


NTT Data

MAINTENANCE AND EVOLUTION OF THE ESPD EDM

Publications Office – C.3

ESPD OPEN USER COMMUNITY (OUC) MEETING





Due to the Data Protection Regulation, the list of attendees of the meeting will only be published if there are no objections from any of the participants.

Objections can be sent during this meeting to <a href="https://openstage.com/openstage







TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Summary of last OUC meeting on 21 September 2023
- 2. GitHub issues
- 3. January 2024: issues to be discussed
- 4. ESPD-EDM & ePO: TemplateEvidence
- 5. ESPD-EDM & ePO: Yearly Turnover
- 6. Annual Seminar proposal
- 7. Next meetings
- 8. AOB



1. Summary of last OUC meeting on 21 September 2023

Presentation:

https://github.com/OP-TED/espd-docs/blob/wgm-reports/modules/ROOT/attachments/ESPD_OUC_Meetings_20230921.pdf

Meeting report:

https://docs.ted.europa.eu/espd-ouc/20230921_OUC%20meeting%20report.html

• Main topics:

- ESPD-EDM v3.3.0 issues included
- Issues discussion proposed for January 2024
- ESPD-EDM & ePO eAccess: TemplateEvidence Request / Response



2. GitHub issues – Currently open issues (20 issues)

Issue	Title of the issue	Scope	
#403	TemplateEvidence in the Request: survey	ePO / Discussion	
		Oct '23	
<u>#402</u>	Foreseen implementation of Yearly Turnover Selection Criteria in ESPD-EDM version 3.3.0	ePO / Discussion	
		Oct '23	
<u>#389</u>	Pre-qualification systems and classification of Eos	Discussion	
		January '24	
<u>#388</u>	Other economic or financial requirements	Discussion	
		January '24	
<u>#386</u>	Can the evidence group or subgroup be dropped to follow the ESPD regulation?	4.0.0 / Discussion	
		January '24	
#382	Incomplete ESPD example artifacts description in criterion 23 (misinterpr) (related to $\frac{\#339}{}$)	4.0.0	
#381	Subcontractors not relied upon have to provide information about Selection Criteria	4.0.0 / Discussion	
		January '24	

2. GitHub issues – Currently open issues (20 issues)

Issue	Title of the issue			
#379*	Question regarding the mandatory presence of at least one property in property groups #interproc	future		
<u>#375</u>	Technical Handbook v3.1.0 inconsistencies - cbc:CustomizationID is missing from root element table	4.0.0/		
	in section 2.4 #interproc– (1st part resolved in 3.2.0)	future		
<u>#367</u> *	Missing legislation translations in eCertis #interproc	-		
<u>#366</u> *	Criteria legislation ids unavailable in eCertis #interproc	-		
<u>#362</u>	SC-References: performance of works / deliveries / services of the specified type	future		
<u>#356</u> *	Inconsistency between eCertis and ESPD #interproc			

^{*} Issues that depend on a third part and cannot be solved by the ESPD Team



2. GitHub issues – Currently open issues (20 issues)

Issue	Title of the issue			
<u>#355</u>	Regarding the translations for criteria #interproc	-		
<u>#353</u> *	Questions regarding lots and group of lots concepts	Future / eForms		
<u>#339</u> *	Misinterpretation additional description lines	-		
<u>#336</u> *	Descriptive text is not the same in eCertis and Taxonomy	-		
<u>#334</u> *	Questions regarding the purely national EG in ESPD-EDM v3.x.x	4.0.0 MC		
<u>#312</u>	Question on UUID for Repeatable Question Sub Group	4.0.0		
<u>#251</u> *	Link eCertis and ESPD	-		

Issue	Title of the issue	Scope
#389	Pre-qualification systems and classification of Eos	4.0.0
		GROW/OP
<u>#388</u>	Other economic or financial requirements	4.0.0
		GROW/OP
<u>#386</u>	Can the evidence group or subgroup be dropped to follow the ESPD regulation?	4.0.0
		OP
<u>#381</u>	Subcontractors not relied upon have to provide information about Selection Criteria	4.0.0
		GROW/OP

issue #389 Pre-qualification systems and classification of Eos

✓ ESPD Question:

If applicable, is the economic operator registered on an official list approved economic operators or does it have an equivalent certificate (e.g. under a national (pre)qualification system?

If EO selects 'No' →


he must answer the question "Will the economic operator be able to provide a certificate relating to the payment of social security contributions and taxes or to provide information that will allow the contracting authority or contracting entity to obtain it directly by accessing a national database in any Member State which is available free of charge?

What can be answer in case national legislation does not have a (pre)qualification system?

- ✓ The Regulation \rightarrow yes, no, not applicable
- ✓ ESPD EDM \rightarrow yes, no.
- ✓ eCertis → future allow MS to indicate if a country has qualification services.

<u>issue #388</u> Other Economic or Financial requirements

AC view PART IV: Selection criteria



<u>issue #388</u> Other Economic or Financial requirements

EO view

Part IV: Selection criteria



<u>issue #388</u> Other Economic or Financial requirements

"economic or financial requirement" and "rating requirement" needs a third option to allow only a description.

Discussion on this criterion held with ESPD group to be found in #14

How is this criterion used?


issue #381 Subcontractors not relied upon have to provide information about Selection Criteria

- ✓ <u>Regulation</u> → entities not relied upon (subcontractors) do not need to fill in the Selection Criteria.
- ✓ ESPD model the Selection Criteria must be filled in also for the entities not relied upon (subcontractors).

Propose changes to the model as to be aligned with the legislation

issue #386 Can the evidence group or subgroup be dropped to follow the ESPD regulation?

 \checkmark Regulation \rightarrow evidence not required for some criteria.




4. ESPD-EDM & ePO: TemplateEvidence in ESPD Request

issue #403

TemplateEvidence


- ✓ Requirement
- ✓ Is any user implementing?
- ✓ What for?



5. ESPD-EDM & ePO: Yearly Turnover

				Name	Description	Value(example)	Cardinality
					Its general yearly turnover for the number of		
					financial years required in the relevant		
					notice, the in the ESPD, the relevant notice or		
29 {CRITERION				General yearly turnover	the ESPD is as follows:		
	{SUBCRITERION			[Name of the National Criterion]	[Description of the National Criterion]		0n
		{QUESTION_GROUP					1
			{CAPTION}		[Additional information; e.g. no evidences onl	i	1
			{QUESTION}		Your Answer		1
		QUESTION_GROUP}					
	SUBCRITERION}						
	{LEGISLATION}						0n
	{REQUIREMENT						
	_GROUP						1
		{REQUIREMENT}			Number of fiscal years	3	3 1
		{REOUIREMENT}			Threshold per year	CHECK_BOX_TRUE	1
		{REQUIREMENT}			Minimum requirement	100000	1n
		{QUESTION_SUBGROUP					1
			{QUESTION}		Does the EO fulfil the criteria by itself?		1

5. ESPD-EDM & ePO: Yearly Turnover



5. ESPD-EDM & ePO: Yearly Turnover

Yearly turnover minimum requirement → cardinality = 1..n

ESPD-EDM/issues/402

ESPD-EDM/issues/44

Use cases to be clarified:

- 1. How are the multiple minimum requirements to be implemented?
- 2. Would it be logical that the requirements would be associated to given years?
- 3. Is it implicit that the first minimum requirement is year 1 and the second year two and so forth?

6. Annual Seminar 2023 proposals

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- **2. Q4 2022** / ESPD-EDM V3.1.0
- **3. Q2 2023** / ESPD-EDM V3.2.0
- **4. Q3 2023** / ESPD-EDM V3.3.0 (OP&INTERPROC)
- **5. 2024** / ESPD-EDM V4.0.0 (OP&ITALY)
- **6. 2023** / ECERTIS EVOLUTION & NEXT STEPS
- 7. 2023 / EPROCUREMENT ONTOLOGY EVOLUTION

7. Next meetings

ESPD Annual Seminar 2023

➤ November 30th 2023, 09.30-12.30

OUC meetings

- ➤ December 2023 no meeting
- ≥25th January 2024, 10.00-11.30

8. Other TED meetings

• eProcurement Ontology Quarterly Q4 - Placeholder

➤ 5th December 14:30-17:00

ePO Q4 2023

eSenders Annual Seminar

➤ 12^{th-}13th December

https://op.europa.eu/en/web/ted-eforms

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENCE

ANY QUESTIONS?

Please feel free to send also your questions to

OPESPD@publications.europa.eu

