Assessment Solutions

Chapter 3 – Indirect Addressing: Pointers

- 1. $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{f}$: Please see Chapter03/Assessments/Chp3-Q1.cpp in the GitHub repository.
 - d: (follow-up question) Print (Student) is less efficient than Print (const Student *) as the initial version of this function passes an entire object on the stack, whereas the overloaded version passes only a pointer on the stack.
- 2. Assuming we have an existing pointer to an object of type Student, such as:

```
Student *s0 = new Student; (this Student is not yet initialized with data)
a: const Student *s1; (does not require initialization)
```

b: Student *const s2 = s0; (requires initialization)

c: const Student *const s3 = s0; (also requires initialization)

- 3. Passing an argument of type const Student * to Print() would allow a pointer to a Student to be passed into Print() for speed, yet the object pointed to could not be dereferenced and modified. Yet passing a Student * const as a parameter to Print() would not make sense because a copy of the pointer would be passed to Print(). Marking that copy additionally as const (meaning not allowing changing where the pointer points) would then be meaningless as disallowing a *copy* of a pointer to be changed has no effect on the original pointer itself. The original pointer was never in jeopardy of its address being changed within the function.
- 4. There are many programming situations that might use a dynamically allocated 3-D array. For example, if an image is stored in a 2-D array, a collection of images might be stored in a 3-D array. Having a dynamically allocated 3-D array allows for any number of images to be read in from a filesystem and stored internally. Of course, you'd need to know how many images you'll be reading in before making the 3-D array allocation. For example, a 3-D array might hold 30 images, where 30 is the third dimension to collect the images in a set. To conceptualize a 4-D array, perhaps you would like to organize sets of the aforementioned 3-D arrays.

For example, perhaps you have a set of 31 images for the month of January. That set of January images is a 3-D array (2-D for the image and the third dimension for the set of 31 images comprising January). You may wish to do the same for every month. Rather than having separate 3-D array variables for each month's image set, we can create a fourth dimension to collect the years' worth of data into one set. The fourth dimension would have an element for each of the 12 months of the year. How about a 5-D array? You can extend this image idea by making the fifth dimension a way to collect various years of data, such as collecting images for a century (fifth dimension). Now we have images organized by century, then organized by year, then by month, and then by image (the image requiring the first two dimensions).