(I)) \supseteq \sqrt{I}$ , which is obvious. Recall that the **radical**  $\sqrt{I}$  of the ideal  $I$  is defined by  $f \in \sqrt{I}$  if and only if there exists  $m > 0$  such that  $f^m \in I$ . **Hilbert's Nullstellensatz** states that, noting  $k = \bar{k}$ ,

$$\mathbb{I}(\mathbb{V}(I)) = \sqrt{I}.$$

The **coordinate ring** is

$$k[V] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \mathbb{I}(V).$$

This may be regarded as the ring of polynomial functions on  $V$ , and it is a finitely generated reduced  $k$ -algebra. Recall that a  **$k$ -algebra** is a commutative ring containing  $k$  as a subring. It is **finitely generated** if it is the quotient of a polynomial ring over  $k$ , and **reduced** if  $a^m = 0$  implies that  $a = 0$ .

### 0.2 Why schemes?

A better question is what is wrong with varieties?

- With varieties, always work over algebraically closed fields. For example, let  $I = \langle x^2 + y^2 + 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ . Then  $\mathbb{V}(I) = \emptyset$ , but  $I$  is a prime ideal, hence radical, so  $\mathbb{I}(\mathbb{V}(I)) = \mathbb{R}[x, y] \neq I$ .
- Number theory? Diophantine equations. If  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an ideal, have  $\mathbb{V}(I) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ . For example,  $x^n + y^n = z^n$ .
- Why should we only consider radical, or prime, ideals? For example, a natural situation is

$$X_1 = \mathbb{V}(x - y^2) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2, \quad X_2 = \mathbb{V}(x) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2.$$

Then  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \mathbb{V}(x - y^2, x) = \mathbb{V}(x, y^2)$ . Note  $I = \langle x - y^2, x \rangle = \langle x, y^2 \rangle$  is not a radical ideal, because  $y \notin I$  and  $y^2 \in I$  so  $y \in \sqrt{I}$ . Recall the coordinate ring of  $X_i$  is  $k[X_i] = k[x, y] / I_i$  and  $k[X_1 \cap X_2] = k[x, y] / \langle x, y^2 \rangle \cong k[y] / \langle y^2 \rangle$ . So thinking of the coordinate ring of  $X_1 \cap X_2$  as functions on  $X_1 \cap X_2$ , we have a function  $y$  whose square is zero, but is not itself zero.

### 0.3 Categorical philosophy

What is a point? In the category of sets, objects are sets, and if  $A$  and  $B$  are sets, then morphisms are  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ , the set of maps  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Let  $*$  be a one-element set. Then the elements of any set  $X$  are in one-to-one correspondence with  $\text{Hom}(*, X)$ . In the category of affine varieties, objects are affine varieties and morphisms are  $\text{Hom}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(k[Y], k[X])$ . In this category, a point is a single point with coordinate ring  $k$ . Giving a morphism

$$\{\text{point}\} \rightarrow X = \mathbb{V}(I) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n, \quad I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

for  $I$  a radical ideal, is the same as giving a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : k[X] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I &\longrightarrow k \\ x_i &\longmapsto a_i \end{aligned}.$$

Note that  $\phi$  vanishes in  $I$  if and only if  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$  for all  $f \in I$ , which is if and only if  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{V}(I) = X$ . Note  $\phi$  is surjective, and hence  $\ker \phi$  is a maximal ideal. With  $k$  algebraically closed, the maximal ideals at  $k[X]$  are all of the form  $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$  for  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X$ , a consequence of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. That is, there exist one-to-one correspondences

$$\{\text{points of } X\} \longleftrightarrow \{k\text{-algebra homomorphisms } \phi : k[X] \rightarrow k\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{maximal ideals of } k[X]\}.$$

What if  $k$  is not algebraically closed? We may want to consider solutions not just in  $k^n = \mathbb{A}^n$  but  $(k')^n$  for  $k'$  any field extension of  $k$ . That is, we may consider  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : k[X] = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]/I &\longrightarrow k' \\ x_i &\longmapsto a_i \end{aligned}.$$

This gives a tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (k')^n$  with  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$  for all  $f \in I$ . Then  $\phi$  need not be surjective, so can only say the image of  $\phi$  is a subring of a field, hence an integral domain. Thus  $\ker \phi$  is a prime ideal, and maximal if and only if  $\text{im } \phi$  is a field.

**Example.** The  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{R}[x, y] / \langle x^2 + y^2 + 1 \rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ x &\longmapsto 0 \\ y &\longmapsto i \end{aligned}$$

is surjective with kernel  $\langle x, y^2 + 1 \rangle$ , so  $\mathbb{R}[y] / \langle y^2 + 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}$ . This is a maximal ideal but is not of the form  $\langle x - a, y - b \rangle$  for  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . If instead we considered the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}[x, y] / \langle x^2 + y^2 + 1 \rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ x &\longmapsto 0, \\ y &\longmapsto -i \end{aligned}$$

we get the same kernel. That is,  $(0, i)$  and  $(0, -i)$  are solutions to  $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$ , but they correspond to the same maximal ideal. In fact, this maximal ideal corresponds to a Galois orbit of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  of solutions.

There are more exotic points by taking even bigger fields.

**Example.** Let  $k(X)$  be the field of fractions of  $k[X] = \mathbb{R}[x, y] / \langle x^2 + y^2 + 1 \rangle$ . There is an inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} k[X] &\longrightarrow k(X) \\ x &\longmapsto \frac{x}{1} \\ y &\longmapsto \frac{y}{1} \end{aligned}.$$

This gives a solution to the equation  $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$  with coordinates in the field  $k(X)$ . This solution is  $(x/1, y/1) \in \mathbb{A}^2(k(X))$ . The kernel of this map is zero. The moral is that once we start looking at solutions to equations over any field, then we get maps  $k[X] \rightarrow k'$  with kernel not necessarily maximal.

What about solutions over rings?

**Example.** Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ , and let  $R$  be any commutative ring. We define an  $R$ -valued point of  $\text{Spec } A$  to be a ring homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & R \\ x_i & \longmapsto & r_i \end{array}.$$

Then  $f(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0$  for all  $f \in I$ . This gives a lot of flexibility. For example,

- $R = \mathbb{Z}$  gives diophantine equations,
- $R = \mathbb{F}_p$  gives solutions modulo  $p$ , and
- $R = \mathbb{Q}$  gives rational solutions.

Take this to its logical conclusion. Let  $A$  be a ring, where all rings are commutative in this course. Given  $A$ , we hope for some geometric object  $\text{Spec } A$ , the **spectrum** of  $A$ . For a ring  $R$ , the set of  $R$ -valued points of  $X$  is

$$X(R) = \text{Hom}_{\text{ring}}(A, R).$$

A morphism  $X = \text{Spec } A \rightarrow Y = \text{Spec } B$  should be the same thing as giving a morphism  $\phi: B \rightarrow A$ . Define the category of **affine schemes** to be the opposite category to the category of rings. Define a **scheme** to be something which is locally isomorphic to an affine scheme. By analogy, a **manifold** is a topological space with an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  with each  $U_i$  homeomorphic to an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 0.4 Spectrum of a ring

To make sense of the definition of schemes, we need a lot of language.

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Then

$$\text{Spec } A = \{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ a prime ideal}\}.$$

For  $I \subseteq A$  an ideal, define

$$\mathbb{V}(I) = \{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime, } \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I\}.$$

**Proposition 0.1.** *The sets  $\mathbb{V}(I)$  form the closed sets of a topology on  $\text{Spec } A$ , called the **Zariski topology**.*

*Proof.*

- $\mathbb{V}(A) = \emptyset$ .
- $\mathbb{V}(0) = \text{Spec } A$ .
- If  $\{I_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a collection of ideals, then

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\sum_{i \in J} I_i\right) = \bigcap_{i \in J} \mathbb{V}(I_i).$$

- Claim that

$$\mathbb{V}(I_1 \cap I_2) = \mathbb{V}(I_1) \cup \mathbb{V}(I_2).$$

$\supseteq$  Obvious.

$\subseteq$  If  $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_1 \cap I_2$  is prime, then  $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_1$  or  $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_2$ . See Atiyah-Macdonald, Proposition 1.11.ii. <sup>1</sup>

□

**Example.** Let  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $k$  algebraically closed and  $I \subseteq A$  an ideal. Then the maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$  containing  $I$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the zero set of  $I$  in  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ , so

$$\{ \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle \supseteq I, a_i \in k \} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{V}(I) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k) \}.$$

The new  $\mathbb{V}(I)$  now extends this notion of zero set by including possible other prime ideals.

**Example.** If  $k$  is a field,  $\text{Spec } k = \{0\}$ , so the topological space cannot see the field.

We fix this by also thinking about what functions are on these spaces.

<sup>1</sup>Exercise: try to prove without looking up

# 1 Sheaves

Fix a topological space  $X$ .

## 1.1 Sheaves

**Definition.** A **presheaf**  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  consists of the following data.

- For every open set  $U \subseteq X$  an abelian group  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ .
- Whenever given an inclusion  $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ , a **restriction map**  $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ , a homomorphism, such that
  - $\rho_{UU} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$ , and
  - if  $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ , then  $\rho_{UW} = \rho_{VW} \circ \rho_{UV}$ .

**Remark.** Can think of a presheaf as a contravariant functor from the category of open sets of  $X$ , the category whose objects are open subsets of  $X$  and whose morphisms are inclusions of open sets, to the category of abelian groups. Can replace the category of abelian groups with any desired category, such as commutative rings.

**Definition.** A **morphism of presheaves**  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a collection of homomorphisms  $f_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  such that for all  $V \subseteq U$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{f_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ \rho_{UV} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{UV} \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{f_V} & \mathcal{G}(V) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

**Definition.** A presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is a **sheaf** if it satisfies two additional axioms.

- S1. If  $U \subseteq X$  is covered by an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  satisfies  $s|_{U_i} = \rho_{UU_i}(s) = 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $s = 0$ .
- S2. If  $U$  and  $\{U_i\}$  are as in S1 and  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$  such that  $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , then there exists  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $s|_{U_i} = s_i$  for all  $i$ .

**Remark.**

- If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf, then  $\emptyset \subseteq X$  is covered by the empty covering, and hence  $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- S1 and S2 together can be described as saying, given  $U$  and  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \xrightleftharpoons[\beta_2]{\beta_1} \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is exact, where

$$\alpha(s) = (s|_{U_i})_{i \in I}, \quad \beta_1((s_i)_{i \in I}) = (s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j}, \quad \beta_2((s_i)_{i \in I}) = (s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j}.$$

Exactness means

- $\alpha$  is injective, which is S1,
- $\beta_1 \circ \alpha = \beta_2 \circ \alpha$ , and
- for any  $(s_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ , with  $\beta_1((s_i)) = \beta_2((s_i))$ , there exists  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $\alpha(s) = (s_i)$ , which is S2.

**Example.**

- Let  $X$  be any topological space, and let

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{\text{continuous functions } U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}.$$

This is a sheaf, by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(V) \\ f & \longmapsto & f|_V \end{array}.$$

S1. A continuous function is zero if it is zero on every open set of a cover.

S2. Continuous functions can be glued.

- Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$  with the Euclidean topology, and let

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is a bounded analytic function}\}.$$

This is a presheaf. It satisfies S1, and does not satisfy S2. For example, consider the cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in \{1,2,\dots\}}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  given by  $U_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < i\}$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_i : U_i & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ z & \longmapsto & z \end{array}.$$

Note if  $i < j$ , then  $U_i \cap U_j = U_i$  and  $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ . But if we glue we get the function  $z : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which is not bounded. Note  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ .

- Take any group  $G$  and set  $\mathcal{F}(U) = G$  for any open set  $U$ . This is called the **constant presheaf**. This is not a sheaf. Let  $U = U_1 \sqcup U_2$ . If we wanted a sheaf,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U_1) = G & & \mathcal{F}(U_2) = G \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & \mathcal{F}(U_1 \cap U_2) = \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0 & \end{array},$$

so if S2 is satisfied, would want  $s_1 \in \mathcal{F}(U_1)$  and  $s_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U_2)$  to glue. We would then want to have  $\mathcal{F}(U) = G \times G$ . Now give  $G$  the discrete topology, and define instead

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow G \text{ continuous}\},$$

that is  $f$  is locally constant. That is, if  $x \in U$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $x \in V \subseteq U$  with  $f|_V$  constant. This is called the **constant sheaf** and if  $U$  is non-empty and connected, then  $\mathcal{F}(U) = G$ .

- If  $X$  is an algebraic variety, and  $U \subseteq X$  is a Zariski open subset, define

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow k \mid f \text{ regular function}\}.$$

Roughly  $f$  is **regular** means that every point of  $U$  has an open neighbourhood on which  $f$  is expressed as a ratio of polynomials  $g/h$  with  $h$  non-vanishing on the neighbourhood. Then  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a sheaf, called the **structure sheaf** of  $X$ .

## 1.2 Stalks

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf on  $X$ . Let  $p \in X$ . Then the **stalk** of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$  is

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \{(U, s) \mid U \subseteq X \text{ is an open neighbourhood of } p, s \in \mathcal{F}(U)\} / \equiv,$$

where  $(U, s) \equiv (V, s')$  if there exists  $W \subseteq U \cap V$  also a neighbourhood of  $p$  such that  $s|_W = s'|_W$ . An equivalence class of a pair  $(U, s)$  is called a **germ**.

**Remark.**  $\mathcal{F}_p = \varinjlim_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}(U)$ .

Note that a morphism  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  of presheaves induces a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} f_p & : \mathcal{F}_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_p \\ (U, s) & \longmapsto (U, f_U(s)) \end{aligned} .$$

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves. Then  $f$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $f_p$  is an isomorphism for all  $p \in X$ .*

*Proof.*

$\implies$  Obvious.

$\impliedby$  Assume  $f_p$  is an isomorphism for all  $p \in X$ . Need to show that  $f_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  is an isomorphism for all  $U \subseteq X$ , as then we can define  $(f^{-1})_U = (f_U)^{-1}$ .<sup>2</sup>

- $f_U$  is injective. Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , and  $f_U(s) = 0$ . Then for all  $p \in U$ ,  $f_p(U, s) = (U, f_U(s)) = (U, 0) = 0 \in \mathcal{G}_p$ . Since  $f_p$  is injective,  $(U, s) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{F}_p$ . That is, there exists a open neighbourhood  $V_p$  of  $p$  in  $U$  such that  $s|_{V_p} = 0$ . Since  $\{V_p\}_{p \in U}$  cover  $U$ , we see by S1 that  $s = 0$ .
- $f_U$  is surjective. Let  $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  and write  $t_p = (U, t) \in \mathcal{G}_p$ . Since  $f_p$  is surjective, there exists  $s_p \in \mathcal{F}_p$  with  $f_p(s_p) = t_p$ . That is, there exists  $V_p \subseteq U$  an open neighbourhood of  $p$ , and a germ  $(V_p, s_p)$  such that  $(V_p, f_{V_p}(s_p)) \equiv (U, t)$ . By shrinking  $V_p$  if necessary, we can assume that  $t|_{V_p} = f_{V_p}(s_p)$ . Now on  $V_p \cap V_q$ ,

$$f_{V_p \cap V_q}(s_p|_{V_p \cap V_q} - s_q|_{V_p \cap V_q}) = t|_{V_p \cap V_q} - t|_{V_p \cap V_q} = 0,$$

and hence by injectivity of  $f_{V_p \cap V_q}$  already proved, we have  $s_p|_{V_p \cap V_q} = s_q|_{V_p \cap V_q}$ . By S2 the  $s_p$ 's glue to give an element  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $s|_{V_p} = s_p$ , for all  $p \in U$ . Now

$$f_U(s)|_{V_p} = f_{V_p}(s|_{V_p}) = f_{V_p}(s_p) = t|_{V_p} .$$

By S1, applied to  $f_U(s) - t$ , we get  $f_U(s) = t$ . Thus  $f_U$  is surjective.

□

**Theorem 1.2** (Sheafification). *Given a presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , there exists a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^+$  and a morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$  satisfying the following universal property. For any sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  and morphism  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , there exists a unique morphism  $\phi^+ : \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\phi^+ \circ \theta = \phi$ , so*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{F}^+ \\ & \searrow \phi & \downarrow \phi^+ \\ & & \mathcal{G} \end{array} .$$

The pair  $(\mathcal{F}^+, \theta)$  is unique up to unique isomorphism, and is called the **sheafification** of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* See example sheet 1. The idea is to make  $\mathcal{F}^+$  look like functions. Define

$$\mathcal{F}^+(U) = \left\{ s : U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}_p \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall p \in U, s(p) \in \mathcal{F}_p, \\ \forall p \in U, \exists p \in V \subseteq U, \exists t \in \mathcal{F}(V), \forall q \in V, s(q) = (V, t) \in \mathcal{F}_q \end{array} \right\} .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_U & : \mathcal{F}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^+(U) \\ s & \longmapsto (p \mapsto (U, s) \in \mathcal{F}_p) \end{aligned} .$$

□

**Exercise.** A recommendation is to do all exercises in Section II.1 of Hartshorne.

<sup>2</sup>Exercise: check that with this definition,  $(f^{-1})_U$  is compatible with restriction maps, hence  $f^{-1}$  is a morphism of sheaves



### 1.3 Kernels, cokernels, and images

Lecture 4  
Friday  
16/10/20

**Definition.** Let  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of presheaves on a space  $X$ . We define the following.<sup>3</sup>

- The **presheaf kernel** of  $f$ ,  $\ker f$ , is the presheaf given by  $(\ker f)(U) = \ker(f_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U))$ .
- The **presheaf cokernel**  $\operatorname{coker} f$  is the presheaf given by  $(\operatorname{coker} f)(U) = \operatorname{coker} f_U = \mathcal{G}(U) / \operatorname{im} f_U$ .
- The **presheaf image**  $\operatorname{im} f$  is the presheaf given by  $(\operatorname{im} f)(U) = \operatorname{im} f_U$ .

**Remark 1.3.** If  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a morphism of sheaves, then  $\ker f$  is also a sheaf.

*Proof.* S1 is certainly satisfied, since if  $s \in (\ker f)(U) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(U)$  satisfies  $s|_{U_i} = 0$  for all  $U_i$  in a cover of  $U$  then  $s = 0$  by S1 for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Given  $s_i \in (\ker f)(U_i)$  with  $\{U_i\}$  an open cover of  $U$ , and with  $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ , then there exists  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $s|_{U_i} = s_i$  by S2 for  $\mathcal{F}$ . But  $f_U(s) = 0$  since  $f_U(s)|_{U_i} = f_{U_i}(s|_{U_i}) = f_{U_i}(s_i) = 0$  so by S1,  $f_U(s) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ , or think of the Riemann sphere. Let  $P, Q \in X$  be distinct points. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the sheaf of regular functions on  $X$ , or think of the sheaf of holomorphic functions. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the sheaf of regular functions on  $X$  which vanish at  $P$  and  $Q$ . Note  $\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{G}(U)$  if  $U \cap \{P, Q\} = \emptyset$ . Let  $U = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{P\}$  and  $V = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{Q\}$ . Note  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}^1) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{P}^1) = k$ , because regular functions on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  are constants. Let  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be the obvious inclusion. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{coker} f)(\mathbb{P}^1) &= k, & (\operatorname{coker} f)(U) &= \mathcal{G}(U) / \mathcal{F}(U) = k[x] / \langle x \rangle = k, \\ (\operatorname{coker} f)(V) &= k, & (\operatorname{coker} f)(U \cap V) &= \mathcal{G}(U \cap V) / \mathcal{F}(U \cap V) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If S2 holds, then we would need to have  $(\operatorname{coker} f)(\mathbb{P}^1) = k \oplus k$ . This is not a bug, but a feature.

**Definition.** Let  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves.

- The **sheaf kernel**  $\ker f$  of  $f$  is just the presheaf kernel.
- The **sheaf cokernel** is the sheaf associated to the presheaf cokernel of  $f$ .
- The **sheaf image** is the sheaf associated to the presheaf image of  $f$ .

$\mathcal{F}$  is a **subsheaf** of  $\mathcal{G}$  if we have inclusions  $\mathcal{F}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(U)$  for all  $U$  compatible with restrictions.

**Exercise.** The sheaf image  $\operatorname{im} f$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition.** We say  $f$  is **injective** if  $\ker f = 0$ . We say  $f$  is **surjective** if  $\operatorname{im} f = \mathcal{G}$ . We say a sequence of morphisms of sheaves

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{f^i} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{f^{i+1}} \mathcal{F}^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

is **exact** if  $\ker f^{i+1} = \operatorname{im} f^i$  for all  $i$ . If  $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  is a subsheaf, we write  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}'$  for the sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) / \mathcal{F}'(U)$ . That is, this is the cokernel of the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ .

A warning is if  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is surjective, we do not necessarily have  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  surjective for all  $U$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves. Then for all  $p \in X$ ,

$$(\ker f)_p = \ker(f_p : \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_p), \quad (\operatorname{im} f)_p = \operatorname{im} f_p.$$

*Proof.* Have a map

$$\begin{aligned} (\ker f)_p &\longrightarrow \ker f_p \subseteq \mathcal{F}_p \\ (U, s) &\longmapsto (U, s) \end{aligned}$$

If  $s \in (\ker f)(U) = \ker f_U$  represents a germ  $(U, s) \in (\ker f)_p$ , then  $(U, s) \in \mathcal{F}_p$ , and  $f_p(U, s) = (U, f_U(s)) = (U, 0) = 0 \in \mathcal{G}_p$ . So  $(U, s) \in \ker f_p$ .

- **Injective.** If  $(U, s) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{F}_p$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $p$  such that  $s|_V = 0$ . Then  $(U, s) \sim (V, s|_V) = (V, 0) = 0$  in  $(\ker f)_p$ .
- **Surjective.** If  $(U, s) \in \ker f_p$ , then  $(U, f_U(s)) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{G}_p$ . That is, there exists a neighbourhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $p$  such that  $0 = f_U(s)|_V = f_V(s|_V)$ . Thus  $s|_V \in (\ker f)(V)$ , and  $(V, s|_V) \in (\ker f)_p$ , and  $(V, s|_V)$  maps to the same element in  $\ker f_p$  represented by  $(U, s)$ .

<sup>3</sup>Exercise: check that these are presheaves, that is restrictions work

Let  $\text{im}' f$  be the presheaf image. An easy fact is if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a presheaf with associated sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^+$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_p \cong \mathcal{F}_p^+$  for all  $p \in X$ .<sup>4</sup> Thus  $(\text{im } f)_p = (\text{im}' f)_p$ , so need to show  $(\text{im}' f)_p \cong \text{im } f_p$ . Define a map by

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{im}' f)_p &\longrightarrow \text{im } f_p \\ (U, s) &\longmapsto (U, s) \end{aligned}.$$

- **Injective.** If  $(U, s) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{G}_p$  then there exists a neighbourhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $p$  such that  $s|_V = 0$ . Then  $(U, s) \sim (V, 0)$  in  $(\text{im}' f)_p$ .
- **Surjective.** If  $(U, s) \in \text{im } f_p$ , then there exists  $(V, t) \in \mathcal{F}_p$  with  $(U, s) = f_p(V, t) = (V, f_V(t))$ , so after shrinking  $U$  and  $V$  if necessary, then we can take  $U = V$  and  $f_U(t) = s$ . Then  $(U, s) \in (\text{im}' f)_p$ .

□

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves. Then*

1.  *$f$  is injective if and only if  $f_p : \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_p$  is injective for all  $p$ , and*
2.  *$f$  is surjective if and only if  $f_p : \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_p$  is surjective for all  $p$ .*

*Proof.*

1.  $f_p$  is injective for all  $p$  if and only if  $\ker f_p = 0$  for all  $p$ , if and only if  $(\ker f)_p = 0$  for all  $p$ , if and only if  $\ker f = 0$ ,<sup>5</sup> which is if and only if  $f$  is injective.
2.  $f_p$  is surjective for all  $p$  if and only if  $\text{im } f_p = \mathcal{G}_p$  for all  $p$ , if and only if  $(\text{im } f)_p = \mathcal{G}_p$  for all  $p$ , if and only if  $\text{im } f = \mathcal{G}$ ,<sup>6</sup> which is if and only if  $f$  is surjective.

□

**Remark.** Given  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , in fact  $\mathcal{G}/\text{im } f \cong \text{coker } f$ .<sup>7</sup>

## 1.4 Passing between spaces

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map between topological spaces,  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf on  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  a sheaf on  $Y$ . Define  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  by<sup>8</sup>

$$(f_*\mathcal{F})(U) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(U)), \quad U \subseteq Y.$$

Define  $f^{-1}\mathcal{G}$  to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \subseteq X \mapsto \{(V, s) \mid V \supseteq f(U), V \text{ open}, s \in \mathcal{G}(V)\} / \sim,$$

where  $(V, s) \sim (V', s')$  if there exists  $W \subseteq V \cap V'$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq W$ , and  $s|_W = s'|_W$ .

**Example.** If  $f : \{p\} \rightarrow X$  is an inclusion of a point, then  $f^{-1}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_p$ . This is a group but defines a sheaf on a one-point space.

More generally, if  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  is an inclusion of a subset with induced topology, we often write

$$\mathcal{F}|_Z = \iota^{-1}\mathcal{F}.$$

If  $Z$  is open in  $X$ , then this is easy, since if  $U \subseteq Z$  then  $\mathcal{F}|_Z(U) = \mathcal{F}(U)$ .

**Remark.** If  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  we say  $s$  is a **section** of  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $U$ . We often write

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}),$$

thinking of  $\Gamma(U, \cdot)$  as a functor from the category of sheaves on a space  $X$  to the category of abelian groups.

<sup>4</sup>Exercise: check

<sup>5</sup>Exercise: check by S1

<sup>6</sup>Exercise: check using  $\text{im } f \subseteq \mathcal{G}$

<sup>7</sup>Exercise

<sup>8</sup>Exercise: check  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf on  $Y$

## 2 Schemes

Want to construct a sheaf  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $\text{Spec } A$ , analogous to the sheaf of regular functions on a variety, and  $\mathcal{O}$  will be a sheaf of rings. That is,  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  will be a ring for each open set  $U$  and restriction maps will be ring homomorphisms.

### 2.1 Localisation of a ring

Importantly recall the following. Let  $A$  be a ring, where all rings are commutative with unity, and  $S \subseteq A$  be a multiplicatively closed subset. That is,  $1 \in S$ , and if  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  then  $s_1 s_2 \in S$ . We define a ring

$$S^{-1}A = \{(a, s) \mid a \in A, s \in S\} / \sim,$$

where  $(a, s) \sim (a', s')$  if there exists  $s'' \in S$  such that  $s''(as' - a's) = 0$ . Then  $S^{-1}A$  is called the **localisation of  $A$  at  $S$** . Note that we write  $a/s$  for the equivalence class of  $(a, s)$ . The usual equivalence relation on fractions is  $a/s = a'/s'$  if and only if  $as' = a's$ . We need the extra possibility of killing  $as' - a's$  with  $s''$  if  $A$  is not an integral domain.

**Example.**

- Take  $f \in A$  and  $S = \{1, f, \dots\} \subseteq A$ . Then we write  $A_f = S^{-1}A$ . These will correspond to open subsets.
- If  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  is a prime ideal and  $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ , then
  - $1 \in S$ , and
  - if  $a, b \in S$ , then  $ab \in \mathfrak{p}$  is a contradiction by definition of prime ideals, so  $ab \in S$ .

Then  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}A$  is the **localisation of  $A$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$** . These will correspond to stalks.

### 2.2 Construction of the structure sheaf

Let

$$\mathcal{O}(U) = \left\{ s : U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall \mathfrak{p} \in U, s(\mathfrak{p}) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\ \forall \mathfrak{p} \in U, \exists \mathfrak{p}' \in V \subseteq U \text{ open, } \exists a, f \in A, \forall \mathfrak{q} \in V, f \notin \mathfrak{q}, s(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{a}{f} \in A_{\mathfrak{q}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** For any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ ,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

*Proof.* Have a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ (U, s) & \longmapsto & s(\mathfrak{p}) \end{array}.$$

- Surjective. Any element of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  can be written as  $a/f$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{D}(f) = \text{Spec } A \setminus \mathbb{V}(f) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\},$$

since  $\mathbb{V}(f) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A \mid f \in \mathfrak{p}\}$ . Now  $a/f$  defines an element of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}(f))$  given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s & : & \mathbb{D}(f) \longrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{q}} \\ & & \mathfrak{q} \longmapsto \frac{a}{f} \end{array},$$

and in particular,  $s(\mathfrak{p}) = a/f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

- **Injective.** Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in U \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} A$  and  $s \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  with  $s(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Want to show  $(U, s) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By shrinking  $U$  if necessary, we can assume that  $s$  is given by  $a/f \in A$  with  $s(\mathfrak{q}) = a/f$  for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U$ . In particular  $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$  for all  $\mathfrak{q} \in U$ . Thus  $a/f = 0/1$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  so there exists  $h \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $0 = h \cdot (a \cdot 1 - f \cdot 0) = h \cdot a$  in  $A$ . Now let  $V = U \cap \mathbb{D}(h)$ . Then  $(V, s|_V) = 0$ , since for  $\mathfrak{q} \in V$ ,  $s|_V(\mathfrak{q}) = s(\mathfrak{q}) = a/f \in A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  and  $h \cdot a = 0$ , and  $h \in A \setminus \mathfrak{q}$  so  $h \cdot a = 0$  implies  $a/f = 0/1$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Thus  $(U, s) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . □

**Proposition 2.2.** For any  $f \in A$ ,

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}(f)) = A_f.$$

In particular, as  $\operatorname{Spec} A = \mathbb{D}(1)$ , the **global sections** of  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\operatorname{Spec} A) = A_1 = A$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$  implies that  $f^n \notin \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : A_f &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}(f)) \\ \frac{a}{f^n} &\longmapsto \left( \mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{D}(f) \mapsto \frac{a}{f^n} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- **Injective.** If  $\psi(a/f^n) = 0$ , then for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{D}(f)$ ,  $a/f^n = 0$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . That is, there exists  $h \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $h \cdot a = 0$  in  $A$ . Let

$$I = \{g \in A \mid g \cdot a = 0\},$$

the **annihilator** of  $a$ . So  $h \in I$  and  $h \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . This is true for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{D}(f)$ , so  $\mathbb{V}(I) \cap \mathbb{D}(f) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $f \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{V}(I)} \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{I}$ , the radical, so  $f^m \in I$  for some  $m > 0$ . Thus  $f^m \cdot a = 0$ , so  $a/f^n = 0$  in  $A_f$ . Thus  $\psi$  is injective.

- **Surjective.** Let  $s \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}(f))$ . Cover  $\mathbb{D}(f)$  with open sets  $V_i$  on which  $s$  is represented as  $a_i/g_i$  with  $a_i, g_i \in A$  such that  $g_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$  whenever  $\mathfrak{p} \in V_i$ . Thus  $V_i \subseteq \mathbb{D}(g_i)$ . By question 1 on example sheet 1, the sets of the form  $\mathbb{D}(h)$  form a base for the Zariski topology on  $\operatorname{Spec} A$ . Thus we can assume  $V_i = \mathbb{D}(h_i)$  for some  $h_i \in A$ . Since  $\mathbb{D}(h_i) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(g_i)$ , we have  $\mathbb{V}(h_i) \supseteq \mathbb{V}(g_i)$ , so  $\sqrt{\langle h_i \rangle} \subseteq \sqrt{\langle g_i \rangle}$ , so  $h_i^n \in \langle g_i \rangle$  for some  $n$ , say  $h_i^n = c_i g_i$ , so  $a_i/g_i = c_i a_i/h_i^n$ . Now replace  $h_i$  by  $h_i^n$ , since this does not change open sets because in general  $\mathbb{D}(h_i) = \mathbb{D}(h_i^n)$ , and replace  $a_i$  by  $c_i a_i$ . The situation so far is that we can assume  $\mathbb{D}(f)$  is covered by sets  $\mathbb{D}(h_i)$  such that  $s$  is represented by  $a_i/h_i$  on  $\mathbb{D}(h_i)$ . Claim that  $\mathbb{D}(f)$  can be covered by a finite number of the  $\mathbb{D}(h_i)$ . That is,  $\mathbb{D}(f)$  is quasi-compact. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(f) \subseteq \bigcup_i \mathbb{D}(h_i) &\iff \mathbb{V}(f) \supseteq \bigcap_i \mathbb{V}(h_i) = \mathbb{V}\left(\sum_i \langle h_i \rangle\right) &\iff f \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{V}(\sum_i \langle h_i \rangle)} \mathfrak{p} \\ &\iff f \in \sqrt{\sum_i \langle h_i \rangle} &\iff \exists n, f^n \in \sum_i \langle h_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we can write  $f^n = \sum_{i \in I} b_i h_i$  for some finite index set  $I$ . Thus reversing this argument,  $\mathbb{D}(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbb{D}(h_i)$ . We now pass to this finite subcover  $\{\mathbb{D}(h_i)\}$ . On  $\mathbb{D}(h_i) \cap \mathbb{D}(h_j) = \mathbb{D}(h_i h_j)$ , note  $a_i/h_i$  and  $a_j/h_j$  both represent  $s$ , so by injectivity shown in the last lecture,  $a_i h_j / h_i h_j = a_i / h_i = a_j / h_j = a_j h_i / h_i h_j$  in  $A_{h_i h_j}$ . Thus for some  $n$ ,  $(h_i h_j)^n (h_j a_i - h_i a_j) = 0$  in  $A$ . We can pick an  $n$  sufficiently large to work for all pairs  $i$  and  $j$ . Rewriting,  $h_j^{n+1} (h_i^n a_i) - h_i^{n+1} (h_j^n a_j) = 0$ . Replace each  $h_i$  by  $h_i^{n+1}$  and  $a_i$  by  $h_i^n a_i$ , since  $a_i/h_i = a_i h_i^n / h_i^{n+1}$ . Thus we can assume that  $s$  is still represented on  $\mathbb{D}(h_i)$  by  $a_i/h_i$  but also for each  $i$  and  $j$  have  $h_i a_j = h_j a_i$ . Note  $f^n = \sum_i b_i h_i$  for  $b_i \in A$ , since  $\{\mathbb{D}(h_i)\}$  cover  $\mathbb{D}(f)$ . Let  $a = \sum_i b_i a_i$ . Then for any  $j$ ,  $h_j a = \sum_i b_i a_i h_j = \sum_i b_i a_j h_i = f^n a_j$ . Thus  $a/f^n = a_j/h_j$  on  $\mathbb{D}(h_j)$ . Thus  $\psi(a/f^n) = s$ , so  $\psi$  is surjective. □

We now have a topological space  $\operatorname{Spec} A$  equipped with a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}$ .

## 2.3 Affine schemes

**Definition.** A **ringed space** is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  where

- $X$  is a topological space, and
- $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a sheaf of rings on  $X$ .

A **morphism of ringed spaces**  $f : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  is the following data.

- A continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .
- A morphism of sheaves of rings  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ . That is, for each  $U \subseteq Y$  open, we have a ring homomorphism  $f_U^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow (f_* \mathcal{O}_X)(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))$ .

**Example.**

- Let  $X$  be a topological space, and let  $\mathcal{O}_X$  be the sheaf of continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions. Then if  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  is similarly defined, given  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we get  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X$  defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_U^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U)) \\ \phi & \longmapsto & \phi \circ f \end{array}.$$

- Let  $X$  be a variety, and let  $\mathcal{O}_X$  be the sheaf of regular functions on  $X$ . A morphism of varieties  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map inducing

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_U^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U)) \\ \phi & \longmapsto & \phi \circ f \end{array}.$$

A ring is **local** if it has a unique maximal ideal. A ring homomorphism  $\phi : (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) \rightarrow (B, \mathfrak{m}_B)$  is **local** if  $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B) = \mathfrak{m}_A$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  is the maximal ideal of  $A$ . Note that  $\phi(A \setminus \mathfrak{m}_A) = \phi(A^*) \subseteq B^* = B \setminus \mathfrak{m}_B$ , where  $A^*$  is the set of invertible elements of  $A$ . Thus  $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_A$  always.

**Definition.** A **locally ringed space**  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a ringed space such that  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  is a local ring for all  $p \in X$ . A **morphism**  $f : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  **of locally ringed spaces** is a morphism of ringed spaces such that the induced homomorphism<sup>9</sup>

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_p^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(p)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \\ (U, s) & \longmapsto & (f^{-1}(U), f_U^\#(s)) \end{array}$$

is a local homomorphism for all  $p \in X$ .

**Example.** In the case of varieties,  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  has a unique maximal ideal

$$\{(U, f) \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \mid f(p) = 0\} / \sim.$$

If  $f(p) \neq 0$ , then  $f$  is nowhere vanishing on some neighbourhood of  $p$ , so after shrinking  $U$ , we can invert  $f$ . The local homomorphism condition just follows from the pull-back  $\phi \circ f$  of a function  $\phi$  vanishing at  $f(p)$  vanishes at  $p$ .

The key example  $(\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A})$  is a locally ringed space, which we call an affine scheme.

**Theorem 2.3.** *The category of affine schemes with locally ringed morphisms is equivalent to the opposite category of rings.*

Need to show that

1. if  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism, we obtain an induced morphism  $(f, f^\#) : (\text{Spec } B, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } B}) \rightarrow (\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A})$ , and
2. any morphism of affine schemes as locally ringed spaces arises in this way.

<sup>9</sup>Exercise: check well-defined

*Proof.*

1. Given a ring homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} f &: \operatorname{Spec} B \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A \\ \mathfrak{p} &\longmapsto \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \end{aligned}$$

Note  $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  is prime, since if  $ab \in \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ , then  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b) \in \mathfrak{p}$ , thus either  $\phi(a) \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $\phi(b) \in \mathfrak{p}$ , and hence either  $a \in \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  or  $b \in \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Then  $f$  is continuous, since

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathbb{V}(I)) &= f^{-1}(\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I\}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} B \mid f(\mathfrak{q}) \supseteq I\} \\ &= \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} B \mid \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) \supseteq I\} = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} B \mid \mathfrak{q} \supseteq \phi(I)\} = \mathbb{V}(\phi(I)). \end{aligned}$$

We need to construct  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} B}$ . For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} B$ , we obtain a natural homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})} &\longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \frac{a}{s} &\longmapsto \frac{\phi(a)}{\phi(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a local homomorphism, since the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is generated by the image of  $\mathfrak{p}$  under the map  $B \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and the maximal ideal  $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})A_{\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})}$  of  $A_{\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})}$  is generated by the image of  $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  under the map  $A \rightarrow A_{\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})}$ , so have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) & \subset & A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B & \supset & \mathfrak{p} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})A_{\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})} & \subset & A_{\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}} & B_{\mathfrak{p}} & \supset & \mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{array},$$

thus  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})A_{\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Given  $V \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} A$  open, we may define

$$\begin{aligned} f_V^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A}(V) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} B}(f^{-1}(V)) \\ (\mathfrak{p} \in V \mapsto s(\mathfrak{p}) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}) &\longmapsto (\mathfrak{q} \in f^{-1}(V) \mapsto \phi_{\mathfrak{q}}(s(f(\mathfrak{q}))) \in B_{\mathfrak{q}}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that we need to check the local coherence part of the definition of  $\mathcal{O}$ . That is, if  $s$  is locally given by  $a/h$ , then  $f_V^\#(s)$  is locally given by  $\phi(a)/\phi(h)$ . This gives the desired map  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} B}$ , and the induced map on stalks  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A, f(\mathfrak{p})} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} B, \mathfrak{p}}$  agrees with  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , by construction. Hence  $(f, f^\#)$  is a morphism of locally ringed spaces.

2. Now suppose given a morphism  $(f, f^\#) : \operatorname{Spec} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$  of locally ringed spaces. Take

$$\phi = f_{\operatorname{Spec} A}^\# : \Gamma(\operatorname{Spec} A, \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A}) = A \rightarrow \Gamma(\operatorname{Spec} B, \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} B}) = B.$$

We need to show  $\phi$  gives rise to  $(f, f^\#)$ . We have  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A, f(\mathfrak{p})} = A_{f(\mathfrak{p})} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} B, \mathfrak{p}} = B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  a local homomorphism. This is compatible with the corresponding map on global sections. That is, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f(\mathfrak{p}) & \subset & A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B & \supset & \mathfrak{p} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ f(\mathfrak{p})A_{f(\mathfrak{p})} & \subset & A_{f(\mathfrak{p})} & \xrightarrow{f_{\mathfrak{p}}^\#} & B_{\mathfrak{p}} & \supset & \mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{array}.$$

Then  $(f_{\mathfrak{p}}^\#)^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = f(\mathfrak{p})A_{f(\mathfrak{p})}$  since  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}^\#$  is a local homomorphism, and by commutativity of the diagram,  $f(\mathfrak{p}) = \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Thus  $f$  is induced by  $\phi$ , and  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}^\# = \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . So  $f^\#$  is as constructed previously.  $\square$

**Remark.** Demanding  $(f, f^\#)$  was a morphism of locally ringed spaces was crucial to make the proof work.

## 2.4 Schemes

**Definition.** An **affine scheme** is a locally ringed space isomorphic, in the category of locally ringed spaces, to  $(\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A})$  for some ring  $A$ . A **scheme** is a locally ringed space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  with an open cover  $\{(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i})\}$  with each  $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i})$  an affine scheme, where  $\mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}(V) = \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  for  $V \subseteq U_i$  open. A **morphism of schemes** is a morphism of locally ringed spaces.

Let  $k$  be a field. Then  $\text{Spec } k = (\{0\}, k)$ . What does giving a morphism  $f : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow X$  to a scheme mean? First, this selects a point  $x \in X$ , the image of  $f$ . Second, we get a local ring homomorphism  $f_x^\# : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k_0 = k$ . That is,  $(f_x^\#)^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{m}_x \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . Thus we get a factorisation

$$f_x^\# : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x \rightarrow k,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$  is a field, written as  $\kappa(x)$ , called the **residue field** of  $X$  at  $x$ . Thus  $f$  induces an inclusion  $\kappa(x) \hookrightarrow k$ . Conversely, given such an inclusion  $\iota : \kappa(x) \hookrightarrow k$  of fields, we get a scheme morphism by defining  $f(0) = x$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} f^\# : \mathcal{O}_X &\longrightarrow f_*k \\ s &\longmapsto \iota(s(x) + \mathfrak{m}_x). \end{aligned}$$

The moral is that giving a morphism  $f : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow X$  is equivalent to giving a point  $x \in X$  and an inclusion  $\iota : \kappa(x) \rightarrow k$ .

**Example.** Note that if  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , giving  $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is equivalent to giving a homomorphism  $A \rightarrow k$ , which we viewed at the beginning of the course as a  $k$ -valued point on  $\text{Spec } A$ .

What does giving  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  mean? No information in the continuous map, but need also a map  $f^\# : k \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ , that is a map

$$k \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Spec } k, f_*\mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

That is,  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  carries a  $k$ -algebra structure. Note this induces  $k$ -algebra structures on  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  for all  $U$  via the composition with restriction  $k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  and similarly all stalks  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  are also  $k$ -algebras. We say  $X$  is a **scheme defined over  $k$** .

**Example.** In affine varieties, consider  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  with  $I = \sqrt{I}$ . Then  $\text{Spec } A$  is our replacement for  $\mathbb{V}(I) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , viewing  $\text{Spec } A$  as a scheme over  $k$ .

If  $k \subseteq k'$  is a field extension, a  $k'$ -valued point of  $X/k$  is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } k' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Spec } k & \end{array} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} k' & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ & k & \end{array}.$$

We write  $X(k')$  for the set of such morphisms.

**Remark.** It is rare in algebraic geometry to work with schemes alone, but rather always working over a base scheme. Fix a base scheme  $S$ . Define  $\mathbf{Sch}/S$  to be the category whose objects are morphisms  $T \rightarrow S$  and morphisms are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\quad} & T' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & S & \end{array}.$$

We will frequently work with  $\mathbf{Sch}/k = \mathbf{Sch}/\text{Spec } k$ . Given  $T \rightarrow S$  and  $X \rightarrow S$  objects in  $\mathbf{Sch}/S$ , a  $T$ -valued point of  $X \rightarrow S$  is a morphism  $T \rightarrow X$  over  $S$ , so

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & S & \end{array},$$

and we write  $X(T)$  for the set of  $T$ -valued points. The **Yoneda philosophy** is that  $X(T)$  for all  $T$  determines  $X$ .

Lecture 8  
Monday  
26/10/20

**Example.** Fix a field  $k$ , and let  $D = \operatorname{Spec} k[t] / \langle t^2 \rangle = (\{ \langle t \rangle \}, k[t] / \langle t^2 \rangle)$ . Then  $t$  does not make sense as a  $k$ -valued function anymore, as  $t^2 = 0$ . Let  $X$  be any scheme over  $k$ . What is  $X(D)$ ? Given  $f : D \rightarrow X$  a morphism of schemes over  $k$ , we get a point  $x \in X$  as the image of  $f$  and a local homomorphism  $f_x^\# : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k[t] / \langle t^2 \rangle$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}_x \rightarrow \langle t \rangle$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{m}_x^2$  maps to zero, hence we get a  $k$ -linear map of  $k$ -vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \rightarrow \langle t \rangle \cong k,$$

We also have a composed surjective  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k[t] / \langle t \rangle \cong k$  with kernel  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ , and hence we have

$$\kappa(x) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x} / \mathfrak{m}_x \cong k.$$

So we get

- a  $k$ -valued point  $x$  with residue field  $k$ , and
- a  $k$ -vector space map  $\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \rightarrow k$ , that is an element of  $(\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2)^*$ , the dual vector space.

Then  $(\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2)^*$  is called the **Zariski tangent space** to  $X$  at  $x$ . Think of  $D$  as a point plus an arrow.

**Glued schemes** are a special case of a question on example sheet 1. Suppose given two schemes  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  and open subsets  $U_i \subseteq X_i$ . Recall  $U_i$  is also a locally ringed space  $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{X_i}|_{U_i})$ , and in fact  $U_i$  is then a scheme. Given an isomorphism  $f : U_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} U_2$ , can glue  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  along  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  to get a scheme  $X$  with an open cover  $\{X_1, X_2\}$ , so  $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2 / \sim$  such that  $x_1 \in U_1 \sim x_2 \in U_2$  if  $f(x_1) = x_2$ , and need to define  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Example.** Now take  $\mathbb{A}_k^n = \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , so  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 = \operatorname{Spec} k[x]$ . Take  $X_1 = X_2 = \mathbb{A}_k^1$ .

- Glue  $U_1 = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{D}(x) \subseteq X_1$  and  $U_2 = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{D}(x) \subseteq X_2$  via the identity map. This is the affine line with doubled origin.
- Could instead glue  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  via the map given by  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ , so  $U_1 = \operatorname{Spec} k[x]_x = U_2$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[x]_x & \longrightarrow & k[x]_x \\ x & \longmapsto & x^{-1} \end{array}$$

induces an isomorphism  $U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ . When we glue, we get the projective line over  $k$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ .

## 2.5 Projective schemes

Let  $S$  be a graded ring. That is,

$$S = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S_d,$$

with  $S_d$  an abelian group, and the product law satisfies  $S_d \cdot S_{d'} \subseteq S_{d+d'}$ .

**Example.**  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ , and  $S_d$  is the space of polynomials which are **homogeneous** of degree  $d$ . That is, spanned by monomials of degree  $d$ .

We write

$$S_+ = \bigoplus_{d \geq 1} S_d,$$

which we call the **irrelevant ideal**.

**Definition.**  $I \subseteq S$  is a **homogeneous ideal** if  $I$  is generated by its homogeneous elements. That is, elements in  $S_d$  for various  $d$ .

**Definition.** Let

$$\operatorname{Proj} S = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} S \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is homogeneous, } \mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq S_+ \}.$$

For  $I \subseteq S$  a homogeneous ideal, set <sup>10</sup>

$$\mathbb{V}(I) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Proj} S \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I \}.$$

<sup>10</sup>Exercise: check the  $\mathbb{V}(I)$  form the closed sets of a topology on  $\operatorname{Proj} S$



**Notation.** For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj } S$ , let  $T = \{f \in S \setminus \mathfrak{p} \mid f \text{ is homogeneous}\}$ . Then  $T$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $S$ , and let  $S_{(\mathfrak{p})} \subseteq T^{-1}S$  be the subring of elements of degree zero. That is, written in the form  $s/s'$  with  $s \in S$  homogeneous and  $s' \in T$  with  $\deg s = \deg s'$ . For  $f \in S$  homogeneous, we write  $S_{(f)} \subseteq S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  for the subset of elements of degree zero.

Can now define a sheaf  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $\text{Proj } S$ . For  $U \subseteq \text{Proj } S$  open, set

$$\mathcal{O}(U) = \left\{ s : U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} S_{(\mathfrak{p})} \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall \mathfrak{p} \in U, s(\mathfrak{p}) \in S_{(\mathfrak{p})}, \\ \forall \mathfrak{p} \in U, \exists \mathfrak{p} \in V \subseteq U \text{ open}, \exists a, f \in S, \forall \mathfrak{q} \in V, f \notin \mathfrak{q}, s(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{a}{f} \in S_{(\mathfrak{q})} \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $a$  and  $f$  are homogeneous of the same degree. As before,<sup>11</sup>

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} = S_{(\mathfrak{p})}.$$

Is the locally ringed space  $(\text{Proj } S, \mathcal{O})$  a scheme?

**Notation.** If  $f \in S$  is homogeneous, then we write

$$\mathbb{D}_+(f) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj } S \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\},$$

which is an open set and  $\mathbb{D}_+(f) = \text{Proj } S \setminus \mathbb{V}(f)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *As locally ringed spaces,*

$$(\mathbb{D}_+(f), \mathcal{O}|_{\mathbb{D}_+(f)}) \cong \text{Spec } S_{(f)}.$$

Further, the open sets  $\mathbb{D}_+(f)$  for  $f \in S_+$  cover  $\text{Proj } S$ . Hence  $(\text{Proj } S, \mathcal{O})$  is a scheme.

*Proof.* Will be on example sheet 2. □

**Definition.** If  $A$  is a ring, define

$$\mathbb{P}_A^n = \text{Proj } A[x_0, \dots, x_n].$$

**Example.** If  $k$  is an algebraically closed field, consider  $\mathbb{P}_k^1 = \text{Proj } k[x_0, x_1]$ . The **closed points**, that is points  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$  is closed, correspond to maximal elements of  $\text{Proj } S$ .<sup>12</sup> These maximal elements are ideals of the form  $\langle ax_0 - bx_1 \rangle$ . The only maximal homogeneous ideal of  $k[x_0, x_1]$  is  $\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle = S_+$ , since any maximal ideal is of the form  $\langle x_0 - a_0, x_1 - a_1 \rangle$ . The other prime ideals of  $k[x_0, x_1]$  are principal. That is, of the form  $\langle f \rangle$  with  $f$  irreducible or  $f = 0$ . For  $\langle f \rangle$  to be homogeneous,  $f$  must be homogeneous. Any such polynomial splits into linear factors, all homogeneous, so in order for  $f$  to be irreducible it must be linear. Note we have a one-to-one correspondence between

$$\begin{aligned} \{\langle ax_0 - bx_1 \rangle \mid a, b \in k \text{ not both zero}\} &\longrightarrow (k^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\})/k^* \\ \langle ax_0 - bx_1 \rangle &\longmapsto (b : a) \end{aligned},$$

where  $k^*$  acts by  $(a, b) \mapsto (\lambda a, \lambda b)$  for  $\lambda \in k^*$ . The conclusion is that the closed points of  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$  are in one-to-one correspondence with points of  $(k^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\})/k^*$ . More generally, the closed points of  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  are in one-to-one correspondence with points of  $(k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})/k^*$ . Can see this by making use of the open cover  $\{\mathbb{D}_+(x_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ ,<sup>13</sup> since  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathbb{D}_+(x_i)$  for any  $i$  implies that  $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $i$ , so  $S_+ \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , thus  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Proj } S$ .

**Example.** Let  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ , but grade by  $\deg x_i = w_i$ , where  $w_0, \dots, w_n$  are positive integers. Define  $\text{WP}^n(w_0, \dots, w_n) = \text{Proj } S$ , the **weighted projective space**. For example,  $\text{WP}^2(1, 1, 2)$  has an open cover  $\{\mathbb{D}_+(x_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2\}$ . Consider  $\mathbb{D}_+(x_2) = \text{Spec } S_{(x_2)}$ . Note

$$S_{(x_2)} = k\left[\frac{x_0^2}{x_2}, \frac{x_0x_1}{x_2}, \frac{x_1^2}{x_2}\right] \cong k[u, v, w] / \langle uw - v^2 \rangle \subseteq S_{x_2},$$

so  $\text{Spec } S_{(x_2)}$  is a quadric cone with a singular point. Similarly,  $\mathbb{D}_+(x_0)$  and  $\mathbb{D}_+(x_1)$  are both isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ .

<sup>11</sup>Exercise: check

<sup>12</sup>Exercise: check

<sup>13</sup>Exercise: good exercise

**Example.** Let  $M = \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $M_{\mathbb{R}} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\Delta \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a compact convex lattice polytope. That is, there exists a finite set  $V \subseteq M$  such that  $\Delta$  is the convex hull of  $V$ , that is the smallest convex set containing  $V$ . Let

$$C(\Delta) = \{(m, r) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R} \mid m \in r\Delta, r \geq 0\} \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

Here  $r\Delta = \{rm \mid m \in \Delta\}$ . This is the **cone over  $\Delta$** . Let

$$S = k[C(\Delta) \cap (M \oplus \mathbb{Z})] = \bigoplus_{P \in C(\Delta) \cap (M \oplus \mathbb{Z})} kz^P.$$

Then  $C(\Delta) \cap (M \oplus \mathbb{Z})$  is a monoid, that is it is closed under addition and contains zero, and  $S$  has multiplication given by  $z^P z^{P'} = z^{P+P'}$ . This makes  $S$  into a ring, and it is graded by  $\deg z^{(m,r)} = r$ . Define  $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta} = \text{Proj } S$ . This is called a **projective toric variety**.

- Let  $\Delta$  be the convex hull of  $\{0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  with  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  the standard basis of  $M = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Check that  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  with standard grading  $x_0 = z^{(0,1)}$  and  $x_i = z^{(e_i,1)}$ .<sup>14</sup> So  $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta} = \mathbb{P}_k^n$ .
- Let  $n = 2$ , and let  $\Delta$  be the convex hull of  $\{(0,0), (1,0), (0,1), (1,1)\}$ . In  $S$ , the degree  $d$  monomials are  $\{z^{(a,b,d)} \mid 0 \leq a \leq d, 0 \leq b \leq d\}$ . Any of these can be written as a product of monomials of degree one. That is, the monomials  $x = z^{(0,0,1)}$ ,  $y = z^{(1,0,1)}$ ,  $w = z^{(0,1,1)}$ , and  $t = z^{(1,1,1)}$ . Thus  $S = k[x, y, w, t] / \langle xt - yw \rangle$ . So  $\text{Proj } S$  can be thought of as a quadric surface in  $\mathbb{P}_k^3$ .

## 2.6 Open and closed subschemes

**Definition.** An **open subscheme** of a scheme  $X$  is a scheme  $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$  for  $U \subseteq X$  an open subset. Note that this is a scheme because from question 1 and question 11 on the first example sheet, open affine subsets of  $X$  form a basis for the topology on  $X$ . An **open immersion** is a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  which induces an isomorphism of  $X$  with an open subscheme of  $Y$ . A **closed immersion**  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism which is a homeomorphism onto a closed subset of  $Y$ , and the induced morphism  $f^{\#} : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is surjective. A **closed subscheme** of  $Y$  is an equivalence class of closed immersions, where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\quad i \quad} & X' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism  $i$  making the diagram commute.

**Example.**

- Let  $Y = \text{Spec } A$ , let  $I \subseteq A$  be an ideal, and let  $X = \text{Spec } A/I$ . Note the map of schemes induced by the quotient map  $A \rightarrow A/I$  identifies  $\text{Spec } A/I$  with  $\mathbb{V}(I) \subseteq \text{Spec } A$ . Thus  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , induced by  $A \rightarrow A/I$ , satisfies the first condition of being a closed immersion. Note that  $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is surjective on stalks. For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{V}(I)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $(f_*\mathcal{O}_X)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$  since all open sets in  $X$  are of the form  $U \cap X$  for  $U$  an open set of  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} = (A/I)_{\mathfrak{p}/I}$ . Certainly  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow (A/I)_{\mathfrak{p}/I}$  is surjective.
- Let  $\text{Spec } k[x, y] / \langle x \rangle \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[x, y] = \mathbb{A}^2$ . This gives a closed subscheme structure to the set  $\mathbb{V}(x)$ . Note  $\mathbb{V}(x^2, xy) = \mathbb{V}(x)$ . This gives a closed immersion  $\text{Spec } k[x, y] / \langle x^2, xy \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ . This gives a different closed subscheme structure on  $\mathbb{V}(x)$ . Note these two subschemes are isomorphic away from the origin, which we can see by looking at  $\mathbb{D}(y) \subseteq \text{Spec } k[x, y] / \langle x \rangle$ , where

$$\mathbb{D}(y) \cong \text{Spec } (k[x, y] / \langle x \rangle)_y = \text{Spec } k[y]_y.$$

Looking at  $\mathbb{D}(y) \subseteq \text{Spec } k[x, y] / \langle x^2, xy \rangle$ ,

$$\mathbb{D}(y) \cong \text{Spec } (k[x, y] / \langle x^2, xy \rangle)_y \cong \text{Spec } k[x, y]_y / \langle x \rangle \cong \text{Spec } k[y]_y.$$

<sup>14</sup>Exercise

### 3 Properties of schemes and morphisms of schemes

#### 3.1 Fibre products

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ & \downarrow g & \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array}$$

be a diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the **fibre product**, if it exists, is an object  $W$  equipped with morphisms  $p : W \rightarrow X$  and  $q : W \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f \circ p = g \circ q$  satisfying the following universal property. For any  $W'$  equipped with maps  $p' : W' \rightarrow X$  and  $q' : W' \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f \circ p' = g \circ q'$ , there exists a unique morphism  $h : W' \rightarrow W$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W' & & \xrightarrow{q'} & & Y \\ & \searrow \exists! h & & \searrow q & \\ & & W & \xrightarrow{q} & Y \\ & \searrow p' & \downarrow p & & \downarrow g \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array}$$

commute. That is,  $p \circ h = p'$  and  $q \circ h = q'$ . Note that if the fibre product exists, it is unique up to unique isomorphism.

**Example.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category of sets. Then

$$X \times_Z Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid f(x) = g(y)\}.$$

It will be helpful to think about the fibre product, and more generally other universal properties, via the Yoneda lemma.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. Write  $h_X$  for the contravariant functor

$$\begin{array}{lll} h_X : & \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ & Y & \longmapsto \mathrm{Hom}(Y, X) \\ f : Y \rightarrow Z & \longmapsto & (\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(Z, X) \mapsto \phi \circ f \in \mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)) \end{array}.$$

Recall that a **natural transformation** between contravariant functors  $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , written as  $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , consists of the data  $\mathcal{T}(X) : F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathrm{Obj} \mathcal{C}$  such that for all  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xleftarrow{F(f)} & F(Y) \\ \mathcal{T}(X) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{T}(Y) \\ G(X) & \xleftarrow{G(f)} & G(Y) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

**Lemma 3.1** (Yoneda's lemma). *The set of natural transformations between  $h_X : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  and  $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is  $G(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $\eta \in G(X)$ , we need to define a map<sup>15</sup>

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_X(Y) = \mathrm{Hom}(Y, X) & \longrightarrow & G(Y) \\ f & \longmapsto & G(f)(\eta) \end{array}, \quad Y \in \mathrm{Obj} \mathcal{C}.$$

Conversely, given  $\mathcal{T} : h_X \rightarrow G$  a natural transformation, take<sup>16</sup>

$$\eta = \mathcal{T}(X)(\mathrm{id}_X).$$

□

<sup>15</sup>Exercise: check that this defines a natural transformation  $h_X \rightarrow G$

<sup>16</sup>Exercise: check that these two maps are inverse to each other

**Corollary 3.2.** *The set of natural transformations  $h_X \rightarrow h_Y$  is  $h_Y(X) = \text{Hom}(X, Y)$ .*

**Definition.** A contravariant functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is said to be **representable** if  $F \cong h_X$  for some  $X \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{C}$ .

Lots of questions in algebraic geometry are about representability of functors. Redefining, the fibre product in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is an object which represents the functor

$$T \mapsto \text{Hom}(T, X) \times_{\text{Hom}(T, Z)} \text{Hom}(T, Y),$$

since an element of the set  $\text{Hom}(T, X) \times_{\text{Hom}(T, Z)} \text{Hom}(T, Y)$  is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T & & & & \\ & \searrow q & & & \\ & & W & \longrightarrow & Y \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ & \swarrow p & & & \end{array}$$

The advantage of using Yoneda is that we can check identities using fibre products using identities of fibre products of sets.

**Example.** In  $\mathbf{Set}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (A \times_B C) \times_C D &\longleftrightarrow A \times_B D \\ ((a, c), d) &\longmapsto (a, d) \\ ((a, f(d)), d) &\longleftarrow (a, d) \end{aligned}, \quad f : D \rightarrow C.$$

Then we have two functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & (h_A(T) \times_{h_B(T)} h_C(T)) \times_{h_C(T)} h_D(T) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \sim \\ & & h_A(T) \times_{h_B(T)} h_D(T) \end{array},$$

and natural transformations showing those functors are isomorphic, and hence represent isomorphic objects.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Fibre products exist in the category of schemes.*

*Proof.* Will construct  $X \times_S Y$  for various cases, bootstrapping up to the general case.

Step 1. Let  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , let  $Y = \text{Spec } B$ , and let  $S = \text{Spec } R$ , so

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & & B \\ \downarrow & \Longleftrightarrow & \uparrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & A \longleftarrow R \end{array}$$

Push-outs exist in the category of rings, so

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & & & & \\ & \nwarrow \exists! h & & & \\ & & A \otimes_R B & \xleftarrow{p_2} & B \\ & & \uparrow p_1 & & \uparrow g \\ & & A & \xleftarrow{f} & R \end{array}$$

where  $p_1(a) = a \otimes 1$  and  $p_2(b) = 1 \otimes b$ . Here  $h$  is defined by  $h(a \otimes b) = p'_1(a)p'_2(b)$ .<sup>17</sup> Thus  $\text{Spec } A \otimes_R B$  is  $\text{Spec } A \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } B$  in the category of affine schemes.

<sup>17</sup>Exercise: check well-defined

If  $T$  is an arbitrary scheme, then giving a morphism  $T \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$  is the same as giving a morphism  $A \rightarrow \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ , by question 12, example sheet 1. Thus giving a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & & \operatorname{Spec} B \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & \operatorname{Spec} A & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R \end{array}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T) & & \\ & & \swarrow \exists! h & & \\ & & A \otimes_R B & \longleftarrow & B \\ & \uparrow & & & \uparrow g \\ & A & \longleftarrow & R & \\ & & f & & \end{array}$$

and  $h : A \otimes_R B \rightarrow \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$  induces a map  $T \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A \otimes_R B$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Spec} A \otimes_R B$  is the fibre product  $\operatorname{Spec} A \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} \operatorname{Spec} B$  in the category of schemes.

Step 2. Will construct more general fibre products by gluing of schemes using question 14 on example sheet 1. We also glue morphisms, so if  $X$  and  $Y$  are schemes,  $\{U_i\}$  an open cover of  $X$ , and we are given morphisms  $f_i : U_i \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ , then we obtain  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f|_{U_i} = f_i$ . The argument is given in the examples class.

Step 3. If  $X, Y \rightarrow S$  are given and  $U \subseteq X$  is open, suppose that  $X \times_S Y$  exists, with projections  $p_1 : X \times_S Y \rightarrow X$  and  $p_2 : X \times_S Y \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $p_1^{-1}(U)$  is  $U \times_S Y$ . By commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T & & & & & & \\ & \searrow \exists! h' & & & & & \\ & p_1^{-1}(U) & \hookrightarrow & X \times_S Y & \longrightarrow & Y & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & U & \hookrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & S & \end{array}$$

the image of  $h'$  must be contained in  $p_1^{-1}(U)$ . Thus  $h'$  factors through  $p_1^{-1}(U) \hookrightarrow X \times_S Y$  giving the unique map  $h$ , so the universal property holds for  $p_1^{-1}(U)$ .

Step 4. Suppose  $\{X_i\}$  is an open cover of  $X$  and  $X_i \times_S Y$  exists for each  $i$ . Then  $X \times_S Y$  exists. Let  $X_{ij} = X_i \cap X_j$ , and let  $U_{ij} = p_1^{-1}(X_{ij}) \subseteq X_i \times_S Y$ . By step 3,  $U_{ij} = X_{ij} \times_S Y$ . By the universal property of fibre products there exists a unique isomorphism  $\phi_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ji}$ .<sup>18</sup> Thus we can glue the  $X_i \times_S Y$  via  $\phi_{ij}$ 's to get a scheme  $X \times_S Y$ , but need to check it satisfies the fibre product axioms. So suppose given

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & & Y \\ & \searrow p'_2 & \downarrow \\ & X & \longrightarrow S \end{array}$$

<sup>18</sup>Exercise: check these gluing maps  $\phi_{ij}$  satisfy the requirements of question 14 on example sheet 1

Let  $T_i = (p_1')^{-1}(X_i)$ , so get a morphism  $\theta_i : T_i \rightarrow X_i \times_S Y \hookrightarrow X \times_S Y$ , where  $X_i \times_S Y \hookrightarrow X \times_S Y$  is an open immersion by construction. On  $T_i \cap T_j$  these maps agree since they factor through  $X_{ij} \times_S Y \subseteq X_i \times_S Y$  and  $X_{ji} \times_S Y \subseteq X_j \times_S Y$  and by the universal property they agree. Thus using step 2, we can glue the  $\theta_i$ 's to get  $\theta : T \rightarrow X \times_S Y$ .

Step 5. Using step 4 and 1 we may construct  $X \times_S Y$  when  $S$  and  $Y$  are affine. Repeating for  $Y$ , we obtain  $X \times_S Y$  when  $S$  is affine, and  $X$  and  $Y$  are arbitrary.

Step 6. Let  $X, Y, S$  be arbitrary, take an open affine cover  $\{S_i\}$  of  $S$ , let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow S$ , and let  $X_i = f^{-1}(S_i)$  and  $Y_i = g^{-1}(S_i)$ . Then  $X_i \times_{S_i} Y_i$  exists and  $X_i \times_{S_i} Y_i = X_i \times_S Y_i$ .<sup>19</sup> Use the same gluing argument as before, to get  $X \times_S Y$ .

□

### 3.2 Fibres of morphisms

The philosophy in **Set** is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}(y) = \{y\} \times_Y X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \{y\} & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

Given  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a morphism and  $y \in Y$ , let  $\kappa(y) = \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}/\mathfrak{m}_y$  be the residue field of  $y$ , so we get a morphism  $\text{Spec } \kappa(y) \rightarrow Y$  with image  $y$ . Then we define

$$X_y = \text{Spec } \kappa(y) \times_Y X$$

to be the **scheme-theoretic fibre** of  $f$  at  $y$ .

**Example.** Let  $f : X = \text{Spec } k[x] \rightarrow Y = \text{Spec } k[t]$  be induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[t] & \longrightarrow & k[x] \\ t & \longmapsto & x^2 \end{array}.$$

For  $y = \langle t - a \rangle \subseteq k[t]$  and  $a \in k$ ,  $\kappa(y) = k[t]/\langle t - a \rangle \cong k$ . If  $B$  is an  $A$ -algebra then  $A/I \otimes_A B = B/IB$ , so

$$X_y = \text{Spec } \kappa(y) \otimes_{k[t]} k[x] = \text{Spec } k[x] / \langle x^2 - a \rangle.$$

If  $a \neq 0$  and  $\text{ch } k \neq 2$ , we obtain either  $X_y$  consists of two distinct points, if  $\sqrt{a} \in k$ , or a single point if  $\sqrt{a} \notin k$ . If  $a = 0$ , we get  $\text{Spec } k[x] / \langle x^2 \rangle$ .

**Remark.**

- In general, it is hard to calculate fibre products, since  $X \times_S Y$  is not the set-theoretic fibre product in general. For example,

$$\mathbb{A}_k^1 \times_{\text{Spec } k} \mathbb{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec } k[x] \otimes_k k[y] = \text{Spec } k[x, y] = \mathbb{A}_k^2.$$

- If we are interested only in varieties, such as schemes over a field  $k$ , the usual product of varieties  $X \times Y$  corresponds to  $X \times_{\text{Spec } k} Y$ . More generally, if we are working in the category **Sch**/ $S$ , the natural product is  $X \times_S Y$ .
- Given schemes  $S$  and  $T$  with a morphism  $T \rightarrow S$ , we get a functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Sch}/S & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Sch}/T \\ (X \rightarrow S) & \longmapsto & (X \times_S T \rightarrow T) \end{array}.$$

This functor is called **base-change**.

<sup>19</sup>Exercise: check, immediate from universal property

**Example.** Consider a scheme  $X$  over  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ , such as  $X = \operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z] / \langle x^n + y^n - z^n \rangle \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ . May consider base-changes

- $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ , induced by  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ , which gives  $X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p = \operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{F}_p[x, y, z] / I$ ,
- $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ , induced by  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , which gives  $X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q} = \operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z] / I$ , or
- $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ , induced by  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which gives  $X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C} = \operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] / I \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ ,

where  $I = \langle x^n - y^n - z^n \rangle$ .

### 3.3 Brief discussion of other properties

See example sheet 2 for more details or your favourite algebraic geometry text, such as Hartshorne Section II.3 and Section II.4.

**Definition.** A scheme  $X$  is **integral** if for every  $U \subseteq X$  open,  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is an integral domain.

**Definition.** A scheme  $X$  is **reduced** if for every  $U \subseteq X$  open,  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  has no nilpotents.

**Definition.** A scheme  $X$  is **irreducible** if the underlying topological space  $X$  is irreducible. That is, if  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  with  $X_1, X_2 \subseteq X$  closed, then either  $X_1 = X$  or  $X_2 = X$ .

**Example.** Let  $X = \operatorname{Spec} k[x, y] / \langle xy \rangle$ .

- $X$  is not integral because  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k[x, y] / \langle xy \rangle$  is not an integral domain, since  $xy = 0$ .
- $X$  is reduced.
- $X$  is not irreducible, since  $X = \mathbb{V}(x) \cup \mathbb{V}(y)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.**  $X$  is integral if and only if  $X$  is reduced and irreducible.

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. It is **locally Noetherian** if there exists a cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $X$  with  $U_i = \operatorname{Spec} A_i$  affine and  $A_i$  Noetherian. It is **Noetherian** if the cover may be taken to be finite.

**Example.**  $\operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  with a countable number of variables is not locally Noetherian.

Not obvious, but can show that  $X$  is locally Noetherian if and only if, if  $U \subseteq X$  is affine and  $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ , then  $A$  is Noetherian.

**Definition.** A morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of schemes is **locally of finite type** if there is a covering of  $Y$  by affine open sets  $\{V_i = \operatorname{Spec} B_i\}$  such that for each  $i$ ,  $f^{-1}(V_i)$  can be covered by affine open sets  $\{U_{ij} = \operatorname{Spec} A_{ij}\}$ , where each  $A_{ij}$  is a finitely generated  $B_i$ -algebra. We say  $f$  is of **finite type** if for each  $i$ , the cover  $\{U_{ij}\}$  may be taken to be finite.

**Definition.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. A **variety over  $k$**  is a scheme  $X$  over  $\operatorname{Spec} k$  which is integral and  $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$  is of finite type. That is,  $X$  can be covered by a finite number of open affines  $U_i = \operatorname{Spec} A_i$  with  $A_i$  a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. The  $A_i$  must be integral domains, so  $A_i = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I$  where  $I$  is a prime ideal.

Note that this still allows a non-Hausdorff scheme  $\mathbb{A}^1 \cup \mathbb{A}^1$  obtained by gluing  $\mathbb{D}(x) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1$  to  $\mathbb{D}(x) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1$ .

**Example.** Let  $X_i = \operatorname{Spec} k[x_i, y_i] / \langle x_i y_i \rangle$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Glue  $X_i$  to  $X_{i+1}$  along open subsets  $U_{i,i+1} \subseteq X_i$  given by  $\mathbb{D}(x_i)$  and  $U_{i+1,i} \subseteq X_{i+1}$  given by  $\mathbb{D}(y_{i+1})$  via the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[y_{i+1}]_{y_{i+1}} & \longrightarrow & k[x_i]_{x_i} \\ y_{i+1} & \longmapsto & x_i^{-1} \end{array}.$$

Doing this for all  $i$ , we get an infinite chain of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 's. Note  $\{X_i\}$  forms an open cover of  $X$  but has no finite subcover. Not quasi-compact, only locally of finite type over  $\operatorname{Spec} k$ .

### 3.4 Separated and proper morphisms

**Remark.** A topological space  $X$  is Hausdorff if and only if the diagonal  $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\} \subseteq X \times X$  is closed.

**Example.** Let  $X$  be  $\mathbb{R}$  with doubled origin in the usual Euclidean topology. Then  $X \times X$  is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with doubled axes and four origins. Then  $\Delta$  only contains two origins but other origins are in the closure of  $\Delta$ .

**Definition.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes, and  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  be the morphism induced by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} & X \\ \text{id}_X \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

We say  $f$  is **separated** if  $\Delta$  is a closed immersion.

**Theorem 3.5** (Valuative criterion for separatedness). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism and  $X$  Noetherian. Then  $f$  is separated if and only if the following condition holds. For any field  $k$  and any valuation ring  $R \subseteq k$ , that is for any  $x \in k$  such that  $x \neq 0$  either  $x \in R$  or  $x^{-1} \in R$ , let  $T = \text{Spec } R$  and  $U = \text{Spec } k$ , and  $\iota : U \rightarrow T$  be the morphism induced by the inclusion  $R \hookrightarrow k$ . Given a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow \iota' & \downarrow f \\ T & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

*then there exists at most one morphism  $\iota' : T \rightarrow X$  making the diagram commute.*

The intuition is if  $R$  is a valuation ring, it has a zero prime ideal and a unique maximal ideal, such that  $\overline{\{0\}} = \mathbb{V}(0) = \text{Spec } R = T$  and the maximal ideal is a closed point.

**Remark.** We may now define a variety over a field  $k$  as a scheme  $X$  which is integral, and finite type and separated over  $\text{Spec } k$ .

**Definition.** A morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is **proper** if it is separated, of finite type, and **universally closed**. That is, for any morphism  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  the induced projection  $X \times_Y Y' \rightarrow Y'$  is a closed map, that is the image of a closed set is closed.

**Example.**

- $\mathbb{P}_k^n = \text{Proj } k[x_0, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  is proper.
- $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  is not proper. Consider the base-change by  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ . Let

$$p_2 : \mathbb{A}_k^1 \times_{\text{Spec } k} \mathbb{A}_k^1 = \mathbb{A}_k^2 = \text{Spec } k[x] \otimes_k k[y] = \text{Spec } k[x, y] \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec } k[t] \\ y \longmapsto t$$

This is not a closed map. For example,  $p_2(\mathbb{V}(xy - 1)) = \mathbb{D}(t)$ , which is open and not closed.

**Theorem 3.6** (Valuative criterion for properness). *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite type morphism with  $X$  Noetherian. Then  $f$  is proper if as in the criterion for separatedness, whenever given a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } k = U & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! g & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec } R = T & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

*there exists a unique morphism  $g : T \rightarrow X$  making the diagram commute.*

**Example.** Projective varieties, that is closed subvarieties in  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , are proper over  $\text{Spec } k$ .

Lecture 13  
Friday  
06/11/20



## 4 Sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

The idea is to go from the notion of an  $A$ -module  $M$  to the notion of an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### 4.1 Sheaves of modules

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a ringed space. A **sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules** is a sheaf of abelian groups  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  such that for each  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  has the structure of an  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module, compatible with restriction. That is, if  $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  and  $m \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , then  $s|_V \cdot m|_V = (s \cdot m)|_V$  for  $V \subseteq U$ . A **morphism of sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules**  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a morphism of sheaves of abelian groups such that for all  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\phi_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -modules.

- Kernels, cokernels, and images of morphisms of sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules are sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.
- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  denotes the group of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module homomorphisms  $\{\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\}$ . This is an  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ -module. Then the **sheaf hom**

$$U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U),$$

where  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module, is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, written  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ .

- If  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, we denote by  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G}$  the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{G}(U).$$

- Push-forwards and pull-backs. Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of rings, let  $M$  be a  $B$ -module, and let  $N$  be an  $A$ -module. Then  $M$  is also an  $A$ -module such that

$$a \cdot m = \phi(a) \cdot m, \quad a \in A, \quad m \in M,$$

and  $B \otimes_A N$  is a  $B$ -module via

$$b \cdot (b' \otimes n) = bb' \otimes n, \quad b \in B, \quad b' \otimes n \in B \otimes_A N.$$

Given  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a morphism of ringed spaces, so  $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ , if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules, then the following holds.

- $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is naturally a sheaf of  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, since  $(f_*\mathcal{F})(U) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(U))$  is an  $(f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))$ -module, and hence  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module via  $f^\#$ .
- $f^{-1}\mathcal{G}$  is naturally a sheaf of  $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules. But  $f^\#$  induces the adjoint map  $f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ , by question 10 on example sheet 1. Define

$$f^*\mathcal{G} = f^{-1}\mathcal{G} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X.$$

This is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

### 4.2 Locally free and coherent sheaves

**Definition.** A sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\mathcal{F}$  is **free** if it is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_X$  for some index set  $I$ . If  $\#I = r < \infty$ , then we say  $\mathcal{F}$  has **rank**  $r$ . A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is **locally free** of rank  $r$  if there exists an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$  is free of rank  $r$  for each  $i$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a **line bundle** if it is rank one. Often more generally, one might refer to a rank  $r$  locally free sheaf as a rank  $r$  **vector bundle**.

**Remark.** One way to define the notion of a vector bundle over a  $k$ -scheme  $X$  as another scheme  $E$  with a morphism  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  whose fibres are  $\mathbb{A}^r$ , and there exists an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(U_i) \cong U_i \times \mathbb{A}^r$ , and other conditions. We get a sheaf

$$\mathcal{E}(U) = \{s : U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U) \mid \pi \circ s = \text{id}_U\}.$$

This gives a locally free sheaf on  $X$ . See somewhere in Hartshorne Section II.5 exercises.

$$\mathcal{E}(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(U).$$
$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 U & & & & \\
 \text{---} f \text{---} & & & & \\
 \text{---} s \text{---} & & U \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathbb{A}_k^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_k^1 \\
 & \searrow \pi_1 \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\
 \text{---} \mathrm{id}_U \text{---} & & U & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} k
 \end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[x] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(U) \\ x & \longmapsto & \phi \end{array}.$$
$$S^{-1}M = \left\{ \frac{m}{a} \mid a \in S, m \in M \right\} / \sim,$$
$$\widetilde{M}(U) = \left\{ s : U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{p} \in U} M_{\mathbf{p}} \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall \mathbf{p} \in U, s(\mathbf{p}) \in M_{\mathbf{p}}, \\ \forall \mathbf{p} \in U, \exists \mathbf{q} \in V \subseteq U \text{ open}, \exists m \in M, \exists s \in A, \forall \mathbf{q} \in V, s \notin \mathbf{q}, s(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{m}{s} \end{array} \right\}.$$

- $\widetilde{M}_{\mathbf{p}} = M_{\mathbf{p}}$ .
- $\widetilde{M}(\mathbb{D}(f)) = M_f$ .
- $\Gamma(\mathrm{Spec} A, \widetilde{M}) = M$ .

$$\ker(\widetilde{M}_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_2) = \ker(\widetilde{M_1 \rightarrow M_2}), \quad \widetilde{M}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widetilde{M}_2 = \widetilde{M_1 \otimes_A M_2}, \quad \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\widetilde{M}_1, \widetilde{M}_2) = \widetilde{\text{Hom}_A(M_1, M_2)}.$$

### 4.3 Line bundles and the Picard group

**Remark.** Note that if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle, say with trivialising cover  $\{U_i\}$ , then we have on  $U_i \cap U_j$

$$\phi_{ij} : \mathcal{O}_{U_i}|_{U_i \cap U_j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}|_{U_i \cap U_j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_j}|_{U_i \cap U_j},$$

using trivialisations on  $U_i$  and  $U_j$ . Then  $\phi_{ij}$  is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}$ -module, and as such is given by multiplication by  $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U_i \cap U_j)$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_X^*$  is the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  consisting of invertible sections of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Note on  $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$ , we have  $g_{ij}g_{jk} = g_{ik}$ .

Now suppose given  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  a morphism. How do we think about  $f^*\mathcal{L}$ ? Let  $Y_i = f^{-1}(U_i)$  and  $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow U_i$ . Then

$$f_i^*(\mathcal{L}|_{U_i}) \cong f_i^*\mathcal{O}_{U_i} \cong f_i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{U_i} \otimes_{f_i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{U_i}} \mathcal{O}_{Y_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_i},$$

since  $A \otimes_A M \cong M$ . Now  $(f^*\mathcal{L})|_{Y_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}$ . So  $\{U_i\}$  pulls back to a trivialising cover for  $f^*\mathcal{L}$ , so pull-back of a line bundle is a line bundle. Further the transition maps are given by  $f^\#(g_{ij})$ .

**Remark.** Push-forward is not as well-behaved. For example,  $f_*\mathcal{L}'$  for  $\mathcal{L}'$  a line bundle on  $Y$  need not be a line bundle. In fact, it will always be quasi-coherent but not necessarily coherent.

If  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  are line bundles on  $X$ , with a common trivialising cover  $\{U_i\}$  and with transition functions  $g_{ij}$  and  $h_{ij}$  respectively, then the following holds.

- The transition functions of  $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}_2$  are  $g_{ij}h_{ij}$ . Note if  $\cdot g : A \rightarrow A$  and  $\cdot h : A \rightarrow A$  are given, then these two homomorphisms induce the homomorphism  $\cdot g \otimes \cdot h : A \otimes_A A \rightarrow A \otimes_A A$ , which is  $\cdot gh : A \rightarrow A$ .
- Set  $\mathcal{L}_1^\vee \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . This is also a line bundle because on  $U_i$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_1|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ , and since  $\text{Hom}_A(A, A) = A$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{U_i}}(\mathcal{O}_{U_i}, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) = \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ . The transition maps are given by  $g_{ij}^{-1}$ , since  $\cdot g_{ij} : \mathcal{O}_{U_i}|_{U_i \cap U_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_j}|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  has dual  $\cdot (g_{ij}^\top)^{-1} = \cdot g_{ij}^{-1} : \mathcal{O}_{U_i}|_{U_i \cap U_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_j}|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{L}_1^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}_1$  has transition maps  $g_{ij}^{-1}g_{ij} = 1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}_1^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}_1 \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. Define  $\text{Pic } X$ , the **Picard group** of  $X$ , to be the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $X$ . This is a group with product law and inverse

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}_2, \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1} = \mathcal{L}^\vee = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Why are line bundles important?

### 4.4 Morphisms to projective space

Fix a base scheme  $\text{Spec } k$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}_k^n = \text{Proj } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{Sch}/k$  the category of schemes over  $k$ . Let  $F$  be the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Sch}/k &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ X &\longmapsto \left\{ \text{surjections } \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L} \text{ for } \mathcal{L} \text{ a line bundle on } X \right\} / \cong, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_1 : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\phi_2 : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$  are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism  $f : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules making

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{L}_2 \\ & \swarrow \phi_1 \quad \searrow \phi_2 & \\ & \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} & \end{array}$$

commute. Given  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  a morphism in  $\mathbf{Sch}/k$ , we get a map in  $\mathbf{Set}$

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_2) &\longrightarrow F(X_1) \\ (\phi : \mathcal{O}_{X_2}^{\oplus(n+1)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}) &\longmapsto (f^*\phi : f^*\mathcal{O}_{X_2}^{\oplus(n+1)} = \mathcal{O}_{X_1}^{\oplus(n+1)} \twoheadrightarrow f^*\mathcal{L}). \end{aligned}$$

This is a surjection by right exactness of tensor products.

**Theorem 4.2.**  $F$  is represented by  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ . That is,  $F \cong h_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}$ .

**Remark.** This is an example of a **Quot scheme**, which is a scheme which represents a functor of the form  $X \mapsto \{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus k} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a coherent sheaf satisfying some properties.

If the statement holds, then there is a **universal object**. That is, an element of  $F(\mathbb{P}^n)$  corresponding to the identity  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \in h_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ , that is a surjective map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n+1)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ . Further, following the proof of Yoneda's lemma, given  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\mathcal{T} : h_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow F$  the natural transformation giving the natural isomorphism of functors, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \in h_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\mathbb{P}^n) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{P}^n)} & F(\mathbb{P}^n) \ni \left( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n+1)} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{L} \right) \\ \downarrow h_{\mathbb{P}^n}(f) & & \downarrow F(f) \\ f \in h_{\mathbb{P}^n}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}(X)} & F(X) \ni \left( \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \xrightarrow{f^*\phi} f^*\mathcal{L} \right) \end{array} .$$

That is, the element  $\mathcal{T}(X)(f)$  is precisely  $f^*\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{L}$ . So the representing scheme  $\mathbb{P}^n$  comes with the universal object  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n+1)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ . So we will construct the universal object. The line bundle we construct has a name,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ .

- Let  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } S$  has an open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{D}_+(x_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}, \quad \mathbb{D}_+(x_i) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj } S \mid x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

We will take  $\mathcal{U}$  to be a trivialising cover for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ , with the transition map given by

$$g_{ij} = \frac{x_i}{x_j} = \frac{x_i^2}{x_i x_j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^*(\mathbb{D}_+(x_i) \cap \mathbb{D}_+(x_j)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^*(\mathbb{D}_+(x_i x_j)) = S_{(x_i x_j)}^*,$$

so  $g_{ji} = x_j/x_i = x_j^2/x_i x_j$  and  $g_{ij}g_{jk} = (x_i/x_j)(x_j/x_k) = x_i/x_k = g_{ik}$ .

- Have a morphism defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_+(x_i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n+1)}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_+(x_i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \\ e_j & \longmapsto & \frac{x_j}{x_i}, \quad e_j = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \end{array}$$

using the trivialisation of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{D}_+(x_i)$ . That is, we have an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)|_{\mathbb{D}_+(x_i)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_+(x_i)}$ . Well-defined globally, since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n+1)}|_{\mathbb{D}_+(x_i x_k)} & \\ \swarrow \frac{x_j}{x_i} \leftarrow e_j & & \searrow e_j \mapsto \frac{x_j}{x_k} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_+(x_i)}|_{\mathbb{D}_+(x_i x_k)} & \xrightarrow{\cdot g_{ik}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_+(x_k)}|_{\mathbb{D}_+(x_i x_k)} \end{array},$$

but  $g_{ik}(x_j/x_i) = (x_i/x_k)(x_j/x_i) = x_j/x_k$ . Note in particular each  $e_j$  maps to a global section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ . We now have a morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ , and need to check surjective. On  $\mathbb{D}_+(x_i)$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_+(x_i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n+1)}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_+(x_i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) = S_{(x_i)} \\ e_i & \longmapsto & \frac{x_i}{x_i} = 1 \end{array},$$

so in particular, looking at sections over  $\mathbb{D}_+(x_i)$ , we get a homomorphism of  $S_{(x_i)}$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{(x_i)}^{\oplus(n+1)} & \longrightarrow & S_{(x_i)} \\ e_i & \longmapsto & 1 \end{array},$$

so clearly a surjective map of modules.

Thus  $(\psi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n+1)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \in F(\mathbb{P}^n)$ .

Lecture 15  
Wednesday  
11/11/20

*Proof.* Given  $X$  and  $(\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}) \in F(X)$ , we need that there exists a unique morphism  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  such that

$$(\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}) \cong (f^*\psi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)).$$

Indeed, this will give the natural transformation  $F \rightarrow h_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ , and the inverse natural transformation  $h_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow F$  is given by pull-back. That is,  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  gives  $f^*\psi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ .

- Let  $\phi(e_i) = s_i \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ . Define

$$Z_i = \{x \in X \mid (s_i)_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{L}_x\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_x \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,x},$$

where  $(s_i)_x$  is the germ of  $s_i$  at  $x$ . Claim that this is a closed set. This can be checked on an open cover  $\{U_i\}$ , since  $Z \subseteq X$  is closed if and only if  $Z \cap U_i$  is closed in  $U_i$  for all  $i$ . Thus we may use a trivialising affine cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $X$ . So we reduce to the case that  $X = \text{Spec } A$  and  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A}$ , so  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong A$  so  $s_i \in A$  induces  $(s_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} = s_i/1 \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Now  $s_i/1 \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  if and only if  $s_i$  lies in the inverse image  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  under the localisation map  $A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus  $Z_i = V(s_i)$ , a closed set. Let

$$U_i = X \setminus Z_i.$$

Then there is an isomorphism<sup>20</sup>

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{U_i} & \longleftrightarrow & \mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \\ 1 & \mapsto & s_i \\ \frac{s}{s_i} & \longleftarrow & s \end{array}.$$

Interpret  $s/s_i$  as the element of  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$  such that  $(s/s_i)s_i = s$ . We may now define a morphism

$$f_i : U_i = X \setminus Z_i \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_+(x_i) = \text{Spec } S_{(x_i)},$$

by giving a homomorphism

$$f_i^{\#} : S_{(x_i)} = k \left[ \frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right] \longrightarrow \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

$$\frac{x_j}{x_i} \longmapsto \frac{s_j}{s_i},$$

defining  $f_i^{\#}$  as a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism. To get a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $f|_{U_i} = f_i$ , we need to check  $f_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ . Check that

$$\begin{aligned} (f_i^{\#})_{U_i \cap U_j} : \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_+(x_i) \cap \mathbb{D}_+(x_j), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = S_{(x_i x_j)} &\longrightarrow \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \frac{x_k}{x_j} &\longmapsto \frac{s_k}{s_j} \\ \frac{x_k}{x_j} = \frac{\frac{x_i}{x_j} x_k}{\frac{x_i}{x_j} x_j} &\longmapsto \frac{\frac{s_i}{s_j} s_k}{\frac{s_i}{s_j} s_j} = \frac{s_k}{s_j} \end{aligned},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f_j^{\#})_{U_i \cap U_j} : \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_+(x_i) \cap \mathbb{D}_+(x_j), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = S_{(x_i x_j)} &\longrightarrow \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \frac{x_k}{x_i} &\longmapsto \frac{s_k}{s_i} \\ \frac{x_k}{x_i} = \frac{\frac{x_j}{x_i} x_k}{\frac{x_j}{x_i} x_i} &\longmapsto \frac{\frac{s_j}{s_i} s_k}{\frac{s_j}{s_i} s_i} = \frac{s_k}{s_i} \end{aligned}.$$

So  $(f_i^{\#})_{U_i \cap U_j} = (f_j^{\#})_{U_i \cap U_j}$ , so  $f_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ , so the morphisms glue to give  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ .

Further,  $f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \cong \mathcal{L}$ , because the transition maps  $g_{ij} = x_i/x_j$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$  pull back under  $f^{\#}$  to  $s_i/s_j$ , which are the transition maps for  $\mathcal{L}$  using trivialisations for  $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i}$  which we used above.

<sup>20</sup>Exercise: check on stalks

- For uniqueness, suppose given a surjection  $\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  and a morphism  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  such that

$$\left(g^*\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)\right) \cong \left(\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\right).$$

We may think of  $\phi$  as given by  $n+1$  sections  $s_0, \dots, s_n \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  with  $s_i = \phi(e_i)$ . Similarly the universal object on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is given by sections  $x_i \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$ . Note by the construction of the universal object, the section  $x_j$  is given on  $\mathbb{D}_+(x_i)$  by  $x_j/x_i \in S_{(x_i)}$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules, then  $s \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{F})$  induces a section  $(Y, s)$  in  $\Gamma(X, f^{-1}\mathcal{F})$ , and hence a section

$$f^*s = (Y, s) \otimes 1 \in \Gamma(X, f^{-1}\mathcal{F} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(X, f^*\mathcal{F}).$$

In particular, pull-back of the section  $x_i \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$  is  $s_i$ . That is,  $g^*x_i = s_i$ . In particular,  $(s_i)_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{L}_x$  for some  $x \in X$  if and only if  $(x_i)_{g(x)} \in \mathfrak{m}_{g(x)}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)_{g(x)}$ . Thus  $U_i = \{x \in X \mid (s_i)_x \notin \mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{L}_x\}$  satisfies  $U_i = g^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_+(x_i))$ . So we have  $g_i = g|_{U_i} : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_+(x_i)$  and it is enough to show  $g_i = f_i$ , where  $f_i$  was constructed previously from  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ . So it is enough to check  $g_i^\# = f_i^\#$ , and

$$g_i^\# \left( \frac{x_j}{x_i} \right) = \frac{g^*x_j}{g^*x_i} = \frac{s_j}{s_i} = f_i^\# \left( \frac{x_j}{x_i} \right).$$

Hence uniqueness. □

**Remark.**

- If instead I had chosen  $g_{ij} = x_j/x_i$ , we would have obtained the line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^\vee,$$

and  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)) = 0$ .

- If we were working in the world of varieties, locally the section  $s_i$  is viewed as a function and  $Z_i$  is the locus where  $s_i$  vanishes. On  $U_i$ , we define a morphism to projective space

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{D}_+(x_i) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n \\ p & \longmapsto & \left( \frac{s_0(p)}{s_i(p)}, \dots, \frac{s_n(p)}{s_i(p)} \right). \end{array}$$

Equivalently, on  $X$ , we can view this function as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^n \\ p & \longmapsto & (s_0(p), \dots, s_n(p)). \end{array}$$

## 5 Divisors

Weil divisors are codimension one subvarieties and Cartier divisors are subschemes defined by a single equation.

### 5.1 Dimension

Recall the following.

**Definition.** The **dimension** of a topological space  $X$  is the length  $n$  of the longest chain  $Z_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Z_n$  of irreducible closed subsets of  $X$ .

**Example.**  $\dim \mathbb{A}_k^1 = 1$ , since  $\{\text{point}\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^1$ .

**Definition.** The **Krull dimension** of a ring  $A$  is  $\dim A = \dim \operatorname{Spec} A$ , which is the length of the longest chain  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$  of prime ideals of  $A$ .

**Definition.** If  $Z \subseteq X$  is an irreducible closed subset, then the **codimension**  $\operatorname{codim}(Z, X)$  is the length  $n$  of the longest chain  $Z = Z_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Z_n$  of irreducible closed subsets.

**Remark.** Intuition on dimension may be faulty, even for Noetherian affine schemes. However, if  $B$  is a domain and a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra for  $k$  a field, then for any  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq B$ ,

$$\operatorname{Ht} \mathfrak{p} + \dim B/\mathfrak{p} = \dim B. \quad (1)$$

Here the **height**  $\operatorname{Ht} \mathfrak{p}$  is the length  $n$  of the longest chain of primes  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}$ . Note  $\dim B/\mathfrak{p} = \dim \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $\operatorname{Ht} \mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{codim}(\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}), \operatorname{Spec} B)$ , so we have from (1) that

$$\operatorname{codim}(\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}), \operatorname{Spec} B) + \dim \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim \operatorname{Spec} B.$$

This implies that if  $X$  is a variety over  $k$ , so integral and finite type over  $k$ , and  $Z \subseteq X$  an irreducible closed subset, that

$$\dim Z + \operatorname{codim}(Z, X) = \dim X.$$

Also if  $\eta \in Z \subseteq X$  is the generic point of  $Z$ , then

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta} = \operatorname{codim}(Z, X),$$

by example sheet 3.

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $X$  is a Noetherian scheme, then  $X$  is a Noetherian topological space, that is every decreasing sequence of closed sets is stationary, and every closed subset of  $X$  has a decomposition into a finite number of irreducible closed subsets.*

*Proof.* Exercise. <sup>21</sup> □

### 5.2 Class group of Weil divisors

**Assumption 5.2.**  $X$  is a Noetherian integral scheme over  $\operatorname{Spec} k$  which is **regular in codimension one**. That is, whenever a local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is of dimension one, it is **regular**, that is

$$\dim_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x} \mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2 = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x}.$$

That is, the dimension of the Zariski tangent space to  $X$  at  $x$  coincides with  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ .

**Remark.** Regularity measures non-singularity, so we tend to say a scheme  $X$  all of whose local rings are regular is **regular** or **non-singular**.

**Example.** If  $X$  is a non-singular curve then  $X$  is regular in codimension one, but  $y^2 = x^2(x-1)$  is not regular at the origin since the Zariski tangent space at the origin is two-dimensional.

---

<sup>21</sup>Exercise

**Remark.** Standard commutative algebra fact in Atiyah-Macdonald. A regular Noetherian local domain  $A$  of dimension one is a **discrete valuation ring**. That is, if  $K$  is the field of fractions of  $A$ , then there is a group homomorphism  $\nu : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $K^*$  is the multiplicative group of  $K$ , such that

$$A = \{x \in K^* \mid \nu(x) \geq 0\} \cup \{0\},$$

and the maximal ideal of  $A$  is

$$\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in K^* \mid \nu(x) > 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Note that after rescaling  $\nu$  so that  $\nu(\mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2) = 1$ , then  $\nu(x) = k$  if  $x \in \mathfrak{m}^k \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$ .

**Definition.** Assume Assumption 5.2 holds. Then a **prime divisor** on  $X$  is a closed subvariety, that is an irreducible and reduced, equivalently integral, closed subscheme of  $X$ , of codimension one. Let  $\text{Div } X$  be the free abelian group generated by prime divisors. Let  $K(X)$  be the function field of  $X$ . See example sheet 2, question 7. Note  $K(X)$  is the field of fractions of  $A$  whenever  $\text{Spec } A \subseteq X$  is an open affine subset. For  $Y \subseteq X$  a prime divisor, let  $\eta \in Y$  be its generic point. Then  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta} = 1$ , as follows from  $\text{codim}(Y, X) = 1$ , and hence have valuation  $\nu_Y : K(X)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $K(X)$  is the field of fractions of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$ , such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta} = \{f \in K(X)^* \mid \nu_Y(f) \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

May assume  $\nu_Y(\mathfrak{m}_\eta \setminus \mathfrak{m}_\eta^2) = 1$ .

**Example.** Let  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec } k[x]$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p} = \langle x - a \rangle \subseteq k[x]$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} = k[x]_{\langle x-a \rangle}$  and  $K(X) = k(x)$ . Given  $f/g \in K(X)$  non-zero, we may write  $f/g = (p/q)(x - a)^k$  such that  $\gcd(p, x - a) = \gcd(q, x - a) = 1$ . Then the valuation  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(f/g) = k$  is the order of the zero or pole of  $f/g$  at zero, and

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \in K(X)^* \mid \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) \geq 0 \right\} \cup \{0\}.$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *With  $X$  satisfying Assumption 5.2, if  $f \in K(X)^*$ , then  $\nu_Y(f) = 0$  for all but a finite number of prime divisors  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* We can find an open affine subset  $U = \text{Spec } A$  of  $X$  such that  $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . For example, first pass to an open affine  $\text{Spec } B$  on which we can write  $f = a/s$  for  $a \in B$  and  $s \neq 0$ , and then  $f \in B_s$ , so we may take  $U = \mathbb{D}(s) \subseteq \text{Spec } B$ . Then  $Z = X \setminus U$  is a proper closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is Noetherian, so is  $Z$  as a topological space and hence decomposes into a finite union of irreducible closed subsets. Thus  $Z$  contains only a finite number of prime divisors. So enough to check the statement on  $\overline{U}$ , since any other prime divisor intersects  $U$ , and its generic point  $\eta$  is contained in  $U$ , since if  $\eta \notin U$  then  $\{\eta\} \cap U = \emptyset$  as  $U$  is open. Thus we may assume  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine and  $f \in A$ . Thus  $\nu_Y(f) \geq 0$  for all  $Y$  prime divisors in  $X$  and

$$\nu_Y(f) > 0 \iff \frac{f}{1} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\eta'} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta'} \iff f \in \mathfrak{p} \iff \mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{V}(f) \iff Y \subseteq \mathbb{V}(f),$$

where  $\eta'$  is the generic point of  $Y$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  is the prime ideal corresponding to  $\eta'$ . Note  $\mathbb{V}(f)$  is a proper closed subset of  $X$  since  $f \neq 0$ . Thus  $\mathbb{V}(f)$  decomposes into a finite number of irreducible components, none of which are  $X$ , and hence at most a finite number of prime divisors contained in  $\mathbb{V}(f)$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $X$  satisfy Assumption 5.2, and  $f \in K(X)^*$ . Then a **divisor of zeros and poles** of  $f$ , denoted as  $(f)$ , is

$$(f) = \sum_{Y \subseteq X \text{ prime divisor}} \nu_Y(f) Y \in \text{Div } X.$$

By Lemma 5.3, this makes sense. Note

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(X)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Div } X \\ f & \longmapsto & (f) \end{array}$$

is a group homomorphism as  $\nu_Y$  is.



**Definition.** The **class group** of  $X$ , written as  $\text{Cl } X$ , is the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(X)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Div } X \\ f & \longmapsto & (f) \end{array}.$$

Two divisors  $D, D' \in \text{Div } X$  are **linearly equivalent** if there exists  $f \in K(X)^*$  such that  $(f) = D - D'$ . We write  $D \sim D'$ . If  $D \sim 0$ , that is  $D = (f)$  for some  $f$ , we say  $D$  is a **principal divisor**. So  $\text{Cl } X$  is the group of divisors modulo linear equivalence.

**Remark.** If  $X = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the ring of algebraic integers in a finite field extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\text{Cl Spec } \mathcal{O}_K = \text{Cl } \mathcal{O}_K$  as defined in any algebraic number theory course.

**Proposition 5.4.** *If  $A$  is an integrally closed Noetherian domain, then*

$$A = \bigcap_{\text{Ht } \mathfrak{p}=1, \mathfrak{p} \subseteq A \text{ prime}} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq A_{(0)}.$$

*Proof.* Matsumura, Commutative algebra, Theorem 38, Page 124. □

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian integral domain. Then  $A$  is a UFD if and only if  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is normal, that is  $A$  is integrally closed in its field of fractions, and  $\text{Cl } X = 0$ .*

*Proof.* A UFD is integrally closed in its field of fractions. Also,  $A$  is a UFD if and only if every prime ideal of height one of  $A$  is principal. Thus we need to show that if  $A$  is an integrally closed domain, we have the equivalence that every height one prime of  $A$  is principal if and only if  $\text{Cl Spec } A = 0$ .

$\implies$  Given a prime divisor  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $Y$  corresponds to a height one prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \langle f \rangle$  for some  $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $(f) = Y$ , so every divisor is principal.

$\impliedby$  Suppose  $\text{Cl } X = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  is a prime of height one, and  $Y = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Then there exists  $f \in K(X)^* = A_{(0)}^*$  such that  $(f) = Y$ . Since  $\nu_Y(f) = 1$ ,  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$  and  $f$  generates the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , since in a discrete valuation ring every element of  $\mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$  generates  $\mathfrak{m}$ . If  $\mathfrak{p}' \subseteq A$  is any other height one prime, and  $Y' = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}')$ , then  $\nu_{Y'}(f) = 0$ , so  $f \in A_{\mathfrak{p}'}$  is a unit. Now apply Proposition 5.4. Thus  $f \in A$  and  $f \in A \cap \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}$ . If we show  $f$  generates  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we will be done. Let  $g$  be any other element of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\nu_Y(g) \geq 1$  and  $\nu_{Y'}(g) \geq 0$  for all  $Y' \neq Y$  so  $\nu_{Y'}(g/f) = \nu_{Y'}(g) - \nu_{Y'}(f) \geq 0$  for all  $Y'$ . Thus  $g/f \in A$ . Thus  $g = (g/f)f \in \langle f \rangle$  so  $\mathfrak{p} = \langle f \rangle$ . □

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $X$  satisfy Assumption 5.2,  $Z \subseteq X$  a proper closed subset, and  $U = X \setminus Z$  an open subscheme of  $X$ . Then*

1. *there exists a surjective homomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cl } X & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl } U \\ \sum_i n_i Y_i & \longmapsto & \sum_i n_i (Y_i \cap U) \end{array},$$

*interpreting as zero if  $Y_i \cap U = \emptyset$ ,*

2. *if  $\text{codim}(Z, X) \geq 2$ , then this homomorphism is an isomorphism, and*
3. *if  $Z$  is irreducible of codimension one, then we have an exact sequence*

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto [Z]} \text{Cl } X \rightarrow \text{Cl } U \rightarrow 0.$$

Lecture 18  
Wednesday  
18/11/20

*Proof.*

1.  $Y$  being a prime divisor of  $X$  implies  $Y \cap U$  is either a prime divisor of  $U$  or is empty. If  $f \in K(X)^*$ , and  $(f) = \sum_i n_i Y_i$ , then the image of  $(f)$  is  $\sum_i n_i (Y_i \cap U)$ , and this coincides with  $(f|_U)$ . The main point is that  $K(X) = K(U)$ . Thus  $\text{Cl } X \rightarrow \text{Cl } U$  is well-defined. Surjective since if  $Y \subseteq U$  is a prime divisor, then  $\bar{Y} \subseteq X$  is a prime divisor of  $X$  with  $Y = \bar{Y} \cap U$ .
2.  $\text{Div } X$  and  $\text{Cl } X$  only depend on codimension one subvarieties, so obvious.
3.  $\ker(\text{Cl } X \rightarrow \text{Cl } U)$  consists only of divisors supported on  $Z$ . If  $Z$  is irreducible of codimension one, there is precisely one such prime divisor, so  $\ker(\text{Cl } X \rightarrow \text{Cl } U)$  is generated by  $[Z]$ .

□

**Proposition 5.7.**

$$\text{Cl } \mathbb{P}_k^n \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

generated by the class of a hyperplane  $H = \mathbb{V}(x_i)$ .

*Proof.* As  $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus H = \mathbb{D}_+(x_i) \cong \mathbb{A}_k^n = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a UFD, hence  $\text{Cl } \mathbb{A}^n = 0$ . So we have an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto [H]} \text{Cl } \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \text{Cl } \mathbb{A}^n = 0.$$

Thus  $\text{Cl } \mathbb{P}^n$  is generated by  $[H]$ . Now

$$K(\mathbb{P}^n) = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{(0)} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid f, g \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n] \text{ are homogeneous of the same degree, } g \neq 0 \right\} / \sim.$$

Thus if  $dH \sim 0$ , we would need a rational function  $f/g$  such that  $(f/g) = dH$ , and this is only possible if  $d = 0$ . More precisely,  $(f/g) = Y_1 - Y_2$  where  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are sums of hypersurfaces with the same total degree. □

**Remark.** If  $X$  is a projective non-singular curve, then  $\text{Cl } X$  was defined in Part II.

### 5.3 Cartier divisors and relation with Weil divisors

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. We define the **sheaf of rational functions** on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_X$ , to be the sheaf associated with the presheaf

$$U \mapsto S(U)^{-1} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

where  $S(U) \subseteq \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is the subset of elements whose stalks in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  for each  $x \in U$  are non-zero divisors.

**Example.** If  $X$  is integral, then  $S(U) \subseteq \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  consists of non-zero elements of  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}_X$  is the constant sheaf  $U \mapsto K(X)$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{K}_X^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}_X$  be the sheaf of invertible elements of  $\mathcal{K}_X$ . Then there is an inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_X^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_X^*$ .<sup>22</sup> A **Cartier divisor** on  $X$  is a global section of  $\mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*$ . A Cartier divisor is **principal** if it is in the image of the natural map  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$ . Two divisors are **linearly equivalent** if their difference is principal. Note additive language for divisors. We write  $\text{CaCl } X$ , the **Cartier class group** of  $X$ , to be the Cartier divisors modulo principal divisors. That is,

$$\text{CaCl } X = \text{coker}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)).$$

**Remark.** Note that an element of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$  can be represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  where  $\{U_i\}$  is some open cover of  $X$  and  $f_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{K}_X^*)$  and on  $U_i \cap U_j$ , we have  $f_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} / f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ .

Let  $X$  satisfy Assumption 5.2. Then there exists a homomorphism

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow \text{Div } X,$$

descending to

$$\text{CaCl } X \rightarrow \text{Cl } X.$$

<sup>22</sup>Exercise: check at presheaf level, that is check  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow S(U)^{-1} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is injective

Indeed, given  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  as in the remark, and  $Y$  a prime divisor on  $X$ , associate a coefficient  $n_Y$  to  $Y$  by choosing some  $U_i$  such that  $Y \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ , and setting  $n_Y = \nu_Y(f_i)$ . This is well-defined. If  $Y \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ , then  $Y \cap U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ , as  $U_i \cap Y$  is dense in  $Y$ , being irreducible. Then

$$\nu_Y(f_j) = \nu_Y\left(f_i \left(\frac{f_j}{f_i}\right)\right) = \nu_Y(f_i) + \nu_Y\left(\frac{f_j}{f_i}\right) = \nu_Y(f_i),$$

since  $f_j/f_i$  is invertible on  $U_i \cap U_j$ , hence has no zeros or poles. Now take the Cartier divisor  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  to  $\sum_Y n_Y Y$ . You should check this is independent of the choice of representative  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$ . Note also we can always assume the cover  $\{U_i\}$  is finite since  $X$  is Noetherian by Assumption 5.2 and hence is quasi-compact. Note also a principal divisor coming from  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*)$  is represented by  $(X, f)$ . Then this is mapped to  $(f)$  by construction.

**Proposition 5.8.** *If  $X$  satisfies Assumption 5.2, and all local rings of  $X$  are UFD's, then the above map  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow \text{Div } X$  is an isomorphism.*

**Remark.** If  $X$  is a **non-singular variety**, that is all local rings of  $X$  are regular, then the hypotheses are satisfied as all regular local rings are UFD's, a non-trivial theorem in commutative algebra.

**Definition.** If all local rings of  $X$  are UFD's, we say  $X$  is **locally factorial**.

Lecture 19  
Friday  
20/11/20

*Proof.* Need to define the inverse map  $\text{Div } X \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$ . Let  $x \in X$  be any point. Then we get a morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow X$ . For example, if  $x \in \text{Spec } A \subseteq X$  is open affine,  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  where  $\mathfrak{p}$  corresponds to  $x$  and then  $A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  induces the morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A \hookrightarrow X$ . A prime divisor on  $X$  pulls back to a prime divisor on  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  by taking inverse images. More precisely, given  $Y \subseteq X$  a prime divisor, if  $x \notin Y$  then pull-back is empty, otherwise  $\text{Spec } A \cap Y$  is non-empty and is of the form  $\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{q})$  for  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq A$  a prime ideal with  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}$  corresponds to a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , hence a prime divisor  $\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{q}A_{\mathfrak{p}})$  of  $\text{Spec } A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . This gives a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Div } X & \longrightarrow & \text{Div Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \\ D & \longmapsto & D_x \end{array}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a UFD,  $D_x$  is a principal divisor on  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . That is,  $D_x = (f_x)$  for  $f_x \in K(X)^*$ , on  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . Thus  $D$  and  $(f_x)$  on  $X$  differ only in prime divisors which do not contain  $x$ . Thus if  $U_x$  is the complement of the union of prime divisors of  $X$  at which  $D$  and  $(f_x)$  have different coefficients, then  $D|_{U_x} = (f_x)|_{U_x}$ . Do this for every point  $x$ , and then represent a Cartier divisor by  $\{(U_x, f_x)\}$ . On  $U_x \cap U_y$ ,  $(f_x)$  and  $(f_y)$  agree, as both agree with  $D|_{U_x \cap U_y}$ , so  $(f_x/f_y) = 0$  on  $U_x \cap U_y$ , so  $f_x/f_y$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in U_x \cap U_y$  points of height one. That is, generic points of prime divisors. If we cover  $U_x \cap U_y$  with open affines  $\text{Spec } A$ , this says that  $f_x/f_y \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  primes of height one. Now since all  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ 's are UFD's, for all  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq A$  prime,  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is integrally closed. Thus  $A$  is integrally closed, see for example Atiyah-Macdonald, Proposition 5.13. Thus  $A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A, \text{Ht } \mathfrak{p}=1} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so  $f_x/f_y \in A^*$ , so  $f_x/f_y \in \Gamma(U_x \cap U_y, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ . Thus  $\{(U_x, f_x)\}$  represents a section of  $\mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*$ . That is, a Cartier divisor. This gives the inverse map.  $\square$

## 5.4 Correspondence between Cartier divisors and line bundles

**Definition.** Let  $D$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$ . Define  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  to be the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules of  $\mathcal{K}_X$  generated by  $f_i^{-1}$  on  $U_i$ .

Note that as  $f_i/f_j$  is invertible on  $U_i \cap U_j$ ,  $f_i^{-1}$  and  $f_j^{-1}$  generate the same  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}$ -module. This is a line bundle.

**Remark.** The transition maps are  $g_{ij} = f_j/f_i$ , since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{O}_X(D)|_{U_i \cap U_j} & \\ \swarrow 1 \mapsto f_i^{-1} & & \nwarrow f_j^{-1} \mapsto 1 \\ \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i \cap U_j} & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto \frac{f_j}{f_i}} & \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i \cap U_j} \end{array}.$$

Consequently, if  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are Cartier divisors, represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  and  $\{(U_i, g_i)\}$ , then  $D_1 - D_2$  is represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i/g_i)\}$  and the transition maps for  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_1 - D_2)$  are  $(f_j/g_j)/(f_i/g_i) = (f_j/f_i)/(g_j/g_i)$ , which are also the transition maps for  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D_2)^\vee$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D_1 - D_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D_2)^\vee,$$

so we obtain a group homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*/\mathcal{O}_X^*) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic } X \\ D & \longmapsto & \mathcal{O}_X(D) \end{array}.$$

**Lemma 5.9.**  $D_1 \sim D_2$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D_2)$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to show  $D$  is principal if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . If  $D$  is principal, then  $D$  is represented by  $(X, f)$  for  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*)$ . So  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{O}_X \cdot f^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ , let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \subseteq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X) \\ 1 & \longmapsto & f \end{array}.$$

In fact  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^*)$ . Then  $(X, f^{-1})$  represents  $D = \{(U_i, g_i)\}$  as  $f^{-1}$  and  $g_i$  only differ by a factor of an invertible function on  $U_i$ . Thus  $D$  is principal.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.10.** On any scheme  $X$ , there is an injective homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{CaCl } X & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic } X \\ D & \longmapsto & \mathcal{O}_X(D) \end{array}.$$

**Proposition 5.11.** If  $X$  is integral, then this homomorphism is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Need to show every line bundle on  $X$  is isomorphic to a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{K}_X$ , which is in this case the constant sheaf  $U \mapsto K(X)$ . Once this is shown, a trivialisation on a cover  $U_i$  leads to rational functions given by the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{U_i} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_X|_{U_i} \\ 1 & \longmapsto & f_i \end{array},$$

and then  $D = \{(U_i, f_i^{-1})\}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . So let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ , and consider  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}_X$ . On any open  $U$  with  $\mathcal{L}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}_X)|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{K}_X|_U \cong \mathcal{K}_X|_U.$$

This is the constant sheaf  $V \subseteq U \mapsto K(X)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}_X$  is also the constant sheaf  $V \mapsto K(X)$ . Indeed if  $V$  is any non-empty open subset and  $\{U_i\}$  is a trivialising cover of  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(V \cap U_i)$  can be identified with  $K(X)$  canonically, as we can identify  $\mathcal{F}_\eta$  with  $K(X)$  where  $\eta$  is the generic point of  $X$ . Then the sheaf gluing axioms tell us that  $\mathcal{F}(V) \cong K(X)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}_X \cong \mathcal{K}_X$  and we have a natural map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}_X \\ s & \longmapsto & s \otimes 1 \end{array},$$

thus exhibiting  $\mathcal{L}$  as a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{K}_X$ .  $\square$

## 5.5 Effective divisors

**Definition.** A Weil divisor  $\sum_i a_i Y_i$  is **effective** if  $a_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ . A Cartier divisor  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  is **effective** if  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$  for all  $i$ .

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle,  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ , and  $\{U_i\}$  is a trivialising cover for  $\mathcal{L}$ , with trivialisations  $\phi_i : \mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ , we obtain a Cartier divisor

$$(s)_0 = \{(U_i, \phi_i(s))\}, \quad \phi_i(s) \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i),$$

the **divisor of zeros** of  $s$ , necessarily effective.

**Theorem 5.12.** *Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$  be a closed subscheme and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. Then  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space.*

Note that if  $X = \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$ , then  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = k[x]$  is not a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space.

*Proof.* Hartshorne, Chapter II, Theorem 5.19.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.13.** *If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$  is an integral closed subscheme with  $k$  algebraically closed, then  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$ .*

*Proof.* Hartshorne, Chapter I, Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

We need  $k = \bar{k}$ .<sup>23</sup>

**Theorem 5.14.** *Let  $X$  be an integral closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  with  $k$  algebraically closed. Let  $D_0$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(D_0)$ . Then*

1. *for every  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  such that  $s \neq 0$ ,  $(s)_0$  is an effective divisor linearly equivalent to  $D_0$ ,*
2. *every effective divisor linearly equivalent to  $D_0$  is  $(s)_0$  for some section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ , and*
3. *two sections  $s, s' \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  have the same divisor of zeros if and only if there exists  $\lambda \in k^*$  such that  $s = \lambda s'$ .*

*Proof.*

1.  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_0) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_X$  so  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  corresponds to a rational function  $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X) = K(X)$ . If  $D_0$  is represented by  $\{(U_i, f_i)\}$  then  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_0)$  is locally generated as an  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ -module by  $f_i^{-1}$ , giving trivialisations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi_i : \mathcal{O}_X(D_0)|_{U_i} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \\ t & \longmapsto & t f_i \end{array},$$

so  $D = (s)_0 = \{(U_i, f f_i)\} = D_0 + (f)$ , since  $(f) = \{(X, f)\}$ . Thus  $D \sim D_0$ .

2. If  $D$  is effective and  $D = D_0 + (f)$ , then if we write  $D = \{(U_i, g_i)\}$  and  $D_0 = \{(U_i, f_i)\}$ , then  $g_i = f_i f$  and  $g_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ . Then  $\phi_i^{-1}(g_i) = g_i f_i^{-1} = f_i f f_i^{-1} = f$ . So  $f$  in fact is a section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_0) \cong \mathcal{L}$ , and then  $(s)_0 = D$ .
3. If  $(s)_0 = (s')_0$  then  $(s)_0 = D_0 + (f)$  and  $(s')_0 = D_0 + (f')$ , and  $(f/f') = 0$ . That is,  $f/f' \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ . Now we use the fact that  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$ , so  $f/f' \in k^*$ .

$\square$

**Example.**  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 5.14. We have isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Cl } \mathbb{P}^n \cong \text{CaCl } \mathbb{P}^n \cong \text{Pic } \mathbb{P}^n,$$

since  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  is non-singular, that is all local rings are regular. The generator of  $\text{Cl } \mathbb{P}^n$  is  $H$ , a hyperplane, and not so hard to see that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(H) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$  constructed previously.<sup>24</sup> So  $\text{Pic } \mathbb{P}^n$  is generated by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ . Define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{\otimes d} & d > 0 \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d)^\vee & d < 0, \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} & d = 0 \end{cases},$$

which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(dH)$ . We will see that  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) \cong S_d$  where  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n] = \bigoplus_d S_d$  and  $S_d$  is the degree  $d$  piece. Check that if  $f \in S_d$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  and  $f = \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{d_i}$  its prime factorisation, then  $(f)_0 = \sum_i d_i \mathbb{V}(f_i)$ .<sup>25</sup>

<sup>23</sup>Exercise: check

<sup>24</sup>Exercise: check

<sup>25</sup>Exercise

## 5.6 Dictionary between line bundles and linear systems

Let  $X$  be an integral subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  such that  $k = \bar{k}$ .

Line bundles	Linear systems
A line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic } X$ .	A Cartier divisor $D \in \text{CaCl } X$ such that $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ .
A section $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ such that $s \neq 0$ .	An effective divisor $(s)_0 \sim D$ .
A projectivisation $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})) = (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \setminus \{0\})/k^*$ .	A <b>complete linear system</b> $ D  = \{D' \text{ effective, } D' \sim D\}$ .
Sections $s_0, \dots, s_n \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ define a morphism $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus(n+1)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L} \\ e_i & \longmapsto & s_i \end{array}$	A linear subspace $\mathcal{D} \subseteq  D $ is called a <b>linear system</b> . Think of this as the linear subspace of $ D $ spanned by $(s_0)_0, \dots, (s_n)_0$ .
If this map is surjective, we say $\mathcal{L}$ is <b>generated by global sections</b> and we obtain a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$ .	We say $\mathcal{D}$ is <b>base-point-free</b> if for all $x \in X$ , there exists $D' \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $x \notin \text{supp } D'$ , where if $D' = \sum_i a_i Y_i$ with $a_i > 0$ then $\text{supp } D' = \bigcup_i Y_i$ . In this case $\mathcal{D}$ gives a morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$ . Note that if $\mathcal{D}$ is determined by $s_0, \dots, s_n$ then $\mathcal{D}$ is base-point-free if and only if $s_0, \dots, s_n$ generate $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . Also pull-backs of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ give elements of $\mathcal{D}$ .
If sections of $\mathcal{L}$ induce a closed immersion in some $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , we say $\mathcal{L}$ is <b>very ample</b> .	If $ D $ induces a closed immersion, we say $D$ is <b>very ample</b> .
$\mathcal{L}$ is <b>ample</b> if $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is very ample for some $n > 0$ .	$D$ is <b>ample</b> if $nD$ is very ample for some $n > 0$ .

**Remark.** There exists a good geometric criterion for very ampleness. See example sheets. There exist numerical criteria for ampleness.

It is useful to control the size of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ .

## 6 Cohomology of sheaves

The problem is that given

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$$

a short exact sequence, we know

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}'')$$

is exact. Can we extend this to a long exact sequence? The answer is the **right derived functors** of  $\Gamma(X, -)$ , which are written as  $H^i(X, -)$ .

### 6.1 Injective resolutions

An abelian group  $I$  is **injective** if given any diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & I & & \\ & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B \end{array},$$

there exists a lifting making the diagram commutative.

**Example.**  $\mathbb{Q}$  is injective.

**Fact.** Every abelian group  $A$  has an injection into an injective group.

This gives abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & & & & C_1 & & \\ & & & \nearrow & \searrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & I^0 & \longrightarrow & I^1 & \longrightarrow & I^2 & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \\ & & C_0 & & & & & & & & \\ & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & & & & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & & & & & \end{array}$$

giving a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I^\bullet,$$

an **injective resolution** of  $A$ .

### 6.2 Sheaf cohomology

We then get injective resolutions in the category of sheaves of abelian groups. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf on  $X$ , then have an inclusion

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x \xrightarrow{f_x} I_x, \quad x \in X,$$

with  $I_x$  injective. Then define

$$\mathcal{I} = \prod_{x \in X} (\iota_x)_* I_x,$$

where  $\iota_x : \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{I}(U) = \prod_{x \in U} I_x.$$

Lecture 21  
Wednesday  
25/11/20

Then we have an inclusion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}(U) \\ s & \longmapsto & (f_x(U, s))_{x \in U} \end{array},$$

and  $\mathcal{I}$  is an injective object in the category of sheaves of abelian groups. This allows the construction of injective resolutions

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} \mathcal{I}^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots$$

Then define

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = \ker(d^i : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^i) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^{i+1})) / \operatorname{im}(d^{i-1} : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^{i-1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^i)).$$

That is, this is the cohomology of the chain complex

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^0) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

**Proposition 6.1.**

- $H^i(X, -)$  is a well-defined covariant functor. That is, independent of the choice of resolution and  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  induces a map  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{G})$ .

- Whenever

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, we obtain connecting homomorphisms  $\delta : H^i(X, \mathcal{F}'') \rightarrow H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{F}')$  and a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}'') \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}'') \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

- Given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}'' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array},$$

with rows exact, we get a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}'') & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{F}') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^i(X, \mathcal{G}'') & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{G}'). \end{array}$$

- Whenever  $\mathcal{F}$  is **flasque**, or **flabby**, that is all restriction maps are surjective, then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ .
- $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

**Remark.** May also work on a ringed space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and consider only sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. Injective resolutions of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules by injective  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules exist, so could define cohomology using such resolutions, but in fact get the same answer as before.

**Theorem 6.2** (Grothendieck). *Let  $X$  be a Noetherian topological space of dimension  $n$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf of abelian groups on  $X$ . Then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $i > n$ .*

*Proof.* Hartshorne, Chapter III, Theorem 2.7. □



### 6.3 Čech cohomology

How do we calculate cohomology in practice? Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf of abelian groups on  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  an open cover of  $X$ . Choose a well-ordering on  $I$ , and write  $U_{i_0 \dots i_p} = U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_p}$ . Define the group of **Čech  $p$ -cochains** to be

$$\check{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_p} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0 \dots i_p}).$$

Write  $\alpha \in \check{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  as  $\alpha = (\alpha_{i_0 \dots i_p})_{i_0 < \dots < i_p}$ . Define the **Čech coboundary** by

$$\begin{aligned} d : \check{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) &\longrightarrow \check{C}^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \left( \sum_{k=0}^{p+1} (-1)^k \alpha_{i_0 \dots \hat{i}_k \dots i_{p+1}} \Big|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_{p+1}}} \right)_{i_0 < \dots < i_{p+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise.**  $d^2 = 0$ .

Define

$$\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = H^p(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) = \ker(d : \check{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) / \operatorname{im}(d : \check{C}^{p-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})).$$

**Example.**

- Let  $X = S^1$  with the usual topology, and let  $\mathcal{F} = \underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the constant sheaf. That is, the sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto \mathbb{Z}$ , so

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mid \phi \text{ locally constant}\}.$$

Take as an open cover  $U$  and  $V$  connected with  $U \cap V$  disconnected. Then

$$\check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) \times \Gamma(V, \mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(U \cap V, \mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d : \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) &\longrightarrow \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \\ (a, b) &\longmapsto (b - a, b - a), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \ker d \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \operatorname{coker} d \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that this agrees with the singular cohomology of  $S^1$ . In this case, this also agrees with  $H^i(S^1, \mathcal{F})$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \operatorname{Proj} k[x_0, x_1]$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$  had transition map from  $U_0 = \mathbb{D}_+(x_0)$  to  $U_1 = \mathbb{D}_+(x_1)$  given by  $x_0/x_1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$  has transition map  $x_1^2/x_0^2$ . Taking  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, U_1\}$ , we get

$$\check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)) \times \Gamma(U_1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)) = k \left[ \frac{x_1}{x_0} \right] \times k \left[ \frac{x_0}{x_1} \right],$$

and

$$\check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(U_0 \cap U_1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)) = k \left[ \frac{x_0}{x_1} \right]_{\frac{x_0}{x_1}} = k \left[ \frac{x_1}{x_0}, \frac{x_0}{x_1} \right],$$

using the same trivialisation on  $U_0 \cap U_1$  which we used on  $U_1$ . Then

$$d(f, g) = g - f \frac{x_1^2}{x_0^2}.$$

Then  $\ker d = 0$  and  $\operatorname{coker} d$  is one-dimensional, generated by  $x_1/x_0$ . So  $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)) = 0$  and  $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)) = k$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Noetherian scheme with an open affine cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  with the property that  $U_{i_0 \dots i_n}$  are affine for all  $i_0 < \dots < i_n$ . Then if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasi-coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules,  $\check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ .*

**Remark.** If  $X \rightarrow S$  is a separated morphism with  $S$  affine, then any open affine cover of  $X$  has the desired property.

Lecture 22  
Friday  
27/11/20

## 6.4 Calculation of cohomology of projective space

Fix a field  $k$  and  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^r$ . We saw every line bundle on  $\mathbb{P}_k^r$  is of the form  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^r}(m) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^r}(mH)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition.** A **perfect pairing** is a bilinear map  $\langle, \rangle : V \times W \rightarrow k$  with  $k$ -vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$  such that the map

$$\begin{aligned} V &\longrightarrow W^* \\ v &\longmapsto \langle v, \cdot \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 6.4.** Let  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ . Then

1. there is an isomorphism of graded  $S$ -modules

$$S \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)),$$

2.  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)) = 0$  for  $0 < i < r$ ,

3.  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-r-1)) \cong k$ , and

4. there is a perfect pairing

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)) \times H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-n-r-1)) \rightarrow H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-r-1)) = k,$$

of finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Will calculate using Čech cohomology using the standard affine cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_i = \mathbb{D}_+(x_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq r\},$$

by calculating cohomology of  $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)$  as Čech cohomology respects direct sums. The key point is to recall the transition map for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)$  from  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  is  $x_i/x_j$ , and so the transition maps for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m)$  are  $x_i^m/x_j^m$ . For  $I \subseteq \{0, \dots, r\}$ , we have  $U_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathbb{D}_+(x_i) = \mathbb{D}_+(x_I)$  where  $x_I = \prod_{i \in I} x_i$ . Thus  $\Gamma(U_I, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}) \cong S_{(x_I)}$ . We will identify  $\Gamma(U_I, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m))$  with the  $k$ -vector subspace of  $S_{x_I}$  spanned by Laurent monomials of degree  $m$ . That is, monomials of the form  $x_0^{a_0} \dots x_r^{a_r}$  with  $\sum_i a_i = m$  and if  $a_i < 0$  then  $i \in I$ . Given such a monomial  $M$ , then using the trivialisation on  $U_i$ , we will identify the section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m)$  defined by  $M$  with  $M/x_i^m \in \Gamma(U_I, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r})$ , with  $i \in I$ . If  $i, j \in I$ , then note  $(M/x_i^m)(x_i^m/x_j^m) = M/x_j^m$ . Thus we have a canonical identification of  $\Gamma(U_I, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m))$  with the space spanned by Laurent monomials of degree  $m$ . Thus  $\Gamma(U_I, \mathcal{F})$  can be identified with  $S_{x_I}$ . So now have a Čech complex  $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$

$$\prod_{0 \leq i_0 \leq r} S_{x_{i_0}} \xrightarrow{d^0} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{r-1}} S_{x_0 \dots x_r}.$$

1. Note  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) = \ker d^0$ . Note also all modules in the Čech complex are  $S$ -submodules of  $S_{x_0 \dots x_r}$ , and

$$d^0((f_i)_{0 \leq i \leq r}) = (f_j - f_i)_{0 \leq i < j \leq r}.$$

Thus if  $(f_i)_{0 \leq i \leq r} \in \ker d^0$ , we actually have  $f_i = f_j$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Thus  $f_i, f_j \in S$  since otherwise  $f_i$  involves a negative power of  $x_i$ , which cannot occur in  $f_j$ , or vice versa. Thus  $f_i = f$  for all  $i$  with  $f \in S$ , so  $\ker d^0 \cong S$ . Thus  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) \cong S$ , preserving degrees. That is,  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m)) = S_m$ .

3. Now consider

$$d^{r-1} : \prod_{0 \leq k \leq r} S_{x_0 \dots \widehat{x_k} \dots x_r} \rightarrow S_{x_0 \dots x_r}.$$

Note  $S_{x_0 \dots x_r}$  is the  $k$ -vector space with basis  $\prod_{i=0}^r x_i^{a_i}$  for  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\text{im } d^{r-1}$  is spanned by monomials of the form  $\prod_{i=0}^r x_i^{a_i}$  with at least one  $a_i \geq 0$ . Thus the basis for  $\text{coker } d^{r-1}$  is

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=0}^r x_i^{a_i} \mid \forall i, a_i \leq -1 \right\}.$$

In particular,  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-r-1))$  is generated by  $x_0^{-1} \dots x_r^{-1}$ . Thus  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-r-1)) \cong k$ .

4. Note  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)) = 0$  for  $n < 0$  as  $S_n = 0$  for  $n < 0$ , and  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-n-r-1)) = 0$  for  $n < 0$  as there are no monomials with only negative exponents of degree more than  $-r-1$ . Thus nothing to check in this case. If  $n \geq 0$ , we have a basis

$$\left\{ \prod_i x_i^{m_i} \mid \sum_i m_i = n, m_i \geq 0 \right\}$$

for  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n))$  and a basis

$$\left\{ \prod_i x_i^{l_i} \mid \sum_i l_i = -n-r-1, l_i \leq -1 \right\}$$

for  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-n-r-1))$ . The perfect pairing is given by

$$(x_0^{m_0} \cdots x_r^{m_r}) \cdot (x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_r^{l_r}) = x_0^{m_0+l_0} \cdots x_r^{m_r+l_r},$$

interpreting as zero if any  $m_i + l_i \geq 0$ . This gives a pairing <sup>26</sup>

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)) \times H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-n-r-1)) \rightarrow H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-r-1)) = k \cdot (x_0 \cdots x_r)^{-1}.$$

2. It remains to show  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)) = 0$  for  $0 < i < r$ , by induction on  $r$ . For the base case  $r = 1$ , nothing to show. For the induction step, if we localise  $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  at  $x_r$  as graded  $S$ -modules, we get a Čech complex which calculates the cohomology groups  $H^i(U_r, \mathcal{F}|_{U_r})$ , by calculating using the Čech cover  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U_i \cap U_r \mid 0 \leq i \leq r\}$ . But  $U_r \cong \mathbb{A}_k^r$ , and Čech cohomology can also be calculated via the cover  $\{U_r\}$ , so  $H^i(U_r, \mathcal{F}|_{U_r}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . Note that this implies that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is in general a quasi-coherent sheaf on an affine scheme  $X$ , then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . Now localising at  $x_r$  is an exact functor, so  $H^i(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})_{x_r}) = H^i(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))_{x_r}$ , so thus  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F})_{x_r} = H^i(U_r, \mathcal{F}|_{U_r}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . For this to be the case, every element of  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F})$  must be annihilated by some power of  $x_r$ . Now let  $H = \mathbb{V}(x_r) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ . Thinking of this as a closed subscheme,  $H = \text{Proj } S/\langle x_r \rangle = \text{Proj } k[x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}] = \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ . Have a surjective map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r} \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_H$  where  $\iota : H \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$  is the inclusion. Because  $H$  is defined locally by a single equation, the kernel of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r} \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_H$  is a line bundle. Note this kernel is the ideal sheaf corresponding to  $H$ . On  $U_i = \text{Spec } S_{(x_i)}$ , this kernel is generated by  $x_r/x_i$  and hence the transition maps for the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_{H/\mathbb{P}^r}$  are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{U_i}|_{U_i \cap U_j} & \xrightarrow{\frac{x_r}{x_i}} & \mathcal{I}_{H/\mathbb{P}^r}|_{U_i \cap U_j} \xleftarrow{\frac{x_r}{x_j}} \mathcal{O}_{U_j}|_{U_i \cap U_j} \\ & \searrow \frac{x_r}{x_i} \cdot \frac{x_j}{x_r} = \frac{x_j}{x_i} \nearrow & \end{array}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{I}_{H/\mathbb{P}^r} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-H)$ . The upshot is that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r} \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow 0.$$

Multiplication by  $x_r$  makes sense, since on  $U_i$ , it means multiplying by  $x_r/x_i$ , recalling that  $x_r$  corresponds to the section  $x_r/x_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)$  on  $U_i$ . We can tensor the exact sequence with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)$ . Still exact, so

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n) \rightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{O}_H(n)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Exactness on the left follows since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n)$  is locally free, hence flat, or more simply, on  $U_i$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n) \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ , so tensoring with  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$  does not do anything. Note also  $\iota_* \mathcal{O}_H \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n) \cong \iota_*(\mathcal{O}_H(n))$ . Frequently, we will drop the  $\iota_*$  when dealing with sheaves on a closed subscheme. That is, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf on  $H$ , we often write  $\mathcal{F}$  for  $\iota_* \mathcal{F}$ , where  $(\iota_* \mathcal{F})(U) = \mathcal{F}(U \cap H)$ . Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(n) \rightarrow 0.$$

<sup>26</sup>Exercise: easy to check perfect pairing

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathcal{F}(-1) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-1), \quad \mathcal{F}_H = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_H(n).$$

- So if  $1 < i < r - 1$ , get a piece of the long exact cohomology sequence

$$0 = H^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}_H) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}(-1)) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_r} H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}_H) = 0.$$

- For  $i = 1$ , have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}(-1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}_H) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}(-1)) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_r} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathrm{IR} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathrm{IR} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathrm{IR} & \\ & S(-1) & \xrightarrow{\cdot x_r} & S & \longrightarrow & S/\langle x_r \rangle & \end{array},$$

- For  $i = r - 1$ , get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{r-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{\cdot x_r} & \mathrm{H}^{r-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{r-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}_H) \longrightarrow \\ & & & & & & \searrow \\ & & & & & & \mathrm{H}^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}(-1)) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_r} \mathrm{H}^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}_H) = 0 \end{array}$$

$$\left\{ x_0^{l_0} \dots x_r^{l_r} \mid \forall i, l_i \leq -1, l_r = -1 \right\}.$$

This is identified with  $H^{r-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}_H)$ , so the connecting map is injective <sup>27</sup> and we conclude  $\cdot x_r : H^{r-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}(-1)) \rightarrow H^{r-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F})$  is surjective. Thus  $\cdot x_r$  is an isomorphism and we conclude as before that  $H^{r-1}(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ .

☐

<sup>27</sup>Exercise: check this by understanding of the Čech cohomology connecting maps

## 7 Differentials and Riemann-Roch

### 7.1 Normal and conormal bundles

Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  a closed immersion. Then have

$$\mathcal{I}_{Z/X} = \ker(\iota^\# : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_Z).$$

We saw on the example sheet that  $\mathcal{I}_Z$  is a coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules if  $X$  is Noetherian. We define the **conormal bundle** of  $Z$  in  $X$  to be

$$\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}^\vee = \mathcal{I}_Z / \mathcal{I}_Z^2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{I}_Z^2.$$

Here  $\mathcal{I}_Z^2$  is the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{I}_Z(U)^2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X(U).$$

**Fact.** Suppose  $X$  and  $Z$  are non-singular. That is, all local rings of  $X$  and  $Z$  are regular. Then  $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}^\vee$  is a locally free sheaf of rank  $\text{codim}(Z, X)$ .

In this case we define the **normal bundle** of  $Z$  in  $X$  to be

$$\mathcal{N}_{Z/X} = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}^\vee, \mathcal{O}_Z).$$

Here we are using that  $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}^\vee$  is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{I}_Z$ -modules.

**Definition.** Suppose  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a separated morphism, so that  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  is a closed immersion. Then the **sheaf of differentials** is the sheaf

$$\Omega_{X/Y} = \Delta^* \mathcal{N}_{X/X \times_Y X}^\vee.$$

Let  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra, so  $X = \text{Spec } B$  and  $Y = \text{Spec } A$ , and  $M$  a  $B$ -module. An  **$A$ -derivation**  $d : B \rightarrow M$  is a map such that

- $d(b + b') = d(b) + d(b')$  for all  $b, b' \in B$ ,
- $d(bb') = bd(b') + b'd(b)$  for all  $b, b' \in B$ , and
- $d(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .

The **module of relative differentials**  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is a  $B$ -module satisfying the following universal property. There exists an  $A$ -derivation  $d : B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}$  such that for any  $A$ -derivation  $d' : B \rightarrow M$ , there exists a unique  $B$ -module homomorphism  $g : \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow M$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{B/A} \\ & \searrow d' & \downarrow g \\ & & M \end{array}$$

commute.

**Example.** Take  $B = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $A = k$ . Then

$$\Omega_{B/A} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B dx_i, \quad d(x_i) = dx_i, \quad d(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i.$$

Given  $d' : B \rightarrow M$ , define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g : \Omega_{B/A} & \longrightarrow & M \\ dx_i & \longmapsto & d'(x_i) \end{array}.$$

Lecture 24  
Wednesday  
02/12/20

**Remark.** In general,  $\Omega_{B/A}$  can be constructed as follows. We have a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi & : & B \otimes_A B \longrightarrow B \\ & & b \otimes b' \longmapsto bb' \end{array}.$$

Take  $I = \ker \phi$ . Then  $I/I^2$  is a  $B$ -module, and we may then define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d & : & B \longrightarrow I/I^2 \\ & & b \longmapsto 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1 \end{array}.$$

With this  $d$ ,  $I/I^2 = \Omega_{B/A}$  satisfies the universal property.

Note if  $X = \operatorname{Spec} B$  and  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$ , then  $Y$  induces the diagonal morphism  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  and  $\tilde{I} = \mathcal{I}_{X/X \times_Y X}$ . Then  $\Delta^* \mathcal{N}_{X/X \times_Y X}^\vee$  coincides with  $\tilde{I}/\tilde{I}^2$ , viewing  $I/I^2$  as a  $B$ -module. If  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} k$  and  $X$  is a non-singular connected variety, then so is  $X \times_k X$  and  $\operatorname{codim}(\Delta(X), X \times_k X) = \dim X$ . So  $\Omega_{X/\operatorname{Spec} k} = \Omega_X$  is a locally free sheaf of rank  $\dim X$ .

**Example.** If  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , then

$$\Omega_X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_X dx_i.$$

Think that  $\Omega_X$  is the cotangent bundle and  $\mathcal{T}_X = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is the tangent bundle.

**Definition.** If  $X$  is as above, we define the **canonical bundle** of  $X$  to be

$$\omega_X = \bigwedge^{\dim X} \Omega_X.$$

This is the sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto \bigwedge^{\dim X} \Omega_X(U)$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module. Alternatively if one takes a trivialising cover  $\{U_i\}$  for  $\Omega_X$ , with transition matrices  $g_{ij} \in \operatorname{GL}_n \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , then the transition functions for  $\omega_X$  are  $\det g_{ij}$ . Then  $\omega_X$  is a line bundle, and we call its corresponding Cartier divisor class as  $\mathcal{K}_X$ , the **canonical divisor** of  $X$ .

## 7.2 Serre duality and Riemann-Roch

**Theorem 7.1** (Serre duality). *Let  $X$  be a non-singular projective variety over  $\operatorname{Spec} k$  of dimension  $n$ . Then for any locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  of finite rank, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \omega_X) \rightarrow H^{n-i}(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^\vee$  is the dual sheaf  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $H^{n-i}(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee$  is the dual vector space.

The proof is mostly homological algebra, but ultimately reduces to the calculation of  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n))$ . In fact, for  $\mathbb{P}^r$ ,

$$\omega_{\mathbb{P}^r} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-r-1),$$

so the perfect pairing we constructed is  $H^r(X, \mathcal{F}) \times H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \omega_X) \rightarrow k$ .

**Definition.** In general, if  $X$  is a projective scheme over  $k$ , then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space, for  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . Then we may define the **Euler characteristic** of  $\mathcal{F}$  to be

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim X} (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

This is additive on exact sequences. That is, if

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is exact, then  $\sum_{i=0}^{\dim X} \chi(\mathcal{F}_i) = 0$ . In particular, for

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$$

exact,  $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \chi(\mathcal{F}') + \chi(\mathcal{F}'')$ . These statements follow from the fact that if

$$\cdots \rightarrow V_{i-1} \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow V_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is exact, then  $\sum_i (-1)^i \dim V_i = 0$ . Riemann-Roch states that  $\chi(\mathcal{F})$  is a topological invariant.

### 7.3 Curves

First discuss for curves. For now, let  $X$  be a projective non-singular curve over a field  $k$  for  $k$  algebraically closed. If  $P \in X$  is a closed point, we may think of it as a prime divisor defining a closed subscheme, and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_P \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-P) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_P$  is the structure sheaf of the point  $P$ . Now tensoring with a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(-P) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-P) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_P \cong \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow 0.$$

Exactness on the left also holds since  $\mathcal{L}$  is locally free. So  $\chi(\mathcal{L}) = \chi(\mathcal{L}(-P)) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_P) = \chi(\mathcal{L}(-P)) + 1$ . Here we are using  $k = \bar{k}$ . So if  $D \in \text{Div } X$ , then

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \deg D,$$

where if  $D = \sum_i a_i P_i$  then  $\deg D = \sum_i a_i$ .

**Definition.** The **genus** of  $X$  is

$$g = \dim_k H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

**Theorem 7.2** (Riemann-Roch for curves). *For  $D \in \text{Div } X$ ,*

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) - \dim H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = \deg D + 1 - g. \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* By Serre duality,

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) - \dim H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)).$$

This is the left hand side of (2). But

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \deg D = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) + \deg D = 1 - g + \deg D,$$

giving the right hand side of (2). □

**Remark.**

- By Serre duality,  $\chi(\omega_X) = \dim H^0(X, \omega_X) - \dim H^1(X, \omega_X) = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = g - 1$ . Riemann-Roch tells us that  $\chi(\omega_X) = \deg \mathcal{K}_X + 1 - g$ . Thus

$$\deg \mathcal{K}_X = 2g - 2.$$

- If  $\deg D < 0$ , then

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0.$$

Indeed linear equivalence must preserve degree. A silly way of seeing this is that the left hand side of Riemann-Roch is independent of the representative for  $D$ . Thus  $|D|$  is empty, thus  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$ . Now if  $\deg D > 2g - 2$ , then  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \otimes \omega_X) = 0$  since  $\deg(\mathcal{K}_X - D) = 2g - 2 - \deg D < 0$ . Thus Riemann-Roch says

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \deg D + 1 - g.$$

- A linear system  $|D|$  on a curve is base-point-free if

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D - P)) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) - 1,$$

as follows from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D - P) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P = \mathcal{O}_X(D)_P / \mathfrak{m}_P \mathcal{O}_X(D)_P \rightarrow 0,$$

so

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D - P)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \rightarrow k.$$

There exists a section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  not vanishing at  $P$  if and only if  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \rightarrow k$  is surjective, if and only if  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D - P)) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) - 1$ . In particular, if  $\deg D > 2g - 1$ , then  $|D|$  is base-point-free.

Lecture 25  
Friday  
04/12/20

- It is easy to show from the very ampleness criterion on example sheet that  $D$  is very ample if and only if for all  $P \in X$ ,

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D - P)) - 1 = \dim |D - P| = \dim |D| - 1 = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) - 2,$$

the base-point-free condition, and for all  $P, Q \in X$ , not necessarily distinct,

$$\dim |D - P - Q| = \dim |D| - 2.$$

Thus if  $\deg D > 2g$ , then  $|D|$  is very ample.

The most interesting range of divisors is  $0 \leq \deg D \leq 2g - 2$ .

**Example.**

- Let  $g = 0$ . Then if  $\deg D = 1$ , then  $D$  is very ample. For example, the linear system  $|P|$  for  $P \in X$  induces an embedding  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , hence  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ .
- Let  $g = 1$ . Fix  $P_0 \in X$ . Then  $|3P_0|$  is very ample and of dimension two, so we get an embedding  $f : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ . This embeds  $X$  as a degree three plane curve. This comes from the fact that  $f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) = \mathcal{O}_X(3P_0)$ , which is of degree three. Think about divisors of degree zero on  $X$ . Claim that if  $D \in \text{Div } X$  with  $\deg D = 0$ , then  $D \sim P - P_0$  for some  $P \in X$ , which is unique. Consider  $D + P_0$ . We then have by Riemann-Roch  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + P_0)) = \deg(D + P_0) + 1 - g = 1 + 1 - 1 = 1$ , so there exists a unique effective divisor  $P$  such that  $D + P_0 \sim P$ . Note  $\deg P = 1$ , so  $P$  is just a point. Thus  $D \sim P - P_0$ , which also shows  $P$  is unique. Hence we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Cl}^0 X \rightarrow \text{Cl } X \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\text{Cl}^0 X$  is the linear equivalence classes of degree zero divisors. So there is a bijection between  $\text{Cl}^0 X$  and the closed points of  $X$ , since  $k = \bar{k}$ . So  $\text{Cl}^0 X$  acquires the structure of a variety. That is, it is the set of closed points of the scheme  $X$ . More generally, for  $X$  a curve of genus  $g$ , the group  $\text{Cl}^0 X$  forms the closed points of a  $g$ -dimensional variety called an **abelian variety**  $A$ . That is, it has a group structure compatible with the variety structure. That is, morphisms  $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$  for multiplication and  $i : A \rightarrow A$  for inversion.

## 7.4 Surfaces\*

Let  $X$  be a projective non-singular surface. Want to be able to count the number of intersection points of two curves  $C, D \subseteq X$ .

**Theorem 7.3.** *There exists a unique **intersection pairing** written as*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Div } X \times \text{Div } X &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ (C, D) &\longmapsto C \cdot D \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

- if  $C$  and  $D$  are non-singular curves meeting **transversally**, that is not tangent at any intersection point, then  $C \cdot D = \#(C \cap D)$ ,
- $C \cdot D = D \cdot C$ ,
- $(C_1 + C_2) \cdot D = C_1 \cdot D + C_2 \cdot D$ , and
- if  $C_1 \sim C_2$ , then  $C_1 \cdot D = C_2 \cdot D$ .

**Theorem 7.4** (Riemann-Roch for surfaces).

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) - \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) + \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \otimes \omega_X) = \frac{1}{2} D \cdot (D - K_X) + 1 + P_a,$$

where  $P_a(X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 1$  is the **arithmetic genus** of  $X$ .



The **blowup** of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  at the origin is the variety  $X$  for  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  with  $X$  defined by the equations  $y_i x_j - x_i y_j = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . If

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi & : & X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \\ & & ((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1 : \dots : y_n)) \longmapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{array}$$

then  $\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$  is an isomorphism with  $x_j/x_i = y_j/y_i$ , and  $\phi^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , so  $X$  is integral. Can globalise this operation. That is, if  $Y$  is a projective variety and  $y \in Y$  is a non-singular point, we can blow up  $y \in Y$  to get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi & : & \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow Y \\ & & E \longmapsto y \end{array}$$

where  $\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus \{y\}) \cong Y \setminus \{y\}$  and  $\phi^{-1}(\{y\}) = E \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  if  $\dim Y = n$ .

**Remark.** There exists a more general notion of blowing up a sheaf of ideals. In this case we take the ideal sheaf of  $y \in Y$ .

Let  $X$  be a non-singular projective surface and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  the blowup of a point  $p \in X$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Cl} \tilde{X} = \mathrm{Cl} X \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E], \quad E = \pi^{-1}(\{p\}),$$

since

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[E] \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(\tilde{X} \setminus E) = \mathrm{Cl}(X \setminus \{p\}) = \mathrm{Cl} X \rightarrow 0.$$

**Example.** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_6 \in \mathbb{P}^2$  be general points. That is, no three points contained in a line and not all six contained in a conic. Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  be the blowup at  $p_1, \dots, p_6$ , so

$$\mathrm{Cl} X = \mathbb{Z}[H] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E_1] \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E_6] = \mathbb{Z}^7.$$

Then  $H^2 = H \cdot H = 1$ ,  $H \cdot E_i = E_i \cdot E_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $E_i^2 = E_i \cdot E_i = -1$ . If  $D = 3H - E_1 - \dots - E_6$ , then  $D \cdot D = 9 - 6 = 3$  and one can show that  $|D|$  embeds  $X$  as a cubic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Also, if  $C$  is any curve on  $X$ , then the degree of its image is  $D \cdot C$ . For example, there are six curves with  $D \cdot E_i = 1$ , there are fifteen curves with  $(H - E_i - E_j) \cdot D = 1$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$ , and six curves with  $(2H - E_1 - \dots - \widehat{E_i} - \dots - E_6) \cdot D = 1$ . These are the twenty-seven straight lines on a cubic surface.