Homework 5

Instructions: In problems the problems below, references such as III.2.7 refer to Problem 7 in Section 2 of Chapter III in Conway's book.

If you use results from books including Conway's, please be explicit about what results you are using.

Homework 5 is due in class at Midnight Monday, March 26.

Do the following problems:

1. V.3.1

Proof From (3.1), (3.2) we know for a zero z = a of f,

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}g(z) = \frac{m}{z-a}g(z) + \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}g(z),$$

where $f(z) = (z - a)^m h(z)$ and h is analytical around a and $h(a) \neq 0$. Similarly, for a pole z = a of f,

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}g(z) = \frac{-m}{z - a}g(z) + \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}g(z),$$

where $f(z)=(z-a)^{-m}h(z)$ and h is analytical and $h(a)\neq 0$. In both cases, since g is analytic in G, it has no poles in G, so $\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}g(z)$ is analytic. Hence,

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{g(a_k)}{z - a_k} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{g(p_j)}{z - p_j} + \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)},$$

and hence by Cauchy's theorem,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(z_i) n(\gamma; z_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} g(p_j) n(\gamma; p_j).$$

2. V.3.2

Sol. Let $h(z) = f(z) - z^n$, $g(z) = z^n$, then by assumptions, on $\{|z| = 1\}$,

$$|h(z) + q(z)| = |f(z)| < 1 = |q(z)|,$$

hence by Rouche's theorem,

$$Z_h - P_h = Z_g - P_g.$$

Since h, g are analytic on $\bar{B}(0,1), P_h = P_g = 0$. Hence

$$Z_h = Z_g = 1,$$

which means the equation has one solution.

3. V.3.3 Hint: Think about the expansion

$$\frac{1}{f(z)-w} = \frac{1}{f(z)} + \frac{w}{[f(z)]^2} + \dots + \frac{w^n}{[f(t)]^{n+1}} + \dots$$

The hypotheses allow you to integrate this series termwise in z.

Proof. Since f is analytic in $\bar{B}(0,R)$, it has no poles and according to assumptions, one zeros in it.

1

4. V.3.5

Proof. First we show it is true for the poles. Since f is meromorphic in G, if z_0 is a limit point of poles, then f is either analytic in a neighbourhood of z_0 or has an isolated singularity at z_0 . If f is analytic at z_0 , then f is analytic at some $B(z_0, r)$. But since z_0 is a limit point of poles, there must be a pole $z_1 \in B(z_0, r)$, which makes a contradiction. If z_0 is an isolated singularity, then there is some r > 0, f is analytic in $B(z_0, r) \setminus \{z_0\}$. By the same reason, there is a pole $z_1 \in B(z_0, r) \setminus \{z_0\}$, which makes a contradiction. Hence it is true for poles.

For the zeros: suppose z_0 is a limit point of zeros. First we claim that z_0 cannot be a pole. Otherwise, since poles cannot have a limit points as we have shown above, f has a Laurent expansion in some $B(z_0, r) \setminus \{z_0\}$:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-m}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n.$$

Then pick r < 1, we know

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n \right| < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n < M$$

for some M>0. However, we can pick a $\epsilon>0$, s.t.

$$\sum_{n=-m}^{-1} a_n \epsilon^n > M.$$

Hence for each $z \in B(z_0, \epsilon)$, $f(z) \neq 0$, which makes a contradiction with that z_0 is a limit point of zeros. Thus, since poles cannot have a limit points, we can pick a r > 0, s.t. there is no pole in $B(z_0, r)$, which means f is analytic in $B(z_0, r)$. By Theorem 4.3.7, zeros has no limit points in $B(z_0, r)$, which contradicts with that z_0 is a limit point.

Hence, the proposition holds.

- 5. V.3.6
- 6. V.3.7

Sol.

7. V.3.10

Proof. In fact, by problem 2 we know there is an unique z s.t. |z| < 1 and f(z) = z. When $|f(z)| \le 1$ on |z| = 1, we will show it is not true.

- i) pick $f(z) \equiv 1$, then f(z) = z has no solution in |z| < 1.
- ii) pick |f(z)| < 1 on |z| = 1, then it has one solution in |z| < 1.
- iii) pick f(z) = z, then is has infinity number of solutions.

Now we proof the only situations are as above, i.e., if a function f is analytic in the unit dist D, and $f(D) \subset D$, then f has one fixed point in D, except for the identity function.

In fact, suppose there are two fixed points z_1, z_2 . If $z_1 = 0$, then by Schwarz's lemma, there is a constant |c| = 1, s.t. f(z) = cz for all z in D. Hence, $f(z_2) = cz_2 = z_2$, which means c = 1.

Now suppose $z_1, z_2 \neq 0$. Let $z_1 = re^{i\theta}$, consider $\varphi(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{z+r}{rz+1}$, then φ maps D onto itself, and $\varphi(0) = z_1$. Hence the function $g = \varphi^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi$ maps D to a subset of D with two fixed points $t_1 = \varphi^{-1}(z_1) = 0$, and $t_2 = \varphi^{-1}(z_1) \neq 0$. By the first case, g is the identity function, so f is also the identity function.