

Supplementary Information for

- 3 Stability of defection, optimisation of strategies and the limits of memory in the Prisoner's
- 4 Dilemma.
- 5 Nikoleta E. Glynatsi and Vince A. Knight
- Nikoleta E. Glynatsi.
- 7 E-mail: glynatsine@cardiff.ac.uk
- 8 This PDF file includes:
- 9 Supplementary text

Supporting Information Text 10

14

15

19

22

25

27

- **Proof of Theorem 2.** The utility of a memory one player p against an opponent q, $u_q(p)$, can be written as a ratio of two 11 quadratic forms on \mathbb{R}^4 . 12
- *Proof.* It was discussed that $u_q(p)$ it's the product of the steady states v and the PD payoffs, 13

$$u_q(p) = v \cdot (R, S, T, P).$$

More specifically, with (R, P, S, T) = (3, 1, 0, 5)

$$u_q(p) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1p_2(q_1q_2 - 5q_1q_4 - q_1 - q_2q_3 + 5q_3q_4 + q_3) + p_1p_3(-q_1q_3 + q_2q_3) + p_1p_4(5q_1q_3 - 5q_3q_4) + p_3p_4(-3q_2q_3 + 3q_3q_4) + p_2p_3(-q_1q_2 + q_1q_3 + 3q_2q_4 + q_2 - 3q_3q_4 - q_3) + p_2p_4(-5q_1q_3 + 5q_1q_4 + 3q_2q_3 - 3q_2q_4 + 2q_3 - 2q_4) + p_1(-q_1q_2 + 5q_1q_4 + q_1) + p_2(q_2q_3 - q_2 - 5q_3q_4 - q_3 + 5q_4 + 1) + p_3(q_1q_2 - q_2q_3 - 3q_2q_4 - q_2 + q_3) + p_4(-5q_1q_4 + 3q_2q_4 + 5q_3q_4 - 5q_3 + 2q_4) + q_2 - 5q_4 - 1 \\ \hline p_1p_2(q_1q_2 - q_1q_4 - q_1 - q_2q_3 + q_3q_4 + q_3) + p_1p_3(-q_1q_3 + q_1q_4 + q_2q_3 - q_2q_4) + p_1p_4(-q_1q_2 + q_1q_3 + q_1 + q_2q_4 - q_3q_4 - q_4) + p_2p_3(-q_1q_2 + q_1q_3 + q_2q_4 + q_2 - q_3q_4 - q_3) + p_2p_4(-q_1q_3 + q_1q_4 + q_2q_3 - q_2q_4) + p_3p_4(q_1q_2 - q_1q_4 - q_2q_3 - q_2 + q_3q_4 + q_4) + p_1(-q_1q_2 + q_1q_4 + q_1) + p_2(q_2q_3 - q_2 - q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 + 1) + p_3(q_1q_2 - q_2q_3 - q_2 + q_3 - q_4) + p_4(-q_1q_4 + q_2 + q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 - 1) + q_2 - q_4 - 1 \\ \hline P_1p_2(q_1q_2 - q_1q_4 + q_1) + p_2(q_2q_3 - q_2 - q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 + 1) + p_3(q_1q_2 - q_2q_3 - q_2 + q_3 - q_4) + p_4(-q_1q_4 + q_2 + q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 - 1) + q_2 - q_4 - 1 \\ \hline P_1p_2(q_1q_2 - q_1q_4 - q_1 - q_2q_3 - q_2 - q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 + 1) + p_3(q_1q_2 - q_2q_3 - q_2 + q_3 - q_4) + p_4(-q_1q_4 + q_2 + q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 - 1) + q_2 - q_4 - 1 \\ \hline P_1p_2(q_1q_2 - q_1q_4 - q_1 - q_2q_3 - q_2 - q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 + 1) + p_3(q_1q_2 - q_2q_3 - q_2 + q_3 - q_4) + p_4(-q_1q_4 + q_2 + q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 - 1) + q_2 - q_4 - 1 \\ \hline P_1p_2(q_1q_2 - q_1q_4 - q_1 - q_2q_3 - q_2 - q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 - 1) + q_2 - q_4 - 1 \\ \hline P_1p_2(q_1q_2 - q_1q_4 - q_1 - q_2q_3 - q_2 - q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 - 1) + q_2 - q_4 - 1 \\ \hline P_1p_2(q_1q_2 - q_1q_4 - q_1 - q_2q_3 - q_2 - q_3q_4 - q_3 + q_4 - 1) + q_1 - q_1q_4 - q_1q_4$$

Let us consider the numerator of the $u_q(p)$. The cross product terms $p_i p_j$ are given by, 17

$$p_1p_2(q_1q_2 - 5q_1q_4 - q_1 - q_2q_3 + 5q_3q_4 + q_3) + p_1p_3(-q_1q_3 + q_2q_3) + p_1p_4(5q_1q_3 - 5q_3q_4) + p_3p_4(-3q_2q_3 + 3q_3q_4) + p_2p_3(-q_1q_2 + q_1q_3 + 3q_2q_4 + q_2 - 3q_3q_4 - q_3) + p_2p_4(-5q_1q_3 + 5q_1q_4 + 3q_2q_3 - 3q_2q_4 + 2q_3 - 2q_4).$$

This can be re written in a matrix format given by Eq. 2. 18

$$(p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4)\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\left(q_1-q_3\right)\left(q_2-5q_4-1\right) & q_3\left(q_1-q_2\right) & -5q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) \\ -\left(q_1-q_3\right)\left(q_2-5q_4-1\right) & 0 & \left(q_2-q_3\right)\left(q_1-3q_4-1\right) & \left(q_3-q_4\right)\left(5q_1-3q_2-2\right) \\ q_3\left(q_1-q_2\right) & \left(q_2-q_3\right)\left(q_1-3q_4-1\right) & 0 & 3q_3\left(q_2-q_4\right) \\ -5q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) & \left(q_3-q_4\right)\left(5q_1-3q_2-2\right) & 3q_3\left(q_2-q_4\right) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \\ p_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, the linear terms are given by 20

$$p_1(-q_1q_2 + 5q_1q_4 + q_1) + p_2(q_2q_3 - q_2 - 5q_3q_4 - q_3 + 5q_4 + 1) + p_3(q_1q_2 - q_2q_3 - 3q_2q_4 - q_2 + q_3) + p_4(-5q_1q_4 + 3q_2q_4 + 5q_3q_4 - 5q_3 + 2q_4).$$

and the expression can be written using a matrix format as Eq. 3. 21

$$(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \begin{bmatrix} q_1 (q_2 - 5q_4 - 1) \\ - (q_3 - 1) (q_2 - 5q_4 - 1) \\ - q_1 q_2 + q_2 q_3 + 3q_2 q_4 + q_2 - q_3 \\ 5q_1 q_4 - 3q_2 q_4 - 5q_3 q_4 + 5q_3 - 2q_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
[3]

Finally, the constant term of the numerator, which is obtained by substituting p = (0,0,0,0), is given by Eq. 4. 23

$$q_2 - 5q_4 - 1$$
 [4]

Combining Eq. 2, Eq. 3 and Eq. 4 gives that the numerator of $u_q(p)$ can be written as,

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}p \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(q_1-q_3)\left(q_2-5q_4-1\right) & q_3\left(q_1-q_2\right) & -5q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) \\ q_3\left(q_1-q_2\right) & (q_2-q_3)\left(q_1-3q_4-1\right) & (q_2-q_3)\left(q_1-3q_4-1\right) \\ -5q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) & (q_3-q_4)\left(5q_1-3q_2-2\right) & 3q_3\left(q_2-q_4\right) \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ p^T + \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(q_1-q_3)\left(q_2-5q_4-1\right) & q_3\left(q_1-q_2\right) & -5q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) \\ -(q_1-q_3)\left(q_2-5q_4-1\right) & q_3\left(q_1-q_2\right) & -5q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) \\ q_3\left(q_1-q_2\right) & (q_2-q_3)\left(q_1-3q_4-1\right) & (q_2-q_3)\left(q_1-3q_4-1\right) \\ -5q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) & (q_3-q_4)\left(5q_1-3q_2-2\right) & 3q_3\left(q_2-q_4\right) \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ p + q_2 - 5q_4 - 1 \\ p + q_2 - 5q_4 - 1 \\ p + q_2 - 5q_4 - 1 \\ p - q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) & q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) & 0 \\ p + q_2 - 5q_4 - 1 \\ p - q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) & q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) & q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) & q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) \\ p - q_3\left(q_1-q_4\right) & q_3\left(q_$$

and equivalently as

$$\frac{1}{2}pQp^T + cp + a$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{4\times 4}$ is a square matrix defined by the transition probabilities of the opponent q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 as follows: 28

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\left(q_{1}-q_{3}\right)\left(q_{2}-5q_{4}-1\right) & q_{3}\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) & -5q_{3}\left(q_{1}-q_{4}\right) \\ -\left(q_{1}-q_{3}\right)\left(q_{2}-5q_{4}-1\right) & 0 & \left(q_{2}-q_{3}\right)\left(q_{1}-3q_{4}-1\right) & \left(q_{3}-q_{4}\right)\left(5q_{1}-3q_{2}-2\right) \\ q_{3}\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) & \left(q_{2}-q_{3}\right)\left(q_{1}-3q_{4}-1\right) & 0 & 3q_{3}\left(q_{2}-q_{4}\right) \\ -5q_{3}\left(q_{1}-q_{4}\right) & \left(q_{3}-q_{4}\right)\left(5q_{1}-3q_{2}-2\right) & 3q_{3}\left(q_{2}-q_{4}\right) & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

 $c \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 1}$ is similarly defined by:

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \left(q_2 - 5q_4 - 1\right) \\ - \left(q_3 - 1\right) \left(q_2 - 5q_4 - 1\right) \\ -q_1 q_2 + q_2 q_3 + 3q_2 q_4 + q_2 - q_3 \\ 5q_1 q_4 - 3q_2 q_4 - 5q_3 q_4 + 5q_3 - 2q_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $a = -q_2 + 5q_4 + 1$.

The same process is done for the denominator. 31

32 Proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. The optimal behaviour of a memory-one strategy player $p^* \in \mathbb{R}^4_{[0,1]}$ against a set of N opponents $\{q^{(1)},q^{(2)},\ldots,q^{(N)}\}$ for $q^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^4_{[0,1]}$ is established by:

$$p^* = \operatorname{argmax}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N u_q(p)\right), \ p \in S_q,$$

where S_q is given by:

37

$$S_{q} = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad p_{j} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dp_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{q}^{(i)}(p) = 0 \\ \text{for all} \quad j \in J \quad \& \quad k \in K \quad \text{for all} \quad J, K \\ \text{where} \quad J \cap K = \% \quad \text{and} \quad J \cup K = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}. \end{array} \right\}.$$
 [5]

The optimisation problem of Eq. 6

$$\max_{p}: \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_q^{(i)}(p)$$
 such that $: p \in \mathbb{R}_{[0,1]}$

40 can be written as:

$$\max_{p} : \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{q}^{(i)}(p)$$
such that $: p_{i} \le 1$ for $\in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$-p_{i} \le 0 \text{ for } \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

- The optimisation problem has two inequality constraints and regarding the optimality this means that:
- either the optimum is away from the boundary of the optimization domain, and so the constraints plays no role;
- or the optimum is on the constraint boundary.
- Thus, the following three cases must be considered:
- Case 1: The solution is on the boundary and any of the possible combinations for $p_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are candidate optimal solutions
- Case 2: The optimum is away from the boundary of the optimization domain and the interior solution p^* necessarily satisfies the condition $\frac{d}{dp} \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_q(p^*) = 0$.
- Case 3: The optimum is away from the boundary of the optimization domain but some constraints are equalities.
- The candidate solutions in this case are any combinations of $p_j \in \{0,1\}$ and $\frac{d}{dp_k} \sum_{i=1}^N u_q^{(i)}(p) = 0$ for all $j \in J \& k \in \mathbb{R}$
- 52 K forall J, K where $J \cap K = \%$ and $J \cup K = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.
- 53 Combining cases 1-3 a set of candidate solution is constructed as:

$$S_q = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^4 \middle| \begin{array}{ll} \bullet & p_j \in \{0,1\} & \text{and} & \frac{d}{dp_k} \sum_{i=1}^N u_q^{(i)}(p) = 0 & \text{for all} & j \in J & \& & k \in K & \text{for all} & J, K \\ & & \text{where} & J \cap K = \% & \text{and} & J \cup K = \{1,2,3,4\}. \\ \bullet & p \in \{0,1\}^4 & \end{array} \right\}.$$

This set is denoted as S_q and the optimal solution to Eq. 6 is the point from S_q for which the utility is maximised. \Box