

**Introduction to Analysis I**  
**Homework 5**  
**Sunday, October 8, 2017**

**Instructions:** This and all subsequent homeworks must be submitted written in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X.  
If you use results from books, Royden or others, please be explicit about what results you are using.

*Homework 5 is due by midnight, Saturday, October 21.*

1. (Problem 24, Page 64) Let  $I$  be an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be increasing. Show that  $f$  is measurable by first showing that, for each natural number  $n$ , the strictly increasing function  $x \rightarrow f(x) + x/n$  is measurable, and then taking pointwise limits.

**Collaborators:** None

**Solution:** Denote  $f_n(x) = f(x) + \frac{x}{n}$ . Then since  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing,  $f_n(x)$  is strictly increasing on  $I \in \{[a, b], [a, b), (a, b], (a, b)\}$ .

For each fixed number  $c$ , if  $\exists x_0 \in I$ , s.t.  $f(x_0) = c$ , then the set

$$\{x \mid f(x) < c\} = (a, x_0),$$

and the left side is the same as the set  $I$ , and it is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , hence is measurable. Thus  $f_n$  is measurable for every  $n$ .

For each  $x \in I$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{n} = f(x),$$

hence  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  pointwise. Using Proposition 9, we know  $f$  is measurable.

2. (Problem 8, Page 343) Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space. The measure  $\mu$  is said to be **semifinite** provided each measurable set of infinite measure contains measurable sets of arbitrarily large finite measure.
- (a) Show that each  $\sigma$ -finite measure is semifinite.
  - (b) For  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , define  $\mu_1(E) = \mu(E)$ , if  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , and if  $\mu(E) = \infty$ , define  $\mu_1(E) = \infty$  if  $E$  contains measurable sets of arbitrarily large finite measure and  $\mu_1(E) = 0$  otherwise. Show  $\mu_1$  is a semifinite measure: it is called the semifinite part of  $\mu$ .
  - (c) Find a measure  $\mu_2$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  that only takes the values 0 and  $\infty$  and  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ .

**Collaborators:** None

**Solution:** (a) If  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, then  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ , where  $X_n$  has finite measure. Assume  $E$  is a measurable set with infinite measure, then since  $E \subset X$ ,  $E = E \cap X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E \cap X_n$ . For  $\forall M > 0$ , there must exist  $N > 0$ , s.t.  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N E \cap X_n\right) > M$ , otherwise  $\mu(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E \cap X_n) < M_0$  for some  $M_0 > 0$ , which makes a contradiction with  $\mu(E) = \infty$ . Besides, we know from  $\mu(X_n) < \infty$ , that  $\mu\left(\sum_{n=1}^N (E \cap X_n)\right) < \infty$ . Thus  $\mu$  is semifinite.

(b) Suppose  $E$  is a measurable set of infinite measure in the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu_1)$ . Then according to the definite of  $\mu_1$ ,  $E$  contains measurable sets of arbitrarily large finite measure. Thus  $\mu_1$  is semifinite.

(c) Define  $\mu_2$  like this: If  $E$  can be represented as countable union of measurable sets with finite measure, then  $\mu_2(E) = 0$ . Otherwise  $\mu_2(E) = \infty$ .

First, we show that  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ . If  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , then  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , in which  $E_n = \emptyset$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Thus  $\mu_2(E) = 0 = \mu(E) - \mu_1(E)$ . Now we assume  $\mu(E) = \infty$ . If  $E$  can be represented as countable union of measurable sets with finite measure, that is,  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  with  $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ , then with the continuity of measure,  $\forall M > 0, \exists N > 0$ , s.t.  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n\right) > M$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, it shows that  $E$  contains measurable sets of arbitrarily large finite measure. Thus  $\mu(E) = \infty = \mu_1(E) + 0 = \mu_1(E) + \mu_2(E)$ . If  $E$  can't be represented as countable union of measurable sets with finite measure, then  $\mu(E) = \infty = \mu_1(E) + \mu_2(E)$ .

Now we show that  $\mu_2$  is a measure. In fact, we only need to show that  $\mu_2(\emptyset) = 0$ , and  $\mu_2$  is countably additive. First, from the definition we know  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0 < \infty$ , so  $\mu_2(\emptyset) = 0$ . Suppose  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of disjoint measurable sets. If  $\exists i$ , s.t.  $\mu_2(E_i) = \infty$ . Then  $E_i$  can't be represented as countable union of measurable sets with finite measure, thus  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  can't be represented as countable union of measurable sets with finite measure. Then

$$\mu_2\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \infty = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_2(E_n).$$

Now we suppose all  $\mu_2(E_n) < \infty$ . In this case, since  $\mu_2$  takes value only in  $\{0, \infty\}$ , we have  $\mu_2(E_n) = 0$ . In this case, all  $E_n$  is a countable union of measurable sets with finite measure, then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is a countable union of measurable sets with finite measure. Thus

$$\mu_2\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = 0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_2(E_n).$$

3. (Problem 9, Page 343) Prove Proposition 3, that is, show that  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mu_0$  is properly defined and  $(X, \mathcal{M}_0, \mu_0)$  is complete. In what sense is  $\mathcal{M}_0$  minimal?

**Collaborators:** None

**Solution:** (1) We show  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. **(1.1)** First, since  $X \in \mathcal{M}, \emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $X = X \cup \emptyset$ , and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , we know  $X \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . **(1.2)** Suppose  $E \in \mathcal{M}_0$ , then  $E = A \cup B$  where  $B \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A \subset C$  for some  $C \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mu(C) = 0$ . Then  $E^c = A^c \cap B^c = (B^c \cap C^c) \cup (C \setminus A)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we know  $B^c, C^c, B^c \cup C^c \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $C \setminus A \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $(C \setminus A) \subset C$ , with  $\mu(C) = 0$ . Hence  $E^c \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . **(1.3)** Suppose  $\{E_n\}$  is a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . We may assume  $E_n = A_n \cup B_n$ , where  $B_n \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $A_n \subset C_n$  for some  $C_n \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(C) = 0$ . Then

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right),$$

and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathcal{M}$ . With the countable additivity of measure,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(C_n) = 0$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**(2)** We show  $\mu_0$  is properly defined, which means  $\mu_0$  satisfies the properties of measure. **(2.1)** First, for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}_0$ , suppose  $E = A \cup B$  where  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $B \subset C \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(C) = 0$ . Then  $\mu_0(E) = \mu(A) \geq 0$ . **(2.2)** Since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\emptyset = \emptyset \cap \emptyset$  with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , we know  $\mu_0(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . **(2.3)** Suppose  $\{E_n\}$  is a countable collection of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , denote  $E_n = A_n \cup B_n$ , and  $A_n \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $B_n \subset C_n \in \mathcal{M}$ , with  $\mu(C_n) = 0$ . Then the same with **(1.3)**, we know

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right),$$

with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(C_n) = 0$ . Then

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n).$$

(3) We show  $(X, \mathcal{M}_0, \mu_0)$  is complete. If  $E \in \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $\mu_0(E) = 0$ , then  $E = A \cup B$  where  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $B \subset C \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(C) = 0$ , and  $\mu(A) = \mu_0(E) = 0$ . Then each subset  $F \subset E$  has a form  $E = \emptyset \cup F$ , and  $F \subset (A \cup C)$  where  $\mu_0(A \cup C) = \mu(A) + \mu(C) = 0$ , and  $A \cup C, \emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $F \in \mathcal{M}_0$ .

4. (Problem 10, Page 343) If  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space, we say that a subset  $E$  of  $X$  is **locally measurable** provided for each  $B \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(B) < \infty$ , the intersection  $E \cap B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ . The measure  $\mu$  is called **saturated** provided every locally measurable set is measurable.

- (a) Show that each  $\sigma$ -finite measure is saturated.
- (b) Show that the collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of locally measurable sets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- (c) Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $\mathcal{C}$  the  $\sigma$  of locally measurable sets. For  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ , define  $\bar{\mu}(E) = \mu(E)$  if  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\bar{\mu}(E) = \infty$  if  $E \notin \mathcal{M}$ . Show that  $(X, \mathcal{C}, \bar{\mu})$  is a saturated measure space.
- (d) If  $\mu$  is semifinite and  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ , set  $\underline{\mu}(E) = \sup\{\mu(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{M}, B \subseteq E\}$ . Show that  $(X, \mathcal{C}, \underline{\mu})$  is a saturated measure space and that  $\underline{\mu}$  is an extension of  $\mu$ . Give an example to show that  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\underline{\mu}$  may be different.

**Collaborators:** None

**Solution:** (a) Suppose  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure. Then  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  with  $X_n$  measurable and  $\mu(X_n) < \infty$ . Suppose  $E$  is a locally measurable set, then for all  $X_n$ ,  $E \cap X_n \in \mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \cap X_n) \in \mathcal{M}$ .

(b) (b.1) First, for all  $B \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mu(B) < \infty$ ,  $X \cap B = B \in \mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . (b.2) Suppose  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ , then for each  $B \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(B) < \infty$ ,  $E \cap B \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $E^c \cap B = B \setminus (E \cap B) \in \mathcal{M}$ . (b.3) Suppose  $\{E_n\} \in \mathcal{C}$  is a sequence of local measurable sets. Then for each  $B \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(B) < \infty$ , for all  $n$ ,  $E_n \cap B \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then fix  $B$ , we get

$$\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \cap B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_n \cap B) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

(c) (c.1) First we show  $\bar{\mu}$  is a measure. First,  $\bar{\mu}(E) \geq \mu(E) \geq 0$ . As  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\bar{\mu}(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Suppose  $\{E_n\} \in \mathcal{C}$  is a sequence of disjoint sets, then if  $\exists i$ , s.t.  $E_i \notin \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \notin \mathcal{M}$ . Thus

$$\bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \infty = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(E_n).$$

Now suppose  $E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ . Thus the countably additive property of  $\bar{\mu}$  is the same as  $\mu$ . (c.2) Now suppose  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\bar{\mu}(B) < \infty$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{M}$ , otherwise  $\bar{\mu}(B) = \infty$ . Suppose  $E$  is a local measurable set, then  $E \cap B \in \mathcal{C}$ , thus according to the definition of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we know  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thus  $(X, \mathcal{C}, \bar{\mu})$  is a saturated measure space.

(d) (d.1) First we show  $\underline{\mu}$  is a measure.  $\underline{\mu}(E) \geq \mu(E) \geq 0$ ,  $\underline{\mu}(\emptyset) = \sup\{\mu(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{M}, B \subset \emptyset\} = 0$ . Suppose  $\{E_n\} \in \mathcal{C}$  is a sequence of disjoint sets, then if all  $E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\underline{\mu}(E_n) = \mu(E_n)$ . Using

the countable additivity of  $\mu$  we can get the countable additivity of  $\underline{\mu}$ . Now suppose  $E_n \notin \mathcal{M}$ . Then using the countable additivity of  $\mu$ ,

$$\underline{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \sup\left\{\mu(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{M}, B \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{\mu(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{M}, B \subset E_n\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underline{\mu}(E_n).$$

**(d.2)** In **(d.1)** we have shown  $\underline{\mu}$  is an extension of  $\mu$ . Now we show  $(X, \mathcal{C}, \underline{\mu})$  is a saturated measure space. Suppose  $E$  is a locally measurable set, then for each  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\underline{\mu}(B) < \infty$ ,  $E \cap B \in \mathcal{C}$ . For all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(F) < \infty$ , we have  $\underline{\mu}(F) = \mu(F) < \infty$ . Thus  $E \cap F \in \mathcal{C}$ , and it means  $E$  is measurable in  $(X, \mathcal{C}, \underline{\mu})$ . Hence,  $(X, \mathcal{C}, \underline{\mu})$  is a saturated measure space.

5. (Problem 18, Page 373) Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ , define  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(x)$ . Show that

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \int_X u_n \, d\mu \right].$$