Macroeconomics 2 Presentation

Article review:

Gabaix, Xavier. 2020. "A Behavioral New Keynesian Model." American Economic Review, 110(8): 2271-2327

GUGELMO CAVALHEIRO DIAS Paulo MITASH Nayanika WANG Shang April 29, 2024

Sciences Po

Outline

- 1. Contextualization
- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 3. Consequences
- 4. Implications for monetary policy
- 5. Implications for fiscal policy
- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 7. Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions
- 8. Conclusion

Contextualization

- 1. Contextualization
- 1.1 Goal of the paper
- 1.2 Literature of the topic

Goal of the paper

Content of the Goal of the paper.

Content of the the Literature.

Baseline model of the paper

- 1. Contextualization
- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 3. Consequences
- 4. Implications for monetary policy
- 5. Implications for fiscal policy
- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 7. Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. Limits and Critics

- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 2.1 Household's Problem
- 2.2 Firms
- 2.3 Solution
- 2.4 Synthesis Of A Behavioral New Keynesian Model
- 2.5 Calibration

Consequences

- 1. Contextualization
- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 3. Consequences
- 4. Implications for monetary policy
- 5. Implications for fiscal policy
- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 7. Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. Limits and Critics

3. Consequences

Implications for monetary policy

- 1. Contextualization
- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 3. Consequences
- 4. Implications for monetary policy
- 5. Implications for fiscal policy
- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 7. Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. Limits and Critics

4. Implications for monetary policy

Implications for fiscal policy

- 1. Contextualization
- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 3. Consequences
- 4. Implications for monetary policy
- 5. Implications for fiscal policy
- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 7. Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. Limits and Critics

5. Implications for fiscal policy

Behavioral Enrichments of the Model

- 1. Contextualization
- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 3. Consequences
- 4. Implications for monetary policy
- 5. Implications for fiscal policy
- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 7. Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. Limits and Critics

- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 6.1 Term Structure of Consumer Attention
- 6.2 Flattening of the Phillips Curve via Imperfect Firm Attention
- 6.3 Nonconstant Trend Inflation and Neo-Fisherian Paradoxes

It is plausible that consumers do not equally pay attention to all economic variables, even in the present. We could therefore introduce attention discount factors that are variable specific, yielding perceived variables under Bounded Rationality:

- \hat{r}^{BR} the perceived interest rate under bounded rationality
- \hat{y}^{BR} the perceived income under bounded rationality

Prior to this, consumers perceived perfectly variables at the current period, now, they do not anymore.

Directly affects the consumer maximisation program.

The law of motion of the personal wealth of the consumer becomes thus a **perceived law of motion**

$$k_{t+1} = G^k(c_t, N_t, k_t, \mathbf{X}_t)$$

$$:= (1 + \bar{r} + \hat{r}(\mathbf{X}_t))(k_t + \bar{y} + \hat{y}(N_t, \mathbf{X}_t) - c_t)$$
(6)

Turns into:

$$k_{t+1} = \mathbf{G}^{k,BR}(c_t, N_t, k_t, \mathbf{X}_t)$$

$$:= (1 + \bar{r} + \hat{r}^{BR}(\mathbf{X}_t))(k_t + \bar{y} + \hat{y}^{BR}(N_t, \mathbf{X}_t) - c_t)$$
(49)

What could be functional forms of \hat{r}^{BR} and \hat{y}^{BR} ?

The perceived values of interest rate and income are defined such that:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{r}^{BR} = m_r \cdot \hat{r}(\mathbf{X}_t) \\ \hat{y}^{BR}(N_t, \mathbf{X}_t) = m_y \cdot \hat{y}(\mathbf{X}_t) + \omega(\mathbf{X}_t)(N_t - N_t(\mathbf{X}_t)) \end{cases}$$
(50)

Equation (50) is a possible functional form. The main changes are the attention discount factor.

Now, what would be the expectation under behavioral expectation of those perceived values?

Consumers already have a general attention discount factor \bar{m} , from Lemma 1 in equation (11):

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}^{BR}\left[z\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{t+k}\right)\right] = \bar{m}^{k} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{t}\left[z\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{t+k}\right)\right]$$
(11)

Applied to the perceived interest rate and perceived income, we thus get the Lemma 5 (Term Structure of Attention):

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbb{E}_{t}^{BR} \left[\hat{r}^{BR}(\mathbf{X}_{t+k}) \right] = m_{r} \cdot \bar{m}^{k} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\hat{r}(\mathbf{X}_{t+k}) \right] \\
\mathbb{E}_{t}^{BR} \left[\hat{y}^{BR}(\mathbf{X}_{t+k}) \right] = m_{y} \cdot \bar{m}^{k} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\hat{y}(\mathbf{X}_{t+k}) \right]
\end{cases}$$
(51)

What are consequences of this enriched attention structure term?

When we solve for consumption, we get **Proposition 8** (Behavioral Consumption Function):

$$\hat{c}_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{\tau \ge t} \frac{\bar{m}^{\tau - t}}{R^{\tau - t}} \left(b_r m_r \hat{r}(\mathbf{X}_\tau) + m_Y \frac{\bar{r}}{R} \hat{y}(\mathbf{X}_\tau) \right) \right]$$
 (52)

With:

$$\begin{cases} c_t = c_t^d + \hat{c}_t \\ c_t^d = \bar{y} + b_k \cdot k_t \\ b_k := \frac{\bar{r}}{R} \cdot \frac{\phi}{\phi + \gamma} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} m_Y = \frac{\phi \cdot m_y + \gamma}{\phi + \gamma} \\ b_r := -\frac{1}{\gamma \cdot R^2} \end{cases}$$

Interest rate has **direct** and **indirect** effects on consumption. For a consumer, a decrease in future interest rate :

- increases their present consumption, because it is more profitable to consume right now (direct effect)
- increases other consumers future consumption, increasing their future income, increasing their current consumption (indirect effect)

Therefore, the aggregate consumption multiplies the positive effect on consumption of a decrease in future interest rate.

What does this behavioral model imply for this multiplicator?

In the rational consumer case:

If we derive from equation (52), we get the direct effect:

$$\Delta^{\text{direct}} := \frac{\partial \hat{c}_0}{\partial \hat{r}_{\tau}} \bigg|_{(y_t)_{t \ge 0 \text{ held constant}}} = -\alpha \cdot \frac{1}{R^{\tau}}$$

If we derive from equation (26), we get the indirect effect:

$$\Delta^{GE} := \frac{\partial \hat{c}_0}{\partial \hat{r}_\tau} = -\alpha R$$

Put together:

$$\frac{\Delta^{GE}}{\Delta^{\text{direct}}} = R^{\tau+1} \tag{53}$$

In the **behavioral consumer** case:

If we derive from equation (52), we get the direct effect:

$$\Delta^{\text{direct}} := \frac{\partial \hat{c}_0}{\partial \hat{r}_\tau} \bigg|_{(y_t)_{t \geq 0 \text{ held constant}}} = -\alpha \cdot m_r \cdot \bar{m}^\tau \frac{1}{R^\tau}$$

If we derive from equation (26), we get the indirect effect:

$$\Delta^{GE} := \frac{\partial \hat{c}_0}{\partial \hat{r}_\tau} = -\alpha m_r \cdot M^\tau \frac{R}{R - r \cdot m_Y} R$$

Put together:

$$\frac{\Delta^{GE}}{\Delta^{\text{direct}}} = \left(\frac{R}{R - rm_Y}\right)^{\tau + 1} \in [1, R^{\tau + 1}] \tag{54}$$

In a behavioral framework, the multiplicative effect is dampened by bounded rationality.

An attention discount factor that is variable specific allows to explain why forward guidance is not as strong as what theory predicts.

What about variable specific attention deficiency for firms now?

If we introduce variable specific inattention for firms, equation (15), defining the real profit of the firm:

$$v(q_{it}, \boldsymbol{X}\tau) := v^{0}(q_{it} - \Pi(\boldsymbol{X}\tau), \mu(\boldsymbol{X}\tau), c(\boldsymbol{X}_{\tau}))$$
 (15)

Turns into a perceived real profit of the firm :

$$v^{BR}(q_{it}, (\mathbf{X}_{\tau})) := v^0 \left(q_{it} - m_{\pi}^f \cdot \Pi(\mathbf{X}_{\tau}), m_x^f \cdot \mu(\mathbf{X}_{\tau}), c(\mathbf{X}_{\tau}) \right)$$
(55)

Where:

- m_{π}^{f} is the attention deficit to inflation
- m_x^f is the attention deficit to marginal cost

The maximisation program of equation (16):

$$\max_{q_{it}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{\tau=t}^{\infty} (\beta \theta)^{\tau-t} \frac{c \left(\boldsymbol{X_{\tau}} \right)^{-\gamma}}{\left(\boldsymbol{X_{t}} \right)^{-\gamma}} v \left(q_{it}, \boldsymbol{X_{\tau}} \right) \right]$$
 (16)

turns into:

$$\max_{q_{it}} \mathbb{E}_{t}^{BR} \left[\sum_{\tau=t}^{\infty} (\beta \theta)^{\tau-t} \frac{c(\mathbf{X}_{\tau})^{-\gamma}}{c(\mathbf{X}_{t})^{-\gamma}} v^{BR}(q_{it}, \mathbf{X}_{\tau}) \right]$$
 (56)

Solving it yields:

$$p_{t}^{*} = p_{t} + (1 - \beta \theta) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta \theta \bar{m})^{k} \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[m_{\pi}^{f} (\pi_{t+1} + \dots + \pi_{t+k}) - m_{x}^{f} \mu_{t+k} \right]$$
(57)

In comparison, we had in the baseline model:

$$p_t^* = p_t + (1 - \beta \theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta \theta \bar{m})^k \cdot \mathbb{E}_t \left[\pi_{t+1} + \dots + \pi_{t+k} - \mu_{t+k} \right]$$
(27)

We also get:

$$M^{f} = \bar{m} \left(\theta + m_{\pi}^{f} \cdot (1 - \theta) \cdot \frac{1 - \beta \cdot \theta}{1 - \beta \cdot \theta \cdot \bar{m}} \right) \in [0, 1]$$

$$\kappa = m_{x}^{f} \bar{\kappa}$$
(58)

Where

- M^f is the general attention factor of the firm
- m_x^f is the attention deficiency to the output gap
- $\kappa = m_x^f \cdot \bar{\kappa}$, is the perceived value of the importance of outputgap on inflation

If we solve the Phillips curve, the equation (29):

$$\pi_t = \beta \cdot M^f \cdot \mathbb{E}t \left[\pi_{t+1} \right] + \kappa \cdot x_t \tag{29}$$

Turns into a Phillips Curve with Behavioral Firms, allowing for imperfect attention to inflation and costs (**Proposition 10**):

$$\pi_t = \beta \cdot \bar{m} \left(\theta + m_{\pi}^f \cdot (1 - \theta) \cdot \frac{1 - \beta \cdot \theta}{1 - \beta \cdot \theta \cdot \bar{m}} \right) \cdot \mathbb{E}t \left[\pi_{t+1} \right] + m_x^f \cdot \bar{\kappa} \cdot x_t$$

Nonconstant Trend Inflation and Neo- Fisherian Paradoxes

Now, let's change the way we consider inflation. Instead of a steady state, agents perceive a default value. The article proposes the following functional form:

$$\pi_t^d = (1 - \zeta)\bar{\pi}_t + \zeta\bar{\pi}_t^{CB} \tag{59}$$

The IS curve is unchanged, and is the same as equation (28):

$$x_t = M\mathbb{E}_t \left[x_{t+1} \right] - \sigma \left(i_t - \mathbb{E}_t \left[\pi_{t+1} \right] - r_t^n \right)$$
 (60)

Nonconstant Trend Inflation and Neo- Fisherian Paradoxes

The Phillips curve of equation (29):

$$\pi_t = \beta \cdot M^f \mathbb{E}_t \left[\pi_{t+1} \right] + \kappa \cdot x_t \tag{29}$$

Turns into:

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta \cdot M^f \cdot \mathbb{E}_t \left[\hat{\pi}_{t+1} \right] + \kappa \cdot x_t \tag{61}$$

The only difference is through $\hat{\pi}_t$.

Nonconstant Trend Inflation and Neo- Fisherian Paradoxes

Finally, the equilbrium condition changes through ζ the weight given to the Central Bank declaration. Equation (34) in the Baseline model :

$$\phi_{\pi} + \frac{(1 - \beta M^f)}{\kappa} \phi_x + \frac{(1 - \beta M^f)(1 - M)}{\kappa \sigma} > 1 \tag{34}$$

Turns into:

$$\phi_{\pi} + \zeta \frac{(1 - \beta M^f)}{\kappa} \phi_x + \zeta \frac{(1 - \beta M^f)(1 - M)}{\kappa \sigma} > 1$$
 (62)

The term ζ can be considered as the weight of central bank guidance.

- What if it is 0?
- What are the consequences for Central Bankers?

Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions

- 1. Contextualization
- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 3. Consequences
- 4. Implications for monetary policy
- 5. Implications for fiscal policy
- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 7. Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. Limits and Critics

- Theoretical Microfoundation
- Lucas Critique
- Long-Run Learning
- Parsimony and New Degrees of Freedom
- Reasonable Variants

Conclusion

- 1. Contextualization
- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 3. Consequences
- 4. Implications for monetary policy
- 5. Implications for fiscal policy
- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 7. Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. Limits and Critics

8. Conclusion

Limits and Critics

- 1. Contextualization
- 2. Baseline model of the paper
- 3. Consequences
- 4. Implications for monetary policy
- 5. Implications for fiscal policy
- 6. Behavioral Enrichments of the Model
- 7. Discussion of the Behavioral Assumptions
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. Limits and Critics

9. Limits and Critics