

# HANK WITH ENDOGENOUS RISK

## 1 Model

We consider a *closed* economy with heterogeneous agents, *flexible prices* and *sticky wages*. Time is discrete and indexed by  $t$ . There is a continuum of households indexed by  $i$ .

**Firms.** A representative firm hires labor,  $N_t$ , to produce goods, with the production function

$$Y_t = \Gamma_t N_t. \quad (1)$$

where  $\Gamma_t$  is the exogenous technology level. Profits are

$$\Pi_t = P_t Y_t - W_t N_t. \quad (2)$$

where  $P_t$  is the price level and  $W_t$  is the wage level. The first order condition for labor implies that the real wage is exogenous

$$w_t \equiv W_t / P_t = \Gamma_t. \quad (3)$$

Inflation rates for wages and price are given by

$$\pi_t^w \equiv W_t / W_{t-1} - 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\pi_t \equiv \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} - 1 = \frac{W_t / \Gamma_t}{W_{t-1} / \Gamma_{t-1}} - 1 = \frac{1 + \pi_t^w}{\Gamma_t / \Gamma_{t-1}} - 1. \quad (5)$$

Perfect competition implies  $\Pi_t = 0$ .

**Households.** Households are *ex post* heterogeneous in terms of their time-varying stochastic productivity, captured by  $e_{it}$  and  $u_{it}$ , and their (end-of-period) savings,  $a_{it-1}$ . The distribution of households over idiosyncratic states is denoted  $\underline{D}_t$  before shocks are realized and  $D_t$  afterwards. Households supply labor,  $\ell_{it}$ , chosen by a union, and choose consumption,

$c_{it}$ , on their own. Aggregate post-tax income net of a lump-sum transfer is  $Z_t \equiv w_t N_t - T_t$ , where  $w_t$  is the real wage,  $N_t$  is employment, and  $T_t$  are taxes. The idiosyncratic income factor is

$$z_{it} = e_{it} \Delta_t \left( \bar{\phi} + u_{it} \left( \underline{\phi} - \bar{\phi} \right) \right),$$

where assumptions are made so  $\mathbb{E}[z_{it}] = 1$ . Households also receive a lump-sum transfer of  $\omega_t$ . Households are not allowed to borrow. The return on savings from period  $t - 1$  to  $t$  is  $r_{t-1}$ .

The household problem is

$$\begin{aligned} v_t(u_{it}, e_{it}, a_{it-1}) &= \max_{c_t} \frac{c_{it}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \varphi \frac{\ell_{it}^{1+\nu}}{1+\nu} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t[v_{t+1}(e_{it+1}, u_{it+1}, a_{it})] \\ \text{s.t. } a_{it} + c_{it} &= (1 + r_{t-1})a_{it-1} + y_{it} \\ y_{it} &= z_{it} + \omega_t \\ z_{it} &= e_{it} \Delta_t \left( \bar{\phi} + u_{it} \left( \underline{\phi} - \bar{\phi} \right) \right) \\ \log e_{it+1} &= \rho_z \log e_{it} + \psi_{it+1}, \psi_{it} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_\psi, \sigma_\psi), \mathbb{E}[e_{it}] = 1 \\ \Pr[u_{it+1} = 1 | u_{it} = 0] &= \delta_{t+1} \\ \Pr[u_{it+1} = 0 | u_{it} = 0] &= 1 - \delta_{t+1} \\ \Pr[u_{it+1} = 1 | u_{it} = 1] &= (1 - \xi) + \xi \delta_{t+1} \\ \Pr[u_{it+1} = 0 | u_{it} = 1] &= (1 - \delta_{t+1})\xi \\ a_{it} &\geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $\beta$  is the discount factor,  $\sigma$  is the inverse elasticity of substitution,  $\varphi$  controls the disutility of supplying labor and  $\nu$  is the inverse of the Frisch elasticity. We assume

$$\delta_t = \frac{\bar{\phi} - \left( \frac{Z_t}{Z_{ss}} \right)^{1-\gamma}}{\bar{\phi} - \underline{\phi}}. \tag{7}$$

and let the scaling factor  $\Delta_t$  adjust to ensure  $\mathbb{E}[z_{it}] = 1$ . If  $\xi = 1$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}[z_{it}] = 1 \Leftrightarrow \Delta_t \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \bar{\phi} + \delta_t \left( \underline{\phi} - \bar{\phi} \right) \right) \right] = 1 \Leftrightarrow \Delta_t = \left( \frac{Z_t}{Z_{ss}} \right)^{\gamma-1}$$

We assume  $\gamma$  is such that we always have  $\delta_t \in (0, 1)$ .

Aggregate quantities are

$$A_t^{hh} = \int a_t^*(z_{it}, a_{it-1}) d\mathbf{D}_t \quad (8)$$

$$N_t^{hh} = \int \ell_t^*(z_{it}, a_{it-1}) z_{it} d\mathbf{D}_t \quad (9)$$

$$C_t^{hh} = \int c_t^*(z_{it}, a_{it-1}) d\mathbf{D}_t. \quad (10)$$

**Union.** A union chooses the labor supply of each household and sets wages. Each household is chosen to supply the same amount of labor,

$$\ell_{it} = N_t^{hh}. \quad (11)$$

Unspecified adjustment costs imply a *New Keynesian Wage Philips Curve*,

$$\pi_t^w(1 + \pi_t^w) = \kappa \left( \frac{\varphi N_t^\nu}{(C_t^*)^{-\sigma} Z_t / N_t} - 1 \right) + \beta \pi_{t+1}^w (1 + \pi_{t+1}^w),$$

where  $C_t^* = (\mathbb{E} [c_{it}^{-\sigma} z_{it}])^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}$ .

**Central bank.** The central bank either follows a standard Taylor rule,

$$1 + i_t = (1 + r_{ss}) (1 + \pi_t)^{\phi_\pi}, \quad (12)$$

where  $i_t$  is the nominal return from period  $t$  to period  $t + 1$  and  $\phi_\pi$  is the Taylor coefficient.

Or a real rate rule where

$$1 + i_t = (1 + r_{ss})(1 + \pi_{t+1}). \quad (13)$$

The *ex ante* real interest rate is

$$1 + r_t = \frac{1 + i_t}{1 + \pi_{t+1}}. \quad (14)$$

**Government.** The government chooses consumption,  $G_t$ , and finances it with either taxes,  $T_t$ , or real bonds,  $B_t$ . The budget constraint is

$$B_t = (1 + r_{t-1})B_{t-1} + G_t + \omega_t - T_t. \quad (15)$$

We assume the debt rule

$$B_t = B_{ss} + \phi_B (B_{t-1} - B_{ss} + G_t - G_{ss}). \quad (16)$$

**Market clearing.** Market clearing implies

1. Asset market:  $B_t = A_t^{hh}$
2. Labor market:  $N_t = N_t^{hh}$
3. Goods market:  $Y_t = C_t^{hh} + G_t$

## 2 Solution and Calibration

*See provided notebook.*

### 3 Questions

**I. Intertemporal marginal propensities to consume.** The consumption function can be written as

$$C_t^{hh} = \mathcal{C}_t(\{Z_t\}, \{\Delta_t\}, \{\delta_t\}, \{\omega_t\}) \quad (17)$$

We define the following matrices:

$\mathbf{M}$  has entries  $[M]_{ts} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}_t}{\partial Z_s}$

$\mathbf{M}_\Delta$  has entries  $[M_\Delta]_{ts} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}_t}{\partial \Delta_s}$

$\mathbf{M}_\delta$  has entries  $[M_\delta]_{ts} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}_t}{\partial \delta_s}$

$\mathbf{M}_\omega$  has entries  $[M_\omega]_{ts} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}_t}{\partial \omega_s}$

a) Discuss the difference between  $\mathbf{M}$ ,  $\mathbf{M}_\Delta$ ,  $\mathbf{M}_\delta$ , and  $\mathbf{M}_\omega$

b) Verify analytically that

$$\mathbf{M}_\Delta = Z_{ss} \mathbf{M} \quad (18)$$

Use the notation  $d\mathbf{X} = [X_0 - X_{ss}, X_1 - X_{ss}, \dots]$

d) Show analytically that with a real rate rule ( $r_t = r_{ss}, \forall t$ ), no lump-sum transfer ( $\omega_t = 0, \forall t$ ) and  $\xi = 1$ , the consumption sequence is given by

$$d\mathbf{C}^{hh} = (\gamma \mathbf{M} - (1 - \gamma) \chi \mathbf{M}_\delta) d\mathbf{Z} \quad (19)$$

where  $\chi \equiv \left( Z_{ss} (\bar{\phi} - \underline{\phi}) \right)^{-1}$ .

**II. Fiscal shock.** Assume that a path of government consumption is announced such that  $dG_t = 0.01 \cdot 0.80^t$ .

a) Explain the transmission mechanism and what drives the response of consumption.

Define the (cumulative) fiscal multiplier as

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 + r_{ss})^{-t} (Y_t - Y_{ss})}{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 + r_{ss})^{-t} (T_t - T_{ss})}$$

b) Discuss how the fiscal multiplier depend on i)  $B_{ss}/Y_{ss}$ , ii)  $\gamma$  and iii)  $\xi$ .

c) Broaden the discussion of what determines the fiscal multiplier in the model in your own choice of direction.