

Concrete compressive strength prediction with machine learning

Pedro B. A. Moreira¹, Victor M. Silva¹

¹*Student, Dept. of Engineering, University Veiga de Almeida
Avenida das Américas, 22631-004, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
pedrobermoreira@gmail.com*

²*Assistant Professor, Dept. of Engineering, IBMEC/RJ
Avenida Armando Lombardi 940, 22640-000, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
victor.silva@professores.ibmec.edu.br*

Abstract. TODO: REDUCE TO 200 WORDS, CURRENTLY IT HAS 270. Compressive strength is the main characteristic of concrete. The correct prediction of this parameter means cost and time reduction. This work built predictive models for 6 different ages of concrete samples. A set of 1030 samples from previous studies was used, with 9 variables: compressive strength, age, and 7 ingredients. Another 6 variables were added to represent the proportions of the main ingredients in each sample (water/cement, fine aggregate/cement, coarse aggregate/cement, fine aggregate/coarse aggregate, water/coarse aggregate, and water/fine aggregate). The predictive models were developed in R language, using the caret package with the Parallel Random Forest algorithm and repeated cross-validation technique to optimize the parameters. The results were satisfactory and compatible with other studies using the same data set. The most important model, 28 days old, obtained a root mean square error (RMSE) of 4.717. The 3-day model obtained the best result, RMSE of 3.310. The worst result was the 56-day model, with RMSE of 5.939. The work showed that the compressive strength of concrete can be predicted. The choice of creating a model for each age, instead of using age as a predictor, allowed to get compatible results with the available data at each age. It was a promising alternative since good results were achieved by training with just one algorithm. This work facilitates exploration and new efforts to predict the compressive strength of concrete, it can be used as a baseline to predict with different algorithms or the combination of several.

Keywords: Concrete, Compressive Strength, Machine Learning, Prediction

1 Introduction

Compressive strength is the main characteristic of concrete, measured by tests of international standards that consist of the breaking of specimens (Gambhir [1]). Measurement at 28 days is mandatory and represents the grade of the concrete. Knowing in advance what the result will be obtained for a given age, based on the proportions of its ingredients, is of great interest to concrete manufacturers, construction companies, and civil engineers.

The compressive strength is a nonlinear function of its ingredients and age, making it difficult to establish an analytical formula, although some formulas have already been proposed ([2], [3]). However, most of the studies have built models including the age as a feature along with the ingredients, but because of the non linearity between the compressive strength and age, we have found the need of further investigation of models that separate the age and analyse only the ingredients, then specify for each age.

Therefore, the present study aims at building predictive models for the concrete compressive strength at different ages using only its ingredients as features.

2 Related work

TODO: Estou com dúvida, se coloco os resultados dos outros trabalhos aqui, porque são apresentados na seção de conclusão e discussão.

TODO: Essa seção ainda tem que ser mais desenvolvida.

TODO: Focar nos que foram publicados/peer review.

The data set used in this study was the one used originally by Yeh [4], and then by many other works. Alshamiri et al. [5] got good results with the regularized extreme learning machine (RELM) technique, and Hameed and Khalid [6] got even better results with the Artificial Neural Networks and cross-validation technique. This set of samples is so well known that there are many pages on the internet of unpublished studies that use it and have good results, such as Abban [7], Raj [8], Modukuru [9] and Pierobon [10]. Later in this paper all studies are compared with the results found here.

3 Materials and methods

3.1 Materials and reproducibility

The methodology was carried out using RStudio software (RStudio Team [11]), an integrated virtual environment for code development in R language (R Core Team [12]). Throughout the process, all code executed was documented in the same order as its execution and pushed to the github repository (TODO: CREATE GITHUB REPO BIBLIO AND ADD HERE). The repository contains an extended version of this paper, including all the code, required packages and versions. In order to guarantee reproducibility, whenever there was code that uses probabilistic operations, a seed was defined before its execution, ensuring results consistency when running on another machine.

The main package used to build the machine learning models was the Caret Package (Kuhn [13]). It provides all functionalities and utilities to build prediction models for any data set, has a straight and clear documentation that guides the process and provide around 200 different algorithms to build models. We used only one algorithm in this work, chosen by the highest probability to achieve the best possible result, according to Fernandez-Delgado et al. [14], who compared 179 algorithms across 121 different databases, and find out that the most likely to achieve the best possible results is the Parallel Random Forest (called prRF in the caret).

3.2 TODO ...

...

4 Results

The test RMSE for each model in ascending order of age was 3.31, 4.36, 4.62, 4.72, 5.94 and 5.85 respectively. Table 1 presents the details and results of each model, including the naive one. Fig. 1 compares the actual and predicted values for the final models.

Table 1. Final models

Model	mtry	CV	Repetitions	Naive RMSE (test)	Final RMSE (train)	Final RMSE (test)
3 days	6	30	10	9.303229	3.905196	3.310370
7 days	2	10	10	13.443646	4.475981	4.361987
14 days	13	30	10	7.593319	5.136687	4.620515
28 days	11	30	10	14.283824	5.847334	4.716698
56 days	8	30	10	12.702112	6.702565	5.939163
100 days	8	10	10	12.614652	6.381940	5.851088

5 Discussion and conclusion

The models built present satisfactory results and prove that the compressive strength of concrete can be predicted relatively easily. The alternative adopted, to create a model for each set of age proved to be a valid method,




Figure 1. TODO:(O que tem que atualizar aqui?) Actual vs predicted values for each model

instead of using the age as a predictor along with the ingredients like the related studies with the same dataset. The adoption of this stratification achieved different results for each age group. However, the RMSE calculated in our work and the one obtained in the related works were close. Table 2 shows the comparison between these studies and the 28 days model developed here.

Table 2. Comparison to other works with same dataset

Author	Year	Algorithm	RMSE	Difference (%)
Pierobon [10]	2018	5 algorithms Ensemble	4.150	-12
This work (28 day)	2020	Parallel Random Forest	4.717	0
Hameed and Khalid [6]	2020	Artificial Neural Networks	4.736	0
Raj [8]	2018	Gradient Boosting Regressor	4.957	+5
Modukuru [9]	2020	Random Forest Regressor	5.080	+8
Alshamiri et al. [5]	2020	Regularized Extreme Learning Machine	5.508	+17
Abban [7]	2016	SVM with Radial Basis Function Kernel	6.105	+29

Following the line of reasoning of this work, the same hypothesis can be evaluated using other algorithms besides the one used here (Parallel Random Forest), as they can present better results. Another option is to create an ensemble of various algorithms, just like Pierobon [10], but with the separation of age sets proposed here. In addition, this study can be reproduced with a larger dataset, ideally with a similar number of samples in each age group and a more homogeneous distribution of compressive strength.

Authorship statement. The authors hereby confirm that they are the sole liable persons responsible for the authorship of this work, and that all material that has been herein included as part of the present paper is either the property (and authorship) of the authors, or has the permission of the owners to be included here.

TODO: Na bibliografia, os ROI não entram por conta do modelo deles, que é inspirado no apa, o mais próximo que posso usar pra colocar o ROI é a chave "howpublished", mas ela não é usada em todas a bibliografias,

depende do tipo, por exemplo @article, @inproceedings e @inbook não usam ela, acho que só a @misc use (para publicacoes online), mas também não adianta porque as publicações online não tem ROI. Mas no geral atualizei as referencias e agora estão mais completas

References

- [1] Gambhir, M., 1990. *Concrete Technology: Theory and Practice*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education, 5e edition.
- [2] Hasan, M. & Kabir, A., 2011. Prediction of compressive strength of concrete from early age test result. In *Conference: 4th Annual Paper Meet and 1st Civil Engineering Congress, At Dhaka, Bangladesh*.
- [3] Kabir, A., Hasan, M., & Miah, M., 2012. Predicting 28 days compressive strength of concrete from 7 days test result. In *International Conference on Advances in Design and Construction of Structures (ADCS 2012)*, pp. 18–22.
- [4] Yeh, I.-C., 1998. Modeling of strength of high-performance concrete using artificial neural networks. *Cement and Concrete Research*, vol. 28, pp. 1797–1808.
- [5] Alshamiri, A., Yuan, T.-F., & Kim, a., 2020. Non-tuned machine learning approach for predicting the compressive strength of high-performance concrete. *Materials*, vol. 13, pp. 1023.
- [6] Hameed, M. & Khalid, M., 2020. *Prediction of Compressive Strength of High-Performance Concrete: Hybrid Artificial Intelligence Technique*, pp. 323–335. Springer.
- [7] Abban, D., 2016. Concrete compressive strength. https://rpubs.com/brother_abban/220101.
- [8] Raj, P., 2018. Predicting compressive strength of concrete. <https://www.kaggle.com/pavanraj159/predicting-compressive-strength-of-concrete>.
- [9] Modukuru, P., 2020. Concrete compressive strength prediction using machine learning. <https://towardsdatascience.com/concrete-compressive-strength-prediction-using-machine-learning-4a531b3c43f3>.
- [10] Pierobon, G., 2018. A comprehensive machine learning workflow with multiple modelling using caret and caretensemble in r. <https://towardsdatascience.com/a-comprehensive-machine-learning-workflow-with-multiple-modelling-using-caret-and-caretensemble-in-fcbf6d80b5f2>.
- [11] RStudio Team, 2020. *RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R*. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA.
- [12] R Core Team, 2020. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- [13] Kuhn, M. e. a., 2020. *caret: Classification and Regression Training*. R package version 6.0-86.
- [14] Fernandez-Delgado, M., Cernadas, E., Barro, S., & Amorim, D., 2014. Do we need hundreds of classifiers to solve real world classification problems? *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 15, pp. 3133–3181.