Homework 4

Instructions: In problems the problems below, references such as III.2.7 refer to Problem 7 in Section 2 of Chapter III in Conway's book.

If you use results from books including Conway's, please be explicit about what results you are using.

Homework 4 is due in class at Midnight March 9.

Do the following problems:

1. IV.7.1

Sol. (Discussed with a college classmate) In fact, I don't think that this proposition is correct. For example, pick G the unit disk B(0,1), and $\gamma = \gamma(t) : [0,1] \to B$, s.t. $\gamma(t) = t$ for $0 \le t < 1$, and $\gamma(1) = 0$. Then γ is closed, and by simple calculation we know $V(\gamma) = 2$, which shows γ is rectifiable. Let $f = \frac{1}{z-1}$, then f is analytic in B(0,1). But when $t \to 1$, $f \circ \gamma(t) \to \infty$, hence it is not rectifiable.

2. IV.7.2

(a) Let f(z) = z, pick any $z_0 \in \{z \mid d(z, \partial G) < \frac{1}{2}r\}$, then since there is only one point $z = z_0$ satisfies $f(z) = z_0$, by Thm 7.2,

$$n(\gamma; z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz$$

Since $\frac{1}{z-z_0}$ is analytic on $\{z \mid d(z,\partial G) < \frac{1}{2}r\}$, by Prop 2.15, we know the integral is 0. Hence $\{z \mid d(z,\partial G) < \frac{1}{2}r\} \subset H$.

3. V.1.1

(a) Around z=0,

$$\lim_{z \to 0} |zf(z)| = \lim_{z \to 0} |\sin(z)| = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{z \to 0} |e^{iz} - e^{-iz}| \le \lim_{z \to 0} |z| = 0.$$

Hence by Thm 1.2, z=0 is removable, and f(0)=1 by power series expansion.

- (b) At z = 0, $g(z) = \cos(z)$ is analytic, and $\cos(0) = 1$. Thus by Prop 1.4, z = 0 is a pole, and the singular part is $\frac{1}{z}$.
- (c) At z = 0, $\lim_{z\to 0} z f(z) = \lim_{z\to 0} \cos z 1 = 0$, then by Thm 1.2, 0 is removable, and f(0) = 0 by power series expansion.

(d) At
$$z = 0$$
,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{-\infty} \frac{1}{(-n)!} z^n,$$

hence 0 is an essential singularity, and $f(0 < |z| < \delta) = \{z \mid |z| > exp(\frac{1}{\delta})\}.$

(e) At
$$z = 0$$
,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{n} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n+2} z^n.$$

Hence 0 is a pole, and the singularity part is $\frac{1}{z}$.

(f) At
$$z = 0$$
,

$$f(z) = z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^{-2n}}{n!} = z + \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} (-1)^{-n} \frac{z^{2n+1}}{(-n)!}$$

1

Hence 0 is an essential singularity, and $f(0 < |z| < \delta) = \mathbb{C}$.

(g) Around z = 0, notice $\frac{z^2+1}{z-1}$ is analytic, hence 0 is a pole. Since |z| < 1,

$$f(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2}{z - 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{z} - 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n,$$

we know the singular part is $-\frac{1}{z}$.

(h) For any n > 0,

$$\lim_{z \to 0} z^n f(z) = \lim_{z \to 0} z^n \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} z^n} = \infty,$$

hence 0 is an essential singularity, and $f(0 < |z| < \delta) = \{z \mid |z| > \frac{1}{1 - e^{\delta}}\}.$

(i)

$$f(z) = z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^{-(2n+1)}}{(2n+1)!} = 1 + \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} (-1)^{-n} \frac{z^{2n-1}}{(-2n+1)!},$$

hence 0 is an essential singularity, and $f(0 < |z| < \delta) = \{z \mid |z| < \delta\}.$

(j) Same with (i), 0 is an essential singularity, and $f(0 < |z| < \delta) = \{z \mid |z| < \delta^n\}$.

4. V.1.4

(a)

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{1}{1-z} - \frac{1}{2(1-z/2)} \right) = \frac{1}{z} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\frac{z}{2})^n \right) = \frac{1}{2z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}) z^n$$

(b)

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z}(\frac{1}{z-2} - \frac{1}{z-1}) = \frac{1}{z}(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{1-\frac{z}{2}} - \frac{1}{z}\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{z}}) = \frac{1}{z}(-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{2^{n+1}} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}z^{-n-1}) = -\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{2^{n+2}} - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-2}z^n$$

(c)
$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{z}}{1 - \frac{2}{z}} - \frac{\frac{1}{z}}{1 - \frac{1}{z}} \right) = \frac{1}{z} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{z} \right)^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^n} \right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \left(2^{-(n+1)} - 1 \right) z^n.$$

5. V.1.12

Proof. By (1.11), since f is analytic on $0 < |z| < \infty$,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{2}\lambda(z + \frac{1}{z}))}{z^{n+1}} dz$$

pick $\gamma = \exp(it)$, the unit circle, then

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda \cos t} e^{-int} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda \cos t} (\cos nt - i \sin nt) dt,$$

the real part is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda \cos t} \cos nt dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi} e^{\lambda \cos t} \cos nt dt - \int_{\pi}^0 e^{\lambda \cos(2\pi - s)} \cos(2\pi - s) ds \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{\lambda \cos t} \cos nt dt.$$

and the imaginary part is

$$-\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda \cos t} \sin nt dt = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi} e^{\lambda \cos t} \sin nt dt + \int_{\pi}^0 e^{\lambda \cos(2\pi - s)} \sin(2\pi - s) ds \right) = 0.$$

Hence $a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{\lambda \cos t} \cos nt dt$.

$$b_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{2}\lambda(z - \frac{1}{z}))}{z^{n+1}} dz$$

pick $\gamma = \exp(it)$,

$$b_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda i \sin t} e^{-int} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(nt - \lambda \sin t) - i \sin(nt - \lambda \sin t) dt.$$

The real part is

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(nt - \lambda \sin t) dt &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi} \cos(nt - \lambda \sin t) dt - \int_{\pi}^0 \cos(n(2\pi - s) - \lambda \sin(2\pi - s)) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi} \cos(nt - \lambda \sin t) dt + \int_0^{\pi} \cos(-ns + \lambda \sin s) ds \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos(nt - \lambda \sin t) dt. \end{split}$$

and the imaginary part is

$$-\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(nt - \lambda \sin t) dt = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi} \sin(nt - \lambda \sin t) dt - \int_{\pi}^0 \sin(n(2\pi - s) - \lambda \sin(2\pi - s)) ds \right)$$
$$= -\frac{i}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi} \sin(nt - \lambda \sin t) dt - \int_0^{\pi} \sin(-ns + \lambda \sin s) ds \right) = 0.$$

Hence $b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos(nt - \lambda \sin t) dt$.

6. V.1.13

- (a) Suppose f is entire and has a removable singularity at ∞ , then $g(z) = f(\frac{1}{z})$ has a removable singularity at z = 0, thus we can define $g(0) = a < \infty$, which means f is bounded in the neighbourhood of ∞ . Hence by Liouville Thm, f is constant.
- (b) By assumption, $g(z) = f(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z^m}h(z)$, where h(z) is analytic at z = 0. Since $f(z) = z^m h(\frac{1}{z})$ is entire, it means at z = 0, h has a definition or has a removable singularity, and h is entire. Hence, by (1) we know h is a constant, which means f is a polynomial of degree m.
- (c) Let $f(z) = \frac{\prod (z-u_i)}{\prod (z-v_i)}$, then

$$g(z) = f(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{1}{z} - u_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} (\frac{1}{z} - v_i)}$$

has a removable singularity at z=0, which means $\lim_{z\to 0} g(z)$ exists and is not ∞ . Notice

$$\lim_{z \to 0} g(z) = \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{z^m \prod_{i=1}^n (\frac{1}{z} - u_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - v_i z)},$$

if m < n, then $\lim_{z \to 0} g(z) = \infty$, which makes a contradiction. If $m \ge n$, then the limit is well-defined. Hence $f = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$, where p, q are polynomials, and $\deg(p) \le \deg(q)$.

(d) Let $f(z) = \frac{\prod(z-u_i)}{\prod(z-v_i)}$, then

$$g(z) = f(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{1}{z} - u_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} (\frac{1}{z} - v_i)}$$

has a pole of order m at z=0, which means $g(z)=\frac{h(z)}{z^m}$, where h(z) is analytic at z=0. Then

$$z^{m}g(z) = \frac{z^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{1}{z} - u_{i})}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} (\frac{1}{z} - v_{i})} = \frac{z^{m+k} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{1}{z} - u_{i})}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - v_{i}z)},$$

and we have m+k=n, otherwise the order of pole is not m. Hence $f=\frac{p}{q}$, and $\deg(p)-\deg(q)=m$.

7. V.1.17

Proof. If not, first suppose f has a pole of order m at a. Then $f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z-a)^m}$, and g is analytic on G.

i) If g(a) = 0, then f(z) = 0, and we can define f(a) = 0, thus a is a removable singularity.

ii) If $g(a) \neq 0$, then according to the isolation of zeros, $\exists r > 0$, s.t. $g(z) \neq 0$ in B(a, 2r). Consider H = B(a, r), by max modulus theorem, $\min_H |g| = \min_{\partial H} |g|$, denote is as $c \neq 0$. Hence

$$\int \int_{H} |f(x+iy)|^{2} dx dy = \int \int_{H} \frac{|g(x+iy)|^{2}}{|x+iy-a|^{2m}} dx dy \ge \int \int_{H} \frac{c^{2}}{|x+iy-a|^{2}} dx dy.$$

Let $x = Re(a) + s \cos t$, $y = Im(a) + s \sin t$, then

$$\int \int_{H} |f(x+iy)|^{2} dx dy \ge \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{c^{2}}{s^{2m}} s ds dt = 2\pi c^{2} \int_{0}^{r} s^{1-2m} ds.$$

If m = 1, then the integral becomes

$$\int_0^r s^{-1} ds = \ln(s) \Big|_0^r = \infty.$$

If m > 1, then the integral is

$$\int_0^r s^{1-2m} ds = \frac{1}{2-2m} s^{2-2m} \bigg|_0^r = \infty.$$

Since the integrand is nonnegative,

$$\int_{G} |f|^2 \ge \int_{H} |f|^2 = \infty,$$

which makes a contradiction. With the same method, we know a is not an essential singularity. Hence a is a removable one.

By the deduction we know, if 0 , a could be a removable singularity or a pole of order m, which satisfies <math>pm < 2. If $p \ge 2$, then a is a removable singularity.

8 V 2 1

(a) Notice $(x^4 + x^2 + 1)(x^2 - 1) = x^6 - 1$, so $f(z) = \frac{z^2}{z^4 + z^2 + 1}$ has four poles of order 1, and they are sixth roots of 1, which are $a_i = e^{i\theta_i}$ where

$$\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{3}.$$

Consider the two poles in the upper-half plane a_1, a_2 , then

$$Res(f, a_1) = \lim_{z \to a_1} (z - a_1) f(z) = a_1^2 (a_1 - a_2)^{-1} (a_1 - a_3)^{-1} (a_1 - a_4)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}i} e^{\frac{\pi}{3}i},$$

$$Res(f, a_2) = \lim_{z \to a_2} (z - a_2) f(z) = a_2^2 (a_2 - a_1)^{-1} (a_2 - a_3)^{-1} (a_2 - a_4)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}i} e^{\frac{2\pi}{3}i}.$$

Let γ be the closed path which is the boundary of upper half of disk of radius R > 1 with center 0, in counter-clockwise direction. Then by Residue Theorem,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f = Res(f, a_1) + Res(f, a_2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}i}$$

And

$$\int_{\gamma} f = \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{x^2}{x^4 + x^2 + 1} dx + i \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{R^3 e^{i3t}}{R^4 e^{i4t} + R^2 e^{i2t} + 1} dt.$$

Notice $|R^4e^{i4t} + R^2e^{i2t} + 1| \ge R^4 - R^2 = 1$ when R is sufficiently large, so

$$\left| i \int_0^\pi \frac{R^3 e^{i3t}}{R^4 e^{i4t} + R^2 e^{i2t} + 1} dt \right| \le \frac{\pi R^3}{R^4 - R^2 - 1} \to 0 \text{ as } R \to \infty.$$

Since $\frac{x^2}{x^4+x^2+1} \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, we know

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^2}{x^4 + x^2 + 1} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{x^2}{x^4 + x^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{x^2}{x^4 + x^2 + 1} dx = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

For the following problems, I will not write all the steps since I don't have enough time to do so. I will only write the key points.

(b) We know

$$\int_{r}^{R} \frac{\cos x - 1}{x^{2}} dx = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{r}^{R} + \int_{-R}^{-r} \frac{e^{ix} - 1}{x^{2}} dx \right)$$

Consider $f = \frac{e^{iz}-1}{z^2}$, then f has a simple pole at z = 0. Let γ be the curve in Example 2.7, then

$$0 = \int_{r}^{R} + \int_{-R}^{-r} \frac{e^{ix} - 1}{x^{2}} dx + \int_{\gamma_{r}} + \int_{\gamma_{R}} \frac{e^{iz} - 1}{z^{2}} dz$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{\gamma_R} \frac{e^{iz} - 1}{z^2} dz \right| = \left| \int_0^\pi \frac{\exp(iRe^{it}) - 1}{Re^{it}} dt \right| \le \frac{1}{R} \int_0^\pi \exp(-R\sin t) dt,$$

and by Example 2.7 we know the integral $\to 0$ as $R \to \infty$. And since $\frac{e^{iz}-1-iz}{z^2}$ has a removable singularity at z=0, by Example 2.7 we know

$$\lim_{\gamma \to 0} \left| \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{e^{iz} - 1 - iz}{z^2} dz \right| = 0,$$

but $\int_{\gamma} \frac{i}{z} dz = \pi$, hence

$$\lim_{\gamma \to 0} \left| \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{e^{iz} - 1}{z^2} dz \right| = \pi.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x - 1}{x^2} dx = \lim_{r \to 0, R \to \infty} \int_r^R \frac{\cos x - 1}{x^2} dx = -\frac{\pi}{2}.$$

(c) The same with Example 2.9, let $z = e^{i\theta}$, then

$$\int_0^{\pi} \frac{\cos 2\theta}{1 - 2a\cos\theta + a^2} d\theta = -\frac{i}{4} \int_{\gamma} \frac{z^4 + 2z^2 + 1}{-az^2 + (a^2 + 1)z - a} dz,$$

where $\gamma = \{|z| = 1\}$. By residue theorem,

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{z^4 + 2z^2 + 1}{-az^2 + (a^2 + 1)z - a} dz = 2\pi i Res(f, a) = 2\pi i \frac{(a^2 + 1)^2}{1 - a^2}.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^{\pi} \frac{\cos 2\theta}{1 - 2a\cos\theta + a^2} d\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{(a^2 + 1)^2}{1 - a^2}.$$

(d) Same with (c), let $z = e^{i\theta}$, then

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{d\theta}{(a+\cos\theta)^2} = -2i \int_\gamma \frac{z}{(z^2+2az+1)^2} dz,$$

where $\gamma = \{|z| = 1\}$. By residue theorem,

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{z}{(z^2 + 2az + 1)^2} dz = \frac{1}{2} \pi i a (a^2 - 1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(a + \cos \theta)^2} = \pi a (a^2 - 1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

9. V.2.2

(a)

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2}.$$

Consider R > a, let $\gamma = \{|z| = R, Im(z) > 0\}$, then by residue thm, since f has a pole of order 2, z = ai,

$$\int_{\gamma} + \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{dz}{(z^2 + a^2)^2} = 2\pi i Res(f, ai) = \frac{\pi}{2a^3}.$$

But

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{(z^2 + a^2)^2} \right| \le \int_{\gamma} \left| \frac{dz}{(z^2 + a^2)^2} \right| \le \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{|R^2 - a^2|^2} dt \le \frac{\pi}{|R^2 - a^2|^2} \to 0, \text{ as } R \to \infty.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \frac{\pi}{4a^3}.$$

(b) Define $G, l(z), \gamma$ as in Example 2.10, using the same method of Example 2.10, we can show

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(\log x)^2}{1 + z^2} dz = 0.$$

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{(l(z))^3}{1+z^2} dz = \int_{r}^{R} \frac{(\log x)^3}{1+x^2} dx + \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{(\log R + i\theta)^3}{1+R^2 e^{2i\theta}} iRe^{i\theta} d\theta + \int_{-R}^{-r} \frac{(\log |x| + \pi i)^3}{1+x^2} dx + \int_{\pi}^{0} \frac{(\log r + i\theta)^3}{1+r^2 e^{2i\theta}} ire^{i\theta} d\theta$$

by residue thm,

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{(l(z))^3}{1+z^2} dz = 2\pi i Res(f,i) = -\frac{1}{8}\pi^4 i.$$

And

$$\int_{r}^{R} \frac{(\log x)^{3}}{1+x^{2}} dx + \int_{-R}^{-r} \frac{(\log|x|+\pi i)^{3}}{1+x^{2}} dx =$$

10. V.2.3

Sol. We have proved that f has an essential singularity at z = 0. Denote G be the region surrounded by γ .

- i) If $0 \notin G$, then by Cauchy's Thm, $\int_{\gamma} f = 0$.
- ii) If $0 \in G$, then by Laurent expansion, Res(f,0) = 1. But by a problem in last homework, we can construct a γ , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n(\gamma,0) = n$. Hence $\int_{\gamma} f = 2\pi ni$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 11. V.2.4

Sol. In fact, in a neighbourhood of a, $f = \frac{c_{-1}}{z-a} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z-a)^n$, and $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n (z-a)^n$. Hence by definition,

$$Res(fg, a) = c_{-1}d_0 = Res(f, a)g(a).$$

12. V.2.5

Sol. Notice in the last problem, each simple pole of f is a simple pole of fg. By residue thm,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} fg = \sum_{k=1}^{n} n(\gamma, a_k) Res(fg, a_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} n(\gamma, a_k) Res(f, a_k) g(a_k).$$