

Assignment 2

16.10.2

Chuan Lu, 13300180056, chuanlu13@fudan.edu.cn

Code of this assignment are in the attachment, and they can also be found in GitHub: <https://github.com/Orcuslc/Learning/tree/master/Numerical%20Algorithm%20and%20Case%20Studies/Homework2/code>

Problem 1 & 2.

Abstract.

Details of the implementation of LU decomposition in MATLAB.

Introduction.

In most cases pivoting is necessary. If we randomly choose a matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the probability that $M(1, 1) = \max_i M(i, 1)$ is $\frac{1}{n}$. So the efficiency of pivoting is a great concern.

In the experiment below, we generate the matrix M by using MATLAB function `randn(n, n)`; and we use separately

$$\begin{aligned}[L, U] &= \text{lu}(A) \\ [L, U, P] &= \text{lu}(A)\end{aligned}$$

for the two problems.

Results & Discussion. After trying for several times with different n , the dimension of the random matrix, we found that in most cases, calling `[L, U] = lu(A)` leads to L not being a lower triangular matrix, but U is always an upper triangular matrix.

When we count the number of non-zero elements of each row in L , we can find out the list of the numbers is a permutation of $[1, 2, \dots, n]$.

Thus I tried to call `[L1, U1, P] = lu(A)`, and the P is exactly the permutation matrix:

$$PL1 = L$$

. So it is obvious how MATLAB express the P : it simply multiplied it with the L ; In order to represent this, I guess that MATLAB records the number of lines that need interchanging in each step; when the algorithm ends, it combines the records to gain a permutation matrix.

In order to realize $P*b$, we should use the permutation record: in each of the n steps, change the elements of two lines, and it will only need $O(n)$ time, and no extra space.

Problem 3.

Abstract & Introduction. As is known to all, using Cholesky decomposition for a symmetric positive definite matrix will need half of the flops comparing LU decomposition; In the mean time, since there is no need of pivoting for a symmetric positive definite matrix, in each step $n - 1$ times of comparing and $2n$ times of exchanging will be saved on average.

Result. We generate the symmetric matrix A by

$$A = \text{randn}(n, n); A = A + A';$$

and we did the experiment with $n = 4, 6, 8, 10$. The result of calling

$$L = \text{chol}(A)$$

is:

Error using chol: Matrix must be positive definite.

Analysis. If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is not positive definite, then according to the properties of symmetric elementary transformations, PAP^\top is still not positive definite, where P is a permutation matrix.

Thus, we can assume $A(1 : 2, 1 : 2)$ is not positive definite. According to the algorithm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}l_{11} &= \sqrt{a_{11}}, \\l_{21} &= \frac{a_{21}}{l_{11}}, \\l_{22} &= (a_{22} - l_{21}^2)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

That means $l_{22} = a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}^2}{a_{11}} = \frac{a_{22}a_{11} - a_{21}^2}{a_{11}}$. Since A is symmetric and not positive definite, we have $a_{22}a_{11} - a_{21}^2 = a_{22}a_{11} - a_{21}a_{12} < 0$. So l_{22} does not exist.

Problem 4.

Introduction. The LDL^\top decomposition is used for Hermitian indefinite matrices.

Discussion. I found an introduction to LDL^\top decomposition from Golub's *Matrix Computations*, Page 186. It said the method was developed by Bunch and Parlett. I searched their paper in Google Scholar, but it seemed that our school has no access to siam.org, so I cannot read the paper.

Reference

- Gene H. Golub *et al*, *Matrix Computations*, Page 186-187.