

# COMBINATORIAL TANGLES

N. P. STRICKLAND

We want to define a category (or 2-category) of combinatorial tangles. The objects will be  $t$ -sets, as defined below.

**Definition 0.1.** A  $t$ -set is a finite, totally ordered set  $A$  equipped with a map  $\epsilon_A: A \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ . Given a  $t$ -set  $A$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} A_+ &= \{a \in A \mid \epsilon(a) = 1\} \\ A_- &= \{a \in A \mid \epsilon(a) = -1\} \\ \|A\| &= \sum_i \epsilon_i = |A_+| - |A_-|. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $\bar{A} = \{\bar{a} \mid a \in A\}$  ordered by  $\bar{a} < \bar{b}$  iff  $b < a$ , and with  $\epsilon_{\bar{A}}(\bar{a}) = -\epsilon_A(a)$ . Given another  $t$ -set  $B$ , we define  $A + B$  to be the set  $A \amalg B$ , ordered so that  $A$  precedes  $B$ , and with  $\epsilon_{A \amalg B}$  given by  $\epsilon_A$  on  $A$  and by  $\epsilon_B$  on  $B$ .

Next, we define a groupoid  $T(A)$  as follows (whose objects are called *combinatorial tangles* or just *tangles*). An object of  $T(A)$  is a tuple  $(X, P, \omega, \alpha, \beta, \phi)$  where

- $X$  is a finite set (whose elements are called *crossings*).
- $P$  is a finite set (whose elements are called *arcs*).
- $\omega$  is a map from  $P$  to  $\{0, 1\}$ . Arcs  $u$  with  $\omega(u) = 0$  are called *closed*, and those with  $\omega(u) = 1$  are called *open*. We write  $PC$  and  $PO$  for the subsets of closed and open arcs.
- $\alpha$  is a map from  $\mathbb{Z}/4 \times X$  to  $PO$ .
- $\beta$  is a map from  $A$  to  $PO$ .
- $\phi$  is a map from  $X$  to  $\{\pm 1\}$ .
- $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  together give a bijection  $A_- \amalg \{3, 4\} \times X \rightarrow PO$ , with inverse  $\phi_0$  say.
- $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  together give a bijection  $A_+ \amalg \{0, 1\} \times X \rightarrow PO$ , with inverse  $\phi_1$  say.

A morphism from  $(X, P, \omega, \alpha, \beta, \phi)$  to  $(X', P', \omega', \alpha', \beta', \phi')$  is a pair of bijections  $X \rightarrow X'$  and  $P \rightarrow P'$  that are compatible with the other structure.

The interpretation is supposed to be as follows. We identify  $A$  with the set  $\{(0, i, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid 1 \leq i \leq |A|\}$  and we suppose that we have an oriented tangle in the half-space  $x \leq 0$  with boundary  $A$ . We suppose that the orientation is arranged so that the arcs run from negative points (ie  $(0, i, 0)$  with  $\epsilon_i = -1$ ) to positive points. We suppose that the tangle projects nicely to give a tangle diagram in the  $xy$  plane with crossing set  $X$ . We let  $PO$  denote the set of open arcs (homeomorphic to  $[0, 1]$ ) in this diagram, and we let  $PC$  denote the set of freely floating circles. We then put  $P = PO \amalg PC$ , and define  $\omega$  in the obvious way. Next, given  $x \in X$  we let  $\alpha(0, x), \dots, \alpha(3, x)$  be the four arcs that touch  $x$ , numbered so that in anticlockwise order around  $x$  we have the incoming end of  $\alpha(0, x)$ , the incoming end of  $\alpha(1, x)$ , the outgoing end of  $\alpha(2, x)$  and the outgoing end of  $\alpha(3, x)$ . Also, for  $a \in A$  we let  $\beta(a)$  denote the unique arc that touches  $a$ . It is reasonably clear that the axioms are satisfied. We say that a combinatorial tangle is *geometric* if it arises as above.

Reidermeister moves for combinatorial tangles are as follows. Consider a tangle  $T = (X, P, \omega, \alpha, \beta, \phi)$ .

- (1) Suppose there is a crossing  $x$  with  $\alpha(2, x) = \alpha(3, x)$ . We construct a new tangle  $T' = (X', P', \omega', \alpha', \beta', \phi')$  as follows:

- $X' = X \setminus \{x\}$
- $P'$  is the quotient of  $P$  in which all the elements  $\alpha(i, x)$  are identified together to give a new element, which we call  $p$ .
- $\omega'$  is the same as  $\omega$ , except that  $\omega'(p) = 0$  if  $\alpha(0, x) = \alpha(1, x)$ , and  $\omega'(p) = 1$  otherwise.

- $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  are obtained in the obvious way by composing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with the quotient map  $P \rightarrow P'$ .
- $\phi'$  is the restriction of  $\phi$ .

The construction of  $T'$  from  $T$  is the *first Reidemeister move*.

- (2) Suppose instead that we have crossings  $x$  and  $y$  with  $\alpha(2, x) = \alpha(1, y)$  and  $\alpha(3, x) = \alpha(0, y)$  and  $\phi(x) + \phi(y) = 0$ . In this case we construct  $T'$  as follows:

- $X' = X \setminus \{x, y\}$
- $P'$  is the quotient of  $P$  in which the elements  $\alpha(0, x), \alpha(2, x) = \alpha(1, y)$  and  $\alpha(3, y)$  are identified together to give  $p$ , and the elements  $\alpha(1, x), \alpha(3, x) = \alpha(0, y)$  and  $\alpha(2, y)$  are identified together to give  $q$ .
- $\omega'$  is the same as  $\omega$ , except that  $\omega'(p) = 0$  iff  $\alpha(3, y) = \alpha(0, x)$ , and  $\omega'(q) = 0$  iff  $\alpha(2, y) = \alpha(1, x)$ .
- $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  are obtained in the obvious way by composing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with the quotient map  $P \rightarrow P'$ .
- $\phi'$  is the restriction of  $\phi$ .

The construction of  $T'$  from  $T$  is the *second Reidemeister move*.

- (3) Finally, suppose we have  $x, y, z \in X$  with

$$\alpha(3, x) = \alpha(1, y) \quad \alpha(2, x) = \alpha(1, z) \quad \alpha(2, y) = \alpha(0, z).$$

We then put  $T' = (X, P, \omega, \alpha', \beta, \phi)$ , where  $\alpha'$  is the same as  $\alpha$  except

$$\begin{array}{llll} \alpha'(0, x) = \alpha(0, z) & \alpha'(1, x) = \alpha(1, x) & \alpha'(2, x) = \alpha(2, z) & \alpha'(3, x) = \alpha(3, x) \\ \alpha'(0, y) = \alpha(1, z) & \alpha'(1, y) = \alpha(1, y) & \alpha'(2, y) = \alpha(3, z) & \alpha'(3, y) = \alpha(3, y) \\ \alpha'(0, z) = \alpha(0, y) & \alpha'(1, z) = \alpha(0, x) & \alpha'(2, z) = \alpha(0, y) & \alpha'(3, z) = \alpha(2, x). \end{array}$$

This construction is the *third Reidemeister move*.

Now suppose we have two sign sequences  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ . We define  $T(A_0, A_1)$  to be  $T(\overline{A_0} + A_1)$ .

Now suppose we have a  $t$ -set  $A$ . We put  $1_A = (\emptyset, A, 1, \emptyset, \beta, \emptyset)$ , where  $\beta: \overline{A} + A \rightarrow P = A$  is given by  $\beta(\bar{a}) = \beta(a) = a$ .

Now suppose we have

$$T_0 = (X_0, P_0, \omega_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \phi_0) \in T(A_0, A_1) = T(\overline{A_0} + A_1)$$

$$T_1 = (X_1, P_1, \omega_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \phi_1) \in T(A_1, A_2) = T(\overline{A_1} + A_2).$$

We put  $T_1 \circ T_0 = (X, P, \omega, \alpha, \beta, \phi)$ , where

- $X = X_0 \amalg X_1$
- $P$  is the largest quotient of  $P_0 \amalg P_1$  in which  $\beta_0(a_1)$  is identified with  $\beta_1(\bar{a}_1)$  for all  $a_1 \in A_1$ .
- $\alpha: \mathbb{Z}/4 \times X \rightarrow P$  is obtained by combining  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_2$ , and composing with the quotient map  $P_0 \amalg P_2 \rightarrow P$ .
- $\beta: \overline{A_0} \amalg A_2 \rightarrow P$  is obtained by combining  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_2$ , and composing with the quotient map  $P_0 \amalg P_2 \rightarrow P$ .
- $\omega$  is 1 on the images of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and 0 elsewhere.
- $\phi$  is given by  $\phi_i$  on  $X_i$ .

## REFERENCES