# Jacobians of Curves

Lecture notes by Ferdinand Wagner

Wintersemester 2018/19

This text consists of notes on the lecture Jacobians of Curves, taught at the University of Bonn by Professor Jens Franke in the winter term (Wintersemester) 2018/19.

Please report bugs, typos etc. through the *Issues* feature of github.

## Contents

1.	Introduction
	1.1. A note on limits and their derived functors
	1.2. The theorem about formal functions
	1.3. Application to Zariski's main theorem
Α.	. Appendix
	A.1. Some prerequisites about completions

### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. A note on limits and their derived functors

Let  $X_{\bullet} : \dots \xrightarrow{p_{i+1}} X_i \xrightarrow{p_i} \dots \xrightarrow{p_2} X_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} X_0$  be a diagram of abelian groups or R-modules. As usual, we may view  $X_{\bullet}$  as a functor  $X_{\bullet} : (\mathbb{N}, \geqslant) \to \mathbf{Ab}$  or  $\mathbf{Mod}(R)$ , where the category  $(\mathbb{N}, \geqslant)$  has the nonnegative integers as objects and an arrow  $j \to i$  iff  $j \geqslant i$ . Let

$$d: \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i \longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i, \quad d(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} = (p_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) - x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}.$$

Then we put

$$\varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i = \ker d \quad \text{and} \quad \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 X_i = \operatorname{coker} d.$$

**Remark 1.** It is easy to see that  $\varprojlim X_i$  equals the usual category-theoretical limit (that's how you construct it). It can also be shown that  $\varprojlim^1$  is the first right-derived functor of  $\varprojlim$ , and that its higher derived functors vanish.

Fact 1. Let  $0 \to X'_{\bullet} \to X_{\bullet} \to X''_{\bullet} \to 0$  be a short exact sequence of diagrams of the above type. Then there is a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X'_i \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X''_i \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 X'_i \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 X_i \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 X''_i \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Since products preserve exact sequences in Ab or Mod(R), we get a diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i' \longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i \longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i'' \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow d' \downarrow \qquad \downarrow d \downarrow \qquad d'' \downarrow$$

$$\downarrow d' \downarrow \qquad \downarrow d' \downarrow$$

$$\downarrow d' \downarrow \qquad \downarrow d'' \downarrow$$

$$\downarrow d' \downarrow \qquad \downarrow d' \downarrow$$

$$\downarrow d' \downarrow q' \downarrow$$

with exact rows. Then the snake lemma finishes the job.

**Fact 2.** Let  $X_{\bullet}$  have the property that for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a  $j \geqslant i$  such that the composition  $p_{j,i} \colon X_j \xrightarrow{p_j} X_{j-1} \xrightarrow{p_{j-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{p_{i+1}} X_i$  vanishes. Then

$$\varprojlim_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i = \varprojlim_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^1 X_i = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in \varprojlim X_i$ , then  $x_i = p_{j,i}(x_j)$  for all  $j \ge i$  by construction, hence  $x_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, let

$$s : \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i \longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i , \quad s(x)_i = \sum_{j \geqslant i} p_{j,i}(x_j) .$$

By assumption s is well-defined. Then

$$d(s(x))_i = p_{i+1} \left( \sum_{j \ge i+1} p_{j,i+1}(x_j) \right) - \sum_{j \ge i} p_{j,i}(x_j) = -p_{i,i}(x_i) = -x_i.$$

Hence -s is a right-inverse of d, so  $\lim_{i \to \infty} X_i = \operatorname{coker} d$  vanishes as well.

Fact 3. Let  $X_{\bullet}$  have the Mittag-Leffler property that for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a  $j \geqslant i$  such that for all  $k \geqslant j$  the images of  $p_{j,i}$  and  $p_{k,i}$  in  $X_i$  coincide. Then  $\lim_{i \to \infty} X_i = 0$ .

Proof. Let's first deal with the special case that each  $p_i \colon X_i \to X_{i-1}$  is surjective. Let  $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i$ . For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we may select  $x_j^{(i)} \in X_j$  for all  $j \geqslant i$  in such a way that  $x_i^{(i)} = x_i$  and  $p_{j+1}(x_{j+1}^{(i)}) = x_j^{(i)}$ . Then s(x) defined by

$$s(x)_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} x_i^{(k)}$$

is a preimage of x under d, so  $\lim_{i \to \infty} X_i = \operatorname{coker} d = 0$  in this case.

Now let  $X_{\bullet}$  be arbitrary with the Mittag-Leffler property. Let  $Y_i = \bigcap_{j \geqslant i} p_{j,i}(X_j) \subseteq X_i$ . Then  $\varprojlim^1 Y_i = 0$  by the special case we just treated, and  $\varprojlim^1 X_i/Y_i = 0$  by Fact 2. Since  $\varprojlim^1 X_i$  is sandwiched between these two in the exact sequence from Fact 1, this shows  $\varprojlim^1 X_i = 0$ , as required.

#### 1.2. The theorem about formal functions

Let  $f: X \to Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  be a morphism of quasi-compact schemes. Let  $I \subseteq A$  be any ideal. Consider

$$i_n: X_n = X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(A/I^n) \longrightarrow X$$
,

which is a base change of the closed immersion  $Y_n = \operatorname{Spec}(A/I^n) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$ , hence indeed a closed immersion itself. Also, if f is proper, then so is  $X_n \to Y_n$  because properness is another property (tee-hee) that is stable under base change (by [AG2, Remark 2.4.1]).

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and  $\mathcal{F}|_{X_n} = i_n^* \mathcal{F}$  its restriction to  $X_n$  (this notation is slightly abusive, but convenient). We put  $\mathcal{F}_n = i_{n,*} \mathcal{F}|_{X_n}$ . It's easy to check (e.g. affine-locally) that  $\mathcal{F}_n \cong \mathcal{F}/I^n \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $i_n$  is a closed immersion and thus affine, we have an isomorphism  $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}_n) \cong H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$  for all  $p \geqslant 0$  by [AG2, Corollary 1.5.1]. Together with

the canonical projection  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1} \cong \mathcal{F}/I^{n+1}\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}/I^n\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_n$  this gives canonical morphisms  $H^p(X_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}|_{X_{n+1}}) \to H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The canonical morphism  $\mathcal{F} \to i_{n,*}i_n^*\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_n$  induces a morphism

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{F}_n) \cong H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$$
 (1)

for all  $p \ge 0$  (the isomorphism on the right-hand side comes from the fact that  $i_n$  is a closed immersion, hence affine, and we can apply [AG2, Corollary 1.6.1]). This is a morphism of A-modules, but  $H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$  is actually an  $A/I^n$ -module, so (1) factors over

$$H^p(X,\mathcal{F})/I^nH^p(X,\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^p(X_n,\mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$$
.

This is compatible with the canonical morphisms  $H^p(X_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}|_{X_{n+1}}) \to H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$  (you can just check that on an affine Čech covers). Passing to the limit gives a morphism

$$H^p(X,\mathcal{F})^{\widehat{}} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^p(X_n,\mathcal{F}|_{X_n}) ,$$
 (2)

where  $\hat{}$  denotes the *I*-adic completion.

**Theorem 1** (Grothendieck–Zariski). When  $f: X \to Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is proper (in which case X is automatically a quasi-compact scheme), A is noetherian and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, then (2) is an isomorphism

$$H^p(X,\mathcal{F})^{\widehat{}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^p(X_n,\mathcal{F}|_{X_n}) .$$

*Proof.* The following proof is essentially the one from [EGAIII, (4.1.7)]. Professor Franke also pointed out that the idea is pretty similar to the proof of the Artin–Rees lemma. Let  $I \subseteq A$  be the ideal under consideration and let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} I^n$  be the Rees algebra associated to I. Then

$$K^p = \bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} H^p(X, I^n \mathcal{F})$$

is a module over R as  $i \in I^m$  (considered as the  $m^{\text{th}}$  homogeneous component of R) maps  $I^n \mathcal{F}$  to  $I^{n+m} \mathcal{F}$ .

Claim 1.  $K^p$  is a finitely generated R-module for all  $p \ge 0$ .

Assuming this for the moment, recall that  $\mathcal{F}_n \cong \mathcal{F}/I^n\mathcal{F}$  and  $H^p(X,\mathcal{F}|_{X_n}) \cong H^p(X,\mathcal{F}_n)$ , so the long exact cohomology sequence associated to  $0 \to I^n\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_n \to 0$  appears as

$$H^p(X, I^n \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n}) \longrightarrow H^{p+1}(X, I^n \mathcal{F})$$
. (3)

As pointed out after (1),  $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$  factors over  $H^p(X, \mathcal{F})/I^nH^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ , hence we can turn equation (3) into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U_n \longrightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{F})/I^nH^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n}) \longrightarrow V_n \longrightarrow 0,$$
 (4)

where  $U_n$  is a suitable quotient of  $H^p(X, I^n \mathcal{F})$  and  $V_n \subseteq H^{p+1}(X, I^n \mathcal{F})$  some submodule. This makes  $U = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} U_n$  a quotient of  $K^p$  and  $V = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} V_n$  an R-submodule of  $K^{p+1}$ .

Claim 2. We have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} U_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} U_n = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} V_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} V_n = 0$ .

Before we prove this (and Claim 1), let's see how Theorem 1 follows from it. Let  $W_n$  be the image of  $H^p(X,\mathcal{F})/I^nH^p(X,\mathcal{F})$  in  $H^p(X_n,\mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$ . We may split (4) into two short exact sequences  $0 \to U_n \to H^p(X,\mathcal{F})/I^nH^p(X,\mathcal{F}) \to W_n \to 0$  and  $0 \to W_n \to H^p(X,\mathcal{F}|_{X_n}) \to V_n \to 0$ . Applying Fact 1.1.1 to the first one gives  $H^p(X,\mathcal{F})^{\wedge} \cong \varprojlim^1 W_n$ . Then the six-term exact sequence associated to the second proves  $\varprojlim^1 W_n \cong \varprojlim^1 H^p(X_n\mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$  and we are done.

It remains to show the two claims. Note that the Rees algebra R is noetherian. Indeed, I is finitely generated as an ideal in the noetherian ring A, hence R is of finite type over A. Let's also make the following convention: Whenever we write  $I^kU_n$  or  $I^kV_n$  in the following, this means multiplication as A-modules and the result is contained in  $U_n$  resp.  $V_n$  again, whereas  $R_kU_n$  or  $R_kV_n$  means multiplication by the k<sup>th</sup> homogeneous component of R (which equals  $I^k$  as well), so the result is contained on  $U_{k+n}$  resp.  $V_{k+n}$ .

Proof of Claim 2. Note that U is finitely generated over R, since it is a quotient of the finitely generated R-module  $K^p$ . Fix a finite set of generators and let  $d_0$  the maximal non-zero homogeneous components occurring in this set. Then  $U_n = R_n U_0 + R_{n-1} U_1 + \ldots + R_{n-d_0} U_{d_0}$  for all  $n \ge d_0$ . In particular,  $U_{k+n} = R_k U_n$  for all  $n \ge d_0$ . Thus, for every  $n \ge d_0$  the image of  $U_{2n} = R_n U_n$  in  $U_n$  is contained in  $I^n U_n$ . But  $U_n \subseteq H^p(X, \mathcal{F})/I^n H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ , so  $I^n U_n$  vanishes. Therefore, the property from Fact 1.1.2 is fulfilled for all  $n \ge d_0$ . But then it clearly holds for all  $n \ge 0$  as well, so Fact 1.1.2 is applicable.

Similarly, V is finitely generated as a submodule of  $K^{p+1}$ , which is finitely generated over the noetherian ring R by Claim 1. By the same argument as above we find a  $d_1$  such that  $V_n = R_n V_0 + R_{n-1} V_1 + \ldots + R_{n-d_1} V_{d_1}$  for all  $n \ge d_1$ . In particular, we have  $V_{k+n} = R_k V_n$  for all  $n \ge d_1$ . Thus, for  $n \ge d_1$  the image of  $V_{2n}$  in  $V_n$  is contained in  $I^n V_n$ . But  $I^n V_n$  vanishes again, since  $V_n$  is the image of  $H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n})$ , which is a  $A/I^n$ -module. As above, we can apply Fact 1.1.2. This shows Claim 2.

Proof of Claim 1. Let  $v : \widetilde{Y} = \operatorname{Spec} R \to Y$  correspond to  $A \hookrightarrow R$  and let  $\xi : \widetilde{X} = X \times_Y \widetilde{Y} \to X$  be its base change by f. Note that  $\xi$  is affine as a base change of the affine morphism v (we use [AG1, Corollary 2.5.1] here). We claim

$$\xi_*\xi^*\mathcal{F}\cong\bigoplus_{n\geqslant 0}I^n\mathcal{F}$$
.

Indeed, this is easily checked affine-locally (where  $\xi^*$  is given by tensoring with R); we leave the details to the reader. Also  $H^p(\tilde{X}, \xi^* \mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(X, \xi_* \xi^* \mathcal{F})$  as  $\xi$  is affine. This shows

$$H^p(\widetilde{X},\xi^*\mathcal{F})\cong H^p(X,\xi_*\xi^*\mathcal{F})\cong H^p\bigg(X,\bigoplus_{n\geqslant 0}I^n\mathcal{F}\bigg)\cong \bigoplus_{n\geqslant 0}H^p(X,I^n\mathcal{F})=K^p\;.$$

Note that direct sums usually don't commute with cohomology, but here they do, because X is quasi-compact and  $\bigoplus_{n\geqslant 0} I^n\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent (for which we need quasi-compactness as well), so we may compute  $H^p(X, \bigoplus_{n\geqslant 0} I^n\mathcal{F})$  via finite affine Čech covers. In this case, the products in the Čech complex are all finite, hence commute with the direct sum, which is what we needed.

Now  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y} = \operatorname{Spec} R$  is proper (as a base change of the proper morphism f), hence the right-hand side is a finitely generated R-module by our finiteness results for the cohomology of proper morphisms (cf. [AG2, Theorem 5]). We win.

**Remark 1.** Note that in the lecture Franke used  $\mathcal{K}_n \cong \mathcal{J}^n \mathcal{F}$  instead of  $I^n \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{J} = f^{-1} \mathcal{I}$  is the inverse image (in the sense of Definition 1). But  $\mathcal{J}^n \mathcal{F} \cong I^n \mathcal{F}$  – which is not that surprising, since the  $I^n$ -action on  $\mathcal{F}$  is given via the algebraic component  $\mathcal{O}_Y \to f_* \mathcal{O}_X$  of f, so  $I^n \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{J}^n \mathcal{F}$  is pretty obvious from the construction of  $f^{-1}$  described in the proof of Lemma 1 below. I prefer the notation  $I^n \mathcal{F}$  – in particular, this is how Grothendieck denotes it in [EGAIII, (4.1.7)], so I believe it's my right to do so as well. Nevertheless, Lemma 1 is perhaps worthwhile to know (if you get what I mean), so we will include it now.

**Definition 1.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be any morphism of preschemes and  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y$  a sheaf of ideals on Y. Then define  $f^{-1}\mathcal{J}$  to be the image of  $f^*\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{O}_X$  (which is obtained as the composition of the pull-back of  $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{O}_Y$  with the isomorphism  $f^*\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ ).

**Lemma 1.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be any morphism of preschemes and  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y$  quasi-coherent.

- (a)  $f^{-1}\mathcal{J}\subseteq\mathcal{O}_X$  is quasi-coherent.
- (b) Let  $Y_0$  and  $X_0$  be the closed subpreschemes of Y and X defined by  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $f^{-1}\mathcal{J}$  respectively. Then  $X_0 \cong X \times_Y Y_0$ .
- (c) For all  $n \ge 0$  we have  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{J}^n) \cong (f^{-1}\mathcal{J})^n$ .

Sketch of a proof. The question is easily seen to be local on both X and Y. So let's consider the affine situation where  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$ ,  $X = \operatorname{Spec} B$ , and  $\mathcal{J} = \widetilde{J}$  for some ideal  $J \subseteq A$ . Let  $\varphi \colon A \to B$  be the morphism of rings corresponding to f. Then  $f^{-1}\mathcal{J} = \widetilde{I}$  where I is the image of  $B \otimes_A J \to B$  sending  $b \otimes j \mapsto b \cdot \varphi(j)$ . All three assertions are then easily checked.

Remark 2. Recall that for a morphism  $f: X \to Y$  of preschemes and a point  $y \in Y$  the fibre  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  of f at y is defined as the prescheme  $f^{-1}\{y\} = X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{K}(y)$ . This makes sense, since  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  is indeed – topologically – the preimage of y, as proved in [AG1, Corollary 1.3.3]. Moreover,  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}/\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}^n \to Y$  is immersive for all  $n \ge 1$  and has image  $\{y\}$  as well. So [AG1, Corollary 1.3.3] is applicable again and shows that  $X_n = X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}/\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}^n)$  has  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  as underlying topological space too (but, of course, the prescheme structure differs in general). We may thus think of  $X_n$  as the  $n^{\text{th}}$  infinitesimal thickening of  $f^{-1}\{y\}$ .

Using this, Theorem 1 can be restated as follows.

**Theorem 1a.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a proper morphism between locally noetherian<sup>1</sup> preschemes. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. For every  $y \in Y$  let  $X_n = X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}/\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}^n)$  be the  $n^{th}$  infinitesimal thickening of  $f^{-1}\{y\}$ . Then there is an isomorphism

$$(R^p f_* \mathcal{F})_{\widehat{y}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n}),$$

where  $\hat{\phantom{m}}$  denotes the  $\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}$ -adic completion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Franke only assumes Y to be locally noetherian, but f being of (locally) finite type implies that X is locally noetherian as well by Hilbert's Basissatz. This happens multiple times throughout the text.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine, and that A is a noetherian ring. Indeed, replacing Y by an affine neighbourhood  $U \cong \operatorname{Spec} A$  and X by  $f^{-1}(U)$  doesn't change  $(R^p f_* \mathcal{F})_y$  (because the construction of  $R^p f_* \mathcal{F}$  is base-local) and also  $X_n$  is preserved since  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  is already contained in  $f^{-1}(U)$  (by [AG2, postnote]).

In this case,  $R^p f_* \mathcal{F} = H^p(X, \mathcal{F})^{\sim}$  by [AG2, Proposition 1.5.1(d)]. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$  be the prime ideal associated to y. Then  $R^p f_* \mathcal{F} \cong H^p(X, \mathcal{F})_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat over A. Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}$  be its maximal ideal. We denote  $\pi \colon \operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ . Applying [AG2, Fact 4.1.1] to  $\pi$  gives

$$H^p(X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathfrak{p}}, \pi^* \mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(X, \mathcal{F})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (R^p f_* \mathcal{F})_y$$
.

Also

$$(X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \times_{\operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \operatorname{Spec}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{m}^n) \cong X \times_Y \left( \operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \operatorname{Spec}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{m}^n) \right)$$
  
 $\cong X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{m}^n)$   
 $\cong X_n$ 

by a bit abstract nonsense. Now Theorem 1 may be applied to  $X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \to \operatorname{Spec} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (the base change of f) and the assertion follows.

#### 1.3. Application to Zariski's main theorem

Out there in the real world, there are multiple *main theorems* of Zariski around, and usually they're only loosely related. Professor Franke recommends Mumford's *The red book of varieties* and schemes for a discussion of various such version.

Corollary 1. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be any proper morphism between locally noetherian preschemes and let  $d = \sup_{y \in Y} \dim (f^{-1}\{y\})$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and p > d, then  $R^p f_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ .

Proof. Since  $R^p f_* \mathcal{F}$  is coherent (this is [AG2, Theorem 5]),  $(R^p f_* \mathcal{F})_y$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ -module, hence it vanishes iff it  $\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}$ -adic completion vanishes by Fact A.1.1(b). But  $X_n = X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}/\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}^n)$  has underlying space  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  (as explained in Remark 1.2.2), hence  $H^p(X_n, \mathcal{F}|_{X_n}) = 0$  when p > d by Grothendieck's theorem on cohomological dimension (cf. [AG2, Proposition 1.4.1]). The assertion now follows from Theorem 1a.

**Definition 1.** A morphism  $f: X \to Y$  of finite type is called **quasi-finite at**  $x \in X$  if x is discrete in its fibre, i.e., if  $\{x\}$  is an open and closed subset of  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  where y = f(x). We call f **quasi-finite** if it is quasi-finite at every  $x \in X$ .

The following fact wasn't mentioned in the lecture, but it's definitely (in particular, not only perhaps) worthwhile to know!

**Fact 1.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a morphism of finite type. Let  $x \in X$  be open in its fibre  $f^{-1}\{y\}$ , where y = f(x). Then f is already quasi-finite at x.

Proof. Choose an affine open neighbourhood  $y \in U \cong \operatorname{Spec} A$ . Then  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  is contained in  $f^{-1}(U)$ , so we may w.l.o.g. assume that  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine. Put  $k = \mathfrak{K}(y)$ . Since X may be covered by affine open subsets  $\operatorname{Spec} R$ , where R is of finite type over A, we may cover the fibre product  $f^{-1}\{y\} = X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} k$  by affine open subsets  $\operatorname{Spec}(R \otimes_A k)$ , in which  $R \otimes_A k$  is a k-algebra of finite type, hence a Jacobson ring. This proves that  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  is a Jacobson prescheme as in [AG1, Definition 2.4.2(c)]. But then x is a closed point of the open subset  $\{x\} \subseteq f^{-1}\{y\}$ , hence also a closed point of  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  by [AG1, Fact 2.4.1(c)].

Fact 2. (a) Any finite morphism is quasi-finite.

- (b) If k is a field, a morphism  $f: X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$  of finite type is quasi-finite iff it is finite.
- (c) Let  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  be morphisms of finite type such that g is quasi-finite at y = f(x) for some  $x \in X$ . Then gf is quasi-finite at x iff f is quasi-finite at x.

*Proof.* Maybe that's my bad, but the proof of this is actually annoyingly laborious. We begin with part (a). Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a finite morphism,  $x \in X$  and y = f(x). Then the morphism

$$f^{-1}{y} = X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{K}(y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{K}(y)$$

is finite again, as a base changes of finite morphisms are finite again (cf. [AG1, Corollary 1.5.1]). Letting  $k = \mathcal{R}(y)$  this puts us in the situation from (b), so it's sufficient to prove (b).

In the case of (b) we have  $f^{-1}\{y\} = X$ , so what we need to show is that X carries the discrete topology if f is finite. We know that  $X \cong \operatorname{Spec} R$  where R is some finite-dimensional k-algebra (using finiteness of f). For  $x \in X$  let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the corresponding prime ideal of R. Then  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a domain and a finite-dimensional k-vector space, hence a finite field extension of k by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. This means that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a maximal ideal of R. Consequently, all points of X are closed, so it suffices to show that X has finitely many points. Let  $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$  be any finite subset of X and  $\{\mathfrak{m}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{m}_n\}$  the corresponding maximal ideals of R. For every i, we may choose an element  $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{m}_i$  which is not contained in any  $\mathfrak{m}_j$  for  $j \neq i$  (e.g. by the prime avoidance lemma, cf. [Alg1, Lemma 2.5.1]). Put  $\beta_i = \prod_{j \neq i} \alpha_j$  (so that  $\beta_i \in \mathfrak{m}_j$  for all  $j \neq i$  but  $\beta_i \notin \mathfrak{m}_i$ ). We claim that  $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n$  are k-linearly independent. Indeed, if  $\lambda_1\beta_1+\ldots+\lambda_n\beta_n=0$  for some coefficients  $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n\in k$ , then reducing modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  gives  $\lambda_i\beta_i=0$  in  $R/\mathfrak{m}_i=\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}_i)$ . But  $\beta_i \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}_i)$ , so  $\lambda_i=0$  for all  $i=1,\ldots,n$ . This proves  $\dim_k R \geqslant n$ . But R is finite-dimensional over k, hence X must have finitely many points, as claimed.

Conversely, assume that  $f: X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$  is quasi-finite. Then X is discrete, so it must have finitely many points. Indeed, f being of finite type implies it is quasi-compact (by definition), so X is quasi-compact because  $\operatorname{Spec} k$  is, and any discrete quasi-compact space is finite. Let  $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . Every point  $x_i \in X$  together with the restriction  $\mathcal{O}_X|_{\{x_i\}}$  of the structure sheaf is a prescheme again, hence affine (because  $x_i \in \{x_i\}$  must have an affine neighbourhood). Let  $\{x_i\} \cong \operatorname{Spec} R_i$ . Then

$$X \cong \coprod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Spec} R_i \cong \operatorname{Spec} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_i \right)$$

is affine. This shows that f is affine, but finiteness is yet to prove. Clearly, it suffices that each  $R_i$  is a finite-dimensional k-vector space. Note that  $R_i$  has precisely one prime ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_i$ 

(corresponding to  $x_i$ ), which is then automatically maximal. Since f is of finite type,  $R_i$  has finite type over k. In particular  $R_i$  is noetherian and we may choose generators  $r_1, \ldots, r_m$  of  $\mathfrak{m}_s$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  is the only prime ideal of  $R_s$ , we have  $\mathfrak{m}_i = \operatorname{nil} R_i$ . Consquently, there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r_\ell^N = 0$  for all  $\ell$ . Moreover,  $R_i/\mathfrak{m}_i$  is a field extension of finite type over k, hence a finite field extension by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. Let  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d \in R_i$  be elements whose images modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  form a k-basis of  $R_i/\mathfrak{m}_i$ . Then it is straightforward to check that R is generated as a k-vector space by the elements

$$\beta_j \cdot r_1^{e_1} r_2^{e_2} \cdots r_n^{e_n}$$
 where  $0 \le e_\ell < N$  for all  $\ell$ .

This shows  $\dim_k R < \infty$ , hence f is finite.

Part (c). Since g is quasi-finite at y, the subset  $\{y\} \subseteq g^{-1}\{g(y)\}$  is open and closed, hence  $f^{-1}\{y\} \subseteq (gf)^{-1}\{g(y)\}$  is open and closed. This means that  $\{x\}$  is open and closed in the fibre  $(gf)^{-1}\{g(y)\}$  iff it is open and closed in  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  and we win.

**Theorem 2** (Grothendieck's version of Zariski's main theorem). (a) Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a quasi-finite proper morphism between locally noetherian preschemes. Then f is finite.

- (b) Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a quasi-finite morphism between noetherian preschemes. Then there exists a factorization  $X \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{g} Y$  of f where j is an open immersion and g is finite.
- (c) If  $f: X \to Y$  is any morphism of finite type between locally noetherian preschemes, then

$$U = \{x \in X \mid f \text{ is quasi-finite at } x\}$$

is open in X, and the restriction  $f|_U$  is quasi-finite (by definition).

Proof. Part (a). We may assume that  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine (indeed, all involved properties are base-local). Let  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$  be a sheaf of ideals, then  $\mathcal{J}$  is coherent as X is locally noetherian. Since f is quasi-finite, all fibres carry the discrete topology. In particular, they are zero-dimensional and Corollary 1 shows that  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{J} = 0$ . Then also  $0 = R^1 f_* \mathcal{J}(Y) = H^1(X, \mathcal{J})$  (using [AG2, Proposition 1.5.1(d)]), hence X is affine by Serre's affinity criterion. This shows that f is affine. Moreover,  $f_* \mathcal{O}_X$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module by [AG2, Theorem 5], hence f is finite.

Part (b) is hard, see the discussion on page 12. We only prove a special case there, which, however, is sufficient to prove (c). But before we can do this, we need to prove some more theorems of Zariski.

**Theorem 3** (Zariski's connectedness theorem). Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a proper morphism between locally noetherian schemes, whose algebraic component  $f^*: \mathcal{O}_Y \to f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is an isomorphism.

- (a) The fibres  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  are connected for all  $y \in Y$ .
- (b) The set

$$U = \left\{ x \in X \mid \{x\} = f^{-1}\{f(x)\} \right\} = \left\{ x \in X \mid f \text{ is quasi-finite at } x \right\}$$

is open in X, and the restriction  $f|_U$  is quasi-finite (by definition).

Proof. Part (a). Assume  $f^{-1}\{y\}$  is not connected, say,  $f^{-1}\{y\} = U_1 \cup U_2$  for disjoint non-empty open subsets  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq f^{-1}\{y\}$ . Since all infinitesimal thickenings  $X_n = X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}/\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}^n)$  have underlying topological space  $f^{-1}\{y\}$ , there is a unique  $\varepsilon_n \in \mathcal{O}_{X_n}(X_n) = H^0(X_n, \mathcal{O}_{X_n})$  such that  $\varepsilon_n|_{U_1} = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_n|_{U_2} = 1$ . The sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  clearly defines an element  $\varepsilon$  of

$$\lim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H^0(X_n, \mathcal{O}_{X_n}) \cong (f_*\mathcal{O}_X)_y^{\widehat{}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}.$$

The left isomorphism here is due to Theorem 1a and the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_{X_n} = \mathcal{O}_X|_{X_n}$ , and the right one holds by assumption. Hence  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}$  is a local ring (by Corollary A.1.5) with an idempotent  $\varepsilon \neq 0, 1$ . Then  $1 - \varepsilon \neq 0, 1$  is another non-trivial idempotent. Both  $\varepsilon$  and  $1 - \varepsilon$  can't be units in  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}$ , otherwise  $\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon$  implies  $\varepsilon = 1$ . But then they are elements of the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , so  $\varepsilon + (1 - \varepsilon) = 1$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{m}$  as well, contradiction!

Part (b). By (a), any point  $x \in X$  is open and closed in its fibre iff  $f^{-1}\{f(x)\} = \{x\}$ . Therefore the two definitions of U indeed coincide.

We must show that U is open. This is a local question with respect to Y, hence we may assume that  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine. Let  $x \in U$  and  $V \subseteq X$  an affine open neighbourhood of x. Put  $Z = X \setminus V$ . Then  $Z \subseteq X$  is closed and disjoint from  $f^{-1}\{f(x)\} = \{x\}$ . As f is proper,  $Z' = f(Z) \subseteq Y$  is closed, and  $y = f(x) \notin Z'$ . There's an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $y \notin V(\alpha)$  and  $V(\alpha) \supseteq Z'$ . Let  $Y_1 = Y \setminus V(\alpha)$ . Note that  $Y_1 \cong \operatorname{Spec} A_\alpha$  is affine and  $x \in X_1 = f^{-1}(Y_1) \subseteq V$ . Then  $X_1 = X \setminus V(f^*\alpha) = V \setminus V(f^*\alpha)$  is affine as well, so the restriction  $f|_{X_1} \colon X_1 \to Y_1$  of f is affine and proper. But every affine proper morphism is finite (because  $f|_{X_1,*}\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$ -module by [AG2, Theorem 5]), so  $f|_{X_1}$  is, in particular, quasi-finite by Fact 2 and  $U \cap X_1 = X_1$ . This proves that U is open.

**Remark 1.** On first glance, the argument from Theorem 3(b) might look like it proves that every proper morphism is affine, but what it actually shows is the following: If  $f: X \to Y$  is a proper morphism such that for each  $x \in X$  the fibre  $f^{-1}\{f(x)\}$  is contained in some affine subset  $V \subseteq X$ , then f is already affine (and hence finite).

**Remark 2.** Recall that a prescheme X is called **normal** if it is integral and all local rings  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  (which are domains if X is integral) are normal (cf. [AG1, Definition 2.4.5]). This is the case iff  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is a normal domain for all affine  $U \subseteq X$ , cf. the discussion in [AG1, Remark 2.5.1].

**Corollary 2** (Zariski's birationality theorem). Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a proper morphism between locally noetherian preschemes, where Y is normal. Suppose that f is **birational** in the sense that there is a dense open subset  $U \subseteq Y$  such that the restriction  $f|_{f^{-1}(U)}: f^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} U$  is an isomorphism and  $f^{-1}(U)$  is dense in X. Then all assertions from Theorem 3 apply to f. In particular, f has connected fibres.

*Proof.* First note that U is irreducible as an open subset of the irreducible space Y (irreducibility of Y is implied by Y being normal). Hence X is irreducible because it has the dense irreducible subset  $f^{-1}(U) \cong U$ . Let  $\operatorname{Spec} A \cong V \subseteq Y$  be an affine open subset, where A is a domain. Then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in X, hence dense in X and thus irreducible. Since U is dense in Y, the intersection  $U \cap V$  is non-empty, hence  $f^{-1}(U \cap V) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$  is a non-empty open subset and thereby dense again. This shows that we can actually reduce to the case  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  (all the

other involved properties are clearly base-local). Moreover, we may assume that X is integral. Indeed, the assertions from Theorem 3 are purely topological, so we may replace X by its reduction  $X^{\text{red}} = V(\text{nil}(\mathcal{O}_X))$  to obtain an X which is irreducible and reduced (hence integral) and has the same underlying topological space as the original one.

**Claim 1.** The ring  $B = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$  is a domain in the above situation, and A and B have the same field of quotients K. Moreover, we have  $A \subseteq B$  as subrings of K.

Believing this for the moment, the proof can be finished as follows. Since B is finitely generated as an A-module (because  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \widetilde{B}$  is coherent by [AG2, Theorem 5]), it is integral over A. But A is integrally closed in K, hence  $A \subseteq B$  implies A = B. We conclude  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$ , as needed.

Unfortunately, the proof of Claim 1 wasn't discussed in the lecture, but I think it should have been. Since X and Y are irreducible, they have unique generic points  $\eta_X$  and  $\eta_Y$ . As  $\eta_Y$  is dense in Y, we have  $\eta_Y \in U$  and similarly  $\eta_X \in f^{-1}(U)$ . Hence  $f(\eta_X) = \eta_Y$  and the induced morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta_Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_X}$  is an isomorphism by the birationality assumption. Moreover,  $\eta_Y$  corresponds to  $0 \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ , hence  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta_Y} \cong K$  is the quotient field of A. So we should prove that  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_X}$  is the quotient field of  $B = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$  as well.

It's clear that B is a domain because X is integral. Since  $U \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} A$  is open, we find an affine open subset  $V = \operatorname{Spec} A \setminus V(\alpha) \subseteq U$ . Then  $f^{-1}(V) = X \setminus V(f^*\alpha) = f^{-1}(U) \setminus V(f^*\alpha) \cong V$  is affine again by birationality of f. We know that X is quasi-compact and separated since so are f and  $\operatorname{Spec} A$ . In particular, [AG1, Proposition 1.5.1(c)] is applicable to  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and gives  $\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(X)_{f^*\alpha}$ , so these two rings have the same quotient field. But  $\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V)) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \cong A_\alpha$  has quotient field K, so we win.

The fact that  $A \subseteq B$  as subrings of K follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \longrightarrow & B \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta_Y} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_X}
\end{array}$$

in which every arrow except the top one is injective, hence  $A \to B$  is injective as well.

Fact 3. Every proper morphism  $f: X \to Y$  between locally noetherian preschemes can be factorized via the Stein factorization as

$$f: X \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}} \mathbf{Spec}_{Y}(f_{*}\mathcal{O}_{X}) \xrightarrow{g} Y$$
.

In this composition, g is finite and the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold for  $\tilde{f}$ .

Sketch of a proof. It's pretty obvious that this factorization exists (to construct  $\tilde{f}$ , use the adjunction from [AG2, Proposition 1.6.2(b)]). To show that  $\tilde{f}$  and g have the required properties,

we look at things locally and assume that  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine (and A is noetherian). Then the facorization looks like

$$f: X \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_X(X) \xrightarrow{g} \operatorname{Spec} A$$
,

so g is affine. Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$  is a finitely generated A-module because  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module (by [AG2, Theorem 5], as usual), so g is actually finite. Also proving that  $\tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_X(X)}$  is straightforward, so it remains to show that  $\tilde{f}$  is proper. But g is finite, hence separated, and  $g\tilde{f} = f$  is proper, so  $\tilde{f}$  is proper as well by [AG2, Proposition 2.4.1].  $\square$ 

Discussion of Theorem 2(b) and (c). Let's assume that  $f: X \to Y$  factors over

$$f: X \stackrel{j}{\longleftrightarrow} \overline{X} \stackrel{\overline{f}}{\longrightarrow} Y$$
,

where j is an open immersion and  $\overline{f}$  is proper. It can be shown that such a factorization always exists for morphisms of finite type between noetherian schemes, for which Professor Franke refers to notes of *Brian Conrad* or *Paul Vojta*, although he isn't sure whether using their results to prove Theorem 2(b) doesn't involve any circular reasoning.

Be that as it may, if we can factorize f as above, then

$$\{x \in X \mid f \text{ is quasi-finite at } x\} = X \cap \{x \in \overline{X} \mid \overline{f} \text{ is quasi-finite at } x\}$$
 . (1)

Indeed, a point  $x \in X$  is open in  $\overline{f}^{-1}\{y\}$  (where y = f(x)) iff it is open in the open subset  $f^{-1}\{y\} = X \cap \overline{f}^{-1}\{y\} \subseteq \overline{f}^{-1}\{y\}$ . In view of Fact 1 this shows (1). We thus have reduced (c) (under the assumption that  $\overline{f}$  exists) to the case of proper morphisms.

If  $f: X \to Y$  is proper, then consider its Stein factorization. Since g is finite, it's quasi-finite as well by Fact 2(a). So Fact 2(c) shows that

$$\{x \in X \mid f \text{ is quasi-finite at } x\} = \{x \in X \mid \widetilde{f} \text{ is quasi-finite at } x\}$$
.

But the right-hand side is open in X by Fact 3 and Theorem 3(b) and we're happy!

Note that such an  $\overline{f}$  always exists when X and Y are affine. Indeed, if X has finite type over Y and both are affine, we get a closed embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n_Y$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Together with the open embedding  $\mathbb{A}^n_Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_Y$  this makes X a closed subprescheme of an open subprescheme of  $\mathbb{P}^n_Y$ . But then X is also an open subprescheme of some closed subprescheme  $\overline{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n_Y$ . This gives a factorization

$$f \colon X \stackrel{j}{\longleftrightarrow} \overline{X} \stackrel{\overline{f}}{\longrightarrow} Y$$

in which  $\overline{f} \colon \overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_Y \to Y$  is (strongly) projective, hence proper by [AG2, Proposition 2.4.2]. But (c) is completely local on both X and Y (thanks to Fact 1), so by checking the affine case we have actually covered all of (c).

## A. Appendix

#### A.1. Some prerequisites about completions

We briefly recall the most important facts about completions. An excellent introduction to this subject can be found in [AM94, Section 10].

**Definition 1.** Let R be a ring (commutative with 1) and I an ideal in R. Let M be an R-module.

- (a) The *I*-adic topology on M is the unique topology such that  $\{I^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of 0 and M (with its additive structure) becomes a topological group in this topology.
- (b) The **completion** of M with respect to the I-adic topology is

$$\widehat{M} = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M/I^n M .$$

Note that  $\widehat{R}$  is a ring again. We call M complete in the I-adic topology if the canonical morphism  $M \to \widehat{M}$  is an isomorphism.

**Remark 1.** M with its I-adic topology is pseudo-metrizable via  $d(x,y) = e^{-\sup\{n \mid x-y \in I^n\}}$ . It is easy to check that  $\widehat{M}$  is also the completion of M in the analytical sense, i.e. the set of Cauchy sequences modulo the zero sequences.

**Example 1.** If  $I^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then any R-module is complete in the I-adic topology.

**Example 2.** If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $I = p\mathbb{Z}$  for some prime p, then  $\widehat{R} = \mathbb{Z}_p$  is the ring of p-adic integers.

**Proposition 1** (Hensel's lemma). Suppose the ring R is complete in the I-adic topology. Let  $P \in R[T]$  be a polynomial and  $a_0 \in R$  such that  $P(a_0) \equiv 0 \mod I$  and  $P'(a_0)$  is a unit in R/I. Then there is a unique  $a \in R$  such that  $a \equiv a_0 \mod I$  and P(a) = 0.

*Proof.* Step 1. Consider the special case  $I^2 = 0$ . For  $\delta \in I$  we have  $P(a_0 + \delta) = P(a_0) + \delta P'(a_0)$  since all terms of order  $\delta^2$  or higher vanish in the binomial expansion. Now  $P'(a_0)$  being a unit in R/I gives a unique  $\delta \in I$  such that  $a = a_0 + \delta$  satisfies P(a) = 0.

Step 2. Suppose that  $I^{2^n} = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using induction on n (with the base case being precisely Step 1) we may assume that Hensel's lemma holds for  $R/I^{2^{n-1}}$ . In particular, there is a unique  $a_{n-1}$  such that  $P(a_{n-1}) \equiv 0 \mod I^{2^{n-1}}$  and  $a_{n-1} \equiv a_0 \mod I$ . Moreover,  $P'(a_{n-1})$  is invertible in  $R/I^{2^{n-1}}$ . Indeed, this follows from Hensel's lemma applied to  $R/I^{2^{n-1}}$  (for

which it holds by induction hypothesis) and the polynomial  $Q = P'(a_{n-1})T - 1$ . The derivative  $Q'(a_{n-1})$  equals  $P'(a_{n-1})$  which is invertible in R/I since  $P'(a_{n-1}) \equiv P'(a_0) \mod I$ , so Hensel's lemma is indeed applicable. Now replacing I by  $I^{2^{n-1}}$  and  $a_0$  by  $a_{n-1}$  reduces the situation to Step 1, proving the inductive step.

Step 3. Now let I be arbitrary. By Step 2 there is for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a unique  $a_n \in R/I^{2^n}$  such that  $P(a_n) \equiv 0 \mod I^{2^n}$  and  $a_n \equiv a_0 \mod I$ . Then  $a_n \equiv a_{n-1} \mod I^{2^{n-1}}$  is forced by uniqueness. Hence  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defines an element of

$$\varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\,R/I^{2^n}=\varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\,R/I^n=\widehat{R}\;,$$

providing the desired element  $a \in \widehat{R}$ .

Corollary 1. Let R be complete in the I-adic topology.

- (a) If  $a \in R$  becomes a unit in R/I, then already  $a \in R^{\times}$ .
- (b) For every idempotent  $\pi \in R/I$  there is a unique idempotent in R whose image modulo I is  $\pi$ . Therefore, Spec R and Spec R/I have the same connected components.
- (c) I is contained in the Jacobson radical rad R.

*Proof.* Part (a) follows from Proposition 1 applied to P=aT-1 (whose derivative a is a unit in R/I by assumption, so this is fine). For (b) we use the polynomial  $P=T^2-T$ . Again,  $P'(\pi)=2\pi-1$  is a unit in R/I since  $(2\pi-1)^2=4\pi^2-4\pi+1=1$  in R/I. To prove (c) recall the characterization

$$\operatorname{rad} R = \{ x \in R \mid 1 - rx \in R^{\times} \text{ for all } r \in R \} .$$

If  $x \in I$ , then 1 - rx is a unit in R/I, hence also in R by (a).

**Proposition 2.** Let R be noetherian and  $N \subseteq M$  finitely generated R-modules. Then the I-adic topology on N coincides with the induced topology by the I-adic topology on M.

Sketch of a proof. By the Artin–Rees lemma (cf. [Alg2, Proposition 3.4.1]) there exists a number  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N \cap I^{n+c}M \subseteq I^nN$ . From this, the assertion is easily deduced.

Fact 1. (a) The canonical morphism  $\widehat{M} = \underline{\lim} M/I^nM \to M/IM$  is surjective.

(b) If M is finitely generated and I is contained in the Jacobson radical of R, then  $\widehat{M} = 0$  implies M = 0.

Proof. For (a), note that the composition  $M \to \widehat{M} \to M/IM$  equals the projection  $M \to M/IM$  by definition of the limit. Since the latter is surjective, so is  $\widehat{M} \to M/IM$ . In particular, part (a) shows that  $\widehat{M} = 0$  implies M = IM. In the situation of (b) this is equivalent to M = 0 by Nakayama's lemma (which – as we all know – Professor Franke also likes to attribute to Azumaya and Krull, even though he regards Krull as a noob compared to Grothendieck).  $\square$ 

**Corollary 2.** If R is noetherian, then the functor  $M \mapsto \widehat{M}$  is exact on the category of finitely generated R-modules.

*Proof.* Let  $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$  be a short exact sequence of finitely generated R-modules. Then  $M' + I^n M$  is the kernel of  $M \to M'' / I^n M''$ . Using  $(M' + I^n M) / I^n M \cong M' / (M' \cap I^n M)$  we get short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow M'/(M' \cap I^n M) \longrightarrow M/I^n M \longrightarrow M''/I^n M'' \longrightarrow 0 \tag{*}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $M'/(M' \cap I^n M)$  is sandwiched between  $M'/I^n M'$  and  $M'/I^{n+c} M'$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  by the Artin–Rees lemma, it's easy to see that

$$\varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M'/(M'\cap I^nM) = \varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M'/I^{n+c}M' = \varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M'/I^nM' = \widehat{M}' \ .$$

Moreover, each  $M'/(M'+I^{n+1}M) \to M'/(M'+I^nM)$  is clearly surjective, so Fact 1.1.3 gives

$$\varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}}^1 M'/(M'\cap I^n M) = 0.$$

Thus, taking the limit over (\*) gives a short exact sequence  $0 \to \hat{M}' \to \hat{M} \to \hat{M}'' \to 0$  by Fact 1.1.1. We are done.

Corollary 3. Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- (a) When M is a finitely generated R-module, then  $\widehat{M} \cong M \otimes_R \widehat{R}$ .
- (b)  $\hat{R}$  is flat as an R-module.
- (c) Suppose that I is contained in the Jacobson radical of R. If  $\mu \colon M \to N$  is a morphism of finitely generated R-modules such that  $\widehat{\mu} \colon \widehat{M} \to \widehat{N}$  is an isomorphism, then  $\mu$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Part (a). Every finitely generated R-module is finitely presented as well since R is noetherian. So take a representation  $M \cong \operatorname{coker}(R^m \to R^n)$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It's obvious that  $(R^n)^{\smallfrown} \cong \widehat{R}^n \cong R^n \otimes_R \widehat{R}$ . Since both completion and tensor products commute with cokernels, this shows  $\widehat{M} \cong M \otimes_R \widehat{R}$  as well.

Part(b). By Corollary 2 and (a),  $-\otimes_R \widehat{R}$  is exact on finitely generated R-modules. By [Hom, Proposition 1.2.2] this is sufficient for flatness.

Part (c). Let  $K = \ker \mu$  and  $Q = \operatorname{coker} \mu$ . Since completion is exact on finitely generated R-modules, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{K} \longrightarrow \widehat{M} \stackrel{\widehat{\mu}}{\longrightarrow} \widehat{N} \longrightarrow \widehat{Q} \longrightarrow 0 \; .$$

But  $\hat{\mu}$  is an isomorphism, so  $\hat{K}=0$  and  $\hat{Q}=0$ . By Fact 1 this shows K=0 and Q=0. We are done.

**Corollary 4.** If  $J \subseteq R$  is any ideal and M a finitely generated R-module, then  $(JM)^{\smallfrown} \to \widehat{M}$  defines an isomorphism  $(JM)^{\smallfrown} \xrightarrow{\sim} J\widehat{M}$ .

*Proof.* We may view  $(JM)^{\hat{}}$  as a submodule of  $\widehat{M}$  since completion preserves injectivity of the inclusion  $JM \subseteq M$  by Corollary 2. It's easy to see that  $J\widehat{M}$  is contained in  $(JM)^{\hat{}}$ . To prove the converse, take generators  $j_1, \ldots, j_n$  of J. Then completion preserves surjectivity of  $(j_1, \ldots, j_n) \colon M^n \to JM$  and we are done.

Corollary 5. If R is a noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\widehat{R}$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}$ .

*Proof.* We proved this in [Hom, Corollary 2.2.2].

**Proposition 3.** Let R be noetherian and  $I \subseteq R$  any ideal, then the I-adic completion  $\widehat{R}$  is noetherian again.

To prove this, we need to prove the evil twin of Hilbert's Basissatz first.

**Lemma 1.** If R is noetherian, then so is the power series ring R[T].

Proof. We can (and will) basically copy the proof of Hilbert's Basissatz. Let  $J \subseteq R[T]$  be any ideal and put  $J_n = \left\{a_n \mid \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k T^k \in J\right\}$  for  $n \geqslant 0$ . Then  $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  form an ascending sequence of ideals in R. Noetherianness of R tells us that this sequence becomes eventually stationary, say, at n = s. So we may choose  $a^{(i)} = \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} a_k T^k \in R[T]$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, N$  such that  $a_s^{(1)}, \ldots, a_s^{(N)}$  generate  $J_s$ . Then  $a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(N)}$  generate  $J \cap T^s R[T]$ . Indeed, given any  $b = \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} b_k T^k \in J$  we can inductively choose coefficients  $r_k^{(1)}, \ldots, r_k^{(N)} \in R$  such that  $r^{(i)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{(i)} T^k$  satisfy  $r^{(1)}a^{(1)} + \ldots + r^{(N)}a^{(N)} = b$  up to degree  $T^{s+k}$ . This works because  $J_{k+s} = J_s$  for all  $k \geqslant 0$  is generated by  $a_s^{(1)}, \ldots, a_s^{(N)}$  again.

Now  $R[T]/T^sR[T]$  is a finitely generated R-module, hence the image of J in it is finitely generated as well, R being noetherian. We thus may choose  $a^{(N+1)}, \ldots, a^{(N+M)} \in J$  whose images modulo  $T^sR[T]$  generate the image of J in  $R[T]/T^sR[T]$ . Then  $a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(N+M)}$  generate J and our job's done here.

Proof of Proposition 3. Let  $r_1, \ldots, r_n$  be generators of I. Then sending  $X_i \mapsto r_i$  defines a surjective morphism  $R[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \to \widehat{R}$ . Since  $R[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  is noetherian by Lemma 1 and induction on n, so is its quotient  $\widehat{R}$ .

**Corollary 6.** Suppose that R is a noetherian local ring and  $I \subseteq R$  any (proper) ideal. Then  $\dim R = \dim \widehat{R}$ . In particular, R is regular iff  $\widehat{R}$  is regular.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of R. Then  $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m} \widehat{R}$  (this equality holds because of Corollary 4) is the maximal ideal of the local ring  $\widehat{R}$  as was shown in the proof of [Hom, Corollary 2.2.2]. Since  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , the quotients  $\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$  already have I-torsion, hence

$$\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}\cong \left(\mathfrak{m}^i/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}\right)^{\widehat{}}\cong \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^i/\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}$$

(the last isomorphism follows from exactness of completion). This shows that the associated graded rings  $\operatorname{gr}(R,\mathfrak{m})$  and  $\operatorname{gr}(\widehat{R},\widehat{\mathfrak{m}})$  agree, hence  $(R,\mathfrak{m})$  and  $(\widehat{R},\widehat{\mathfrak{m}})$  have the same Hilbert–Samuel polynomials, which shows dim  $R=\dim\widehat{R}$  by [Alg2, Theorem 20].

Now R and  $\widehat{R}$  have the same residue field k and  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^2$  by the I-torsion arguments we have seen several times now, so  $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = \dim_k \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^2$ . Clearly this implies that R is regular iff  $\widehat{R}$  is.

**Remark 2.** In a similar fashion one can show that a noetherian local ring is Cohen–Macaulay, or Gorenstein, or a complete intersection, iff its I-adic completion is one as well. For example, for Cohen–Macaulayness one would need to show  $\operatorname{depth}_R(R) = \operatorname{depth}_{\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R})$ , which follows from the isomorphism  $\operatorname{Ext}_R^p(k,R) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\widehat{R}}^p(k,\widehat{R})$  that was described in the proof of [Hom, Proposition 2.4.2].

## **Bibliography**

- [AG1] Nicholas Schwab; Ferdinand Wagner. Algebraic Geometry I by Jens Franke (lecture notes). GitHub: https://github.com/Nicholas42/AlgebraFranke/tree/master/AlgGeoI.
- [AG2] Ferdinand Wagner. Algebraic Geometry II by Jens Franke (lecture notes). GitHub: https://github.com/Nicholas42/AlgebraFranke/tree/master/AlgGeoII.
- [Alg1] Nicholas Schwab; Ferdinand Wagner. Algebra I by Jens Franke (lecture notes). GitHub: https://github.com/Nicholas42/AlgebraFranke/tree/master/AlgebraI.
- [Alg2] Nicholas Schwab; Ferdinand Wagner. Algebra II by Jens Franke (lecture notes). GitHub: https://github.com/Nicholas42/AlgebraFranke/tree/master/AlgebraII.
- [AM94] M.F. Atiyah and I.G. MacDonald. *Introduction To Commutative Algebra*. Addison-Wesley series in mathematics. Avalon Publishing, 1994. ISBN: 9780813345444.
- [EGAIII] A. Grothendieck. "Éléments de géométrie algébrique: III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, Première partie". In: *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS* 11 (1961). URL: http://www.numdam.org/articles/PMIHES\_1961\_\_11\_\_5\_0.
- [Hom] Ferdinand Wagner. Homological Methods in Commutative Algebra by Jens Franke (lecture notes). GitHub: https://github.com/Nicholas42/AlgebraFranke/tree/master/HomAlg.