Open Data Literacy: Public Records Requests
Week 4 – Thematic Analysis Project: Consolidating Interviews, Part 3

Abstract: This document is the consolidation of high-level, thematic analysis from our interviews this week. We sought to foreground the most important elements that we heard from our interview subjects, and came to the following categories:

1. Problems related to aggregating data;
2. Meeting attributes;
3. Costs and cost models;
4. External and internal data sharing;
5. Knowing what is possible within one's role but being constrained by it simultaneously.

Outcomes: Quite a bit of our time was focused on designing different attributes or structural components for the first Open Data Alliance meeting. We also wanted to underscore some of the challenges to open data projects (as per the last two design sessions we've undertaken).

Meeting [illegible]

ODA would be useful for collab.

Show your work

Don't leave people out for lame tools

Minimize Vendors

Cadence, Structure

Method, not job

Aggregation
Consolidation

No request
Is the same
maintenance
patterns s

Valence
of smaller
dealer
thru
region s

Capital
Confusion
overlap s

Things
Cost
Things

Cheap s

Charging
deters
PR abuse s

ODA would
be useful
for collab.
s

Minimize
Vendors

Utilizing tools of GovQA
-Deflection
TL

GovQA issues re: accessibility
TL

Delegation of Records Mang. Retrieval
TL

Institutional Heavyweights
TL

Institutional Knowledge
TL

Historical Doc's -OD?
TL

Shotgun doesn't work

Hesitant that OD will make things better
TL

Data Stalker
TL

Data Geek Brown Bag
TL

Utilizing tools of GovQA
-Deflection

GovQA issues re: accessibility, its (emails for phys. records)
TL

Delegation of Records Mang. Required
TL

Knowing the powers of the office + being constrained by them.

Institutional Knowledge
TL

Institutional Heavyweights
TL

Historical Doc's -OD?
TL

Put pressure on Governance (ownership) PSK

using custom systems for PRR KC

Turn from Secrets More data/less appreciation PSK

Value Added Beta (People too skeptical re: OD usage) PSK

Bus vid footage unique trend KC

Risk + Expense as Barriers PSK

Succession/Turnover of PRDs PSK

Some inter depart. Sharing - Data Sharing, re agreements

No real charging of small requests KC

For all levels of tech knowledge D

Diversity of users skills D

Annotated guides for newbies D

Not prepared for UX complexities D

Ease of Data Collect. b/c of Fiscal Standards D

Feedback through conferences surveys D

Curation rather than openness D

Meeting Attendees

ODA would be useful for collab. S

Show your work S

Don't leave people out for lame tools S

For all levels of tech knowledge D

Minimize Vendors S

Cadence, Structure S

Method, not jobs S

Diversity of user skills D

Annotated guides for newbies D

Charging deters PRaduse C

Things Cost Things

No real charging of small requests PSK

Risk + Expense as Barriers PSK

Cheap S

Institutional Heavyweights

Utilizing tools of GovQA - Deflection

Institutional knowledge TL

GovQA issues re: accessibility (email is for phys. records) TL

Historical Doc's - OD? TL

Delegation of Records Mang. Respond TL

Succession/Turnover & PROs PSK

Put Records on Guidance PSK

Shotgun doesn't work

Hesitant that OD will make things better TL

Capital Confusion overlap S

Balance of smaller data thru major S

No request is the same interaction patterns S

Aggregation Consolidation (what's being given)

Bus vid footage unrequested PSC

using custom systems for PRR PSC

Some inter depart. sharing - Data sharing for agreement

Chains Internal External

Turn from Secrets More PSK/PSK approach PSK

Value Added Data PSK

Ease of Data Collect. b/c of Fixed Standards D

Curation rather than openness D

logitech

Shotgun
Using custom systems for PDR
No request
Classification reduction algorithms