

Numerical Analysis

Assignment 3

Chuan Lu

September 9, 2017

Problem 1. Problem 1.26

(a). According to Taylor series,

$$f(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2x} = \frac{1}{2x} \left(1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3!} - 1 + x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + O(x^5) \right) = 1 + \frac{x^3}{6} + O(x^4).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 1.$$

(b).

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{\log(1-x) + xe^{\frac{x}{2}}}{x^3} = \frac{1}{x^3} \left(-x - \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{4} + x \left(1 + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x^2}{8} + \frac{x^3}{48} \right) + O(x^5) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{x^3} \left(-\frac{5}{24}x^3 - \frac{11}{48}x^4 + O(x^5) \right) \\ &= -\frac{5}{24} - \frac{11}{48}x + O(x^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = -\frac{5}{24}.$$

Problem 2. Problem 1.31

Solution. The subroutine and the main program are shown as follows.

```
1 function res = smallest_to_largest(xx)
2 % Add an array from the smallest number to the largest number;
3 % 'xx': The input array, arranged from the largest to the smallest.
4     res = single(0);
5     for i = length(xx):-1:1
6         res = res + xx(i);
7     end
```

```
1 function res = largest_to_smallest(xx)
2 % Add an array from the largest number to the smallest number;
3 % 'xx': The input array, arranged from the largest to the smallest.
4     res = single(0);
5     for i = 1:length(xx)
6         res = res + xx(i);
7     end
```

```
1 function res = precise(xx)
2 % Add an array using double precision and chop/round the result to single
  precision;
3 % 'xx': The input array, arranged from the largest to the smallest.
4     res = sum(xx);
5     res = single(res);
```

```

1 % Main Script
2 n = 1e7;
3 xx = n:-1:1;
4 a = single(1./xx);
5 b = single(1./(xx.^2));
6 c = single(1./(xx.^3));
7 d = single((-1).^xx ./xx);
8
9 a1 = smallest_to_largest(a);
10 a2 = largest_to_smallest(a);
11 a0 = precise(a);
12 disp([abs(a0-a1) abs(a0-a2)]);
13
14 b1 = smallest_to_largest(b);
15 b2 = largest_to_smallest(b);
16 b0 = precise(b);
17 disp([abs(b0-b1) abs(b0-b2)]);
18
19 c1 = smallest_to_largest(c);
20 c2 = largest_to_smallest(c);
21 c0 = precise(c);
22 disp([abs(c0-c1) abs(c0-c2)]);
23
24 d1 = smallest_to_largest(d);
25 d2 = largest_to_smallest(d);
26 d0 = precise(d);
27 disp([abs(d0-d1) abs(d0-d2)]);

```

Result. The output is as below.

```

1 >> main
2 1.2897701e+00    7.4214935e-03
3
4 2.0873547e-04    1.1920929e-07
5
6 6.1988831e-06    0
7
8 9.6559525e-06    0

```

Problem 3. Problem 1.32

Solution. In this computer system, machine epsilon is $\epsilon = \beta^{-n}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_m &= a_0 a_1 \cdots a_m (1 + w) \\
 &= a_0 a_1 \cdots a_m (1 + \epsilon_1)(1 + \epsilon_2) \cdots (1 + \epsilon_m)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since we can ignore those high-order terms in the error, we have

$$|w| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i \right| \leq n\delta,$$

where δ is the upper bound of error terms, which means $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ if the system uses rounding and $\delta = \epsilon$ if the system uses chopping.

When using rounding, we may suppose the error terms are independent variables satisfying a uniform distribution between $[-\delta, \delta]$, where $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then

$$E[w] = n\bar{\epsilon}, \quad \bar{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\delta^2}{3n}\right).$$

When using chopping, we can suppose $-\sigma \leq \epsilon_i \leq 0$, where $\sigma = \epsilon$. In the same way,

$$E[w] = n\bar{\epsilon}, \quad \bar{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(-\frac{\sigma}{2}, \frac{\sigma^2}{3n}\right).$$

