

CENTER FOR MACHINE PERCEPTION



CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE

# Semidefinite Programming for Geometric Problems in Computer Vision

Pavel Trutman

pavel.trutman@fel.cvut.cz

March 22, 2017

 $A vailable\ at \\http://cmp.felk.cvut.cz/~trutmpav/master-thesis/thesis/thesis.pdf$ 

Thesis Advisor: Ing. Tomáš Pajdla, PhD.

Center for Machine Perception, Department of Cybernetics Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University Technická 2, 166 27 Prague 6, Czech Republic fax  $+420\,2\,2435\,7385$ , phone  $+420\,2\,2435\,7637$ , www: http://cmp.felk.cvut.cz

Acknowledgements

Author's declaration						
I declare that I have work out the presented thesis independently and that I have listed all information sources used in accordance with the Methodical Guidelines about Maintaining Ethical Principles for Writing Academic Theses.						
Prohlášení autora práce						
Prohlašuji, že jsem předloženou práci vypracoval samostatně a že jsem uvedl veškeré použité informační zdroje v souladu s Metodickým pokynem o dodržování etických principů při přípravě vysokoškolských závěrečných prací.						
V Praze dne						
Praze dne						
iv						

## **Abstract**

Keywords:

## **Abstrakt**

Keywords:

## **Contents**

1.	<ol> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Semidefinite programming</li> </ol>						
2.							
	2.1.	Preliminaries on semidefinite programs	5				
		2.1.1. Symmetric matrices	5				
		2.1.2. Semidefinite programs	5				
	2.2.	State of the art review	6				
	2.3.	Theoretical background	6				
		Nesterov's approach	6				
		2.4.1. Self-concordant functions	6				
		2.4.2. Self-concordant barriers	8				
	2.5.	Implementation details	8				
	2.6.	Comparison with the state of the art methods	8				
3.	Con	clusion	9				
Α.	Con	tents of the enclosed CD	10				
Bil	ibliography						

# List of Algorithms

1. Newton method for minimization of self-concordant functions . . . . . . . 8

# List of Symbols and Abbreviations

dom f Domain of the function f.

# 1. Introduction

[1]

## 2. Semidefinite programming

#### 2.1. Preliminaries on semidefinite programs

We introduce here some notation and preliminaries about symmetric matrices and semidefinite programs. We will introduce further notation and preliminaries later on in the text when needed.

At the beginning, let us denote the inner product for two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \tag{2.1}$$

and the Frobenius inner product for two matrices  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ .

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{ij} Y_{ij}$$
 (2.2)

#### 2.1.1. Symmetric matrices

Let  $\operatorname{Sym}_n$  denotes the space of  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrices.

For matrix  $M \in \operatorname{Sym}_n$ , the notation  $M \succeq 0$  means that M is positive semidefinite.  $M \succeq 0$  if and only if any of the following equivalent properties holds.

- 1.  $x^{\top} M x > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 2. All eigenvalues of M are nonnegative.

For matrix  $M \in \operatorname{Sym}_n$ , the notation  $M \succ 0$  means that M is positive definite.  $M \succ 0$  if and only if any of the following equivalent properties holds.

- 1.  $M \succeq 0$  and rank M = n.
- 2.  $x^{\top}Mx > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 3. All eigenvalues of M are positive.

#### 2.1.2. Semidefinite programs

The standard (primal) form of a semidefinite program in variable  $X \in \operatorname{Sym}_n$  is defined as follows:

$$p^* = \sup_{\substack{X \in \operatorname{Sym}_n \\ \text{s.t.}}} \langle C, X \rangle$$
s.t. 
$$\langle A_i, X \rangle = b_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$X \succeq 0$$
 (2.3)

where  $C, A_1, \ldots, A_m \in \operatorname{Sym}_n$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are given.

The dual form of the primal form is the following program in variable  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

$$d^* = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} b^\top y$$
s.t. 
$$\sum_{i=1}^m A_i y_i - C \succeq 0$$
(2.4)

#### 2.2. State of the art review

#### 2.3. Theoretical background

#### 2.4. Nesterov's approach

In this section, we will follow Chapter 4 of [2] by Y. Nesterov, which is devoted to convex minimization problems. We will extract from it only the minimum, just to be able to introduce a algorithm for SDP programs solving. We will present some basic definitions and theorems, but we will not prove them. For the proofs look into [2].

#### 2.4.1. Self-concordant functions

**Definition 2.1 (Self-concordant function in**  $\mathbb{R}$ ). A closed convex function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$  $\mathbb{R}$  is self-concordant if there exist a constant  $M_f \geq 0$  such that the inequality

$$|f'''(x)| \le M_f f''(x)^{3/2}$$
 (2.5)

holds for all  $x \in \text{dom } f$ .

For better understanding of self-concordant functions we provide several examples.

#### Example 2.1.

1. Linear and convex quadratic functions.

$$f'''(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \tag{2.6}$$

Linear and convex quadratic functions are self-concordant with constant  $M_f = 0$ .

2. Negative logarithms.

$$f(x) = -\ln(x) \text{ for } x > 0 \tag{2.7}$$

$$f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x} \tag{2.8}$$

$$f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x}$$

$$f''(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$$

$$f'''(x) = -\frac{2}{x^3}$$
(2.8)
$$f'''(x) = (2.9)$$

$$f'''(x) = -\frac{2}{x^3} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\frac{|f'''(x)|}{f''(x)^{3/2}} = 2 (2.11)$$

Negative logarithms are self-concordant functions with constant  $M_f = 2$ .

3. Exponential functions.

$$f(x) = e^x (2.12)$$

$$f''(x) = f'''(x) = e^x (2.13)$$

$$f(x) = e^{x}$$

$$f''(x) = f'''(x) = e^{x}$$

$$\frac{|f'''(x)|}{f''(x)^{3/2}} = e^{-x/2} \to \infty \text{ as } x \to -\infty$$
(2.12)
$$(2.13)$$

Exponential functions are not self-concordant functions.

**Definition 2.2 (Self-concordant function in**  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). A closed convex function f:  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is self-concordant if function

$$g(t) = f(x+tv) (2.15)$$

is self-concordant for all  $x \in \text{dom } f$  and all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now, let us focus on the main properties of self-concordant functions.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let functions  $f_i$  be self-concordant with constants  $M_i$  and let  $\alpha_i > 0$ , i = 1, 2. Then the function

$$f(x) = \alpha_1 f_1(x) + \alpha_2 f_2(x) \tag{2.16}$$

is self-concordant with constant

$$M_f = \max\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}}M_1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_2}}M_2\right\} \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$dom f = dom f_1 \cap dom f_2. (2.18)$$

Corollary 2.1. Let function f be self-concordant with some constant  $M_f$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then the function  $\phi(x) = \alpha f(x)$  is also self-concordant with the constant  $M_{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} M_f$ .

We call function f(x) as the standard self-concordant function if f(x) is some self-concordant function with the constant  $M_f = 2$ . Using Corollary 2.1, we can see that any self-concordant function can be transformed into the standard self-concordant function by scaling.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let function f be self-concordant. If dom f contains no straight line, then the Hessian f''(x) is nondegenerate at any x from dom f.

For some self-concordant function f(x), for which we assume, that dom f contains no straight line (which implies that all f''(x) are nondegenerate, see Theorem 2.2), we denote two local norms as

$$||u||_x = \sqrt{u^\top f''(x)u} \tag{2.19}$$

$$||u||_x^* = \sqrt{u^\top f''(x)^{-1}u}.$$
 (2.20)

Consider following minimization problem

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x \in \text{dom } f} f(x) \tag{2.21}$$

as the minimization of the self-concordant function f(x). Algorithm 1 is describing the iterative process of solving optimization problem (2.21). The algorithm is divided into two stages by the value of  $||f'(x_k)||_{x_k}^*$ . The splitting parameter  $\beta$  guarantees quadratic convergence rate for the second part of the algorithm. The parameter  $\beta$  is chosen from interval  $(0, \bar{\lambda})$ , where

$$\bar{\lambda} = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}, \tag{2.22}$$

#### Algorithm 1 Newton method for minimization of self-concordant functions

#### **Input:**

```
f a self-concordant function to minimize x_0 \in \text{dom } f a starting point
```

 $\beta \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$  a parameter of size of the region of quadratic convergence  $\varepsilon$  a precision

#### **Output:**

 $x^*$  an optimal solution to the minimization problem (2.21)

```
1: k \leftarrow 0

2: while ||f'(x_k)||_{x_k}^* \ge \beta \operatorname{do}

3: x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k - \frac{1}{1+||f'(x_k)||_{x_k}^*} f''(x_k)^{-1} f'(x_k)

4: k \leftarrow k+1

5: end while ||f'(x_k)||_{x_k}^* > \varepsilon \operatorname{do}

7: x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k - f''(x_k)^{-1} f'(x_k)

8: k \leftarrow k+1

9: end while 

10: return x^* \leftarrow x_k
```

which is a root of the equation

$$\frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} = 1. (2.23)$$

The first while loop (lines 2-5) represents damped Newton method, where at each iteration we have

$$f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \ge \beta - \ln(1+\beta) \text{ for } k \ge 0,$$
 (2.24)

where

$$\beta - \ln(1+\beta) > 0 \text{ for } \beta > 0,$$
 (2.25)

and therefore the global convergence of the algorithm is ensured. It can be shown that the local convergence rate of the damped Newton method is also quadratic, but the presented switching strategy is preferred as it gives better complexity bounds.

The second while loop of the algorithm (lines 6-9) is the standard Newton method with quadratic convergence rate.

The algorithm terminates when the required precision  $\varepsilon$  is reached.

#### 2.4.2. Self-concordant barriers

#### 2.5. Implementation details

### 2.6. Comparison with the state of the art methods

# 3. Conclusion

# A. Contents of the enclosed CD

## **Bibliography**

- [1] David Cox, John Little, and Donald O'Shea. *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms:* An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, USA, 2nd edition, 1997.
- [2] Yurii Nesterov. Introductory lectures on convex optimization: A basic course. Springer, 2004. 6