

# FYS3500: Particle Physics

## Lecture Notes

Oskar Idland

### Contents

<b>1 History</b>	<b>8</b>
1.1 Proton Discovery: The Rutherford Scattering Experiment . . . . .	8
1.1.1 Conclusion . . . . .	8
1.2 Discovery of the Neutron . . . . .	8
<b>2 Nucleus</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Notation . . . . .	9
2.2 Nuclides . . . . .	9
2.2.1 Stable Numbers . . . . .	9
<b>3 Units and Dimensions in Nuclear Physics</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 Length . . . . .	9
3.2 Time Scale . . . . .	9
3.3 Energy . . . . .	9
3.4 Mass . . . . .	10
<b>4 Nuclear Properties</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1 Connected Terms . . . . .	10
4.2 Charge Distribution . . . . .	11
4.3 Nuclear Charge Distribution from Electron Scattering . . . . .	11
4.4 Nuclear Size . . . . .	11
4.5 Nuclear Mass Distribution from Hadron Scattering . . . . .	11
4.5.1 Fixed Angle of Observation with Changing Energy . . . . .	12
4.6 Conclusion from Charge Radius Experiments . . . . .	12
4.7 Nuclear Mass . . . . .	13
4.8 Deflection Spectrometer . . . . .	13
4.8.1 Calculating the Mass . . . . .	13
<b>5 Binding Energy</b>	<b>14</b>
5.1 Formulas and Definitions . . . . .	14
5.2 Mass of the Nucleus . . . . .	14
5.3 Nuclear Binding Energy (B.E.) . . . . .	15
5.3.1 . . . . .	15
5.4 Nuclear Separation Energy . . . . .	15
5.4.1 Neutron Separation Energy . . . . .	15

5.4.2	Proton Separation Energy . . . . .	16
5.5	Semi-Empirical Mass Formula . . . . .	17
5.5.1	Explanation of the Terms in the Semi-Empirical Mass Formula . . . . .	17
5.5.2	SEMF Conclusion . . . . .	18
5.6	Mass Parabolas of Isobars . . . . .	18
5.6.1	Finding the Minimum of the Mass Parabola . . . . .	18
5.6.2	Valley of (beta) stability . . . . .	19
<b>6</b>	<b>Angular Momentum &amp; Parity</b>	<b>20</b>
6.1	Angular Momentum of the Nucleus . . . . .	20
6.1.1	Orbital Angular Momentum . . . . .	21
6.1.2	Spin . . . . .	21
6.1.3	Total Angular Momentum . . . . .	23
6.1.4	Total Angular Momentum of the Nucleus . . . . .	23
6.2	Parity . . . . .	24
6.2.1	Splitting the Wave Function . . . . .	24
<b>7</b>	<b>Electric and Magnetic Moments</b>	<b>25</b>
7.1	Parity Selection Rules . . . . .	25
7.1.1	Electric Dipole Moments $E_0$ . . . . .	25
7.1.2	Magnetic Dipole Moments $M_1$ . . . . .	25
7.2	Bohr Magneton & Nuclear Magneton . . . . .	26
7.3	Magnetic Moments of Nuclei . . . . .	26
7.3.1	Nuclear Structure from Magnetic Moments . . . . .	26
7.4	Electric Quadrupole Moments $E_2$ & Shape of the Nucleus . . . . .	27
7.5	Example: Calculating Parity of State . . . . .	27
7.6	Level Schemes & Excited States . . . . .	28
<b>8</b>	<b>Nuclear Force</b>	<b>28</b>
8.1	Effects of the Short Range of the Strong Force . . . . .	28
8.2	Deuteron . . . . .	29
8.2.1	Deuteron Binding Energy . . . . .	29
8.2.2	Nucleon-Nucleon Potential . . . . .	30
8.2.3	Deuteron Spin and Parity . . . . .	31
<b>9</b>	<b>The Standard Model</b>	<b>31</b>
9.1	The Particles . . . . .	31
9.2	The Forces . . . . .	32
<b>10</b>	<b>Feynman Diagrams</b>	<b>33</b>
10.1	Charges . . . . .	33
10.1.1	Examples . . . . .	33
10.2	Allowed Vertices (Fig. 18) . . . . .	33
10.2.1	Allowed EM Vertices . . . . .	35
10.2.2	Allowed Strong Vertices . . . . .	35
10.2.3	Neutral Weak Vertices . . . . .	35
10.2.4	Charged Weak Vertices . . . . .	36
10.2.5	Higgs Boson Vertices . . . . .	36
10.2.6	4-Line Vertices . . . . .	36

10.3	Creating Feynman Diagrams . . . . .	37
10.3.1	Tip for Choosing Time-Ordering . . . . .	37
10.3.2	Current interactions . . . . .	38
<b>11</b>	<b>Relativity and Anti-Particles</b>	<b>38</b>
11.1	Dirac Equation . . . . .	38
11.2	Symmetries and Conservation Laws . . . . .	39
11.2.1	Symmetries and Conserved Quantities . . . . .	39
11.2.2	Intrinsic Parity . . . . .	39
11.2.3	Parity of Bound States . . . . .	39
11.2.4	Charge Conjugation . . . . .	39
11.2.5	Natural Units . . . . .	40
11.3	Scattering . . . . .	40
11.3.1	Decay . . . . .	40
11.4	Cross-Section . . . . .	41
11.4.1	Differential Cross-Section . . . . .	41
11.4.2	Measured Cross-Section . . . . .	42
11.4.3	Integrated Luminosity . . . . .	42
11.4.4	Single Beam Particle and Target . . . . .	42
11.4.5	Born Approximation . . . . .	42
11.4.6	Natural Units and Cross Section . . . . .	43
11.5	Density of States (Energy States) . . . . .	43
11.5.1	Conclusion . . . . .	43
11.6	Theoretical Cross-Section for $ab \rightarrow cd$ . . . . .	44
<b>12</b>	<b>Particle Phenomenology</b>	<b>44</b>
12.1	Leptons . . . . .	44
<b>13</b>	<b>Range of Forces and Amplitudes</b>	<b>44</b>
13.1	Yukawa Potential . . . . .	44
13.2	Yukawa Amplitude . . . . .	45
13.3	Amplitude of $W$ -boson Exchange . . . . .	45
13.4	Amplitude of Other exchanges . . . . .	46
13.5	Muon vs Tau Decays . . . . .	46
<b>14</b>	<b>Resonance Formation and Decay</b>	<b>47</b>
14.0.1	Width and Lifetime . . . . .	47
<b>15</b>	<b>Neutrino Mixing and Oscillations</b>	<b>47</b>
15.1	Neutrino Mixing . . . . .	48
15.1.1	3-generation mixing . . . . .	48
15.2	Probability of Mixing . . . . .	48
15.2.1	Conclusion . . . . .	49
<b>16</b>	<b>Nuclear Models</b>	<b>49</b>
16.1	Liquid-Drop Model . . . . .	50
16.2	Fermi-Gas model Section 16.2 . . . . .	50
16.3	Shell Model Fig. 27 . . . . .	51
16.3.1	Simplifying the Complex System to a Simple Model Fig. 28 . . . . .	51

16.3.2	Finding the Hamiltonian . . . . .	51
<b>17</b>	<b>Relativistic Kinematics</b>	<b>52</b>
17.1	Four-Vectors . . . . .	53
17.1.1	Dot product . . . . .	53
17.1.2	Dot product in different reference frames . . . . .	53
17.1.3	Attributes Summary . . . . .	53
17.2	Four-Momentum Transfer . . . . .	54
17.3	Invariant Mass of Virtual Photon . . . . .	54
17.3.1	Fixed-Target Experiment . . . . .	54
<b>18</b>	<b>Particle Accelerators</b>	<b>55</b>
18.1	Linear Accelerators . . . . .	55
18.2	Cyclotrons . . . . .	55
18.3	Focusing of Particles . . . . .	56
18.4	Characteristics of Accelerators . . . . .	56
18.5	Large Hadron Collider (LHC) . . . . .	56
18.6	Particle Types . . . . .	56
18.7	Limitations . . . . .	56
<b>19</b>	<b>Neutrino Mixing Continued</b>	<b>57</b>
19.1	Neutrino Mixing in Practice . . . . .	57
19.2	Quark Mixing . . . . .	57
19.2.1	Quark Number & Flavour Conservation . . . . .	57
19.3	Hadrons . . . . .	58
19.4	Isospin . . . . .	58
19.4.1	Isospin Doublet . . . . .	58
19.5	Isospin Mathematics . . . . .	59
19.5.1	Isospin of Deuteron . . . . .	59
19.5.2	Isospin of a Pion and nucleon . . . . .	59
<b>20</b>	<b>Spectroscopic Notation</b>	<b>60</b>
20.1	Use-case Example: Deuteron . . . . .	60
<b>21</b>	<b>Quark Model</b>	<b>60</b>
21.1	Hadron Spectroscopy . . . . .	60
21.1.1	Mesons . . . . .	60
21.1.2	Baryons . . . . .	61
21.2	Intrinsic Parity of Hadrons . . . . .	61
21.3	Charge Conjugation (particle $\leftrightarrow$ anti-particle) . . . . .	61
21.3.1	C-parity of pion $\pi^0$ . . . . .	62
21.3.2	C-parity of $\eta$ . . . . .	62
21.3.3	C-parity of Spin-1/2 Fermions . . . . .	62
21.4	Quantum Numbers . . . . .	62
21.4.1	Hadrons With $C = \tilde{B} = T = 0$ . . . . .	63
21.4.2	Light Baryon Multiplets $L_{12} = L_3 = 0$ (Fig. 33) . . . . .	64
21.4.3	Light Mesons Nonets (Figs. 34 and 35) . . . . .	64
21.5	Baryon Quark-Spin Wave Functions . . . . .	64
21.6	Heavy Quark-States (b,c) . . . . .	66

21.6.1	Heavy Quarkonia . . . . .	66
21.6.2	Exotic Hadrons . . . . .	67
<b>22</b>	<b>Quark Dynamics: The Strong Interaction</b>	<b>67</b>
22.1	Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) . . . . .	67
22.1.1	Color Wavefunction . . . . .	68
22.1.2	Color Confiment . . . . .	68
22.1.3	Gluon Color-States . . . . .	69
22.1.4	Confinement and Hadronization . . . . .	69
22.1.5	Confiment and Asymptotic Freedom . . . . .	69
22.2	Running Coupling Constant . . . . .	69
22.2.1	Running $\alpha_s(\mu)$ and OZI Rule . . . . .	70
<b>23</b>	<b>Shell Model Continued</b>	<b>70</b>
23.1	Predicting the Magic Numbers . . . . .	70
23.1.1	Separating the Wave Function . . . . .	70
23.1.2	Deciding the Form of the Potential . . . . .	71
23.2	Predictions of the Shell Model . . . . .	71
23.2.1	Ground State Spin-Parity of Even-Odd Nuclei . . . . .	71
23.2.2	Ground State Spin-Parity of Odd-Odd Nuclei . . . . .	72
23.2.3	Ground State Spin-Parity of Even-Even Nuclei . . . . .	72
<b>24</b>	<b>Jets: Quarks and Gluons</b>	<b>72</b>
24.1	Evidence for 3 Quark Colors . . . . .	72
24.2	Di-jet Production at $pp$ (Fig. 40) . . . . .	72
24.2.1	Rapidity . . . . .	73
24.2.2	Pseudorapidity . . . . .	73
24.2.3	Transverse Momentum . . . . .	73
24.3	Current and Constituent Quarks . . . . .	73
<b>25</b>	<b>Weak Interactions and Electroweak Unification</b>	<b>73</b>
25.1	Low and High Energy Weak Interactions . . . . .	74
25.2	Charged Weak Interactions . . . . .	74
25.2.1	Basic Principles . . . . .	74
25.3	Quark Mixing . . . . .	74
25.3.1	Examples . . . . .	75
25.4	Leptonic $W^\pm$ -decay . . . . .	75
25.5	Cabibbo Suppression . . . . .	75
25.6	Charm Decays . . . . .	75
25.6.1	Charmed Hadron Decays . . . . .	76
25.7	Selection Rules for Charged Weak Decays . . . . .	76
25.7.1	Selection rules applied to hadronic part of charged weak decays . . . . .	76
25.7.2	Semi-Leptonic Charged Weak Decays (Fig. 42) . . . . .	76
25.7.3	Fully Hadronic Charged Weak Decays (Fig. 43) . . . . .	77
25.7.4	Summary . . . . .	77
25.7.5	Consequence of Selection Rules . . . . .	77
25.8	Three Generations . . . . .	78
25.9	Part 1 Essentials . . . . .	78
25.10	Electroweak Unification and the Higgs boson . . . . .	79

25.10.1 Gauge Invariance . . . . .	79
25.10.2 Standard Model Gauge Interactions . . . . .	79
25.10.3 Electroweak Unification/Mixing . . . . .	80
25.10.4 Other Predictions . . . . .	80
<b>26 Radioactive Decay</b>	<b>80</b>
26.1 Exponential Decay . . . . .	81
26.1.1 The Law . . . . .	81
26.1.2 Half-Life . . . . .	81
26.1.3 Mean Lifetime . . . . .	81
26.1.4 Activity . . . . .	81
26.1.5 Measuring Short and Long Lifetimes and Activity . . . . .	82
26.1.6 When the Decay Law Fails . . . . .	82
26.2 Decay Options . . . . .	82
26.3 Mixed Samples . . . . .	82
26.4 Types of Radioactive Decay . . . . .	82
26.4.1 Branching Ratios and Partial Half-Lives . . . . .	83
26.4.2 Width-Lifetime Relation . . . . .	84
26.5 Alpha Decay . . . . .	84
26.5.1 Energetics of Alpha Decay . . . . .	84
26.5.2 <i>Q</i> -value and Half-life . . . . .	85
26.5.3 <i>Q</i> vs <i>A</i> Systematics (Fig. 47) . . . . .	85
26.5.4 Theory of Alpha Decay . . . . .	86
26.5.5 Spin and Parity in Alpha Decay . . . . .	87
<b>27 Weak Interactions and Electroweak Unification: Continued</b>	<b>87</b>
27.1 $Z^0/\gamma$ Interactions . . . . .	87
27.1.1 Electron-Antielectron to Neutrino-Antineutrino . . . . .	88
27.2 BEH Mechanism . . . . .	88
27.2.1 Higgs Boson Decays . . . . .	89
27.2.2 Higgs Boson Experiment Summary . . . . .	89
<b>28 Symmetry: The Weak Interaction</b>	<b>90</b>
28.1 Symmetry Breaking . . . . .	90
28.1.1 Weak Parity Violation . . . . .	90
28.2 CP Conservation . . . . .	90
28.3 Chirality and Helicity . . . . .	91
28.3.1 Right- and Left-Handedness Fig. 53 . . . . .	91
28.3.2 The Weak Interaction . . . . .	91
28.3.3 Electron-Electron Scattering Fig. 54 . . . . .	92
28.4 V - A Interaction . . . . .	92
28.5 Neutral Kaon Oscillation . . . . .	92
<b>29 Beta Decay</b>	<b>93</b>
29.1 $\beta^-$ Decay . . . . .	94
29.2 $\beta^+$ Decay . . . . .	94
29.3 Electron Capture . . . . .	94
29.4 Free Proton Decay . . . . .	94
29.5 Energy Conservation . . . . .	95

29.5.1	Neutron Decay . . . . .	95
29.5.2	$\beta^-$ Decay . . . . .	95
29.5.3	Electron Capture . . . . .	96
29.5.4	Comparing Electron Capture & $\beta^+$ Decay . . . . .	96
29.6	Fermi's Golden Rule . . . . .	96
29.6.1	Fermi's Golden Rule for Decay . . . . .	97
29.6.2	Fermi's Theory of Beta Decay . . . . .	97
<b>30</b>	<b>Symmetry Breaking Weak Interactions</b>	<b>97</b>
30.1	Key Questions About CP-Violation . . . . .	97
30.1.1	CPT Invariance . . . . .	98
30.2	CP and Flavour Oscillations in B-Decays . . . . .	98
30.3	Wolfenstein Parametrization . . . . .	98

# 1 History

- 1896: Henri Becquerel discovered radioactivity
- 1898: Marie and Pierre Curie discovered radium and polonium
- 1903: Alphas charge to mass ratio
- 1909: Alphas are helium nuclei
- 1911: Rutherford discovers the nucleus
- 1913: Bohr model of the atom
- 1917: Rutherford discovers the proton
- 1930: Neutrinos were postulated
- 1932: Chadwick discovers the neutron by shooting alpha particles at beryllium.
- 1938: Discovery of nuclear fission
- 1956: Neutrinos were detected

## 1.1 Proton Discovery: The Rutherford Scattering Experiment

Thomson's model of the atom was a positive sphere with electrons embedded in it. Rutherford wanted to test this model by shooting alpha particles at a thin gold foil surrounded by a detector foil. The alpha particles were shot from a radioactive source and when the alpha particles exited, they hit the foil and emitted light.

### 1.1.1 Conclusion

- Most alpha particles went straight through the foil. This implies the atom is mostly empty space.
- Some alpha particles were deflected by a small angle. This implies the positive charge is concentrated in a small volume.
- Sometimes the particles travel backwards. This implies the positive center has most of the mass of the atom.

## 1.2 Discovery of the Neutron

- Shooting alpha particles on beryllium which is much lighter than gold. This

# 2 Nucleus

- Very dense. Carries all the mass.  $2.7 \cdot 10^{14}$  times denser than water.
- The atom is mostly empty space. If the nucleus was the size of a coin, the atom would be 2-3 km in radius.

## 2.1 Notation

- **Notation:**  ${}^A_Z X_N$
- Isotope: Same **proton** number  $Z$
- Isotone: Same **neutron** number  $N$
- Isobar: Same **atomic** mass number  $A = Z + N$

## 2.2 Nuclides

- 92 stable elements
- 280 stable isotopes
- 3000 unstable isotopes
- 6000 more predicted to exist

### 2.2.1 Stable Numbers

$$N = 2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82, 126 \quad (1)$$

$$Z = 2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82, \dots \quad (2)$$

## 3 Units and Dimensions in Nuclear Physics

### 3.1 Length

The order of  $10^{-15}\text{m} = 1\text{fm}$  (fermi/femtometer) meter. This is the distance between nucleons.

### 3.2 Time Scale

- $10^{-20}\text{s}$ : Unbound, in the case of nuclear reactions and decays.
- $10^{-9}/10^{-12}\text{s}$ : lifetimes of excited nuclear states through gamma decays.
- Minutes/hours/millions of years: Alpha and beta decays.

### 3.3 Energy

MeV in nuclear physics.

$$1 \text{ MeV} = 1.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{J.} \quad (3)$$

$$1 \text{eV} = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{J} \quad (4)$$

<b>Particle</b>	<b>Mass (kg)</b>	<b>Mass (u)</b>	<b>Mass (MeV/c<sup>2</sup>)</b>
1 atomic mass unit	$1.660540 \times 10^{-27}$ kg	1.000 u	931.5 MeV/c <sup>2</sup>
neutron	$1.674929 \times 10^{-27}$ kg	1.008664 u	939.57 MeV/c <sup>2</sup>
proton	$1.672623 \times 10^{-27}$ kg	1.007276 u	938.28 MeV/c <sup>2</sup>
electron	$9.109390 \times 10^{-31}$ kg	0.00054858 u	0.511 MeV/c <sup>2</sup>

Figure 1: Table of the masses of the nucleons.  $c^2 = 931.5\text{MeV/u}$ . In reality, the mass of the proton is slightly less than the mass of the neutron. The proton is 2000 times more massive than the electron.

### 3.4 Mass

u = unified atomic mass unit. 1 u is defined as 1/12 of the mass of an unbound  $^{12}\text{C}$  atom. Mass is equivalent with energy. Therefore:

$$u = 931.5 \text{ MeV}/c^2 = 1.66 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg} \quad (5)$$

## 4 Nuclear Properties

The parameters which describe the nucleus are. There are two types of nuclear properties: static and dynamic.

- Static: Charge, Radius, mass, Binding energy, Angular momentum, Parity, Magnetic dipole moment, Electric quadrupole moments, Exited states and their energies.
- Dynamic: Shape, Decay

### 4.1 Connected Terms

- Charge/Charge Distribution: Protons. Found via electron scattering Section 4.3 by the Coulomb interaction.
- Matter/Mass Distribution: Nucleons. Found via hadron scattering Section 4.5, alpha particles (Rutherford), protons and neutrons by using the strong force.
- Radius: Size of the nucleus (nucleons)

## 4.2 Charge Distribution

To probe the charge distribution of the nucleus, we use charged particles. We also need the following:

- A beam of charged particles (often protons)
- Wavelength should be similar or smaller than the nucleus (about 10fm in diameter).
- Electrons were popular in the 50's.
- An energy of 100 Mev to 1 GeV is needed.
- Calculating the energy needed is done by using the de Broglie wavelength where  $\lambda = h/p$  with  $\lambda \leq 10\text{fm}$ .

## 4.3 Nuclear Charge Distribution from Electron Scattering

- Radius increases with mass number  $A$
- The central nuclear charge density is nearly the same for all nuclei. Nucleons do not seem to concentrate near the center of the nucleus, but instead have a constant distribution along the surface.
- The number of nucleons per unit volume is roughly constant:

$$\frac{A}{\frac{4}{3}\pi R^3} \approx \text{const} \quad (6)$$

- The radius of the nucleus is proportional to  $A^{1/3}$ .

$$R = R_0 A^{1/3} \quad , \quad R_0 \approx 1.2 \text{ fm} \quad (7)$$

## 4.4 Nuclear Size

We can find the radius of a nucleus by using the scattering angle of the local minimum of the Rutherford cross-section, see Fig. 2. The diffraction pattern is not exactly that of a circular disk, as the nucleus does not have a well-defined surface.



$$\sin \theta = \frac{1.22\lambda}{d} \Rightarrow R = \frac{d}{2} = \frac{1.22\lambda}{2 \sin \theta} \quad (8)$$

This is only a rough estimate as the angle is calculated in two dimensions, instead of three.


## 4.5 Nuclear Mass Distribution from Hadron Scattering

- Electrons only mostly interact with protons. We therefore use hadrons to study the mass distribution of the nucleus.
- The radius is proportional to the nuclear rather than the Coulomb force.
- The Rutherford experiment showed that the nucleus is a point-like object.

Electron scattering on nuclei  
Examples:  $^{16}\text{O}$  and  $^{12}\text{C}$  & measured cross sections




Light scattering on a circular disk



© 2005 Pearson Prentice Hall, Inc.

$d$ : diameter of the disk

$\lambda$ : wavelength of the light emitted from the source



15

Figure 2: Example of the local minimum of the Rutherford cross-section. The angle is used to calculate the radius of the nucleus.

#### 4.5.1 Fixed Angle of Observation with Changing Energy

- At low energies the alpha particles and the  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  nucleus interact with the Coulomb interacting as with Rutherford scattering.
- With increasing energy, the repulsion from the Coulomb force is overcome, and the strong force becomes the dominant force. The Rutherford formula no longer holds.
- The alpha particles became absorbed by the nucleus and only a small fraction is scattered.
- When energy is high enough, we get the diffraction pattern.

#### 4.6 Conclusion from Charge Radius Experiments

- The charge and mass radii of nuclei is nearly equal to within about 0.1fm.
- Both show the same  $A^{1/3}$  dependence with  $R_0 = 1.2\text{fm}$ .
- As heavy nuclei have about 50 % more neutrons than protons, we might expect the neutron distribution to be more extended than the proton distribution. This is not the case as the neutrons pulls inwards, and the protons push outwards, until they are mixed such that the radius is the same.

## 4.7 Nuclear Mass

## 4.8 Deflection Spectrometer




Figure 3: Experimental setup for measuring the mass of a particle.

- Shooting a ray of charge particles affected by a magnetic field and measuring the deflection we can calculate its mass.
- To measure an entire particle they must be ionized. The electrons carry so little mass that they are neglected.
- After ionization, the particles travel through an electric and magnetic field.
- Only the particles with the right velocity will pass through the fields and be subjected to the new magnetic field.
- The new field will deflect the particles according to their  $m/q$  value.

### 4.8.1 Calculating the Mass

$$F_B = q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} \quad (9)$$

The field and velocity are perpendicular.

$$F_B = qvB \quad (10)$$

$$F_E = F_B \Rightarrow qE = qvB \Rightarrow v = \frac{E}{B_1} \quad (11)$$

$B_1$  is the first magnetic field as seen in Fig. 3. The force from the magnetic field centripetal force.

$$F_B = \frac{mv^2}{r} = qvB_2 \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{mv}{r} = qB_2 \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{m}{q} = \frac{B_2 r}{v} \quad (14)$$

The radius of the circle is given by  $r = \rho$ . Setting  $B_1 = B_2$  gives the following for the mass.

$$m = \frac{B_1 B_2 \rho}{E} = \frac{B^2 \rho q}{E} \quad (15)$$

where  $q$  is the charge of the particle.

### Accuracy

- These measurements are very important for mass models used in other parts of physics.
- The accuracy is about  $\Delta m/m = 10^{6-}$ , but that is not enough.
- The mass doublet technique gives a precision of  $10^{-8} / 10^{-9}$

## 5 Binding Energy

### 5.1 Formulas and Definitions

- Binding Energy: The energy required to keep the nucleus together. The mass of the nucleus is not equal to the sum of its parts. The mass of the individual nucleons is higher than the mass of the nucleus. The difference is the binding energy.

$$Zm_p + Nm_n - M_{\text{Nucleus}} = \text{Binding Energy} \Rightarrow Zm_p + Nm_n > M_{\text{Nucleus}} \quad (16)$$

### 5.2 Mass of the Nucleus

The total mass of the atom is the mass of the nucleus and electrons, minus the binding energy of the electrons.

$$M_{\text{Atom}} = M_{\text{Nucleus}} + Zm_e - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^Z B_i/c^2}_{\text{Often negligible}} \quad (17)$$

$$M_{\text{Atom}} = M_{\text{Nucleus}} + Zm_e \quad (18)$$

$M$  usually refers to the mass of the entire atom, and so the subscript "Atom" is often omitted. We usually write the atom using the following notation:

$$M({}_Z^A X_N) = M_{\text{Nucleus}}({}_Z^A X_N) + Zm_e \quad (19)$$

Multiplying by  $c^2$  we get the mass in energy units ( $E = mc^2$ ):

$$M_{\text{Nucleus}}({}_Z^A X_N) = M({}_Z^A X_N) - Zm_e c^2 \quad (20)$$

$$\underline{M_{\text{Nucleus}}({}_Z^A X_N) c^2 = M({}_Z^A X_N) c^2 - Zm_e c^2} \quad (21)$$

### 5.3 Nuclear Binding Energy (B.E.)

This energy is very small compared to the mass energy of the nucleus. We can derive this from the mass of the nucleus.

$$B.E. = (Zm_p + Nm_n - M_N(^A_Z X_N)) c^2 \quad (22)$$

$$= (Zm_p + Nm_n - (M(^A_Z X_N) - Zm_e)) c^2 \quad (23)$$

$$= \left( \underbrace{Z(m_p + m_e)}_{\text{Hydrogen}} + Nm_n - M(^A_Z X_N) \right) c^2 \quad (24)$$

(25)

$$\underline{\underline{B.E. = (Zm(^1 H) + Nm_n - M(^A_Z X_N)) c^2}} \quad (26)$$

As the units so far has been energy ( $mc^2$ ) we can switch to MeV.

$$B.E. = [mc^2] = [uc^2] = u931.5\text{MeV} / u \Rightarrow c^2 = 931.5\text{MeV/u} \quad (27)$$

$$\underline{\underline{B.E. = (Zm(^1 H) + Nm_n - M(^A_Z X_N)) 931.5\text{MeV/u}}} \quad (28)$$

#### 5.3.1

Example: Helium  ${}^4_2 H_2$  We use the formula for binding energy from Eq. (28) to calculate the binding energy of the hydrogen atom  ${}^4_2 He_2$ .

$$B.E. = (2m_p + 2m_n - M({}^4_2 He_2)) 931.5\text{MeV/u} \quad (29)$$

$$= (2 \cdot 1.007825u + 2 \cdot 1.008664u - 4.002603u) \cdot 931.5\text{MeV/u} \quad (30)$$

$$= \underline{\underline{0.0304 \cdot 931.5 \text{ MeV} = 28.3 \text{ MeV}}} \quad (31)$$

The ratio between the binding energy and the rest mass of the nucleus is very small. Using the binding energy from Eq. (31) and the mass of the helium nucleus, we can calculate the ratio:

$$\frac{28.3}{3728} = 0.75\% \quad (32)$$

### 5.4 Nuclear Separation Energy

The energy required to separate a proton  $S_p$  or a neutron  $S_n$  from the nucleus.

#### 5.4.1 Neutron Separation Energy

It requires lower energy to remove a neutron from a nucleus with an odd number of neutrons. This is because one is unpaired.

$$S_n = (M({}^{A-1}_Z X_{N-1}) - M({}^A_Z X_N + m_n)) c^2 \quad (33)$$

This can also be expressed using binding energies as mass and energy are equivalent through  $E = mc^2$ :

$$S_n = B({}^A_Z X_N) - B({}^{A-1}_Z X_{N-1}) \quad (34)$$

### 5.4.2 Proton Separation Energy

Using the same logic as for the neutron separation energy Section 5.4.1, we can express the proton separation energy through the binding energies. It's important to keep in mind that after loosing a proton, the element changes.

$$S_p = \left( M(^{A-1}_{Z-1}Y_N) - M(^A_ZX_N + \underbrace{m_p + m_n}_{^1H}) \right) c^2 \quad (35)$$

$$S_p = B(^A_ZX_N) - B(^{A-1}_{Z-1}Y_N) \quad (36)$$

- Except for very light nuclei, the binding energy per nucleon is linear. It's almost constant at around 8 MeV/nucleon.
- The highest binding energy per nucleon is around  $A = 60$  with the highest binding energy per nucleon at  $^{56}\text{Fe}$ .
- When going from heavier elements towards iron we get nuclear fission
- When going from lighter elements towards iron we get nuclear fusion




Figure 4

## 5.5 Semi-Empirical Mass Formula

- Sets out to explain the binding energies of nuclei.
- It is semi-empirical as the five of its constant are found by experiment.
- Tries to recreate the binding energy per nucleus graph in Fig. 4 by using the *liquid drop model*.

$$B = a_v A - a_s A^{2/3} - a_c \frac{Z(Z-1)}{A^{1/3}} - a_{\text{asym}} \frac{(A-2Z)^2}{A} + \delta \quad (37)$$

### 5.5.1 Explanation of the Terms in the Semi-Empirical Mass Formula

- **$a_v A$ : Volume term.** The binding energy is proportional to the volume of the nucleus approximated to a sphere ( $V = 4\pi R^3/3$ ). This dominates the binding energy for large nuclei.

$$a_v \approx 15.8 \text{ MeV} \quad (38)$$

The linear dependence of the binding energy on the number of nucleons tells us that the strong force is short range as each nucleon only interacts with its nearest neighbors.

- **$a_s A^{2/3}$ : Surface term.** The volume term is not quite accurate as the nucleons on the surface have fewer neighbors. This term corrects for that. The binding energy is proportional to  $\pi R^2$

$$a_s \approx 16.8 \text{ MeV} \quad (39)$$

- **$a_c Z(Z-1) A^{-1/3}$ : Coulomb term.** The binding energy is reduced by the repulsion between the protons. It is therefore detracted. The Coulomb force is long range and is therefore proportional to  $Z(Z-1)$  as all protons interact.

$$a_c \approx 0.72 \text{ MeV} \quad (40)$$

- **$a_{\text{asym}}(A-2Z)^2 A^{-1}$ : Asymmetry term.** Stable nuclei have a balance between protons and neutrons. As the ratio of protons to neutrons deviate from 1, the nuclei becomes less stable (lower binding energy). This inhibits Hydrogen or Helium atoms with many neutrons. It is caused by the Pauli exclusion principle as nucleons are fermions and therefore can not occupy the same state at once.

$$a_{\text{asym}} \approx 23 \text{ MeV} \quad (41)$$

Heavier nuclei must have more neutrons to fight the Coulomb repulsion. The term gets relatively small as the number of nucleons increases.

- **$\delta$ : Pairing term.** This term is not included in the original formula, but is added to account for the fact that nuclei with an even number of protons and neutrons are more stable. This is because the nucleons in the same space-state can be coupled to have a total spin of 0. They are therefore closer together and therefore more tightly bound with a higher binding energy. This is called even-even nuclei.

$$\delta = \begin{cases} +a_p S^{-3/4}, & \text{if even}(N)\text{-even}(Z) \\ 0, & \text{if odd}(A) \\ -a_p S^{-3/4}, & \text{if odd}(N)\text{-odd}(Z) \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

$$a_p \approx 34 \text{ MeV} \quad (43)$$

### 5.5.2 SEMF Conclusion

- The semi-empirical mass formula was a first attempt at understanding how binding energy works.
- It is semi-empirical as the constants are found by experiment.
- A negative binding energy means the nucleus is not bound and is therefore not stable.

$$B = \underbrace{a_v A - a_s A^{2/3} - a_c Z(Z-1) A^{-1/3}}_{\text{Liquid-drop model for energy calculations}} - \underbrace{a_{\text{asym}}(A-2Z)^2 A^{-1} + \delta}_{\text{Interactions between nucleons}} \quad (44)$$




Figure 5: Plot of how the different terms in the semi-empirical mass formula Eq. (37) gets us closer to the experimental values

## 5.6 Mass Parabolas of Isobars

Isobars have the same number of nucleons ( $A$ ).

$$M(A, Z) = Z(\overbrace{m_p + m_e}^{M(^1H)}) + (\underbrace{A - Z}_{\text{neut. num.}})m_n - B(A, Z)/c^2 \quad (45)$$

$$B(A, Z) = a_v A - a_s A^{2/3} - a_c \frac{Z(Z-1)}{A^{1/3}} - a_a \frac{(A-2Z)^2}{A} + \delta(A, Z) \quad (46)$$

### 5.6.1 Finding the Minimum of the Mass Parabola

As the parabola is mass  $M$  as a function of  $Z$ , we can find the minimum by taking the derivative with respect to  $Z$  and setting it equal to zero.

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial Z} = 0 \quad (47)$$

$$Z_{\min} = \frac{(m_n - m_p - m_e) + a_c A^{-1/3} + 4a_{\text{sym}}}{2a_c A^{-1/3} + 8a_{\text{sym}} A^{-1}} \quad (48)$$

We can approximate this as the following:

$$Z_{\min} \approx \frac{A}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{4} A^{2/3} a_c / a_{\text{sym}}} , \quad a_{\text{sym}} \approx 23 \text{ MeV}, \quad a_c \approx 0.72 \text{ MeV} \quad (49)$$

**Example:  $A = 10$**  This is stable for smaller nuclei.

$$Z_{\min} \approx 5 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{Z_{\min}}{A} \approx 0.5 \quad (50)$$

**Example:  $A = 200$**  A lower ratio is stable for larger nuclei.

$$Z_{\min} \approx 79 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{Z_{\min}}{A} \approx 0.4 \quad (51)$$

### 5.6.2 Valley of (beta) stability

- As can be seen in Fig. 6, we have two parabolas for  $A = 128$  as it can be odd-odd or even-even. Higher binding energy is more stable.
- The even-even isobar is more stable as explained in Section 5.5.1, because the nucleons can pair up in the same space-state with opposite spins and therefore be closer to each other and thus more stable.
- Only the atom in the bottom of the valley is stable. The others are prompt to beta decay downwards.
- Double beta decay can happen with even numbers of nucleons as can be seen for  $A = 128$  with  $Z = 52$ , as  $Z = 53$  has higher energy, and it is therefore forced to decay all the way up to  $Z = 54$ .




Figure 6: Valley of (beta) stability for different isobars with  $A = 125$  and  $A = 128$ . The higher the binding energy, the more stable the isobar.

## Beta Decay

- $\beta^+$ : Proton rich nuclei decay by converting a proton into a neutron, a positron and a neutrino.
- $\beta^-$ : Neutron rich nuclei decay by converting a neutron into a proton, an electron and an antineutrino.




Figure 7: Chart showing different elements and their decays.

## 6 Angular Momentum & Parity

### 6.1 Angular Momentum of the Nucleus

- Total angular momentum  $j = l + s$  is the sum of the orbital angular momentum  $l$  and the spin  $s$ .
- Both  $l$  and  $s$  are quantized, and the total angular momentum  $j$  is also quantized.
- Nucleons are fermions and therefore spin half particles.
- Fermions can't rotate, but still have spin  $s$ . There is no classical analogy for this.  $l$  is the orbital angular momentum and is just like the classical angular momentum.

### 6.1.1 Orbital Angular Momentum

Angular momentum is a vector and thus has both magnitude and direction. As the values are quantized we use the quantum numbers  $l$ ,  $s$  and  $j$  to describe the magnitude and direction.

- $l$  is the orbital angular momentum and can take the values  $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- Magnitude:

$$l = \sqrt{l(l+1)}\hbar \quad (52)$$

$$l_z = m_l \hbar \quad , \quad m_l \in \{-l, -l+1, \dots, l-1, l\} \quad (53)$$

- Direction Fig. 8:




Figure 8: Orbital angular momentum vector visualized on a sphere.

### 6.1.2 Spin

- Spin is a property of particles and is not related to the motion of the particle.
- Spin is quantized and can take the values  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $s = -\frac{1}{2}$ .
- Magnitude:

$$s = \sqrt{s(s+1)}\hbar \quad (54)$$

$$s_z = m_s \hbar \quad , \quad m_s \in \{-s, -s+1, \dots, s-1, s\} \quad (55)$$

- As the spin  $s$  can only be  $1/2$ , the magnetic quantum number  $m_s$  can only be  $\pm 1/2$




Figure 9: Visual representation of the spin of a nucleon in a magnetic field.

- Direction Fig. 9: There is no classical analogy for direction, but if in a magnetic field, the spin will align with or against the field

### 6.1.3 Total Angular Momentum

- The total angular momentum  $j$  is the sum of the orbital angular momentum  $l$  and the spin  $s$ .
- Magnitude:

$$j = \sqrt{j(j+1)\hbar} \quad (56)$$

$$j_z = m_j \hbar \quad , \quad m_j \in \{-j, -j+1, \dots, j-1, j\} \quad (57)$$

$$m_j = m_l + m_s = m_l \pm \frac{1}{2} \quad (58)$$

- Direction Fig. 10:




Figure 10: Total angular momentum visualized in 3D.

### 6.1.4 Total Angular Momentum of the Nucleus

- The sum of the angular momentum of all the nucleons in the nucleus.

$$\vec{I} = \sum_{i=1}^A \vec{j}_i \quad , \quad \vec{j}_i = \vec{l}_i + \vec{s}_i \quad (59)$$

$$I = \sqrt{I(I+1)}\hbar \quad (60)$$

$$I_z = m \hbar \quad , \quad m \in \{-I, -I+1, \dots, I-1, I\} \quad (61)$$

- As each nucleus has half-integer total angular momentum, odd number of nucleons  $A$  will have half-integer total angular momentum, and even number of nucleons will have integer total angular momentum.
- All the known even-even nuclei have spin-0 ground states.
- As a result, the ground state of an odd  $A$  nucleus must be the  $j$ -value of the odd proton or neutron.

## 6.2 Parity

Parity is the behavior of a system under the inversion of all spatial coordinates  $\vec{r} \rightarrow -\vec{r}$

- Cartesian coordinates:  $r \rightarrow (-x, -y, -z)$ .
- Spherical coordinates:  $r \rightarrow (-r, \pi - \theta, \varphi + \pi)$ .
- The parity operator is  $\hat{P}$  and has two effects on the wave function:
  - Even parity (+):  $\hat{P}\psi(\vec{r}) = \psi(\vec{r})$ .
  - Odd parity (-):  $\hat{P}\psi(\vec{r}) = -\psi(\vec{r})$ .
  - An even function is symmetric around the origin and an odd function is antisymmetric around the origin. This means  $\psi(-r) = \psi(r)$  or  $\psi(-r) = -\psi(r)$ .
  - Visual representation Fig. 11:




Figure 11: Visual representation of even and odd functions.

### 6.2.1 Splitting the Wave Function

- The wave function can be split into its radial and angular parts.

$$\Psi(\vec{r}) = R(r)Y(\theta, \varphi) \quad (62)$$

$$\hat{P}R(r) = R(r) \quad (63)$$

$$\hat{P}Y(\theta, \varphi) = (-1)^l Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) \quad (64)$$

- Parity of state with orbital angular momentum  $l$

$$\pi(-1)^l \quad (65)$$

- By convention, the intrinsic parity of the nucleon is  $\pi = +1$ , because they are fermions. Anti-fermions (like positron) have  $\pi = -1$ .
- For a composite system, the parity is the product of the intrinsic parities of the constituents.

$$\pi_{\text{total}} = (-1)^L \pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3 \dots , \quad L = l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + \dots \quad (66)$$

## 7 Electric and Magnetic Moments

- The protons create a magnetic and electric fields.
- A distribution of charge is assigned an electric dipole moment of either monopole, dipole, quadrupole, octopole, etc.
- A spherical charge distribution gives only a monopole.
- A circular current only gives a magnetic dipole.
- Nuclei tend to have as simple of dipole moments as possible.
  - $L = 0$ : Monopole
  - $L = 1$ : Dipole
  - $L = 2$ : Quadrupole
  - $L = 3$ : Octopole

### 7.1 Parity Selection Rules

#### 7.1.1 Electric Dipole Moments $E_0$

$$L = 0, 2 \quad (67)$$

Allowed values are  $L \in 0, 2$  with a parity of  $(-1)^L$ . A dipole is a measure of the separation of positive and negative charge. In the nucleus there is no separation.

The electric monopole moment is just the charge of the nucleus  $Z \cdot e$ .

#### 7.1.2 Magnetic Dipole Moments $M_1$

$$L = 1 \quad (68)$$

Allowed values are  $L = 1$  with a parity of  $(-1)^{L+1} = 1$ . The magnetic monopole has not been observed.

As the charged particles are moving, they create a magnetic field. For an electron orbiting a nucleus, we get the following:

$$|\vec{\mu}| = \frac{e}{2\pi r/v} \pi r^2 = \frac{e}{2m} |\vec{l}| \quad (69)$$

This connects the magnetic moment to the mass of the particle. The same goes for the protons in the nucleus. We know the  $z$ -component of the orbital angular momentum and can be inserted to the equation:

$$\mu = \frac{e\hbar}{2m} l \quad (70)$$

## 7.2 Bohr Magneton & Nuclear Magneton

For atomic motion, the electron mass is used.

$$\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e} = 5.788 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ eV/T} \quad (71)$$

For nuclear motion, the proton mass is used.

$$\mu_N = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_p} = 3.152 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ eV/T} \quad (72)$$

As  $\mu_B \gg \mu_N$ , the nuclear magnetic moment plays much smaller role in atomic physics.

## 7.3 Magnetic Moments of Nuclei

- Magnetic Dipole Moment:
  - The magnetic dipole moment of the nucleons is caused by their orbital motion.  
 $\mu = g_l \mu_N l$ .
  - The g-factor  $g_l$  is a dimensionless quantity characterizing the magnetic moment of the atom, nucleus or other particle in question.
  - Protons have  $g_l = 1$
  - Neutrons have  $g_l = -0.5$ . It was believed to be zero, but it proves it's not a point particle.
- Spin Magnetic Dipole Moment:
  - The magnetic dipole moment of the nucleons is caused by their spin.  $\mu = g_s \mu_N s$ .
  - The g-factor  $g_s$  is a dimensionless quantity characterizing the magnetic moment of the atom, nucleus or other particle in question.
  - Protons have  $g_s = 5.59 \pm 0.0000022$
  - Neutrons have  $g_s = -3.82 \pm 0.0000022$ . This is unexpected as the neutron is a neutral particle. This shows there charge inside the neutron, and it is not a point particle.
  - Electrons have  $g_s = 2$

### 7.3.1 Nuclear Structure from Magnetic Moments

- The pairing force favors the coupling of the nucleons such that the sum of their total angular momentum is zero.
- As a result, the magnetic moment of the nucleus is determined by the unpaired nucleons.
- Example Fig. 12:

Nuclide	$\mu(\mu_N)$
n	-1.9130418
p	+2.7928456
$^2\text{H}$ (D)	+0.8574376
$^{17}\text{O}$	-1.89379
$^{57}\text{Fe}$	+0.09062293
$^{57}\text{Co}$	+4.733
$^{93}\text{Nb}$	+6.1705

Figure 12: Table showing the magnetic dipole moments of different nuclei. The box in red shows how larger atoms have a larger magnetic dipole moment, caused by more unpaired nucleons.

#### 7.4 Electric Quadrupole Moments $E_2$ & Shape of the Nucleus

Visual representation of the electric quadrupole moments effect on the shape of the nucleus in Fig. 13.

$$eQ = e \int \psi^*(3z^2 - r^2)\psi \, dv \quad (73)$$

Experiment shows that large nuclei like Barium, has a pear-like shape.




Figure 13: Shape of the nucleus as a function of the electric quadrupole moment.

#### 7.5 Example: Calculating Parity of State

**Case 1: Calculate the parity of two nucleons in the  $p_{3/2}$  orbital** In the  $p_{3/2}$  orbital, we know  $l = 1$ . As all nucleons are fermions, the parity  $\pi$  of the orbital is  $(-1)^l = -1$ .

**Case 2: Calculate the parity of two nucleons in the  $g_{9/2}$  orbital** In the  $g_{9/2}$  orbital, we know  $l = 4$ . As all nucleons are fermions, the parity  $\pi$  of the orbital is  $(-1)^l = 1$ .

## 7.6 Level Schemes & Excited States

- Some nuclei have more excited states than others. This is regularly associated with even- $Z$  and even- $N$  nuclei in the interval  $150 \leq A \leq 190$ .
- Comparing the level schemes of different nuclei :




Figure 14: Some nuclei have more complex level schemes than others

## 8 Nuclear Force

- The strong force is very attractive at short distances. Even stronger than the Coulomb force.
- Negligible at greater distances than 1-2 fm.
- Some particles are immune, such as electrons. Electrons are 100,000 fm away from the nucleus.
- The strong force becomes very repelling at distances smaller than 1 fm.
- Nuclear force is nearly charge independent. We know this from experiments on excited states of *mirror nuclei* (same  $A$ , but opposite  $N$  and  $Z$ ) as seen in Fig. 15.

### 8.1 Effects of the Short Range of the Strong Force

- When shooting alpha particles at a nucleus, the alpha particles are repelled by the Coulomb force if they do not have enough energy to get close enough to the nucleus.




Figure 15: Comparison of the excitation levels of mirror nuclei. In this case we have  $^{11}_5\text{B}_6$  and  $^{11}_6\text{C}_5$

Then the strong force takes over and the alpha particles are attracted to the nucleus. This is why the Rutherford model does not work at lower energies.

- The linear dependence on the binding energy per nucleon shows that the strong force is short range. If it were long range, each nucleon would attract all the others. Then the term in the binding energy as seen in the first therm of Eq. (37), would be quadratic and not linear ( $\alpha_v A$ )

## 8.2 Deuteron

- Consist of a proton and a neutron (nucleus of deuterium).
- To understand the structure of the atoms we would need to study its excited states. The problem is that deuteron is weakly bound and has no excited states.

### 8.2.1 Deuteron Binding Energy

There are multiple ways of calculating the binding energy of the deuteron.

1. **Mass spectroscopy:** Find the difference in mass between the deuteron and the proton and neutron.

$$B = (M(^1\text{H}) + m_n - m(^2\text{H})) c^2 = 2.225 \text{ MeV} \quad (74)$$

2. **Nuclear reaction:** The gamma ray emitted when a neutron is captured by a proton is almost the binding energy. It has only energy, but can be converted to mass through

$$E = mc^2.$$



$$E_\gamma \approx B = M_{\text{initial}} - M_{\text{final}} \quad (76)$$

$$B = (M({}^1\text{H}) + m_n - M({}^2\text{H})) c^2 = 2.224 \text{ MeV} \quad (77)$$

### 8.2.2 Nucleon-Nucleon Potential

- We assume that the potential between the nucleons is a finite square well with a potential depth of  $-V_0$
- Solving for the Schrödinger equation for specific energy values and applying the boundary conditions we get the following results:

$$k_1 \cot(k_1 \vec{R}) = -k_2 \quad (78)$$

$$k_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}} \quad k_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2m(V_0 + E)}{\hbar^2}} \quad (79)$$

- The radius  $R$  is now connected to the energy, and we know from scattering experiments that the radius is around 2.1 fm.
- The solution gives a potential of  $V_0 = 35$  MeV.
- The binding energy of the deuteron is just below the potential depth as seen in Fig. 16.




Figure 16: Square well potential for the deuteron.

### 8.2.3 Deuteron Spin and Parity

**Total Spin:**

$$\vec{I} = \vec{S}_p + \vec{S}_n + \vec{l} \quad (80)$$

**Spin Configuration with  $I = 1$**

1. Aligning the spins gives  $I = 1$  and  $S = 1$  with  $l = 0$ . This is a positive parity state with  $\pi = (-1)^l = 1$ . We then get  $I^\pi$
2. Aligning the spins with gives  $I = 3$

**Electric Quadrupole Moment** The deuteron has a small non-zero electric quadrupole moment. This makes it so about 4% of the time the deuteron is in an excited state with  $l = 2$ .

## 9 The Standard Model

- The periodic table of particle physics.
- Categorized as seen in Fig. 17.

### 9.1 The Particles

- **Quarks:**

- Each quark pair from each generation has one up-type and one down-type quark. The up-type quarks have a charge of  $+\frac{2}{3}e$ , and the down-type quarks have a charge of  $-\frac{1}{3}e$ .
- The quarks are bound together by the strong force, mediated by the gluons.
- They are all spin-half particles.
- They can interact through the weak force, electromagnetic force, and the strong force.
- Each quark is considered a quark flavor.

- **Leptons:**

- The leptons are divided into three generations, each with a charged lepton and a neutrino.
- The charged leptons all have a charge of  $-e$ , and the neutrinos are neutral.
- They all have half-integer spin.
- The charged leptons are much lighter than the neutrinos.
- The charged leptons interact with the weak force and the electromagnetic force, while the neutrinos only interact with the weak force.
- Each lepton is considered a lepton flavor.

- **Bosons:**

- **Fermions:** All quarks and leptons are fermions, which means they have half-integer spin.
- **Higgs Boson:** Gives mass to all the particles. Can interact with itself, as it has mass.

## 9.2 The Forces

- **Electromagnetic Force:**
  - Mediated by the photon.
  - Acts between particles with electric charge.
- **Weak Force:**
  - Mediated by the  $W^\pm$  and  $Z^0$  bosons.
  - The  $W^\pm$  has electric charge and can interact with itself and other particles with electric charge.
  -
- **Strong Force:**
  - Mediated by gluons with strong force charge.
  - The gluon has a strong force charge, making it able to interact with itself.




Figure 17: Figure showing the particles of the Standard Model, and their respective forces. Each column represents a generation of particles.

## 10 Feynman Diagrams

- A graphical representation of particle interactions.
- Time goes from left to right.
- The particles are represented by lines, and the interactions are represented by vertices.
- **Fermions:**
  - Represented by straight lines with arrows
  - Anti-particles are represented by straight lines with arrows pointing in the opposite direction.
- **Electromagnetic/Weak Interactions:**
  - Represented by wavy lines
  - Virtual photons (often noted by an asterisk) may have mass and may not move at the speed of light
- **Strong Interactions:** Represented by curly lines.
- **Higgs Boson:** Represented by a dashed line.

### 10.1 Charges

- The charges are  $\alpha_W, \alpha_W, \alpha_S$ , for the weak, electromagnetic, and strong force, respectively.
- The product of the charges show how likely an interaction is to happen.

#### 10.1.1 Examples

At the vertices in Eq. (81), we place the charge,  $\alpha_{EM}$ , being proportional to the electromagnetic charge squared.

$$e^- \gamma \xrightarrow{\alpha_{EM}} e^- \gamma \quad (81)$$

We do the same for the strong force in Eq. (82).

$$g \xrightarrow{\alpha_S} gg \quad (82)$$

### 10.2 Allowed Vertices (Fig. 18)

- A vertex is where the particle lines meet.
- Processes can in theory be written in reverse.
- Not all vertices are allowed. The  $Q$ -value must be negative, meaning the process must be energetically allowed.
- Quantities like charge, baryon number, lepton number, energy and strangeness must be conserved.




Figure 18: Figure of all allowed vertices in the Standard Model.

- $e^+ \rightarrow e^+\gamma$  would not be allowed as we can pick a frame of reference where the electron is at rest, and the photon would have energy less than or equal to 0.

We can change the time ordering in Eq. (81), to the following in Eq. (83). Here the photons comes in and interacts with the electron. This is a non-physical process, as the mass/energy

of the system in a rest frame is not conserved.

$$\gamma e^- \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\text{EM}}} e^- \gamma \quad (83)$$

### 10.2.1 Allowed EM Vertices

Eq. (84) is allowed as a part of a larger process, but not on its own. This is because the mass of the tauon can't increase or decrease, meaning the photon must have a mass (energy) of 0.

$$\tau^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \gamma \quad (84)$$

Eq. (85) is allowed as a part of a larger process, but not on its own. Same as Eq. (84), where the mass of the anti charm quark can't increase or decrease, meaning the photon must have a mass (energy) of 0.

$$y\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{c} \quad (85)$$

Eq. (86) is allowed as a part of a larger process, but not on its own. A real photon does not have mass, meaning it cannot create a top quark and an anti-top quark. We could flip one of the vertices to be  $\gamma\bar{t} \rightarrow \bar{t}$  and we see this to be impossible.

$$\gamma \rightarrow t\bar{t} \quad (86)$$

### 10.2.2 Allowed Strong Vertices

Eq. (87) Could be a part of a larger diagram, but can't represent a physical process on its own due to conservation of energy.

$$g\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{c} \quad (87)$$

Eq. (88) This is a possible process, as the gluon can have a high enough mass for this to be possible.

$$g \rightarrow t\bar{t} \quad (88)$$

Eq. (89) This is a possible process, as the gluon can interact with it self

$$g \rightarrow gg \quad (89)$$

### 10.2.3 Neutral Weak Vertices

All vertices with the photon can be interchanged with the  $Z^0$ -boson but NOT the other way around. See Eq. (90) for an example.

$$\gamma/Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^- \quad (90)$$

The neutrino is electrically neutral, and does not interact with the photon, meaning the process in Eq. (91) only works with a  $Z^0$ -boson.

$$\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu Z^0 \quad (91)$$

As the process is electrically neutral, the  $Z^0$ -boson can be created from the annihilation of a down-type quark and an anti-down-type quark, as seen in Eq. (92).

$$d\bar{d} \rightarrow Z^+ \quad (92)$$

#### 10.2.4 Charged Weak Vertices

The  $W^\pm$ -boson can interact with all fermions, but as it has a charge, it changes the charge of the particles it interacts with. Net charge must be conserved, meaning the process in Eq. (93) is allowed.

$$W^- \rightarrow \bar{u}d \quad (93)$$

To get zero charge, but keep the number of anti-fermions we can have the process in Eq. (94).

$$e^+ W^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e \quad (94)$$

The electric charge of the  $W^\pm$ -boson can interact with the photon. This is seen in Eq. (95).

$$W^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma \quad (95)$$

Net charge is conserved, which allows the process in Eq. (96).

$$Z^0 \rightarrow W^+ W^- \quad (96)$$

#### 10.2.5 Higgs Boson Vertices

The Higgs boson interacts with the mass of fermions, but maybe not the mass of neutrinos. Eq. (97) is a possible process, as the Higgs boson can interact with the mass of the top quark.

$$t\bar{t} \rightarrow H \quad (97)$$

Eq. (98) is a possible process, as the Higgs boson can create the muons, as charge is conserved.

$$H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \quad (98)$$

Eq. (99) is possible, and dependant on the mass of the  $Z^0$ -boson.

$$Z_0 \rightarrow Z^0 H \quad (99)$$

The Higgs boson can interact with itself, as seen in Eq. (100).

$$H \rightarrow HH \quad (100)$$

#### 10.2.6 4-Line Vertices

- There is no complete list of all possible 4-line vertices.
- As seen in Fig. 18, we know that both gluons, Higgs bosons and  $W/Z/\gamma$  bosons can have 4-line vertices. This means two particles can scatter, creating two new particles, without an intermediate particle.
- This is caused by particles being able to interact with themselves.
- These are rarely used

### 10.3 Creating Feynman Diagrams

Often there are multiple ways to describe the same process. There can be multiple different time orders. Example can be seen in Eq. (101), visualized in two different ways in Fig. 19. This is not always done as it is implied that both are possible, and you need to calculate both. A time independent version can be seen in Fig. 20.

$$e^- e^- \rightarrow e^- e^- \quad (101)$$




Figure 19: Two different ways to visualize Møller scattering as seen in Eq. (101).




Figure 20: A time independent version of Møller scattering as seen in Eq. (101).

#### 10.3.1 Tip for Choosing Time-Ordering

Just pick one and see if the charge and all other properties are conserved. As seen in Fig. 21, we can choose the time ordering based on the charge conservation. Only the electron can emit the negatively charged  $W^-$ -boson. Therefore, the emission on the bottom happens before absorption on the top. The unambiguous time ordering can be seen in Fig. 22. We could of course have flipped the figure horizontally.




Figure 21: Example showing a case where logic is used to choose the time ordering.




Figure 22: Solved case of ambiguity in time ordering from Fig. 21.

### 10.3.2 Current interactions

- Fermion lines must be continuous.
- Anti-fermion lines must be continuous.

## 11 Relativity and Anti-Particles

### 11.1 Dirac Equation

- A relativistic equation for the electron.
- Makes a prediction of the positron (anti-electron).

- All charged particles have an anti-particle  $(p, \bar{p}), (\mu^-, \mu^+)$
- For neutral particles, their respective anti-particles have the same charge, but their quarks are opposites (neutrons), or they are their own anti-particle (photons).
- Predicts a relation between spin  $\vec{S}$  and magnet moment  $\vec{\mu} = q\vec{S}/m$ . This has been confirmed for the electron and muon, but not for protons and neutrons. This hints at the existence of substructure in protons and neutrons.

## 11.2 Symmetries and Conservation Laws

### 11.2.1 Symmetries and Conserved Quantities

Noethers theorem states that for every continuous symmetry, there is a conserved quantity. A list of symmetries and their conserved quantities can be seen in Section 11.2.1. They all have their quantum operators. If the physics remains unchanged under a transformation, the system is said to be symmetric under that transformation.

Symmetry	Conserved Quantity	Interactions
Space translation	Linear momentum	All
Space rotation	Angular momentum	All
Time displacement	Energy	all
Space inversion	Parity	Not weak
Charge inversion $u \leftrightarrow d$	C-parity Isospin	Not weak String

### 11.2.2 Intrinsic Parity

- All particles at rest have intrinsic parity. This comes from the only eigenvalue of the parity operator  $\hat{P}$  acting on a plane wave function  $\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = \exp(i(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{p} - Et)/\hbar)$ , can only return the same wavefunction if  $\vec{p} = 0$ .
- By convention, we say fundamental fermions have  $P = +1$ , and their antiparticles have  $P = -1$ . This is arbitrary.

### 11.2.3 Parity of Bound States

In quantum mechanics we can split the spatial part of the wave function into a radial part  $R(r)$  and a spherical harmonic  $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$ .

$$\Psi = R_{nl}(r)Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) \quad (102)$$

$$\vec{r} \rightarrow -\vec{r} \Rightarrow r \rightarrow r, \theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta, \phi \rightarrow \phi + \pi \quad (103)$$

The parity of the spherical harmonic is  $(-1)^l$ .

### 11.2.4 Charge Conjugation

- The charge conjugation operator  $\hat{C}$  changes all particles to their antiparticles.
- Eigenstates of  $\hat{C}$  are particles that are their own antiparticles, like photons.
- Eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$ .

### 11.2.5 Natural Units

- To make life simple we let  $\hbar = c = 1$ , and only add them back at the end when knowing the units.
- All quantities are measured in energy  $(eV)^n$ .
- Energy:  $eV$
- Momentum:  $eV/c$
- Mass:  $eV/c^2$
- Time and length:  $1/eV$
- It is useful to memorize  $\hbar c \approx 197 MeVF$

## 11.3 Scattering

- Scattering experiments is the core of nuclear and particle physics.
- Elastic Scattering: Same particles in the initial and final state. An example can be seen in Eq. (104), where a proton and electron collide. The electron might lose some energy in the form of momentum to the proton, but the particles are the same and their center of mass is unchanged.

$$e^- p \rightarrow e^- p \quad (104)$$

- Inelastic Scattering: Different particles in the initial and final state. An example can be seen in Eq. (105), where a particle can collide with an atom and excite it to a higher energy state.

$$aA \rightarrow a + A^* \quad (105)$$

### 11.3.1 Decay

- Unstable parent particles decay into stable and lighter daughter particles.
- Atom decay:  $A^* \rightarrow A + \gamma$
- Neutron decay:  $n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$
- $Z^0$  boson decay:  $Z^0 \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$ .
- For free particles, we must have a positive Q-value defined in Eq. (106).

$$Q = \left( M_P - \sum_i M_{D_i} \right) c^2 \quad (106)$$

- For unstable nuclei and particles we have a decay rate as seen in Eq. (107).

$$\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = -\lambda N(t) \quad (107)$$

The decay constant  $\lambda$  is related to the half-life  $T_{1/2}$  by  $\lambda = \ln(2)/T_{1/2}$ , with units of  $s^{-1}$ .

- The mean lifetime is given by  $\tau = 1/\lambda$ . This represent the average time a particle will live before decaying. The mean lifetime refer to the rest frame of the particle.
- Decay Width  $\Gamma$ : The decay rate in natural units. It is related to the decay constant by  $\Gamma = \hbar\lambda$ .
- Decay Channels: The different particles a particle can decay into. Each has its own decay width, where the total decay width is the sum of all the decay widths  $\Gamma = \sum_i \Gamma_i$ . Each channel has the same mean lifetime. They live for the same amount of time, but the probability of decaying into a specific channel is different.
- Branching Fraction: The probability of a particle decaying into a specific channel, given by  $B = \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{tot}}$ .

### Tau Particle

- A heavy particle which always decays ( $B = 100\%$ ).
- A list of some decay channels, responsible for approximately 60% of all decays:
  - $B(\tau^- \rightarrow e^-\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\tau) = 17.8\%$
  - $B(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu\nu_\tau) = 17.4\%$
  - $B(\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu^\tau) = 25.5\%$

## 11.4 Cross-Section

- We measure the rate  $W$  of a process happening.
- The number of particles hitting a target per unit area is called flux  $J = n_b v_i$ , where  $n_b$  is the number of particles per unit volume and  $v_i$  is the velocity of the beam.
- The area per target particle is called the cross section  $\sigma$ . This represents the area in which the particles interact in the relevant process.
- The rate of particles hitting the target is  $W = JN\sigma$ . where  $N$  is the number of particles.
- The rate  $W$  is proportional to the luminosity  $L$  by  $W = L(t)\sigma$ .
- The cross section  $\sigma$  is invariant under Lorentz transformations in the direction of the beam, meaning it is independent of the reference frame.
- Colliding two beams beams of  $N_1$  number of particles and  $N_2$  number of particles, we get a luminosity  $L(t) = fN_1N_2/A$ , where  $f$  is the frequency of the beam (how often they collide) and  $A$  is the area of the beam.

### 11.4.1 Differential Cross-Section

Measured time-integrated rate as a function of some observable. This could be momentum:

$$\sigma = \int_{p_{\min}}^{p_{\max}} \frac{d\sigma(p)}{dp} dp \quad (108)$$

#### 11.4.2 Measured Cross-Section

1. Define the process of interest.
2. Count the number of times the process happens.
3. Remove the background noise as measurement is imperfect.
4. Find the time-integrated luminosity  $\mathcal{L} \equiv \int L(t)dt$ .
5. For the total cross-section, we have  $N = \sigma\mathcal{L}\epsilon + b$  or  $\sigma = \frac{N - b}{\mathcal{L}\epsilon}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the efficiency of the detector and  $b$  is the background noise.

#### 11.4.3 Integrated Luminosity

- One can either precisely control the details of the beam and target to determine  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- One can also used well-known processes to determine  $\mathcal{L} = N_1/\sigma_1$ , if this event has a known cross-section. After that, one can correct for noise and efficiency, to then find the cross-section of the process of interest by  $\sigma_2 = \frac{N_2}{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{N_2}{N_1}\sigma_1$
- Barn: Unit of cross-section,  $1b = 10^{-24}\text{cm}^2$  or  $1b = 10^{-28}\text{m}^2$ . Integrated luminosity is often given in  $\text{fb}^{-1}$  or  $\text{pb}^{-1}$ , where f ( $10^{-15}$ ) and p ( $10^{-12}$ ) stands for femto and pico, respectively.  $1\text{pb} \approx 10^{-40}\text{m}^2 = (10^{-5}\text{fm})^2$ . In comparison the proton has a radius of about 0.84fm.

#### 11.4.4 Single Beam Particle and Target

- Again we use that the rate of observing some scattering process  $r$  is  $W_r = JN\sigma r$ .
- In the case of a single target particle we have  $N = 1$ .
- The flux  $J = n_b v_i$  where  $n_b$  is the number of beam particles per unit volume  $V$  and  $v_i$  is the velocity of the beam.
- The differential rate of observing the process  $r$  in a solid angle at direction  $(\theta, \phi)$ , is therefore  $dW_r = v_i \frac{d\sigma_r(\theta, \phi)}{d\Omega} d\Omega$

#### 11.4.5 Born Approximation

- Assuming the particle has a wavefunction traveling a large distance around the accelerator, we can describe it as a plane wave  $\psi_i$ .
- When disturbed by a potential  $V(r)$ , we can calculate the rate of scattering by the Born approximation. This is also known as "Fermi's Golden Rule". If we define the probability amplitude  $\mathcal{M}(\vec{q})$ , we get from Eq. (109) that the rate of scattering is given by Eq. (110). Here  $\vec{q}$  is the momentum transferred.

$$dW_r = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \int \psi_f^* V(\vec{r}) \psi_i d^3\vec{r} \right| \rho(E_f) \quad (109)$$

$$dW_r = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |M(\vec{q})|^2 \rho(E_f), \quad M \equiv \int V(\vec{r}) \exp(i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}/\hbar) d^3\vec{r} \quad (110)$$

- Multiplying by  $\hbar^2 c^2$  which we know to be about  $(197 \text{ MeV F})^2$  we cancel out the energy and get  $\sigma$  in units of  $F^2$  aka barn.

#### 11.4.6 Natural Units and Cross Section

- Cross-sections  $\sigma$  has unit of area, barn (b) of  $10^{-28} \text{ m}^2$ .
- In natural units this is proportional to  $1/E^2$  with units  $1/\text{MeV}^2$

### 11.5 Density of States (Energy States)

The number of states in a range of momenta  $d^3\vec{p}$ . This is derived from a wavefunction in a box of volume  $V = L^3$  with walls of infinite potential. The coordinate system is places in one of the corners such that the walls are at  $x = L$ ,  $y = L$  and  $z = L$ . From this we get the density of states in momentum space  $d^3\vec{p}$  as seen in Eq. (111).

$$\rho(E)dq = \frac{L^3}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} d^3\vec{p} , \quad \rightarrow d^3\vec{p} = q^2 dq d\phi d(\cos\phi) \equiv q^2 dq d\Omega \quad (111)$$

Eq. (111) can be used further where Eq. (112) is defined. Now we need to find  $\frac{dq}{dE}$

$$\rho(q)dq \equiv \rho(E)dE \rightarrow \rho(E) = \rho(q)\frac{dq}{dE} = \frac{L^3}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} q^2 \frac{dq}{dE} d\Omega \quad (112)$$

#### Non-Relativistic Case:

- $E = q^2/2m$
- $dE = 2qdq/2m = qdq/m$
- **Conclusions:**  $\frac{dq}{dE} = m/q = 1/v$

#### Relativistic Case:

- $E^2 = m^2c^4 + q^2c^2$
- $2EdE = 2c^2qdq$
- **Conclusions:**  $\frac{dq}{dE} = E/qc^2 = m\gamma c^2/m\gamma\beta c^3 = \frac{1}{\beta c} = 1/v$  The result is the same!

#### 11.5.1 Conclusion

$$\frac{d\sigma_r(\theta, \phi)}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{(2\pi^2)} \frac{1}{\hbar^4} \frac{q_f^2}{v_f v_i} |M(\vec{q})|^2 \quad (113)$$

## 11.6 Theoretical Cross-Section for $ab \rightarrow cd$

- The differential cross-section for a process  $ab \rightarrow cd$  is given by Eq. (114), where  $g_i$  and  $g_f$  are number of spin-states for the initial and final states particles, respectively.  $g_f = (2S_c + 1)(2S_d + 1)$  and  $g_i = (2S_a + 1)(2S_b + 1)$ .  $k$  represent all possible spin states.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{g_f}{4\pi^2 \hbar^4 v_i v_f} \frac{q_f^2}{|M(\vec{q})|^2} , \quad |M(\vec{q})|^2 = \frac{1}{g_i g_f} \sum_k |M_k|^2 \quad (114)$$

- In general, the particle beams are unpolarized and we therefore do not measure the spin of the final state particles.
- We average over the initial spin states, and sum over the final ones.

## 12 Particle Phenomenology

### 12.1 Leptons

- 3 generations increasing in mass.
- Neutrinos has only weak interactions due to lack of charge. This makes it has to detect and is a hint of a weak interaction.
- Except a few cases, the generations of leptons are conserved in interactions.
- The weakness of the weak force comes from the massive mediating particles  $W^\pm$  and  $Z^0$ .
- The flavour of the lepton does not affect the strength of the interaction.

## 13 Range of Forces and Amplitudes

### 13.1 Yukawa Potential

Looking at the Schrödinger equation:

$$H\Psi = E\Psi \quad (115)$$

where the energy solution must satisfy  $E^2 = m^2 c^4 + p^2 c^2$ . The energy and momentum operators are given by:

$$E = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} , \quad \vec{p} = -i\hbar \vec{\nabla} \quad (116)$$

The solution should  $\phi$  be a static one, representing a radial potential:

$$\nabla^2 \phi(r) = \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \phi(r) \quad (117)$$

As the factors have units of length squared, we can create a new variable  $R = \hbar^2 / m^2 c^2$ . Guessing a solution on the form  $\phi(r) = u(r)/r$ , we get the following solution not diverging for large  $r$ :

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(r)}{\partial r^2} = \frac{u(r)}{R^2} \rightarrow u(r) = a e^{-r/R} \rightarrow \phi(r) = a \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \quad (118)$$

This gives a potential  $V$  as seen in Eq. (119), with a potential vanishing for large distances. For complex structures we need to take into the internal structure as well. This assumes an interaction between a particle with charge  $-g$ , and another much heavier particle with charge  $+g$ .

$$V(r) = -\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \quad (119)$$

## 13.2 Yukawa Amplitude

Amplitude from the Bourne approximation:

$$\mathcal{M} = \int V(\vec{r}) e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}/\hbar} d^3r \quad , \quad \vec{q} = \vec{p}_f - \vec{p}_i \quad (120)$$

Solving this with the Yukawa potential, we get the following result:

$$\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r} = qr \cos \theta \quad , \quad d^3r = r^2 dr = \sin \theta dr d\theta d\phi \quad (121)$$

This gives the following result in Eq. (122), only valid when  $|\vec{q}_i| = |\vec{q}_f|$  and energy is constant (elastic scattering):

$$\mathcal{M}(q^2) = -\frac{g^2 \hbar^2}{q^2 + m^2 c^2} \quad (122)$$

To make this Lorentz invariant (as momentum is dependant on the frame of reference), we get the following result in Eq. (124), when  $Q^2 \ll m^2$

$$Q^2 (\vec{q}_i - \vec{q}_f)^- (E_f - E_i)^2 / c^2 \quad (123)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(Q^2) = -\frac{g^2 \hbar^2}{Q^2 + m^2 c^2} \quad (124)$$

If the range of the exchange is very small, then  $Q^2$  is also very small, giving us the following approximation:

$$\mathcal{M}(Q^2) = -\frac{g^2 \hbar^2}{m^2 c^2} \equiv -G \quad (125)$$

We often visualize this in Feynman diagrams as shown in Figs. 23 and 24 . Both  $Q^2$  and  $G$  are Lorentz invariant.

## 13.3 Amplitude of $W$ -boson Exchange

As the result of the short-range approximation shows in Eq. (124), we see it is dependant on mass, an therefore we can use this to calculate the mass of the  $W$ -boson. The amplitude of the  $W$ -boson exchange is given by:

$$\alpha_W \equiv \frac{g_W^2}{4\pi\hbar c} \quad , \quad \alpha_{EM} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \quad (126)$$

Taking into account the spins of the particles gives a factor of  $\sqrt{2}$ .

$$G_F \frac{g_W^2 \hbar^2}{m_W^2 c^2} \sqrt{2} \rightarrow \frac{G_F}{(\hbar c)^3} = \frac{4\pi\alpha_W}{(m_W c^2)^2} \sqrt{2} \quad (127)$$

By measurement of  $G_F/(\hbar c)^3 = 1.166 \cdot 10^{-5}$  GeV $^{-2}$ , we can calculate the mass of the  $W$ -boson to be  $m_W \approx 105$  GeV/c $^2$ , which is close to the actual value of  $m_W = 80.4$  GeV/c $^2$ . This assumes  $\alpha_W \approx \alpha_{EM}$ .




Figure 23: Feynman diagram of a non-approximated exchange of particle  $X$




Figure 24: Feynman diagram of a short-range approximated exchange of particle  $X$

### 13.4 Amplitude of Other exchanges

Each vertex has a factor charge and a cross section decay rate which is proportional to  $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ . We can count the number of exchanges of particle  $X$  as orders of  $\alpha_X$  ( $\sqrt{\alpha_X}$ , per vertex before squaring). This typically represent small corrections as  $\alpha_X \ll 1$ .

### 13.5 Muon vs Tau Decays

$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu \quad (128)$$

$$\tau^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\tau \quad (129)$$

The width of the decay rate is proportional to the matrix elements square:  $\Gamma \propto |\mathcal{M}|^2$  and  $\mathcal{M} \propto G_F, G_f = 1.166 \cdot 10^{-5} (\hbar c)^3 / \text{GeV}^2$ . To get units of energy we must have

$$\Gamma = K G_F^2 (m_l c^2)^5 / (\hbar c)^6 \quad (130)$$

where  $m_l$  is the mass of the initial lepton. We do not need to know  $K$  as we only want to compare the two processes. In natural units we get:

$$K G_F^2 m_l^5 \quad (131)$$

The ratio then becomes as shown in Eq. (132). As the muon only have one decay channel, it is easy to find the decay width. This does not hold for the tau, as it only becomes an electron about 18% of the time.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau)}{\Gamma(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu)} \approx \left( \frac{m_\tau}{m_\mu} \right)^5 \approx 1.354 \cdot 10^6 \quad (132)$$

We must correct for the fact that the tau decays into a muon about 17.8% of the time, by using their respective lifetime  $\tau \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma}$ . For the muon we have  $\frac{1}{\tau_\mu} = 1/2.2\mu$  seconds, and for the tau we have  $\frac{1}{\tau_\tau} = 1/0.29p$  seconds. Using experiment telling us the branching fraction of the tau, we find we a good estimate of the ratio in Eq. (132).

$$\frac{\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau)}{\Gamma(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu)} = \frac{\tau_\mu}{\tau_\tau} \underbrace{B(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau)}_{17.8\%} = 1.35 \cdot 10^6 \quad (133)$$

which is very good estimate within 2% of the predicted value.

## 14 Resonance Formation and Decay

- Cross-section is proportional to the following:

$$\sigma \propto \chi^*(E)\chi(E) \quad , \quad \chi(E) \int_0^\infty \Psi(t)e^{i\omega t} dt = E/\hbar \quad (134)$$

- The center of mass of the particle has 0 momentum. This gives:

$$\Psi(t) = \Psi(0)e^{-i\omega_R t}e^{-\Gamma t/2} \quad , \quad \omega_R = E_r/\hbar = m_R c^2/\hbar \quad (135)$$

- The result is

$$\chi^*(E)\chi(E) \propto \frac{1}{(E - m_R c^2)^2 + \hbar^2 \Gamma^2/4} \quad (136)$$

- Visualized it looks like a Gaussian curve, but with a much higher peak. This is called a Breit-Wigner formula as shown in Fig. 25. It tells us the width of the decay rate  $\Gamma$  in energy units, and lets us look at decay rates of particles with very short lifetimes.

### 14.0.1 Width and Lifetime

- In practical units:  $\lambda \equiv \Gamma = 1/\tau$ .
- In natural units (energy in this case) :  $\Gamma = \hbar/\tau = \hbar c/\tau c$
- The wider the width, the shorter the lifetime.

## 15 Neutrino Mixing and Oscillations

- Slowly, the neutrinos changes into each other.
- The flavour eigenstates are not the same as their mass eigenstates.
- The neutrinos propagate as mass eigenstates, but are produced and detected as flavour eigenstates of the weak interaction.




Figure 25: The Breit-Wigner formula for resonance formation and decay

## 15.1 Neutrino Mixing

- This has an extremely low probability of happening, and has not been observed in the lab.

### 15.1.1 3-generation mixing

- Easier to understand with 2 generations.
- If we produce a beam of  $\nu_e$  with momentum  $p$ , we can observe it later at some distance  $x$ .
- As the neutrinos are produced as a mix of mass eigenstates, they will propagate slightly differently because of their mass difference. Some will turn into  $\nu_\mu$ , written as:  $\nu_e \rightarrow \otimes \rightarrow \nu_\mu$

## 15.2 Probability of Mixing

Starting with a beam of only  $\nu_e$  at  $t = 0$ . We can calculate the time dependent state.

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\nu_e\rangle \\ |\nu_\mu\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_1\rangle \\ |\nu_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_e\rangle \\ |\nu_\mu\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_1\rangle \\ |\nu_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (137)$$

$$|\nu(t)\rangle = a(t) \cos \theta |\nu_1\rangle + b(t) \sin \theta |\nu_2\rangle \quad , \quad a(t) = \exp(-iE_1 t) \quad , \quad b(t) = \exp(-iE_2 t) \quad (138)$$

As we can both detect  $\nu_e$  and  $\nu_\mu$ , we can calculate each probability, with a superposition of their wavefunctions instead of  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ .

$$|\nu(t)\rangle = a(t) \cos \theta (\cos \theta |\nu_e\rangle - \sin \theta |\nu_\mu\rangle) + b(t) \sin \theta (\sin \theta |\nu_e\rangle + \cos \theta |\nu_\mu\rangle) \quad (139)$$

$$|\nu(t)\rangle = (a(t) \cos^2 \theta + b(t) \sin^2 \theta) |\nu_e\rangle + (b(t) \sin \theta \cos \theta - a(t) \sin \theta \cos \theta) |\nu_\mu\rangle \quad (140)$$

Only if  $a = b$  for all  $t$ , there will be no mixing. The normalization is defined as:

$$|\langle \nu_e | \nu_e \rangle|^2 \equiv |\langle \nu_\mu | \nu_\mu \rangle|^2 \equiv 1 \quad (141)$$

The probability of finding the muon neutrino is given by:

$$P(\nu_\mu) = |\langle \nu_\mu | \nu(t) \rangle|^2 = \left| \frac{b(t) - a(t)}{2} \right|^2 \sin^2 2\theta \quad , \quad \text{using } \sin 2\theta = 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \quad (142)$$

$$|b(t) - a(t)|^2 = b^*b + a^*a - (a^*b + b^*a) = 2 - \exp(i(E_2 - E_1)t) - \exp(-i(E_2 - E_1)t) \quad (143)$$

Using the fact that  $\exp ix + \exp -ix = 2 \cos x$  and  $\sin^2 x/2 = (1 - \cos x)/2$  we get:

$$2 - 2 \cos(E_2 - E_1)t = 4 \sin^2 \left( \frac{E_2 - E_1}{2}t \right) \quad (144)$$

We finally get the probability:

$$\underline{\underline{P(\nu_\mu) = |\langle \nu_\mu | \nu(t) \rangle|^2 = \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \left( \frac{(E_2 - E_1)t}{2} \right)}}$$

As long as  $\theta$  is nonzero, or the energies are equal, we get a probability oscillating between 0 and 1.

### 15.2.1 Conclusion

- As the masses of the neutrinos are very small in comparison to their momentum, we say the energy is the momentum. This gives a new difference in energy:

$$E_2 - E_1 = \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2E} \quad (146)$$

- for small masses,  $v \approx c$ , so the position  $L(t) \approx ct$ .
- We define a new constant in natural units  $L_0 \equiv 4E/(m_2^2 - m_1^2)$
- We have a final result of the probability of oscillation as:

$$P(\nu_\mu) = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left( \frac{L}{L_0} \right) \quad (147)$$

- The scale of these oscillations are very large, and therefore very hard to detect.

## 16 Nuclear Models

- Two main categories, single particle, and collective.
- Collective models looks at the nucleus as a whole. Single particle models looks at the nucleus as a collection of individual particles.

## 16.1 Liquid-Drop Model

- A collective model.
- Assumes the nucleus behaves like molecules in an oscillating drop of liquid.
- All molecules attract each other and are held together by surface tension.
- The droplet is charged which destabilizes the oscillations.
- Heavy droplets are shaped like dumbbells, as they are almost split in two.
- The model explains the binding energy and mass of the nuclei. It also explains the fission of heavy nuclei.
- The model does not explain the shell structure or magic numbers

## 16.2 Fermi-Gas model Section 16.2

- A single particle model.
- Consider a system of completely non-interacting nucleons in a three dimensional box potential.
- The potential is a well-potential, which treats protons and neutrons differently. The protons has a lower potential than the neutrons.
- The nucleons are arranged in pairs because of the Pauli exclusion principle.
- The Fermi energy  $E_F$  is the energy of the highest occupied state.
- The Fermi momentum  $p_F$  is the momentum of the highest occupied state.
- To calculate  $E_F$ , we must first calculate the number of states in the box of volume  $V$ .

$$dA = 4 \frac{V}{\Delta x^3} \quad (148)$$

- The model can not explain the shell structure or magic numbers.




Figure 26: Visual representation of the Fermi-Gas model

### 16.3 Shell Model Fig. 27

- A single particle model. Takes inspiration from the shell structure of the atom.
- Explains the shell structure and properties of nuclei. Explains the magic numbers
- The model assumes the particles do not interact. They are affected by a radial central spherical potential created by all the nucleons. It is therefore easier to estimate the movement of any single nucleon.
- Nuclei with magic numbers are more stable. The magic numbers for protons are 2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82. The magic numbers for neutrons are 2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82, 126.
- Double magic nuclei are nuclei with magic numbers for both protons and neutrons.
- Instead of just having the nucleons pair up as in the Fermi-Gas model, the nucleons are arranged in shells.
- Each shell has a maximum number of nucleons.
- When certain magic number are reached, the separation energy is higher. They are therefore more stable.
- The electric quadrupole moment is the lowest for nuclei with magic numbers. This hints at them having a spherical shape, which is the most stable shape.
- Odd-Odd (Z-P) nuclei have only 4 stable isotopes.
- Odd-Even nuclei have 50 stable isotopes.
- Even-Odd nuclei have 53 stable isotopes.
- Even-Even nuclei have 165 stable isotopes.

#### 16.3.1 Simplifying the Complex System to a Simple Model Fig. 28

#### 16.3.2 Finding the Hamiltonian

- The system gets complex fast, when we have multiple unpaired nucleons. The Hamiltonian is then given by:

$$H = H_0 + H_{\text{res}} \quad (149)$$

where  $H_0$  is the Hamiltonian for the system with paired nucleons, and  $H_{\text{res}}$  is the residual Hamiltonian for the unpaired nucleons.

- To find the Hamiltonian we need the potential  $U(r)$  and finally reproduce the magic numbers.




Figure 27: Lowest energy levels for nucleons with their spin-orbit term.




Figure 28: The transition from a complex system where all the particles interact, to a simple model where the nucleons are affected by a radial central spherical potential.

## 17 Relativistic Kinematics

The conversion from one reference frame to another is done by the linear Lorentz transformation. The Lorentz transformation is given by Eq. (150) where  $\beta = v/c$  and  $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} ct \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & -\gamma\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\gamma\beta & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ct \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} E/c \\ p_x \\ p_y \\ p_z \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & -\gamma\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\gamma\beta & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E/c \\ p_x \\ p_y \\ p_z \end{pmatrix} \quad (150)$$

**Example:** For a particle at rest in coordinate system  $s$ , we have  $\vec{p} = 0$  and  $E = mc^2$ . From here we can derive the energy and momentum in the  $s'$  system.

$$p'_z = -\frac{\gamma\beta E}{c} = -m\gamma\beta c = -mv\gamma \quad (151)$$

$$E'/c = \gamma E/c = ymc \rightarrow E' = \gamma mc^2 \quad (152)$$

In this case we have  $p'_\perp = p_\perp$ , as the transformation is in the z-direction.

## 17.1 Four-Vectors

$$\vec{A} = (A_0, a_x, a_y, a_z) \quad (153)$$

$$\vec{B} = (B_0, b_x, b_y, b_z) \quad (154)$$

### 17.1.1 Dot product

$$\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} = A_0 B_0 - a_x b_x - a_y b_y - a_z b_z) \vec{A}^T \eta \vec{B} \quad (155)$$

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (156)$$

### 17.1.2 Dot product in different reference frames

$$\vec{A}' \cdot \vec{B}' = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ a_x \\ a_y \\ a_z \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & -\gamma\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\gamma\beta & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & -\gamma\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\gamma\beta & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_0 \\ b_x \\ b_y \\ b_z \end{pmatrix} \quad (157)$$

Using the fact that  $(ab)^T = b^T a^T$  we get:

$$\vec{A}' \cdot \vec{B}' = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ a_x \\ a_y \\ a_z \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_0 \\ b_x \\ b_y \\ b_z \end{pmatrix} = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \quad (158)$$

### 17.1.3 Attributes Summary

Consider the following attributes of the dot product of two four-vectors  $\vec{A} = (ct, \vec{x})$  and  $\vec{B} = (E/c, \vec{p})$ .

- The dot product is invariant under Lorentz transformations. This means that the dot product is the same in all reference frames.
- $\vec{A}^2 = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{A} = c^2 t^2 - |\vec{x}|^2 = \text{constant}$ .
- $\vec{B}^2 = E^2/c^2 - |\vec{p}|^2 = \text{constant}$ . We define the invariant mass as mass in the rest frame.

$$(Wc^2)^2 = E^2 - |\vec{p}|^2 c^2 \quad (159)$$

If  $\vec{p} = 0$  then  $(Wc^2)^2 = E^2 = m^2 c^4$ , which makes  $m = W$ , the rest mass.

- The invariant mass for a collation of particles is defined as the sum of the invariant masses of the particles.

$$(Wc^2) \equiv \left( \sum_i E_i \right)^2 - \left( \sum_i |\vec{p}_i| \right)^2 c^2 \quad (160)$$

This allows us to measure the mass in any frame we find convenient. This allowed us to detect the Higgs boson, by looking at the invariant mass of the decay products which were photons.

## 17.2 Four-Momentum Transfer

$$Q^2 \equiv -(E - E')^2 - (c\vec{p} - c\vec{p}')^2 = -c^2 \left( \vec{P}_i - \vec{P}_f \right)^2 \quad (161)$$

Since  $Q^2$  is dependant on the Lorenz invariant four-vectors, it is also Lorenz invariant. The probability amplitude for the Yukawa potential is therefore:

$$\mathcal{M}(Q^2) = \frac{-g^2 \hbar^2}{Q^2 + m^2 c^2} \quad (162)$$

which is also Lorenz invariant.

## 17.3 Invariant Mass of Virtual Photon

Consider the following reaction:

$$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^* \rightarrow f\bar{f} \quad (163)$$

We have a symmetric collider which  $E_b \rightarrow \leftarrow E_b$ . The momentum of the virtual photon is 0.

$$\vec{p}_\gamma^* = 0 \rightarrow Wc^2 = 2E_b \quad (164)$$

We can then find the center mass energy:

$$\sqrt{s} = Wc^2 = E_{\text{cm}} = 2E_b \quad (165)$$

### 17.3.1 Fixed-Target Experiment

Consider a particle collision between a beam  $b$ , and a target  $t$  at rest. How do we find the center mass energy?

$$E_b^2 = m_b^2 c^4 + p_b^2 c^2 \quad , \quad E_t = m_t c^2 \quad (166)$$

$$(Wc^2)^2 = \left( \sum_i E_i \right)^2 - \left( \sum_i |\vec{p}_i| \right)^2 c^2 = (E_b + m_t c^2)^2 - (\vec{p}_b c)^2 \quad (167)$$

If we let  $c = 1$ , we find  $W$ .

$$W = E_{\text{cm}} = \sqrt{m_b^2 + m_t^2 + 2E_b m_t} \quad (168)$$

If the momentum is very large, we can neglect the masses of the particles so  $E_{\text{cm}} = \sqrt{2m_t E_b}$ .

# 18 Particle Accelerators

- Particles are accelerated by a voltage. This has a 1-1 correspondence with the energy for the electrons. Using a voltage of 1 MeV, we can accelerate electrons to an energy of 1 MeV.

## 18.1 Linear Accelerators

- At high voltages, the field breaks down. Using an alternating current, we can avoid this.
- Radio frequency linear accelerators are used to accelerate particles to any energy, as the particle only feels the electric energy in the gaps. This is illustrated in Fig. 29.




Figure 29: Illustration of a radio frequency linear accelerator. The voltages oscillate as the particles moves through the gaps. Only every second tube can be occupied.

## 18.2 Cyclotrons

- Using an oscillating current, the particle will start in the center, and spiral outwards. This is illustrated in Fig. 30.




Figure 30: Illustration of a cyclotron.

### 18.3 Focusing of Particles

- Magnetic fields are used to focus the beam to a point.
- Quadrupole magnets (4 poles) are often used. They work analogously to a optical lens.
- The ability to focus the beam of some width  $\sigma(s)$  is limited by the focusing properties  $\beta(s)$  (the magnets) and how much each particle deviates from the beam  $\varepsilon$ .

$$\sigma(s) = \sqrt{\varepsilon\beta(s)} \quad (169)$$

### 18.4 Characteristics of Accelerators

- **Particle Type:** Protons, electrons, ions, etc.
- **Center of mass energy:** You need a certain amount of energy to create the different particles. You need  $E_{cm} \geq mc^2$ . The wavelength of the particles is given by  $\lambda = \frac{h}{p}$ . You need a probe with an even smaller wavelength.
- **Luminosity:**  $R = \mathcal{L}\sigma$  where  $\mathcal{L} = fn_1n_2/4\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y$ . This shows the production rate  $R$  needed.  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the number of particles,  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  are the transverse sizes of the beams.  $f$  is the rate of collisions.

### 18.5 Large Hadron Collider (LHC)

- Circumference of 27 km.
- Four interaction points where the beams collide.
- Eight straight sections of 530m, leading to the IPs (intersection points).
- 1200 superconducting dipole magnets are used to bend the beams.
- Can bunch  $n = 1e11$  protons with a collision frequency  $f = 40\text{MHz}$ . These are focused to a width of  $\sigma = 20\mu\text{m}$  at the IP.

### 18.6 Particle Types

- **Proton-Proton Colliders:** Initial state is unknown. There is a lot of background noise, which must be filtered.
- **Electron-Positron Colliders:** Initial state is known. The background noise is lower.

### 18.7 Limitations

- **Circular proton-proton collider:** The strength of the magnetic fields limits the energy.
- **Circular electron-positron collider:** The radiation loss makes it hard to reach high energies.
- **Linear electron-positron collider:** The width of the beam is a lot larger, making the luminosity requirements harder to reach.

# 19 Neutrino Mixing Continued

- **Sterile Neutrinos:** A hypothetical fourth neutrino generation that does not interact with the weak force. It will therefore not be detected by the standard model detectors, but a gap in the number of neutrons after a reaction would point to its existence.

## 19.1 Neutrino Mixing in Practice

- The different neutrinos interact with the electron through the  $Z^0$ -boson all the same.
- The electron neutrino can also interact with the electron, through the  $W^-$ -boson. This would change the charge of each particle.

## 19.2 Quark Mixing

- Three generations of quarks:

1. Up and Down  $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}$
2. Charm and Strange  $\begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}$
3. Top and Bottom  $\begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}$

- The mass increases for each generation.
- Top-type quarks have a charge of  $+\frac{2}{3}$ , while bottom-type quarks have a charge of  $-\frac{1}{3}$ .
- All quarks are spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  particles.
- No free quarks has been observer. We look at hadrons for information about quarks.
- High energy collisions of particle and anti-particles creates multiple jets of particles. This shows that there are multiple quarks in the hadrons.
- The top quark has such a short lifetime that it decays before it can form a hadron. It also has the greatest mass.
- **Spectator Model:** A single quark involved in a hadron decay, assumes the other quarks are spectators and does not interact.

### 19.2.1 Quark Number & Flavour Conservation

- Quark number for each flavour is conserved for electromagnetic and strong interactions.

$$N_f \equiv N(f) - N(\bar{f}) \quad , \quad f \in \{u, d, s, c, b, t\} \quad (170)$$

- The flavour of the quarks are allowed to change through the weak interaction. This means the following interaction would be allowed:

$$c \xrightarrow{w^+} s u d \bar{s} \quad (171)$$

- Baryon number is conserved in all interactions. The baryon number is defined as follows:

$$B \equiv N_q/3 = (N(q) - N(\bar{q})) / 3 \quad (172)$$

### 19.3 Hadrons

The most common hadrons are:

- Baryons made up of three quarks.
- Anti-baryons made up of three anti-quarks.
- Mesons made up of a quark and an anti-quark.

The strong force does not care about the flavour. The forces between the quarks are the same for all quarks.

### 19.4 Isospin

- The symmetry between the up and down quarks, with respect to the strong interaction.
- Has nothing to do with regular spin.
- The up and down states are flavour states of the quarks.
- The same can be used for protons and neutrons as two states of the nucleon.
- The symmetry is just an approximation, as the masses and charge of the up and down quarks are not the same.

#### 19.4.1 Isospin Doublet

- The "light quark" and anti-light quark are noted as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} \\ -\bar{d} \end{pmatrix} \quad (173)$$

- In this framework, we can describe the nucleon as a state of the isospin doublet.

$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} udu \\ udd \end{pmatrix} = (ud) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \quad (174)$$

- Example of the "Kaon":

$$\begin{pmatrix} K^+ \\ K^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u\bar{s} \\ d\bar{s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} (\bar{s}) \quad (175)$$

- Perfect isospin symmetry would mean that the mass of the proton and neutron would be the same, just different charge.

## 19.5 Isospin Mathematics

- Same as with spin and angular momentum.
  - If  $I = I_3$ , we get  $I_3 = -I, -I+1, \dots, I-1, I$
  - If  $I = 2/3$  we get  $I_3 = -3/2, -1/2, 1/2, 3/2$
- Addition works the same as for spin and angular momentum.
  - $I^a + I^b = |I^a - I^b|, |I^a - I^b| + 1, \dots, I^a + I^b - 1, I^a + I^b$
  - If we have  $I^a = I^b = 1$ , we get:  $1 + 1 = 0, 1, 2$
- For isospin conserving strong interactions we have the same sum-rule for the third  $z$ -component.

$$I_3 = \sum_i I_3^i \text{ where } I_3(u, \bar{b}) = 1/2 \text{ and } I_3(\bar{u}, d) = -1/2 \quad (176)$$

### 19.5.1 Isospin of Deuteron

A deuteron is a proton and neutron. We need to use the sum rule, and third component of isospin to find the isospin of the deuteron.

**Proton:**

$$|p, I, I_3\rangle = \left| \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \quad (177)$$

**Neutron:**

$$|n, I, I_3\rangle = \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \quad (178)$$

**Deuteron:**

$$I_3(d) = I_3(p) + I_3(n) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0 \quad (179)$$

We do not have enough information to find  $I$ . We do know that no other charge state with approximately the same mass, meaning the deuteron must be in a iso-singlet state of:

$$|I, I_3\rangle = |0, 0\rangle \quad (180)$$

### 19.5.2 Isospin of a Pion and nucleon

Given a final state with a pion  $I = 1$  and a nucleon  $I = 1/2$ , what are the possible initial isospin states?

**Solution 1:**

$$|I, I_3\rangle = \left| \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \quad (181)$$

**Solution 2:**

$$|I, I_3\rangle = \left\{ \left| \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle, \left| \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \right\} \quad (182)$$

## 20 Spectroscopic Notation

$$^{2S+1}L_J \quad (183)$$

where  $S$  is the total spin of the continuans,  $L$  is the total orbital angular momentum of the continuans.  $J$  is the sum of the two, and can take many values.

$$J = \vec{L} + \vec{S} \rightarrow J = |L - S|, |L - S| + 1, \dots, |L + S - 1|, |L + S| \quad (184)$$

$L$  can take the following values:  $^1S_0$  means  $J = S = L = 0$ .

L	Symbol
0	S
1	P
2	D
3	F
4	G

### 20.1 Use-case Example: Deuteron

Deuteron  $d$  has total spin  $J = 1$ , as each nucleon is half-spin particles. We also assume that the ground state has  $L = 0$ . The total state is therefore  $^3S_1$ . This predicts a magnetic dipole moment as the sum of the magnetic dipole moments of the proton and neutron. This is because we only take into account their intrinsic spins. This is close to what experiment show, but not quite. The reason for this discrepancy is that  $L$  can be 2. This gives us the  $^3D_1$  state. This explains the difference. For bound states,  $L$  is only approximately a good quantum number.

## 21 Quark Model

### 21.1 Hadron Spectroscopy

If we assume the following:

- $L$  and  $S$  are good quantum numbers.
- Quarks have spin 1/2.
- Mesons are  $q\bar{q}$ , baryons are  $qqq$ , with  $q \in \{u, d, s, c, b\}$
- Lightest mesons states have  $L = 0$ .
- Lightest baryon states have  $L_{12} = L_3 = 0$

#### 21.1.1 Mesons

- Two possible spin states:  $S = 0$  and  $S = 1$ .
- For  $L = 0$  and  $J = S$  we can have  $^1S_0$  and  $^3S_1$  states.

- This predicts two ground states with different spins. Things are more complicated with  $L = 1$ .
- For  $L = 1$  and  $J = L - 1, \dots, L + 1$  if  $S = 0$  or  $J = L$  if  $S = 0$ .
- For lighter mesons we have  $L = 0$  and  $S = 1$ , for the lightest we have  $S = 0$ , as well. For heavier mesons we have  $L = 1$ .
- The heavier ones have a shorter lifespan.

### 21.1.2 Baryons

- 3 spin-1/2 quarks so that  $S = 1/2$  or  $3/2$ .
- For  $L = 0$  we have 2 states  $^2S_{1/2}$  and  $^4S_{3/2}$ .
- for  $L = 1$  it again gets more complicated. We have 5  $P$ -states and 6  $D$ -states.
- Light  $S$ -states include  $p, n, \Lambda, \Lambda_c, \Lambda_b$ . We expect to find heavier and more unstable states for  $S = 3/2$ .

## 21.2 Intrinsic Parity of Hadrons

- $P_{\text{meson}} = P_a P_{\bar{b}} (-1)^L = (-1)^{L+1}$ .
  - Low-mass mesons with  $L = 0$  predicted to have  $P = -1$ , consistent with observations of  $\pi, K, D$
- $P_{\text{baryon}} = P_a P_b P_c (-1)^{L_{12}+L_3}$ .
- $P_{\text{anti-baryon}} = P_{\bar{a}} P_{\bar{b}} P_{\bar{c}} (-1)^{L_{12}+L_3} = (-1)^{L_{12}+L_3+1}$ .
  - Low mass baryons with  $L_{12} = L_3 = 0$  predicted to have  $P = +1$ , with anti-baryons having  $P = -1$ .

## 21.3 Charge Conjugation (particle $\leftrightarrow$ anti-particle)

- The parity operator  $\hat{C}$  changes particle to anti-particle and vice versa.
- Both  $C$ -parity and  $P$ -parity are conserved during strong and electromagnet interactions.
- Some particles, like the photon, are their own anti-particle. They are eigenstates of the operator  $\hat{C}$ .
- Other states have distinct anti-particles. They are not eigenstates of the operator  $\hat{C}$ .
- C-parity eigenstates can be constructed by particle-antiparticle pairs that are symmetric or anti-symmetric under exchange of the particles  $a \leftrightarrow \bar{a}$ . This could be done as follows:

$$\hat{C} |a\Psi_1, \bar{a}\Psi_2\rangle = |\bar{a}\Psi_1, a\Psi_2\rangle = \pm |a\Psi_1, \bar{a}\Psi_2\rangle \quad (185)$$

- An example could be the pion.

$$\hat{C} |\pi^+ \pi^-; L\rangle = (-1)^L |\pi^- \pi^+; L\rangle \quad (186)$$

### 21.3.1 C-parity of pion $\pi^0$

- The pion often decays to two photons  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ . The photons have their own C-parity, but as a unit they must have the same C-parity as the pion,  $\hat{C}\pi^0 = +1$ . The question is, does the photons each have  $C = +1$  or  $C = -1$ ?
- As we never have observed a decay of the pion to three photons, we can conclude that the photons must have  $C = -1$ .

### 21.3.2 C-parity of $\eta$

- Neutral spin-+ mesons with great mass of 558 MeV and spacial parity  $\hat{P}\eta = -1$ .
- $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  happens 39% of the time. We know the photons have  $C = -1$ , so the  $\eta$  must have  $C = +1$ .
- $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)$  happens 33% of the time. The pions have  $C = +1$ , so the  $\eta$  must have  $C = -1$ .
- $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$  happens 23% of the time. Applying the C-parity operator to the pions as below:

$$\hat{C} |\pi^+ p_1, \pi^- p_2, \pi^0 p_3\rangle = |\pi^- p_1, \pi^+ p_2, \pi^0 p_3\rangle \quad (187)$$

This predicts that the momentum of the pions must be indistinguishable.

- We can then predict why the probability of  $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0) = 0\%$ . It fulfills the conservation of C-parity, but not the spacial parity. One needs to check both attributes.

### 21.3.3 C-parity of Spin-1/2 Fermions

General formula:

$$\hat{C} |f\bar{f}; J, L, S\rangle = (-1)(-1)^{S+1}(-1)^L |f\bar{f}; J, L, S\rangle = (-1)^{L+S} |f\bar{f}; J, L, S\rangle \quad (188)$$

- This comes from  $(-1)^L$  from the space inversion.
- $(-1)$  comes from the exchange of fermion-antifermion (QFT).
- The exchange of the spin wavefunction gives rise to the  $(-1)^{S+1}$  term.

## 21.4 Quantum Numbers

Important quantum numbers, where the subscripts stands for strangeness  $s$ , charm  $c$ , bottomness  $b$ , topness  $t$  and quark  $q$ , respectively. They may or may not be conserved during weak interactions.:

- $S = -(N_s - N_{\bar{s}})$
- $C = +(N_c - N_{\bar{c}})$
- $\tilde{B} = -(N_b - N_{\bar{b}})$
- $T = +(N_t - N_{\bar{t}})$

- $B \equiv N_q/3 = (N_q - N_{\bar{q}})/2$
- Hypercharge  $Y = B + S + C + \tilde{B} + T$
- Isospin  $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}(N_u - N_d) - \frac{1}{2}(N_{\bar{u}} - N_{\bar{d}})$
- $I_3 = Q - Y/2$ , with  $Q$  being the Coulomb charge.
- Full table of quark quantum numbers can be found in Fig. 31. The anti-quarks have the opposite quantum numbers, with the exception of Isospin  $I$ .  $I_3$  is the opposite as well.
- These numbers can be used as a basis for spanning out the possible states of quarks.

Quark	$B$	$Y$	$Q$	$I$	$I_3$
$u$	1/3	1/3	2/3	1/2	1/2
$d$	1/3	1/3	-1/3	1/2	-1/2
$c$	1/3	4/3	2/3	0	0
$s$	1/3	-2/3	-1/3	0	0
$t$	1/3	4/3	2/3	0	0
$b$	1/3	-2/3	-1/3	0	0
$\bar{u}$	-1/3	-1/3	-2/3	1/2	-1/2

Figure 31: Table of quark quantum numbers.

#### 21.4.1 Hadrons With $C = \tilde{B} = T = 0$

- Isospin states:
 
$$-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = (0, 1)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = (0, 1) + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$$
- Table of isospin states can be found in Fig. 32.

Hadrons	Quarks	$S$	$I$
<i>Baryons</i>	$qqq$	0	$3/2, 1/2$
	$qqs$	-1	$1, 0$
	$qss$	-2	$1/2$
	$sss$	-3	0
<i>Mesons</i>	$q\bar{s}$	1	$1/2$
	$s\bar{s}$	0	0
	$q\bar{q}$	0	$1, 0$
	$\bar{q}s$	-1	$1/2$

Figure 32: Table of isospin states.

**Example:**  $\Sigma^+$

$$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^- \quad (189)$$

- Looking at their quantum numbers on the left-hand side we find that  $S = -1$ ,  $B = 1$ ,  $C = \tilde{B} = T = 0$ . The right-hand side has  $S = 0$ ,  $B = 0$ ,  $C = \tilde{B} = T = 0$  and our  $\Sigma^+$ . For the quantities to be conserved we infer the values of the other  $\Sigma^+$ , which must be  $S = -1$ ,  $B = +1$ ,  $C = \tilde{B} = T = 0$ .
- Then we find the Isospin using  $I_3 = Q - \frac{Y}{2}$  which gives  $I_3 = 1$ . We have two choices for  $I$ , either 0 or 1. As  $I_3 = 1$ , we must have  $I = 1$  as well. This gives a  $|I, I_3\rangle = |1, 1\rangle$  state.
- There must be two other charged members of the iso-triplet with  $I_3 = 0, -1$ , giving  $Q = I_3 + Y/2 = 0, -1$ , as number of states are at least  $N = 2 \cdot I + 1$ . We know from experiment this is  $K^0$  and  $K^+$ .
- The model predicted 3 states. There are no double charged  $\Sigma^{--}$  or  $\Sigma^{++}$  states.

#### 21.4.2 Light Baryon Multiplets $L_{12} = L_3 = 0$ (Fig. 33)




Figure 33: Different light baryon multiplets. Spin-1/2 particles to the left, and spin-3/2 particles to the right. On the bottom right, there should be a  $\Omega^-$ .

#### 21.4.3 Light Mesons Nonets (Figs. 34 and 35)

#### 21.5 Baryon Quark-Spin Wave Functions

- If we assume the wavefunctions  $\Psi = \psi_{\text{space}} \cdot \chi_{\text{spin}}$  of identical quarks are symmetric (while they are fermions), although this conflicts with the Pauli exclusion principle (for now).
- As orbital angular momentum is 0, the spin part must also be symmetric. This does not allow  $S = 0$ , as it would mean an anti-symmetric spin wavefunction.  $S$  can only be 1.

- The only way to make  $\Delta^{++}(uuu)$ ,  $\Delta^-(ddd)$  and  $\Omega^-(sss)$ , have symmetric spin is to have all three spins in parallel. This gives  $J = 3/2$ , and not  $J = 1/2$ 
  - For either  $uud$ , or  $udd$ , the two like quarks must be in  $S = 1$ , giving  $\uparrow_a \uparrow_a \uparrow_b$  or  $\uparrow_a \uparrow_a \downarrow_b$ . The total  $S = 1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ .
  - For protons and neutrons this gives  $n, p \rightarrow J = \frac{1}{2}$ , and for  $\Delta^+, \Delta^0$  we have  $J = \frac{3}{2}$ .
- For  $uss$  and  $dss$ , the  $ss$  must be in  $S = 1$ . When adding the third quark, the total  $S = 1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ .
  - For  $\Xi^-$  and  $\Xi^0$  with  $J = 1/2$  and  $\Xi^{*-}$  and  $\Xi^{*0}$  with  $J = 3/2$ .
- For  $uus$  and  $dds$ , the non- $s$  must be in  $S = 1$ . When adding the third quark, the total  $S = 1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ .
  - For  $\Sigma^-$  and  $\Sigma^+$  with  $J = 1/2$  and  $\Sigma^{*-}$  and  $\Sigma^{*+}$  with  $J = 3/2$ .




Figure 34: Different light meson nonets. Pseudoscalar means that their wavefunction has some sign associated with it

Quark content	$J^P=0^-$ pseudoscalar	$m$ (MeV) (MeV)	$J^P=1^-$ vector	$m$ (MeV)
$u\bar{d}$	$\pi^+$	140	$\rho^+$	768
$(u\bar{u} - d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$	$\pi^0$	135	$\rho^0$	768
$d\bar{u}$	$\pi^-$	140	$\rho^-$	768
$u\bar{s}$	$K^+$	494	$K^{*+}$	892
$d\bar{s}$	$K^0$	498	$K^{*0}$	896
$s\bar{d}$	$\bar{K}^0$	498	$\bar{K}^{*0}$	896
$s\bar{u}$	$K^-$	494	$K^{*-}$	892

Figure 35: Quark content of different mesons and baryons. Some are unambiguously known, while others like  $\eta$  and  $\bar{\eta}$  are linear combinations of  $u\bar{u}$ ,  $d\bar{d}$  and  $s\bar{s}$ .

- For the  $uds$ -state we have  $ud$  in  $S = 1$ , by isospin symmetry. the last  $s$ -quark gives  $\Sigma^0$  with  $J = 1/2$  and  $\Sigma^{*0}$  with  $J = 3/2$ .
- An isospin-orthogonal  $uds$  state has the  $ud$  in  $S = 0$ . When adding the  $s$  quark gives only one state of  $S = 1/2$ . This gives us  $\Lambda$  with  $J = 1/2$ . Notice there is no excited state of  $\Lambda$ , as seen in Fig. 33.

## 21.6 Heavy Quark-States (b,c)

Patterns apply here as well. Using the quantum numbers as described in Fig. 31, we can find the quark content of the mesons in Fig. 36




Figure 36: Caption

### 21.6.1 Heavy Quarkonia

- Charmonium  $c\bar{c}$  and Bottomonium  $b\bar{b}$  are bound states of heavy quarks.
- They have the same quantum numbers as the virtual photon if  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$
- Process is shown in Fig. 37
- **OZI rule:** Heavy quarks are suppressed in creation/annihilation. This means they have long lifetimes.
- For non- $J^{CP} = 1^{--}$ , we can still get heavier quarks, if there is emitted two photons, as they can have different quantum numbers.




Figure 37: Production of heavy quarkonia in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation.

#### Potential:

- It was neither a radial or harmonic oscillator potential that could describe the heavy quarkonia.
- The solution was a linear term added to a radial term. This could also be a logarithmic term.
- $V(r) = -\frac{a}{r} + br$
- This points to a force between the quarks that increases as distance increases.
- The mass is then  $M(q\bar{q}) = 2m_q + E(n, L)$ , with  $n$  being the principle quantum number.
- The potential is flavour independent.

#### 21.6.2 Exotic Hadrons

- tetraquarks and pentaquarks, etc.
- Has spin 2.

## 22 Quark Dynamics: The Strong Interaction

### 22.1 Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

- Quantitative theory of strong interactions
- The gluon has zero mass.
- The gluon couples to conserved color charges.
- Static properties of hadrons, like when emitted from a quark are explained.
- Dynamic properties of hadrons, like when scattered are explained.

- Gluons have color charge, and can interact with it self or each other. This gives a three/four-gluon vertex which is not possible with photons.
- Bound states of gluons should exist. They are only predicted to exist.

### 22.1.1 Color Wavefunction

- By expanding the wavefunction with a color term, we can uphold the Pauli principle

$$\Psi = \psi_{\text{space}} \chi_{\text{spin}} \chi_{\text{color}} \quad (190)$$

- There are three possible colors, red, green, and blue, each associated with a conserved charge, called color hypercharge  $Y^C$  and color isospin  $I_3^C$ . This is shown in Fig. 38.
- The sum of all three colors can be seen as a white state. If only anti-quarks are present, we can see that as a black state. The net charge is zero either way.

Quarks	$I_3^C$	$Y^C$	Antiquarks	$I_3^C$	$Y^C$
$r$	1/2	1/3	$\bar{r}$	-1/2	-1/3
$g$	-1/2	1/3	$\bar{g}$	1/2	-1/3
$b$	0	-2/3	$\bar{b}$	0	2/3

Figure 38: Table of quark color and their respective charges.

### 22.1.2 Color Confiment

- Hadrons have no net color charge, meaning  $I_3^C = Y^C = 0$ .
- The consequence of this is that no long-range gluon mediated strong force happens between nucleons.
- Mesons consist of color-anticolor states. They are therefore "dark".
- Baryons consist of three quarks, and are therefore "white".
- The color wavefunction is antisymmetric.

$$\chi_{\text{color}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (r_1 g_2 b_3 - g_1 r_2 b_3 - b_1 g_2 r_3 + g_1 b_2 r_3 - r_1 b_2 g_3) \quad (191)$$

- Allowed numbers of quarks and anti-quarks are  $(3q)^p (q\bar{q})^n$  where  $p, n \geq 0$
- Anti-baryons are also allowed,  $(3q)^p (q\bar{q})^n \rightarrow (3\bar{q})^l (3q)^p (q\bar{q})^n$  where  $l, p, n \geq 0$ .

### 22.1.3 Gluon Color-States

- The gluon is tasked with keeping the color charge conserved. As seen in Fig. 39, the gluon takes the color of the red quark, and passes it on to the blue quark.
- Quarks have only color, but the gluon has color and anti-color. In this case it is  $g = r\bar{b}$ , as it neutralizes the total color charge and isospin. Summing up the  $I_3^C$  and  $Y^C$  of the quarks, gives the remaining value the gluon must have to have net zero charge.
- There are only 8 gluons, as the ninth does not interact with the quarks. This is called a non-interacting color singlet.




Figure 39: Feynman diagram of gluon color conservation.

### 22.1.4 Confinement and Hadronization

- At small distances, the quark potential is proportional to  $1/r$ . At larger distances, it becomes linear.
- The slope of the linear potential is 1 GeV/fm. This is the same as the mass of the gluon, as the distance between quarks are about 1 fm.
- Supplying energy to increase the distance, spawns a jet of new hadrons.

### 22.1.5 Confiment and Asymptotic Freedom

- At short distances we have high momentum. Launching a high-energy quark into the hadron lets us look at short-range behavior.

## 22.2 Running Coupling Constant

- At short distances, the coupling constant becomes small, and 1-gluon exchange is a good model.

- At large distances or low energies, the coupling constant becomes large, and the potential increases with separation. This means gluons are confined inside hadrons.
- The electromagnetic coupling constant increases with higher energies, but the strong coupling constant decreases.

### 22.2.1 Running $\alpha_s(\mu)$ and OZI Rule

- **OZI rule:** Creation or annihilation of heavy quarks pairs is suppressed with respect to light quarks.
- Gluons connect the heavy-quark annihilation to the final state of hadrons.
- The running coupling constant leads to reduced gluon coupling to heavy quark pairs, making them suppressed.
- If a quark-antiquark decays, we need more than one gluon to conserve color. As the gluon has the same  $C$ -parity as the photon ( $-1$ ), we need at least 3 gluons. This leads to suppression.

## 23 Shell Model Continued

### 23.1 Predicting the Magic Numbers

- Separate the wave function into a radial and angular part.
- Decide the form of the  $U(r)$  potential.
- Solve the Hamiltonian.
- Obtain single-particle energies  $E_i$ . This means the single-particle orbits.
- The location of the single-particle orbits should be such that finally the correct magic numbers are reproduced.

#### 23.1.1 Separating the Wave Function

The angular dependance is independent of the radial distance from the central potential. The angular coordinates give the quantization conditions on  $l$  and  $m$ , aka.  $\theta$  and  $\phi$

$$\Psi_{nlm}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{r} R_{nl}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \quad (192)$$

It is the radial part that gives the radial behavior and energies of the single-particle states. Solving the Schrödinger equation, we take into account the centrifugal force due to angular momentum.

$$U = U(\vec{r}) + U_{\text{centrifugal}} \quad (193)$$

$$U_{\text{centrifugal}} = \int m w^2 r \, dr = \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2mr^2} \quad (194)$$

The solution of the Schrödinger equation for the radial part using this potential gives energy levels  $E_{nl}$ . The higher the  $n$ , the higher the energies. If equal  $n$ , the highest  $l$  has the highest energy.

### 23.1.2 Deciding the Form of the Potential

**Harmonic Oscillator / Square Well Potential:** Solving the potential as an harmonic oscillator potential, gives energy levels 2, 8, 20, 40, 70 and 112. Only the first three are actually magic numbers. We need some corrections. Using a square-well potential we can also produce some of the magic numbers, but not all.

**Degeneracy:** The total number of states with the same energy is called the occupation number or total magnetic substance. This determines the total number of nucleons in each shell, and therefore the magic numbers. The total number is the number of possible spin-states and the number of possible angular momentum states. This gives:

$$m_{\text{total}} = m_s m_l = 2(2l + 1) \quad (195)$$

At the bottom, we have the  $s$ -state, with  $l = 0 \rightarrow m_l = 1$  and  $m_s = 2$ , giving  $m_{\text{total}} = 2$ , meaning only two nucleons can be in the  $s$ -state.

**Wood-Saxon Potential:** This kind of potential works for the lowest magic numbers, but is still wrong. It adds a  $l^2$  term which flattens the potential at the bottom. This is because it predicts larger orbits (having larger  $l$ ) will be shifted towards the bottom.

**Wood-Saxon Potential with Spin-Orbit Term:** The original Wood-Saxon potential is very close to a realistic model. Adding the spin-orbit term gives the correct magic numbers.

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{-V_0}{1 + \exp((r - R)/a)} - V_{ls}(r, \vec{l}, \vec{s}) \quad (196)$$

When adding the spin-orbit term, we write the energy levels with a  $j$ -component, where  $j = s + l$ . The energy difference between the levels  $\Delta E_{ls}$  is given by:

$$\Delta E_{ls} = \frac{2l + 1}{2} \hbar^2 \langle V_{ls} \rangle \quad (197)$$

The higher the  $l$ , the lower the energy. This comes from  $\langle V_{ls} \rangle$  being negative. The total degeneracy is given by  $2j + 1$ . This is the new quantum number of interest as  $l$  and  $s$  can vary.

## 23.2 Predictions of the Shell Model

### 23.2.1 Ground State Spin-Parity of Even-Odd Nuclei

If we want to find the ground-state spin-parity of  $^{15}\text{O}$ , we know by filling up each layer of protons and neutrons separately, that the last nucleon must be in the  $1p_{1/2}$  shell. This gives  $I = 1/2$  and parity  $\pi = (-1)^I = -1$ . The parity is then  $\mathbf{I}_{gs}^\pi = 1/2^-$ .

For 17 we do the same, but here the last nucleon is in the  $1d_{5/2}$  shell. This gives  $I = 5/2$  with  $\pi = +1$ , resulting in  $\mathbf{I}_{gs}^\pi = 5/2^+$ .

We only take into account the last nucleon as the total parity of all paired nucleons is +1. No matter if the pair has both parity +1 or -1, the total parity is +1, as they are multiplied.

$$\pi = \prod_i^A \pi_i = (-1)^{l_i} \quad (198)$$

The Shell Model can predict many things, such as:

- Ground state spin-parity
- Excited states
- Magnetic moments
- Electric moments

### 23.2.2 Ground State Spin-Parity of Odd-Odd Nuclei

In the case of  $^{110}_{49}\text{In}_{61}$ , we cannot get a single answer. The answer can be in the range of  $|j_p - j_n| \leq I \leq j_p + j_n$ . The parity is then  $\pi = (-1)^{l_p}(-1)^{l_n}$ . In this case, we have the proton at  $1g_{9/2}$  with  $j_p = 9/2$  and the neutron at  $2d_{5/2}$   $j_n = 5/2$ . This gives the possible values of  $I \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ , with a parity of  $\pi = (+)(+)$

### 23.2.3 Ground State Spin-Parity of Even-Even Nuclei

This is the easiest case. It is always  $(+)$  as each nucleon has a partner with the same parity, and will therefore always give a positive parity.

## 24 Jets: Quarks and Gluons

Collisions of high energy particles with hadrons, produce jets of new hadrons or other particles.

### 24.1 Evidence for 3 Quark Colors

- The ratio  $R$  between the cross-sections of producing hadrons in  $e^+e^-$  collisions, compared to the cross-section of producing muons, show how many quark colors are possible.

$$R \equiv \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} \quad (199)$$

The cross-section for producing hadrons is the sum of the cross-sections for producing quark-antiquark pairs with an center-mass energy too high to be produced. We must also take into account the number of colors  $N_c$ .

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = \sum_f \sigma(q_f \bar{q}_f) = \sum_f N_c e_f^2 \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \quad (200)$$

$$R_0 \equiv N_c (e_u^2 + e_d^2 + e_s^2 + e_c^2 + e_b^2 + e_t^2) = \frac{11}{9} N_c \quad (201)$$

Adding the gluon radiation we make a small correction.

$$R_{\text{theory}} = R_0 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right) \quad (202)$$

- The experimental values show that  $N_c$  must be 3.

### 24.2 Di-jet Production at $pp$ (Fig. 40)




Figure 40: Dominant mechanism for di-jet production in  $pp$  collisions.

#### 24.2.1 Rapidity

Useful as  $y_1 - y_2$  is lorentz invariant.

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{E + p_z}{E - p_z} \right) \quad (203)$$

#### 24.2.2 Pseudorapidity

Practical high-energy approximation when you do not know everything about all particles.

$$\eta = -\ln \left( \tan \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right) \quad (204)$$

#### 24.2.3 Transverse Momentum

When looking at collisions, we expect the transverse momentum to be zero. If this is not the case, it means some particle we did not detect, has taken some of the momentum.

### 24.3 Current and Constituent Quarks

- The static quark model of hadrons consider them just staying still.
- QCD is a dynamic theory, where quarks move at relativistic speeds, which affects their interactions with the gluons.
- The masses of the quarks depends on their environment and what model is being used. Just as the coupling constants, they too run.
- The mass of the proton comes from the interactions between the quarks through the strong force. The Higgs interaction contributes far less.

## 25 Weak Interactions and Electroweak Unification

- Until 1973, we had only observed charged weak interactions, through the  $W^\pm$ -boson. We had already unified the electromagnetic and weak interactions (electroweak interactions) since the 1960s.

- It was nearly impossible to create a model with only the  $W^\pm$ -boson and the photon.
- The Brout-Englert-Higgs (BEH) predicted a neutral, heavy boson, the  $Z^0$ -boson.
- The neutral interactions were predicted to be found 1/3 as often as the charged interactions, which was later observed.

## 25.1 Low and High Energy Weak Interactions

- The invariant amplitude for Yukawa potential:

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{g^2 \hbar^2}{Q^2 - M^2 c^2} \quad (205)$$

shows that when momentum transfer  $Q^2$  goes to zero, the great mass of the weak bosons makes the amplitude small.

- This is the reason why the weak force is short-ranged.
- At low energies, the electromagnetic and weak forces can be seen as separate.
- At high energies, the contribution to the Yukawa potential is about the same, and the forces can be seen as one.

## 25.2 Charged Weak Interactions

### 25.2.1 Basic Principles

- **Lepton Universality:** The weak interactions are the same for all flavours.
- **Lepton-Quark Symmetry:** The coupling of the  $W^\pm$  to leptons and all quarks are the same.
- **Quark Mixing:** The quark eigenstates ( $'$ ) are linear combinations of mass/strong-force eigenstates.

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \quad (206)$$

## 25.3 Quark Mixing

- Pions decay relatively slow via  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
- This is the same as  $u\bar{d} \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$  or  $u\bar{d} \rightarrow W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ .
- Kaons decay, with a long lifetime as well via  $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ . As a kaon is  $u\bar{s}$  which is neither  $u\bar{d}$  nor  $c\bar{s}$ , this means there must be some mixing. We can hypothesize that the weak and strong eigenstates of the quarks are not necessarily the same.
- Using the same mixing hypothesis as for neutrino mixing, we believe mass eigenstates are mixtures of flavour eigenstates.

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_c & \sin \theta_c \\ -\sin \theta_c & \cos \theta_c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \end{pmatrix} \quad (207)$$

### 25.3.1 Examples

$\theta_c$  is the Cabibbo angle.

#### Pion

$$u\bar{d}' = W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \quad (208)$$

$$d' = d \cos \theta_c + s \sin \theta_c \quad (209)$$

$$u\bar{d} = W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \quad (210)$$

#### Kaon

$$u\bar{s}' \rightarrow W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \quad (211)$$

$$s' = -d \sin \theta_c + s \cos \theta_c \quad (212)$$

## 25.4 Leptonic $W^\pm$ -decay

- The decay width  $\Gamma$  is proportional to the square of the matrix element  $|M|^2$ , which is proportional to the square of the coupling constant  $g_W^2$ .
- As  $m_W \gg m_e \gg m_{\nu_e}$ , it is the most important energy.
- The natural width has units of energy, and is given by  $\Gamma(W^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e) \propto g_W^2 m_W c^2$  which approximates to  $\alpha_W 20 \text{ GeV} = 0.223 \text{ GeV}$ . This gives  $\alpha_W \approx 1/238$ . This is a lot smaller than  $\alpha_{EM} = 1/137$

## 25.5 Cabibbo Suppression

**Cabibbo allowed process:** Has a matrix element  $|V_{f_1 f_2}|^2$  as seen in the quark mixing matrix defined in Eq. (206), which is approximately 1.

**Cabibbo suppressed process:** Has a matrix element  $|V_{f_1 f_2}|^2$  as defined in Eq. (206), which is much smaller than 1.

The ratio between the Kaon and Pion decay as depicted in Fig. 41 has a width ratio proportional to their couplings. We know from the linear combinations of the strange and down quarks that we get the following couplings:

$$d' = d \cos \theta_c + s \sin \theta_c \rightarrow g_{ud} = q_W \cos \theta_c \quad (213)$$

$$s' = -d \sin \theta_c + s \cos \theta_c \rightarrow g_{us} = q_W \sin \theta_c \quad (214)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(K^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)}{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)} = \frac{g_{us}^2}{g_{ud}^2} = \tan^2 \theta_c \quad (215)$$

## 25.6 Charm Decays

- From experiment we know  $\theta_c \approx 13^\circ$
- As  $\cos^2 \theta_c \approx 1$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_c \approx 0$ , we can roughly say that  $d' \approx d$  and  $s' \approx s$
- As a result of lepton-quark symmetry, we get:
  - $W^+ \rightarrow c\bar{s}$  and  $W^- \rightarrow u\bar{d}$  being **Cabibbo allowed**
  - $W^+ \rightarrow c\bar{d}$  and  $W^- \rightarrow u\bar{s}$  being **Cabibbo suppressed**




Figure 41: Pion (a) and Kaon (b) decay through a charged weak interaction.

### 25.6.1 Charmed Hadron Decays

Given that  $c \rightarrow s'W^+$  we can expand that to  $s'W^+ = s\cos\theta_c W^+ - d\sin\theta_c W^+$ . Using the approximations from above, we can say that the charmed quark almost always decays into a strange quark.

## 25.7 Selection Rules for Charged Weak Decays

### 25.7.1 Selection rules applied to hadronic part of charged weak decays

- The rules are derived from experiment. The capital letters denote if a quark is an up (U) or down (D) type quark.
- **Semi-leptonic:**  $D \rightarrow W^-U$  or  $\bar{U} \rightarrow W^-\bar{D}$  followed by  $W^- \rightarrow l^-\bar{\nu}_l$
- Both of these have  $\Delta Q = +1$  to balance the charge of  $W^-$ .
- We can have  $d \rightarrow W^-u$  or  $\bar{u} \rightarrow W^-\bar{d}$  which gives  $\Delta S = 0$
- We can also have  $s \rightarrow W^-u$  or  $\bar{u} \rightarrow W^-\bar{s}$

### 25.7.2 Semi-Leptonic Charged Weak Decays (Fig. 42)

- $\bar{D} \rightarrow W^+\bar{U}$  or  $U \rightarrow W^+D$  followed by  $W^+ \rightarrow l^+\nu_l$
- Both are  $\Delta Q = -1$  to balance the charge of  $W^+$
- We can have  $\bar{d} \rightarrow W^+\bar{u}$  or  $u \rightarrow W^+d$  which gives  $\Delta S = 0$
- We can also have  $\bar{s} \rightarrow W^+\bar{u}$  or  $u \rightarrow W^+s$  which gives  $\Delta S = -1$
- In total we have  $\Delta S = 0$  with  $\Delta Q = \pm 1$  and  $\Delta S = \Delta Q = \pm 1$ .
- There is no  $\Delta S = \pm 2$




Figure 42




Figure 43: Decay by the  $W^-$  on the left, and by the  $W^+$  on the right.

### 25.7.3 Fully Hadronic Charged Weak Decays (Fig. 43)

- Looking at the left side of Fig. 43:
  - $\Delta Q = 0$  by construction.
  - We can have  $\Delta S = 0$  or  $\Delta S = +1$  at the left vertices.
  - At the right vertices we can have  $\Delta S = 0$  or  $\Delta S = -1$ .
  - All together we can have  $\Delta S = 0$  or  $\Delta S = \pm 1$
- Looking at the right side of Fig. 43:
  - $\Delta Q = 0$  by construction.
  - At the left vertices we can have  $\Delta S = 0$  or  $\Delta S = -1$ .
  - At the right vertices we can have  $\Delta S = 0$  or  $\Delta S = +1$ .
  - All together we can have  $\Delta S = 0$  or  $\Delta S = \pm 1$

### 25.7.4 Summary

- Selection rules apply to hadronic parts of decay.
- **Semi-leptonic:**  $\Delta S = 0$  and  $\Delta Q = \pm 1$  and  $\Delta S = \Delta Q = \pm 1$
- **Fully Hadronic:**  $\Delta S = 0$  or  $\Delta S = \pm 1$  with  $\Delta Q = 0$
- There is no  $\Delta S = \pm 2$
- There is no  $\Delta S = -\Delta Q = \pm 1$
- The above is derived from allowed  $W^\pm$  and  $S(s) = -S(\bar{s}) = -1$

### 25.7.5 Consequence of Selection Rules

Hadrons with multiple unstable quarks that decay weakly, decay in cascades. This means that particles which comes as a product from a decay, can decay further.

**Example:** The  $\pi^0$  decays into two photons almost instantly.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega^-(sss) &\rightarrow \Xi^0(uss) + \pi^-(\bar{u}d) \\
 \Xi^0(uss) &\rightarrow \Lambda^0(uds) + \pi^0(\bar{u}u) \\
 \Lambda^0(uds) &\rightarrow p(uud) \\
 &+ \pi^-(\bar{u}d)
 \end{aligned} \tag{216}$$

## 25.8 Three Generations

- We apply the lepton-quark symmetry to all generations  $\begin{pmatrix} U \\ D \end{pmatrix}$ .
- A first approximation matrix is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_c & \sin \theta_c & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_c & \cos \theta_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \quad (217)$$

- Since the top quark is much heavier than the down quark, one could think the bottom quark is stable, but it is not.  $\tau_b \approx 10^{-12}$ s.
- From measurements we know  $|V_{ub}|^2 = 1.55 \cdot 10^{-5}$ , and  $|V_{cb}|^2 = 1.78 \cdot 10^{-3}$ .
- As  $|V_{cb}|^2$  is so much larger than  $|V_{ub}|^2$ , we can say the the bottom quark decays into a charm quark almost always.
- This is only a first approximation. In actuality, the top quark can sometimes decay into down and strange quarks, as the matrix above implies is impossible. The bottom quark also has a short lifetime. It is not zero.
- The top quark have such a short lifetime  $\tau_t = 4 \cdot 10^{-25}$ , that light can't travel the single femto meter required for bonding to occur. The light would use approximately  $10^{-23}$ s, in which the top quark has decayed. There exist no top quark hadrons.
- On the second row, we see the charm quark does not decay into the bottom quark due to its smaller mass. As the angle  $\theta_c$  is small, it most often decays to a strange quark, instead of a down quark.
- When multiple strange, charmed and bottom quarks are present, they decay in cascades.

## 25.9 Part 1 Essentials

- Understand what is meant by lepton-universality, lepton-quark symmetry and quark mixing, for the charged weak interaction.
  - Apply these to find approximate ratios of decay widths and cross-sections.
- Be able to sketch the main features of the quark-mixing matrix.
  - How does this influence quark and hadron decays?
- Be able to explain the origin of the "selection rules" for charged weak decays of hadrons.
  - Selection rules are one of the few things worth memorizing. Especially strangeness  $\Delta s \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$

## 25.10 Electroweak Unification and the Higgs boson

### 25.10.1 Gauge Invariance

**Definition:** Gauge invariance means that the laws of physics behave the same way no matter how you measure something. An example would be how the phase of a wavefunction  $\psi = \psi_0 e^{i\theta}$  does not change the probability density  $|\psi|^2 = |\psi_0|^2$ .

**It is not a symmetry of nature, but a redundancy in the description of nature.**

- **Gauge invariance** and spontaneous symmetry breaking are the two main principles of the electroweak theory.
- **Gauge principle:** Propose a gauge (phase) transformation of the wavefunction and add an interaction so that the gauge remains unobservable.

**Gauge Invariance and EM** Fields in EM:

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \quad , \quad \vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \quad (218)$$

Gauge transformations of potential  $\phi$  and vector potential

$$\vec{A}(\phi, \vec{A}) \rightarrow (\phi, \vec{A})' \rightarrow \left( \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla}\alpha \right) \quad (219)$$

where  $\alpha(t, \vec{h})$  is a doubly differentiable function.

This gives

$$\vec{B}' = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}' = \vec{\nabla} \times \left( \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla}\alpha \right) = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla}\alpha \quad (220)$$

The last cross product gives:

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla}\alpha \equiv 0 \quad (221)$$

as it is the curl of a scalar field. This means  $\vec{B} = \vec{B}' = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ . Adding this back into the electric field we get:

$$\vec{E}' = -\vec{\nabla} \left( \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla}\alpha \right) = -\vec{\nabla}\phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} \left( \underbrace{\vec{\nabla} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}}_0 - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\nabla}\alpha \right) \quad (222)$$

$$\vec{E}' = -\vec{\nabla}\phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = \vec{E} \quad (223)$$

This shows that the electric and magnetic field are unaffected by the gauge transformation  $(\phi, \vec{A}) \rightarrow (\phi, \vec{A})'$ . With gauge symmetry we get the conservation of charge.

### 25.10.2 Standard Model Gauge Interactions

- Introduce local gauge (phase) transformation.
- **QED:**  $\psi \rightarrow e^{-iq\alpha(\vec{x})}\psi$ , gives a photon.
- **QCD:**  $\psi \rightarrow e^{-iq\alpha(\vec{x}) \cdot T_a}\psi$ , with  $T_a = 8$  color matrices (3X3) gives 8 gluons.
- **Electroweak:**  $\psi \rightarrow e^{-ig'\alpha(\vec{x})i\vec{\tau}\vec{\Lambda}(\vec{x})}$  with  $\vec{\tau} = 3$  Pauli matrices,  $g'$  the coupling to weak hypercharge singlet ( $B^0$ ) and  $g$  the coupling to weak isospin triplet ( $W^{\pm/0}$ ).
- The choice of gauge symmetry determines dynamics of the system.

### 25.10.3 Electroweak Unification/Mixing

- **Mixing Hypothesis:** What if the basis of nature is not the  $B^0$  and  $W^\pm$ -boson, but the photon and  $Z^0$ -boson?:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ Z^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_W & \sin \theta_W \\ -\sin \theta_W & \cos \theta_W \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B^0 \\ W^\pm \end{pmatrix} \quad (224)$$

- The mixing angle  $\theta_W$  (Weinberg angle) is defined by  $\cos \theta_W \equiv m_W/m_Z$
- Unification condition:  $e = g \sin \theta_W = g' \cos \theta_W$  with massless photon without any neutrino interactions.
- Gauge symmetry removes divergence in the center mass energy  $\sqrt{s}$  when adding the contribution from the photon and  $Z^0$ -boson.
- A divergence-free theory is a renormalizable theory. An important property.

### 25.10.4 Other Predictions

- There can be mathematical anomalies in as long as the sum of the lepton charges and the number of colors charges times the sum of quark charges is zero.

$$\sum_l Q_l + 3 \sum_q Q_q = 0 \quad (225)$$

- The standard model can have more generations, but have been adapted to the three observed.
- At low energies we get:

$$G_W \equiv G_F = \frac{(\hbar c)^2 \sqrt{2} g_W^2}{m_W^2 c^4} \quad , \quad G_Z = \frac{(\hbar c)^2 \sqrt{2} g_Z^2}{m_Z^2 c^4} \quad (226)$$

With a ration:

$$\frac{G_Z}{G_F} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\cos^2 \theta} \cos^2 \theta = \sin^2 \theta_W \approx 0.21 \quad (227)$$

As the angle is so small, some conclude the  $G_Z = G_F$ .

- In experiment we get a ratio of 1/3, which does not match with the theory of  $\sin^4 \theta_W \approx 0.05$

## 26 Radioactive Decay

- Naturally occurring nuclei goes through a combination of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  decay.
- Spontaneous decays occur when the  $Q$ -value is positive

$$Q = (M_i - M_f)c^2 \quad (228)$$

- Artificially produced nuclei can also decay by spontaneous fission, neutron emission, proton emission and heavy iron emission in addition to the natural decay modes.




Figure 44: Chart of the nuclei and their decay modes.

## 26.1 Exponential Decay

### 26.1.1 The Law

The number of radioactive nuclei  $N(t)$  at time  $t$  is given by the exponential decay law, where  $\lambda$  is the decay constant.

$$N(t) = N_0 e^{-\lambda t} \quad (229)$$

### 26.1.2 Half-Life

The time it takes to reduce the intensity of radiation by half.

$$t_{1/2} = \frac{\ln 2}{\lambda} \approx \frac{0.693}{\lambda} \quad (230)$$

### 26.1.3 Mean Lifetime

The average time that a nucleus is likely to survive before decaying. This is often referred to as the lifetime of the nucleus.

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (231)$$

### 26.1.4 Activity

Activity is the number of decays per unit time. This is a lot more practical to work with, as it is easier to measure the number of decays in a given time period than the number of nuclei.

$$A(t) = \frac{dN(t)}{dt} = A_0 e^{-\lambda t} \quad , \quad A_0 = \lambda N_0 \quad (232)$$

**Units of Activity:** The unit of activity is the Becquerel (Bq).

$$1 \text{ Bq} = 1 \text{ decay/s} \quad (233)$$

It is more common to use the Curie (Ci). 1 Ci is the activity of 1g of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$

$$1 \text{ Ci} = 3.7 \times 10^{10} \text{ Bq} \quad (234)$$

**Important Note:** Knowing the activity, does not tell you its lifetime or halflife and vice versa. Saying something is highly radioactive, does not tell you about the danger or the energy of the radiation.

### 26.1.5 Measuring Short and Long Lifetimes and Activity

- After 10 half-lives, the activity is reduced to 1/1024 of the original activity. We assume the activity is zero after 10 half-lives.
- This means if the half-life is short (like 1 second), you have only 10 seconds to measure the activity.
- If the half life is very long (like 100 years), you will not live to measure the activity.

### 26.1.6 When the Decay Law Fails

If the parent nucleus decays into a daughter nucleus, which is also radioactive, the decay law fails as the number of children does not stay the same, they create grandchildren.

## 26.2 Decay Options

For isotopes which are able to decay in multiple ways, the total decay rate is the sum of the decay rates for each decay mode.

$$\lambda_{\text{tot}} = \sum_i \lambda_i = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{tot}}} = \sum_i \frac{1}{\tau_i} \quad (235)$$

## 26.3 Mixed Samples

With more than one isotope in a sample, we can wait ten half-lives for the shortest lived isotope to decay, and then measure the activity of the remaining isotopes. This is the case for  $^{64}\text{Cu}$ (12h) and  $^{61}\text{Cu}$ (3.4h), which can't be separated chemically. Plotted out on a semi-log curve, we would still set a total decay curve looking logarithmic. If you wait until it becomes linear, you know you only have the decay of one isotope left. This is visualized in Fig. 45.

## 26.4 Types of Radioactive Decay

**$\alpha$ -Decay:** Decaying of alpha particles ( $^4\text{H}$ ). This occurs when Radium decays to Radon. This has a half-life of about 1600 years.




Figure 45: Visualisation of the decay of a mixed sample. The y-axis is logarithmic.

**$\beta$ -Decay:** Split into two types,  $\beta^-$  and  $\beta^+$  decay.  
We also have electron capture.

- $\beta^-$ -Decay: A neutron decays into a proton, an electron and an electron antineutrino. This happens when  $^{131}_{53}\text{I}_{78}$  decays into  $^{131}_{54}\text{Xe}_{77}$ . The half-life of which is 8 days.
- $\beta^+$ -Decay: A proton decays into a neutron, a positron and an electron neutrino. A lone proton cannot decay, but a proton in a nucleus can. The half-life of a proton is  $10^{34}$  years. An example is when  $^{25}_{13}\text{Al}_{12}$  decays to  $^{25}_{12}\text{Mg}_{13}$ , with a half-life of 7.2 seconds.
- Electron Capture: A proton captures an electron and decays into a neutron and an electron-neutrino. An example is when  $^{54}_{25}\text{Mn}_{29}$  decays into  $^{54}_{24}\text{Cr}_{30}$  with a half-life of 312 days. Electron capture is more common in proton-rich nuclei with higher chance of  $\beta^+$ -decay.

**$\gamma$ -Decay:** When a nucleus is in an excited state, it can decay to a lower energy state by emitting a photon. The half-life can be anything from  $1\mu\text{s}$  to minutes, to hours or even years. These are often called metastable states. Metastable states are noted with an  $m$  like so:  $^{Am}_{Z}\text{X}_N$ . For nuclear physics, one can consider nano-second half-lives as metastable.

#### 26.4.1 Branching Ratios and Partial Half-Lives

When a nucleus can decay in multiple ways, we get different branching ratios. The branching ratio is the fraction of decays that go through a specific decay mode. This is often referred to as the intensity of the decay modes. An example can be seen in Fig. 46.

To find the total half-life, we first use the total decay constant  $\lambda_{\text{tot}}$ , and then we can find the partial half-lives by.

$$\lambda_{\text{tot}} = \ln 2 / t_{1/2} \approx 6.6 \cdot 10^6 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (236)$$

From this we find each decay constant as follows:

$$\lambda_\beta = 0.83\lambda_{\text{tot}} = 5.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (237)$$

$$\lambda_\varepsilon = 0.17\lambda_{\text{tot}} = 1.1 \cdot 10^6 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (238)$$

$$\lambda_\alpha = 6 \cdot 10^{-5}\lambda_{\text{tot}} = 4.0 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{s}^{-1} \quad (239)$$

And from here we easily find the partial half-lives.

$$t_{1/2,\beta} = \ln 2 / \lambda_\beta \approx 1.3 \cdot 10^5 \text{s} \approx 35 \text{h} \quad (240)$$

$$t_{1/2,\varepsilon} = \ln 2 / \lambda_\varepsilon \approx 6.1 \cdot 10^5 \text{s} \approx 170 \text{h} \quad (241)$$

$$t_{1/2,\alpha} = \ln 2 / \lambda_\alpha \approx 1.7 \cdot 10^9 \text{s} \approx 55 \text{y} \quad (242)$$

### 26.4.2 Width-Lifetime Relation

**Stationary State:** Does not decay. The energy is precisely defined with zero uncertainty.

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\langle E^2 \rangle - \langle E \rangle^2} = 0 \quad (243)$$

$$\Delta E \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad (244)$$

**Non-Stationary State:** Does eventually decay. The energy is not precisely defined, and has a finite uncertainty. A short lifetime means large decay and energy width, and vice versa.

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\langle E^2 \rangle - \langle E \rangle^2} \neq 0 \quad (245)$$

$$\Delta E \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad (246)$$

$$\Delta E = \Gamma, \quad \Delta t = \tau \quad (247)$$

$$\tau \approx \frac{\hbar}{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (248)$$

## 26.5 Alpha Decay

- As seen in Fig. 44, heavier nuclei tend to decay by emitting an  $\alpha$  particles, and are often referred to as  $\alpha$  emitters.
- This is caused by the Coulomb force having a much longer range than the strong force. As nuclei grows large, they need more neutrons to stabilize the nucleus, but it can quickly become too much.
- $\alpha$  particles are the most tightly bound, and calculated to be the only way to decay with a positive Q-value, making it spontaneous. One could imagine just emitting a single proton, but the Q-value would be negative.



$$Q_\alpha = M({}^{232}\text{U}) - \left( M({}^4\text{He}) + M({}^{228}\text{Th}) \right) c^2 = 5.41 \text{ MeV} \quad (250)$$

### 26.5.1 Energetics of Alpha Decay

We take the general case of:



The we have energy conservation, where  $T$  is the kinetic energy and  $p$  is the momentum:

$$m_X c^2 = m_Y c^2 + T_Y + m_\alpha c^2 + T_\alpha \quad (252)$$

$$\underbrace{\left( m_X - (m_Y + m_\alpha) \right)}_{Q-\text{value}} c^2 = T_Y + T_\alpha \quad (253)$$

$$Q = T_Y + T_\alpha \quad (254)$$

As we assume the system is at rest, there should be no net momentum. We do not care about the sign.

$$p_Y = p_\alpha \quad (255)$$

We get the kinetic energy of the  $\alpha$  particle:

$$T_\alpha = \frac{Q}{1 + m_\alpha/m_Y} \quad (256)$$

This resembles the taylor expansion of  $1/(1+x)$ :

$$\frac{1}{1+x} = 1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + \dots \quad (257)$$

From this we get an approximation for the kinetic energy of the  $\alpha$  particle from the Q-value. As it is easy to measure the momentum of the  $\alpha$  particle, we have a shortcut to the Q-value.

$$T_\alpha = Q \left( 1 - \frac{m_\alpha}{m_Y} \right) = Q \left( 1 - \frac{4}{A} \right) \quad , \quad m_\alpha \approx 4 , \quad m_Y \approx A \quad (258)$$

### 26.5.2 Q-value and Half-life

- The  $Q$ -value is closely related to the half-life of the decay.
- The greater the  $Q$ -value, the shorter the half-life. This makes sense as it is very energetically favorable to decay.
- Even-odd and odd-even  $\alpha$  emitters have a 2-1000 times greater periods than even-even emitters. Even when  $Z$  and  $Q$  are the same.

### 26.5.3 $Q$ vs $A$ Systematics (Fig. 47)




Figure 47

#### 26.5.4 Theory of Alpha Decay

- Assuming the nucleus is full of alpha particles, we can calculate the probability of the alpha particle quantum tunneling through the potential barrier of the nucleus.
- We can view the regions as seen in Fig. 48 described classically or quantum mechanically.

#### Classical View

- The alpha particle moves inside the potential well, but can't escape.
- The region of the potential well. The alpha particle can't enter this region, as the potential is too high.
- Classically, the alpha particle is permitted to be on the outside, in this region, as the potential is lower than the energy of the alpha particle.




Figure 48: Simple model of the nuclear potential creating a square well for the alpha particle.

#### Quantum Mechanical View

- The alpha particle will try to penetrate the potential barrier, and will make it after many attempts.
- The barrier delays the emission. This is what gives the long half-life of alpha emitters.
- The probability  $\lambda$  of decay depends on frequency (how often the alpha particle tries to penetrate the barrier) and the probability of success. For alpha waves we have  $\lambda = fP$ .

#### Example: $^{235}\text{U}$

- We can calculate the barrier height  $B$ , by assuming we have an alpha particle stuck inside a thorium nucleus (as  $^{235}_{92}\text{U} \rightarrow ^{231}_{90}\text{Th} + ^4\text{He}$ ). We inserting the number of protons in the Helium  $Z_1$  and Thorium  $Z_2$ , and the radius of the two nuclei  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  into the formula. The radius is found by  $R = R_0 A^{1/3}$ , where  $R_0 = 1.2 \text{ fm}$ .

$$B = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Z_1 Z_2}{R_1 + R_2} = 1.44 \text{ MeV} \cdot \text{fm} \cdot \frac{2 \cdot 90}{1.2 (4^{1/3} + 231^{1/3})} \approx 28 \text{ Mev} \quad (259)$$

- The Q-decay energy of the alpha particle is 4.6 Mev.
- The velocity of the alpha particle is found through the kinetic energy. The kinetic energy

$$E_k = 5 + 35 = 40 \text{ Mev} \rightarrow v = 4.5 \cdot 10^7 \text{ m/s} \quad (260)$$

- The time it takes the particle to travel to the barrier can then be found:

$$t = R/v = \frac{7.5 \cdot 10^{15} m}{4.5 \cdot 10^7 m/s} = 1.67 \cdot 10^{-21} s \quad (261)$$

- The frequency of the alpha particle trying to penetrate the barrier is then  $f = 1/t = 6 \cdot 10^{21} s^{-1}$ .
- As the half-life of Uranium is around  $10^9$ , the alpha particle will attempt to penetrate the barrier  $10^{41}$  times.

### 26.5.5 Spin and Parity in Alpha Decay

The total angular momentum carried by the alpha particle is only orbital, meaning  $j_\alpha = l_\alpha$ . The possible values of a final state  $J_f$ , from an initial state  $J_i$  is given by  $|J_i - J_f| \leq l_\alpha \leq J_i + J_f$ , with parity  $\pi = (-1)^{l_\alpha}$ .

**Example:** Using the parity of each state as shown in Fig. 49, we can determine if a decay is allowed. As seen in blue, the  $4^-$ -state has  $l_\alpha = 4$ , giving positive parity. This is conserved and therefore allowed. As seen in blue, we have the opposite, with non-conserved parity, which is therefore not allowed.

If  $l_\alpha$  is even, the parity must be positive, and if  $l_\alpha$  is odd, the parity must be negative.




Figure 49: decay intensity of  $^{242}\text{Cm}$  to different excited states of  $^{238}\text{Pu}$ . Blue:  $4^-$ -state, Red:  $4^+$ -state

## 27 Weak Interactions and Electroweak Unification: Continued

### 27.1 $Z^0/\gamma$ Interactions

- Any coupling to the photon  $\gamma$  can in principle be written as a coupling to the  $Z^0$  with a similar Feynman diagram. Sometimes we must have separate diagrams for the two.
- We assume the boson couples with  $u\bar{u}$ ,  $c\bar{c}$ ,  $t\bar{t}$ ,  $d'\bar{d}'$ ,  $s'\bar{s}'$  and  $b'\bar{b}'$ , as they couple to the charged interaction with the  $W^\pm$ -boson.

- We go from the primed to the unprimed quarks through the rotation matrix. We get the same result either way.

$$d'\bar{d}' + s'\bar{s}' + b'\bar{b}' = \left( V_{ij}(d, s, b)^T \right)^\dagger V_{ij}(\bar{d}, \bar{s}, \bar{b})^T = d\bar{d} + s\bar{s} + b\bar{b} \quad (262)$$

- Both  $\gamma$  and  $Z^0$  are mixtures of  $B^0$  and  $W^0$ , with  $g' = g \tan \theta_W$

### 27.1.1 Electron-Antielectron to Neutrino-Antineutrino

- We have the cross section in for the photon and  $Z^0$ -boson:

$$\sigma_\gamma = \alpha_{EM}^2 (\hbar c)^2 / E^2 \quad , \quad \sigma_Z = G_Z^2 E^2 / (\hbar c)^4 \quad (263)$$

- At high energies where  $E \gg m_Z c^2$ , we have:

$$\sigma_\gamma / \sigma_Z \approx 1 / \cos^4 \theta_W \approx 1 \quad (264)$$

This means they both have about equal contribution to the cross section. This is where we see unification.

- At low energies where  $E \ll m_Z c^2$ , we have:

$$\sigma_\gamma / \sigma_Z \approx \frac{1}{\cos^4 \theta_W} \frac{E^4}{M_Z^4} \ll 1 \quad (265)$$

This is where the  $Z^0$ -boson contributes a lot more, and we have a clear difference between the forces with little unification.

## 27.2 BEH Mechanism

- Sometimes called the Higgs mechanism.
- To give the  $W^\pm$  and  $Z^0$  mass, we needed to break gauge symmetry. A way around this was found, by making the vacuum charged. This was done through a scalar field with non-zero value in the vacuum.
- The method was to create a Higgs field, with four components. The goal was to get three bosons with mass, and one without.
- Three of the fields are absorbed by the  $W^\pm$  and  $Z^0$ , giving them mass. This gives them 3 degrees of polarization, while the photon has 2.
- Exciting the fourth field gives the Higgs boson.
- This shows the vacuum is weakly charged.
- Spontaneous symmetry breaking happens at low energies, as after being excited, the fields falls down in a random direction. This happens even though the field is symmetric. This is visualized in Fig. 50.




Figure 50: Visualization of the Higgs fields, when losing energy. After excitation, it falls down a random direction in the complex plane of the field. All directions have the same magnitude.

### 27.2.1 Higgs Boson Decays

- Does not couple to color charges
- Can decay into a top quark when its a virtual particle, with mass equal to the Higgs boson. Creating an top-antitop pair preserves color charge. This can also produce gluons.
- While photons do not have mass, they can create leptons which later decay into photons.
- Some decay channels lead to four leptons. These are easy to detect and were seen as the golden path to finding the Higgs boson. The channel is very rare.
- Some valid vertices are:
  - First order:

$$H \rightarrow W^+W^- \quad (266)$$

$$H \rightarrow Z^0Z^0 \quad (267)$$

$$H \rightarrow HH \quad (268)$$

- Second order:

$$HH \rightarrow W^+W^- \quad (269)$$

$$HH \rightarrow Z^0Z^0 \quad (270)$$

$$HH \rightarrow HH \quad (271)$$

### 27.2.2 Higgs Boson Experiment Summary

- The Higgs boson does not explain anything new, like dark matter etc. As dark matter have mass, the Higgs should help us understand it.
- We have observe all proton-proton collisions.

- We know it couples to vector bosons and 3rd generation fermions. The previous generations are not observed experimentally.
- We have yet to observe the Higgs boson decaying into Higgs bosons.

## 28 Symmetry: The Weak Interaction

### 28.1 Symmetry Breaking

- The breaking of gauge symmetry by the BEH mechanism is what gives mass.
- Breaking of isospin symmetry by the  $u$  and  $d$  quark mass difference and electric charge difference.

#### 28.1.1 Weak Parity Violation

**Cobalt Decay Fig. 51** Polarized  $^{60}\text{Co}$  decays into  $^{60}\text{Ni}$ , an electron neutrino and an electron. For parity to be conserved we would have:

$$\vec{r} \rightarrow -\vec{r} \quad (272)$$

$$\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p} \quad (273)$$

$$\vec{r} \times \vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{r} \times \vec{p} \quad (274)$$

$$\vec{J}, \vec{S}, \vec{L} \rightarrow \vec{J}, \vec{S}, \vec{L} \quad (275)$$

This was experimentally shown to not be the case, as the above implies that there should be as many particles scattered at angle  $\theta$ , as angle  $\pi - \theta$ .




Figure 51: Parity affecting the decay of a Cobalt atom.

#### Muon Decay Fig. 52

- We see parity not being conserved as  $\theta$  and  $\pi - \theta$  are not equal.
- We also see that  $C$ -parity is not conserved.
- The product  $CP$  is conserved, making the lifetime of  $\hbar/\Gamma_+ = \hbar/\Gamma_-$ .




Figure 52: Parity affecting the decay of a muon.

### 28.2 CP Conservation

- $CP$  is conserved when both  $C$  and  $P$  are conserved separately in the strong and electromagnetic interactions.
- $CP$  is conserved in nearly all weak interactions.

### 28.3 Chirality and Helicity

- Helicity is when the spin is in the same or opposite direction as the momentum. This can change for massless particles, as you can theoretically move faster than them, and from your perspective, the spin would be in the opposite direction.
- Chirality is harder to define, and represent an intrinsic property of a particle, as opposed to helicity being an observable which is relative. One can be left- or right-chiral. One can only change it by viewing it in a mirror image, as you flip its spin, but not momentum. If you boost your velocity to be higher than a particle, it will change its helicity, but not its chirality.
- The weak interaction only couples to left-chiral particles and right-handed anti-particles, but both left and right-handed particles.

#### 28.3.1 Right- and Left-Handedness Fig. 53




Figure 53: Figure showing difference between left- and right-handed particles. A no-handed particle would have spin-0, or  $J_z = 0$ , meaning perpendicular to the direction of motion.

#### 28.3.2 The Weak Interaction

- Eigenstates of the chirality takes part of the weak interaction as a conserved charge.
- For massless particles, the helicity is the same as the chirality.

$$h \equiv \vec{J} \cdot \frac{\vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|} \quad (276)$$

- The helicity state's magnitude is the same as its spin magnitude. The sign is positive for right-handed particles and negative for left-handed particles.
- Photons have only helicity  $\pm 1$ , as they are massless.
- Massive bosons have helicity states  $\pm 1$  and 0.

### 28.3.3 Electron-Electron Scattering Fig. 54

- **Upper Left:** The initial beam is right-handed.
- **Upper Right:** The beam becomes left-handed after the parity transformation. This is because both, momentum and position is flipped, but the spin is not.
- **Lower Right:** Changing our perspective by flipping 180° along the  $y$ -axis.
- **Lower Left:** Changing our perspective by flipping 180° along the  $x$ -axis. We now have the original state, but with left-handed particles. For parity to be conserved, the cross section  $\sigma_R$  and  $\sigma_L$ , should be the same, and they are.




Figure 54: Electron-electron scattering viewed from with after parity transformation and change of perspective.

### 28.4 V - A Interaction

- $V$  is a vector interaction, like  $\vec{r}$  changing sign under parity.
- $A$  is an axial vector interaction, like  $\vec{L} \equiv \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$  changing sign under parity.
- Both  $|\mathcal{M}|^2 \propto |V|^2$  or  $|A|^2$ , would be parity conserving, but interference terms  $|\mathcal{M}|^2 \propto |V - A|^2$  violates it.
- $W^\pm$  couples to the  $V - A$  weak current
- $Z^0$  couples to mixtures of  $V$  and  $A$ , depending on the 3rd component of weak isospin, electric charge and  $\sin^2 \theta_W$

### 28.5 Neutral Kaon Oscillation

- The Kaon  $d\bar{s}$ , can oscillate between  $K^0$  and  $\bar{K}^0$ . This is a  $|\Delta S| = 2$  transition allowed by second-order weak interactions.
- It is second order because there are two decays, as seen in Fig. 55, and comes about because the decay products live long enough.




Figure 55: Feynman diagram showing the oscillation of a neutral Kaon.  $q$  can be any up-type quark, and  $\bar{q}$  any up-type anti-quark.

## 29 Beta Decay





Figure 56: Beta decay is most popular with smaller nuclei.

## 29.1 $\beta^-$ Decay

The neutron turns into a proton, electron and electron anti-neutrino. Only the electron and anti-neutrino are emitted.

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + Q_\beta \quad (277)$$

This comes from the down quark turning into an up quark as seen in Fig. 57.



## 29.2 $\beta^+$ Decay

The proton turns into a neutron, positron and electron neutrino. Only the positron and neutrino are emitted.

$$p \rightarrow n + e^+ + \nu_e + Q_\beta \quad (278)$$

This comes from the up quark turning into a down quark as seen in Fig. 58.




Figure 57: Feynman diagram of  $\beta^-$  decay.

## 29.3 Electron Capture

The nucleus captures an electron and turns a proton and electron into a neutron and electron neutrino.

$$p + e^- \rightarrow n + \nu_e + Q_\beta \quad (279)$$

This comes from the up quark turning into a down quark. Only the neutrino is emitted as seen in Fig. 59.




Figure 58: Feynman diagram of  $\beta^+$  decay.

## 29.4 Free Proton Decay

- A free proton can not decay. It will only decay inside the nucleus because of the binding energy, through beta decay and electron capture.
- When decaying, the mass of the entire system is reduced. This cannot happen for a lone proton.

Figure 59: Feynman diagram of electron capture.

## 29.5 Energy Conservation

### 29.5.1 Neutron Decay

We assume the neutron is at rest before the decay.

$$m_n c^2 = m_p c^2 + m_e c^2 + m_{\bar{\nu}_e} c^2 + K_e + K_p + K_{\bar{\nu}} \quad (280)$$

We define the  $Q$ -value:

$$Q = (m_n - m_p - m_e - m_{\bar{\nu}}) c^2 \quad (281)$$

Inserting the  $Q$ -value into the energy conservation equation:

$$Q = K_e + K_p + K_{\bar{\nu}} \quad (282)$$

The recoil experienced by the proton is so small, as is the mass of the anti-neutrino. We tend to ignore both, giving:

$$Q = m_n - m_p - m_e \approx K_e + K_{\bar{\nu}} \quad (283)$$

### 29.5.2 $\beta^-$ Decay

We now consider the nucleus at rest before the decay.

$${}_{Z}^{A}X_N \rightarrow {}_{Z+1}^{A}Y_{N-1} + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e \quad (284)$$

The  $Q$ -value is derived from the nuclear mass  $m_N$ . We ignore the mass of the anti-neutrino. Nuclear masses is defined as follows:

$$m({}^A X) c^2 = m_N({}^A X) c^2 + Z m_e c^2 - \sum_{i=1}^Z B_i \quad (285)$$

$$Q_{\beta^-} = \left( m_N({}^A X) - m_N({}^{A-1} Y) - m_e \right) c^2 \quad (286)$$

Adding the definition of the nuclear mass:

$$Q_{\beta^-} = \left[ \left( M({}^A X) - Z m_e \right) - \left( m({}^A Y) - (Z+1)m_e \right) - m_e \right] c^2 + \sum_{i=1}^Z B_i - \sum_{i=1}^{Z+1} B_i \quad (287)$$

Ignoring the binding energy of the electrons, we get:

$$Q_{\beta^-} = \left( m({}^A X) - m({}^A Y) \right) c^2 \quad (288)$$

**Example:** Decay of  ${}^{210}\text{Bi}$  into  ${}^{210}\text{Po} + e + \bar{\nu}_e$ :

$$Q_{\beta^-} = \left( m({}^{210}\text{Bi}) - m({}^{210}\text{Po}) \right) c^2 = 1.16 \text{ MeV} \quad (289)$$

This sets an upper limit for the possible kinetic energy of the electron, and the energy of the anti-neutrino.

$$K_{e_{\max}} = E_{p_{\max}} = Q_{\beta^-} = 1.16 \text{ MeV} \quad (290)$$

### 29.5.3 Electron Capture



$$Q_\varepsilon = \left( m({}^A_X) - m({}^A_Y) \right) c^2 - B_n \quad (292)$$

Where  $B_n$  is the electron binding energy, depending on shell number  $n$ . Using conservation of energy we get:

$$Q_\varepsilon = K_{{}^A Y} + K_\nu \approx K_\nu \quad (293)$$

This is a two-body decay, so the expected energy distribution is very sharp. As opposed to the three-body decay of  $\beta^-$  decay, with a continuous energy distribution, as seen in Fig. 60.




Figure 60: Expected energy distribution for electrons in two-body and three-body decays.

### 29.5.4 Comparing Electron Capture & $\beta^+$ Decay

We have the  $Q$ -values for both processes:

$$Q_\varepsilon = \left( m({}^A_X) - m({}^A_Y) \right) c^2 - B_n \quad , \quad Q_{\beta^+} = \left( m({}^A_X) - m({}^A_Y) - 2m_e \right) c^2 \quad (294)$$

As  $B_n \ll 2m_e c^2$ , we know that if a nucleus is able to undergo  $\beta^+$  decay, has the energy to undergo electron capture. The opposite is not necessarily true. To undergo spontaneous  $\beta^+$  decay, the  $Q$ -value must be equal or greater than  $2m_e c^2 \approx 1$  MeV.

The larger the  $Q$ -value the shorter the half-life. This is because the decay is more likely to happen if the energy released is higher.

## 29.6 Fermi's Golden Rule

The decay probability  $\lambda$  is constant and is given by:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |V_{fi}|^2 \rho(E_f) \quad , \quad V_{fi} = \int \psi_f^* V \psi_i d\nu \quad (295)$$

It depends on:

- The density of the final state which decay can proceed. The higher the density, the faster the decay.
- The matrix element describes the strength of the interaction, both strong and weak.
- It Describes the overlap between the wave functions of initial and final states. The larger the overlap, the faster the decay occurs.

### 29.6.1 Fermi's Golden Rule for Decay

These were points Fermi's theory had to address.

#### Beta Decay:

1. Electron and neutrino do not exist before the decay process. The theory must account for their formation.
2. Electron and neutrino must be treated relativistically.
3. The continuous distribution of electron energies must be reproduced by the theory (three body).

#### Alpha Decay:

1. Alpha particle existed before the decay.
2. Alpha particle is treated classically.
3. Alpha particle energy distributions monoenergetic (two body).

### 29.6.2 Fermi's Theory of Beta Decay

Fermi introduced a small perturbation  $V'$  to the state of the nucleus. This would have to be a weak interaction, compared to the strong interaction. This would explain why the decay is slow (seconds and longer), compared to the fast strong interaction.

## 30 Symmetry Breaking Weak Interactions

### 30.1 Key Questions About CP-Violation

- Is the  $CP$ -violation in the  $K^0$  system due to mixing or direct violation?
- **Mixing:** The  $K_S^0$  and  $K_L^0$  are non-orthogonal mixtures of  $CP$ -eigenstates.

$$|K_S^0, 0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\epsilon|^2}} (|K_1^0, 0\rangle + |K_2^0, 0\rangle) \quad (296)$$

$$|K_L^0, 0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\epsilon|^2}} (\epsilon |K_1^0, 0\rangle - |K_2^0, 0\rangle) \quad (297)$$

**Direct:** The  $K_S^0$  and  $K_L^0$  are pure  $CP$ -eigenstates. Decaying to forbidden  $CP$ -states.

$$|K_S^0, 0\rangle = |K_1^0, 0\rangle \quad (298)$$

$$|K_L^0, 0\rangle = |K_2^0, 0\rangle \quad (299)$$

- **Conclusion:** There is both mixing and direct violation. The mixing is the dominant part.

### 30.1.1 CPT Invariance

- Predicted to be conserved in quantum field theory. If you break  $CP$ , you must also break  $T$ , meaning time.
- This predicts the mass of particles and anti-particles should be the same.
- There is yet to be found an exception to  $CPT$ -invariance.

## 30.2 CP and Flavour Oscillations in B-Decays

- Direct  $CP$ -violation plays a much larger role in  $B$ -decays than in  $K$ -decays.
- We have mixing, direct breaking, and interference.
- We see this in both charged and neutral  $B$ -decays, but not for mixing. This is because the mixing requires charged to be conserved.

## 30.3 Wolfenstein Parametrization

- A first order parametrization of the CKM-matrix.

$$V_{\text{WP}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{\lambda^4}{8} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda + A^2\lambda^5(1 - 2(\rho + i\eta))/2 & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - (1 - \lambda)(\rho + i\eta)) & -A\lambda^2 + A\lambda^4(1 - 2(\rho + i\eta))/2 & 1 - A^2\lambda^4/2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (300)$$

- It tells us that  $CP$ -violation is small in strange and charm sectors, which are dominated by mixing.
- The bottom section have a large  $CP$ -violation.
- The top quark it is predicted to have a small  $CP$ -violation. If this is found, it would be a strong indication of new physics.