Scalability of Graph Neural Networks

Prof. O-Joun Lee

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence,
The Catholic University of Korea
ojlee@catholic.ac.kr

네트워크 과학 연구실
NETWORK SCIENCE LAB

가톨릭대학교
THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF KOREA

Contents

- Issues towards the large-scale GNNs
- Node-wise sampling with GraphSage
- Graph-wise sampling with ClusterGCN
- GraphSAINT

Recap: Neighborhood Aggregation

- **Key idea:** Generate node embeddings based on local neighborhoods.



Recap: Neighborhood Aggregation

- **Intuition**: Nodes aggregate information from their neighbors using neural networks



Representation Learning on Networks, snap.stanford.edu/proj/embeddings-www, WWW 2018

Recap: Neighborhood Aggregation

- **Intuition**: Network neighborhood defines a computation graph

Every node defines a unique computation graph!



Recap: Neighborhood Aggregation

- Nodes have embeddings at each layer.
- Model can be arbitrary depth.
- "layer-0" embedding of node A is its input feature, i.e. x_A



Overview of Model Design



INPUT GRAPH

1) Define a neighborhood aggregation function.



2) Define a loss function on the embeddings, $L(z_u)$



INPUT GRAPH

3) Train on a set of nodes, i.e., a batch of compute graphs



Graphs in the real-world can be very large

Large scale



Large number



https://www.statista.com/statistics/264810/number-of-monthly-active-facebook-users-worldwide/

Graph Convolutional Network Limitations

- **Computationally Expensive:**
  - We need to generate the complete K-hop neighborhood computational graph and then need to aggregate plenty of information from its surroundings.
  - As we go deeper into the neighborhood computation graph becomes exponentially large.

  → problem while fitting these computational graphs inside GPU memory.
- **The curse of Hub nodes or Celebrity nodes:**
  - Hub nodes are those nodes which are very high degree nodes in the graph





Three paradigms toward large-scale GNNs

- **Why the original GNN fails on large graph?**
  - Large memory requirement.
  - Inefficient gradient update.
- **Three paradigms toward large-scale GNN:**
  - Node-wise sampling
  - Layer-wise sampling
  - Graph-wise sampling



Node-wise Sampling



Layer-wise Sampling



Graph-wise Sampling

Two issues towards the large-scale GNNs

- How to design efficient sampling algorithm?
- How to guarantee the sampling quality?

Train on a set of nodes, i.e., a batch of compute graphs



INPUT GRAPH



GraphSAGE Idea

- So far, we have aggregated the neighbor messages by taking their (weighted) average, can we do better?
- **The idea:** not take the entire K-hop neighborhood of a target node but select few nodes at random from the K-hop neighborhood in order to generate computational graph.
  - This process is known as neighborhood sampling which provides the GraphSage algorithm its unique ability of scaling up to billion of nodes in the graph.



GraphSage is Inductive Representation Learning algorithm

- GraphSage is an inductive version of GCNs which implies that it does not require the whole graph structure during learning and it can generalize well to the unseen nodes.
- We don't need to learn the embeddings for each node.
- Learning an aggregation function (MEAN, POOLING, or LSTM) which when given an information (or features) from the local neighborhood of a node then it knows how to aggregate those features (learning takes place via stochastic gradient descent)



GraphSAGE Idea

- GraphSAGE (SAmple and aggreGatE)
  - Instead of training individual embeddings for each node, generates embeddings by sampling features from neighborhoods

    ➔ **Mini batch**

  - Train a set of aggregator functions that learn to aggregate feature information a node's local neighborhood

    ➔ **Aggregating**

GraphSAGE: Mini batch

- **Mini batch:** There are three steps.
  - Sample neighbourhood
  - Aggregate feature information from neighbours
  - Predict graph context and label using aggregated information



- Towards large-scale **GraphSAGE**:
  - Sampling mini-batch (sample target nodes as a mini-batch)
  - Sampling a fixed size set for each target nodes



- Any differentiable function that maps set of vectors to a single vector.



$$\mathbf{h}_v^k = \sigma\left(\left[\mathbf{A}_k \cdot \mathrm{AGG}\left(\left\{\mathbf{h}_u^{k-1}, \forall u \in N(v)\right\}\right), \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{h}_v^{k-1}\right]\right)$$

GraphSAGE: Aggregation functions

- How to aggregate information from neighbourhood



- Mean

$$\mathrm{AGG} = \sum_{u \in N(v)} \frac{\mathbf{h}_u^{k-1}}{|N(v)|}$$

- Pooling



- LSTM

$$\mathrm{AGG} = \mathrm{LSTM}\left(\left[\mathbf{h}_u^{k-1}, \forall u \in \pi(N(v))\right]\right)$$

GraphSAGE: Algorithm

Algorithm 1: GraphSAGE embedding generation (i.e., forward propagation) algorithm

Input : Graph $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$; input features $\{\mathbf{x}_v, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}\}$; depth K; weight matrices $\mathbf{W}^k, \forall k \in \{1, ..., K\}$; non-linearity σ; differentiable aggregator functions $\text{AGGREGATE}_k, \forall k \in \{1, ..., K\}$; neighborhood function $\mathcal{N} : v \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{V}}$

Output : Vector representations $\mathbf{z}_v$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$

$\mathbf{h}_v^0 \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_v, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$;
for $k = 1...K$ **do**
  for $v \in \mathcal{V}$ **do**
    $\mathbf{h}_{\mathcal{N}(v)}^k \leftarrow \text{AGGREGATE}_k(\{\mathbf{h}_u^{k-1}, \forall u \in \mathcal{N}(v)\})$;
    $\mathbf{h}_v^k \leftarrow \sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^k \cdot \text{CONCAT}(\mathbf{h}_v^{k-1}, \mathbf{h}_{\mathcal{N}(v)}^k)\right)$
  end
  $\mathbf{h}_v^k \leftarrow \mathbf{h}_v^k / \|\mathbf{h}_v^k\|_2, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
end
$\mathbf{z}_v \leftarrow \mathbf{h}_v^K, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$

Generalized Aggregators:
- Mean aggregator (GCN)
- Pooling aggregator
- LSTM aggregator

Use Concertation instead of SUM

GraphSAGE: Weighting factor

- Weighting factor in GraphSAGE
  - α_{uv} (importance) is defined explicitly based on the structure properties of graph.
  - All neighbors $u \in N(v)$ are equally important to node v

$$\mathbf{h}_v^k = \sigma\left(\mathbf{W}_k \sum_{u \in N(v)} \frac{\mathbf{h}_u^{k-1}}{|N(v)|} + \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{h}_v^{k-1}\right)$$

Weighting factor

$$\alpha_{vu} = \frac{1}{|N(v)|}$$



1. Sample neighborhood



2. Aggregate feature information from neighbors



3. Predict graph context and label using aggregated information

Node-wise Sampling: GraphSAGE

- Pros:
  - Generalized aggregator.
  - Mini-batch training and fixed-size neighbor sampling.
- Cons:
  - Neighborhood expansion on deeper GNNs.
  - No guarantees for the sampling quality.

GraphSAGE: Sample code

- DataLoader uses **NeighborSampler** to create mini-batches.
- Each mini-batch contains a node index and local graph information about that index. The key here is to sample local graph information for each mini-batch.
- **For example:**
  - Sampling neighboring nodes in each layer. sizes=[10, 5] means that 10 and 5 neighboring nodes are sampled in each layer.
  - We also use batch_size=32 to process 32 nodes in each batch.

```
from torch_geometric.data import NeighborSampler

loader = NeighborSampler(data.edge_index, sizes=[10, 5], batch_size=32,
                         shuffle=True, num_nodes=data.num_nodes)
```

Lets do some example codes in the Sample code file

Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- **GCN is not trivial:**
  - In GCN, loss on a node not only depends on itself but all its neighbors
  - This dependency brings difficulties when performing SGD on GCN



Chiang, Wei-Lin, et al. "Cluster-GCN: An efficient algorithm for training deep and large graph convolutional networks."

Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- Issues come from high computation costs
- Suppose we desire to calculate a target node's loss with a 2-layer GCN
- To obtain its final representation, needs all node embeddings in its 2-hop neighborhood
- **For example:** 9 nodes' embeddings needed but only get 1 loss (utilization: low)



Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- **Idea**: subsample a smaller number of neighbors
  - For example, GraphSAGE (NeurIPS'17) considers a subset of neighbors per node
  - But it still suffers from recursive neighborhood expansion



Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- Idea: apply graph clustering algorithm (e.g., METIS) to identify dense subgraphs.





Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- **Problems**:
  - The induced subgraph removes between group links.
  - As a result, messages from other groups will be lost during message passing, which could hurt the GNN's performance.



Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- Extract small clusters based efficient clustering algorithms.

$$\bar{G} = [G_1, \cdots, G_c] = [\{\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{E}_1\}, \cdots, \{\mathcal{V}_c, \mathcal{E}_c\}],$$

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & A_{cc} \end{bmatrix}, \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & A_{1c} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{c1} & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$



- Random batching at the subgraph level.



Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- **Idea**: apply graph clustering algorithm (e.g., METIS) to identify dense subgraphs.
- **Cluster-GCN**
  - Partition the graph into several clusters, remove between-cluster edges
  - Each subgraph is used as a mini-batch in SGD
  - Embedding utilization is optimal because nodes' neighbors stay within the cluster



Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- Neighbor expansion control:
  Only consider the nodes in the same clusters



Fix-size neighbor sampling S=2



Only sample the nodes in the clusters

Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- Pros:
  - Good performance / Good memory usage.
  - Alleviate the neighborhood expansion problem in traditional mini-batch training.
- Cons:
  - Empirical results without analyzing the sampling quality.

Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- Partition the graph into several clusters, remove between-cluster edges
- Each subgraph is used as a mini-batch in SGD
- Embedding utilization is optimal because nodes' neighbors stay within the cluster
- Even though 20% edges are removed, the accuracy of GCN model remains similar

CiteSeer	Random partitioning	Graph partitioning
1 (no partitioning)	72.0	72.0
100 partitions	46.1	71.5 (~20% edges removed)



- **Problems**:
  - The induced subgraph removes between group links.
  - As a result, messages from other groups will be lost during message passing, which could hurt the GNN's performance.



Graph-wise Sampling: ClusterGCN

- **Multiple Clusters**
  - A randomly select multiple clusters as a batch has been proposed.
- **Two advantages:**
  - Balance label distribution within a batch
  - Recover some missing edges between-cluster





Mini-batch training:

- For each mini-batch, **randomly sample a set of q node groups:** $\{V_{t_1}, \dots, V_{t_q}\} \subset \{V_1, \dots, V_C\}$.
- **Aggregate all nodes across the sampled node groups:** $V_{aggr} = V_{t_1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{t_q}$
- Extract the **induced subgraph** $G_{aggr} = (V_{aggr}, E_{aggr})$, where $E_{aggr} = \{(u, v) \mid u, v \in V_{aggr}\}$
  - E_{aggr} **also includes between-group edges!**

Algorithm 1: Cluster GCN

Input: Graph A, feature X, label Y;
Output: Node representation $\bar{X}$

Nodes Clustering

1 Partition graph nodes into c clusters $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \cdots, \mathcal{V}_c$ by METIS;

2 **for** *iter* $= 1, \cdots,$ *max_iter* **do**

Random train q clusters

3 Randomly choose q clusters, $t_1, \cdots, t_q$ from $\mathcal{V}$ without replacement;

4 Form the subgraph $\bar{G}$ with nodes $\bar{\mathcal{V}} = [\mathcal{V}_{t_1}, \mathcal{V}_{t_2}, \cdots, \mathcal{V}_{t_q}]$ and links $A_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$;

Train and optimize

5 Compute $g \leftarrow \nabla \mathcal{L}_{A_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{V}}}}$ (loss on the subgraph $A_{\bar{\mathcal{V}},\bar{\mathcal{V}}}$) ;

6 Conduct Adam update using gradient estimator g

7 Output: $\{W_l\}_{l=1}^{L}$

Cluster-GCN: sample code

- Clusters/partitions a graph data object into multiple subgraphs

```
from torch_geometric.datasets import Reddit
from torch_geometric.loader import ClusterData, ClusterLoader, NeighborLoader
from torch_geometric.nn import SAGEConv

dataset = Reddit('../data/Reddit')
data = dataset[0]

cluster_data = ClusterData(data, num_parts=1500, recursive=False,
                           save_dir=dataset.processed_dir)
train_loader = ClusterLoader(cluster_data, batch_size=20, shuffle=True,
                             num_workers=12)

subgraph_loader = NeighborLoader(data, num_neighbors=[-1], batch_size=1024,
                                 shuffle=False, num_workers=12)
```

data: The graph data object
num_parts: The number of partitions.
Recursive: multilevel recursive bisection instead of multilevel k-way partitioning

ClusterLoader:
merges partioned subgraphs and their between-cluster links from a large-scale graph data object to form a mini-batch.

NeighborLoader:
data loader that performs neighbour sampling. This loader allows for mini-batch training of GNNs on large-scale graphs where full-batch training is not feasible.

Lets do some example codes in the Sample code file

https://pytorch-geometric.readthedocs.io/en/2.0.3/_modules/torch_geometric/loader/cluster.html

GraphSAINT: Problem statements

- "Neighbor explosion", GCNs rely on aggregating neighbor information to update nodes.
- It is very intuitive that the more layers of GCNs, the more neighbors need to be considered when updating nodes; while the number of layers is fixed
- The higher the average degree, the more neighbors need to be considered.



Zeng, Hanqing, et al. "GraphSAINT: Graph Sampling Based Inductive Learning Method."

Graph-wise Sampling: GraphSAINT

- Directly sample a subgraph for mini-batch training according to subgraph sampler.
- Sampler construction

Node sampler



Uniformly sample nodes.

Edge sampler



Sample edge with probably $p_{u,v} \propto 1/d_u + 1/d_v$

Random walk sampler



Sample edge with probably $p_{u,v} \propto \boldsymbol{B}_{u,v} + \boldsymbol{B}_{v,u}$

- $B_{u,v}$: the probability of a random walk to start at u and end at v in L hops.

Graph-wise Sampling: GraphSAINT

- Sample a small subgraph, then build a complete GNN
- Constant neighborhood size
- Not an I.I.D data sampler
- **Why?**
  - Popular users will be sampled more frequently, i.e., influencers, …
- **How?**
  - Normalize aggreg and mini batch loss by edge/node sampling frequency.





Graph-wise Sampling: GraphSAINT

- How to eliminate the bias introduce by the sampler?
  - Loss normalization:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{batch}} = \sum_{v \in G_s} L_v / \lambda_v \, , \lambda_v = |V| p_v .$$

  - Aggregation normalization:

$$a(u, v) = p_{u,v} / p_v$$

p_v: the probability of a node $v \in V$ being sampled.
p_{uv}: the probability of an edge $u, v \in E$ being sampled.

Node sampler



Uniformly sample nodes.

Edge sampler



Sample edge with probably $p_{u,v} \propto 1/d_u + 1/d_v$

Random walk sampler



Sample edge with probably $p_{u,v} \propto \boldsymbol{B}_{u,v} + \boldsymbol{B}_{v,u}$

- $B_{u,v}$: the probability of a random walk to start at u and end at v in L hops.

GraphSAINT: Sample code


```
from torch_geometric.datasets import Flickr
from torch_geometric.loader import GraphSAINTRandomWalkSampler
from torch_geometric.nn import GraphConv
from torch_geometric.typing import WITH_TORCH_SPARSE
from torch_geometric.utils import degree

loader = GraphSAINTRandomWalkSampler(data, batch_size=6000, walk_length=2,
                                     num_steps=5, sample_coverage=100,
                                     save_dir=dataset.processed_dir,
                                     num_workers=4)
```

Given a graph, this class samples nodes and constructs subgraphs that can be processed in a mini – batch fashion.

Lets do some example codes in the Sample code file

네트워크 과학 연구실
NETWORK SCIENCE LAB

가톨릭대학교
THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF KOREA