

(SEE BACK)  $\sum_{n} P(X > n) = E(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - F(n)).$ 

Hint: organize the order of summation carefully, using the fact that, for example,  $P(X > 3) = P(X = 4) + P(X = 5) + \dots$ 

Job candidates  $C_1, C_2, \ldots$  are interviewed one by one, and the interviewer compares them and keeps an updated list of rankings (if n candidates have been interviewed so far, this is a list of the n candidates, from best to worst). Assume that there is no limit on the number of candidates available, that for any n the candidates  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n$  are equally likely to arrive in any order, and that there are no ties in the rankings given by the interview.

(SEE BACK)

```
の 2 6 {1,2,3...}; F(X)= P(X 大)、Show E(X): 豆 1- F(h).
 Startly with a few terms: n=0: 1- F(0)= 1
     n=1: 1-F(1)=P(X>1)=1-P(X=1)=1-P(X=1)
     n=2: 1-F(2) = P(X \ge 2) = 1-P(X = 2) = 1-P(X = 1) - P(X = 2)
    n=3: 1-F(3)=P(X>3)=1-P(X\leq 3): 1-P(X=1)-P(X:L)-P(X=3)
    I - P(n) = 1 + (1)n - P(x=1)n - P(x=2)(n-1) - P(x=3)(n-2)...
                    = | + n - n \cdot P(x=1) - n \cdot P(x=2) + P(x=2) - n \cdot P(x=3) + 2 \cdot P(x=3) \dots
                    = 1 + n - n \left[ P(x=1) + P(x=2) + P(x=3) + \dots \right] + P(x=2) + 2P(x=3) + 3P(x=4) + \dots
                    = [P(x=1) + P(x=2) + P(x=3) + ...] + P(x=2) + 2P(x=3) + 3P(x=4) + ...
                    (1) E(x) = 0 (P(x>n) = 1) for n>0; P(x>0)=1. Using
                         the identity from #5: \(\frac{7}{2} 1- cDF(x) = \frac{7}{2} P(x \rightarrow n),
Det x; be # of bags obtained by first 3 students

het x; be # of bags obtained by jt student where j ∈ {1...20}.
        E(X_1 + X_2 + X_3) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) + E(X_3) = 3 E(X_1) \times Bin(20, \frac{1}{20})
         so E(x_j) = 1.3.1 = 3
         Average number of students who get ≥1 bag
        Let I; be indicator that its student gets 21 bag.
        E(I_1 + ... + I_{20}) = E(I_1) + ... + E(I_{20}) = 20 E(I_1) = P(A_1) = P(A_1) = P(A_2) = P(A_2) = P(A_1) = P(A_2) = P
       A, is event student #1 gets \geq 1 bag. P(A_i) = 1 - (\frac{19}{26})^{20},
        So E(I_1 + ... + I_{2r}) = |20(1 - (\frac{19}{26})^{20})
```

Let X be the index of the first candidate to come along who ranks as better than the very first candidate  $C_1$  (so  $C_X$  is better than  $C_1$ , but the candidates after 1 but prior to X (if any) are worse than  $C_1$ . For example, if  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are worse than  $C_1$  but  $C_4$  is better than  $C_1$ , then X = 4. All 4! orderings of the first 4 candidates are equally likely, so it could have happened that the first candidate was the best out of the first 4 candidates, in which case X > 4.

What is E(X) (which is a measure of how long, on average, the interviewer needs to wait to find someone better than the very first candidate)? Hint: find P(X > n) by interpreting what X > n says about how  $C_1$  compares with other candidates, and then apply the result of the previous problem.

## 2 Indicator Random Variables and Linearity of Expectation

A group of 50 people are comparing their birthdays (as usual, assume their birthdays are independent, are not February 29, etc.). Find the expected number of pairs of people with the same birthday, and the expected number of days in the year on which at least two of these people were born.

(SEE BACK OF PREVIOUS PACIE A total of 20 bags of Haribo gummi bears are randomly distributed to the 20 students in a certain Stat 110 section. Each bag is obtained by a random student, and the outcomes of who gets which bag are independent. Find the average number of bags of gummi bears that the first three students get in total and find the average number of students who get at least one bag.

There are always exactly k steps where K=# of laces.

There are 100 shoelaces in a box. At each stage, you pick two random ends and tie them together. Either this results in a longer shoelace (if the two ends came from different pieces), or it results in a loop (if the two ends came from the same piece). What are the expected number of steps until everything is in loops, and the expected number of loops after everything is in loops? (This is a famous interview problem; leave the latter answer as a sum.)

Let X be a rv that counts the number of hops Hint: for each step, create an indicator r.v. for whether a loop was created then, and note that the number of free ends goes down by 2 after each step.

A hash table is a commonly used data structure in computer science, allowing for fast information retrieval. For example, suppose we want to store some people's phone numbers. Assume that no two of the people have the same

formed. Let I, people's phone numbers. Assume that no two of the people indicator that a loop was formed at step j.  $X = \sum_{j=1}^{100} I_j \implies E(x) = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{100} I_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{100} E(I_j)$ LINEARITY of expectation

 $= \frac{100}{200 - (2j-1)}$ 

2 fewer ands ofter each step, we can see that there is always, at each step j, mej-1) probability that the step will yield a loop! (e.g. consider mind case of 3 laces)

There are (?) pairs of people. Set up indicator rus for each pair and label 1,2,..., (52) Let X be # of pairs of people of same virthday: E(X) = E(I, + F2+ ... + I(9)). By SYMMETRY, I = Iz = = I(50) since probability any pain has come birthday is the same. By LINGARITY OF EXPECTATION  $E(X) = E(I,) + E(F_2) + ... + E(I_{(2)}) = (52) E(I,) . By fund Briohe$ E(I,) = P(first pair has same blithday): 365 (x) = (2) 365 Let Y be rv. that counts the number of days in the year where at least 2 people were born. Follow a similar procedence from above except the indicators I, .. I 367 indicate whether 2+ people have withdays on day j. E(4) = E(I,)+...+ E(I365) by LINEARLTY OF EXPECTATION, The probability of 2+ people howing their birthday, PCD = 1- P(D') where P(D') + P(D') = P(D) where Dos and Dos are events where O and exactly I person has birthday on given day Dj. By symmetry this is the same P(D) for all j days: 1- P(D) = 1- P(D0) - P(D) = P(D) (50) (365) 50 (50) 364 44 3 So by FUND BRIDGE, E(I) = P(D) = 1- (304) 50 - (50) (364)

and by SYMMETRY,  $E(Y) = 365 \left[1 - \left(\frac{364}{345}\right)^{60} - \left(\frac{50}{365}\right)\left(\frac{364}{365}\right)^{49}\right]$ 

name. For each name x, a hash function h is used, where h(x) is the location to store x's phone number. After such a table has been computed, to look up x's phone number one just recomputes h(x) and then looks up what is stored in that location.

The hash function h is deterministic, since we don't want to get different results every time we compute h(x). But h is often chosen to be pseudorandom. For this problem, assume that true randomness is used. So let there be k people, with each person's phone number stored in a random location (independently), represented by an integer between 1 and n. It then might happen that one location has more than one phone number stored there, if two different people x and y end up with the same random location for their information to be stored.

Find the expected number of locations with no phone numbers stored, the expected number with exactly one phone number, and the expected number with more than one phone number (should these quantities add up to n?).

Let 
$$\{X_0 = \# \text{ of locations with 0 ph numbers}\}$$
 and  $\mathbb{F}_j$  be indicators  $\{X_1 = \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicators  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicators  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicators  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$ . The indicator  $\{X_1 + \# \text{ of } 1 = j \leq n \}$  and  $\{X_$ 

sides: E(X0+X1+X1+) = E(N) = N = E(X0) + E(X1) + E(X1)

## $CDF = F(x) = P(X \le x) = P(X < x) + P(X = x) = G(x) + PMF$

## Stat 110 Homework 4, Fall 2011

Prof. Joe Blitzstein (Department of Statistics, Harvard University)

Let X be a r.v. whose possible values are  $0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , with CDF F. In some countries, rather than using a CDF, the convention is to use the function G defined by G(x) = P(X < x) to specify a distribution. Find a way to convert from F to G,

(SEE ABOVE)

i.e., if F is a known function show how to obtain G(x) for all real x.

 $\mathcal{U}$  There are n eggs, each of which hatches a chick with probability p (independently). Each of these chicks survives with probability r, independently. What is the distriprof. of nathingbution of the number of chicks that hatch? What is the distribution of the number

chicks that survive? (Give the PMFs; also give the names of the distributions and their parameters, if they are distributions we have seen in class.) the distribution of chicks that survive

(ind) [X ~ Bin(n)p

Let X be

CA COUNTING

the number of chicks that

natch from n

eggs each wl

A couple decides to keep having children until they have at least one boy and at Meast one girl, and then stop. Assume they never have twins, that the "trials" are independent with probability 1/2 of a boy, and that they are fertile enough to keep producing children indefinitely. What is the expected number of children?

Randomly, k distinguishable balls are placed into n distinguishable boxes, with all possibilities equally likely. Find the expected number of empty boxes. (See MAX)

A scientist wishes to study whether men or women are more likely to have a Vertain disease, or whether they are equally likely. A random sample of m women and n men is gathered, and each person is tested for the disease (assume for this problem that the test is completely accurate). The numbers of women and men in the sample who have the disease are X and Y respectively, with  $X \sim \text{Bin}(m, p_1)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_2)$ . Here  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are unknown, and we are interested in testing the "nuld hypothesis"  $p_1 = p_2$ . (STE BIRK.

(2) Consider a 2 by 2 table listing with rows corresponding to disease status and columns corresponding to gender, with each entry the count of how many people have that disease status and gender (so m + n is the sum of all 4 entries). Suppose that it is observed that X + Y = r.

The Fisher exact test is based on conditioning on both the row and column sums, so m, n, r are all treated as fixed, and then seeing if the observed value of X is "extreme" compared to this conditional distribution. Assuming the null hypothesis, use Bayes' Rule to find the conditional PMF of X given X + Y = r. Is this a distribution we have studied in class? If so, say which (and give its parameters).

3) This can be modeled by a rv. X ~ FS(\frac{1}{2}), which is the same as Y ~ Greon(\frac{1}{2}) where Y=X-1 >> Y+1=X E(Y) + 1 = E(X) by LINEHRITY of expectation  $E(Y) = \frac{9}{p} = 1$ , so E(X) = 2. But this undercounts possible cases because definition of success/faciliare only happens after having first child, so E(X) + 1 = 3 is the expected number of children.

Then  $X = \frac{n}{j+1} I_j$  where  $I_j$  is indicator of jh bix being empty.  $E(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} E(I_j)$  by LINEARITY, and  $E(X) = n \cdot E(I_i)$  by Symmetry. Thus,  $E(x) = n \cdot \frac{(n-1)^k}{n^k}$ 

Null importesis assumption that P = P2 = P => X+Y & Bin (intr., P) P(X=K | X+Y=V) = ?

$$P(X=k \mid X+Y=r) = \frac{P(X+Y=r \mid X=k) P(X=k)}{P(X+Y=r)}$$

by BAYES' RUE

- (B) A. Lot X he a random variable that commiss the number of inversions.

  by DEF. of inversions, there are (2) pairs of numbers and X can be expressed as  $X = \sum I_j$ , so  $E(X) = \sum E(I_j)$  by linearity of EXP. If the FUND is existed. FOND. BRIDGE. By symmetrer, all I; = 1 since it's equalty likely the the pair of numbers  $E(X) = {2 \choose 2} \cdot {1 \choose 2}$
- (DB. The expected value of any r.v. must take on a value = the max. of support. If Y is re country # of comparisons, at most there will be (1) comparisons (when a numbers are in reverse order) = UPPER BOUND for E(Y). The LONER BOUND of E(Y) is \( \frac{1}{2} \) = E(X) because The # of inversions is always  $\leq \# \text{ of comparisons, so } E(Y) \geq E(X)$ !

This is like elk, where men are the tagged elk, women are untagged elk, women are untagged elk, and r elk are sampled (read: infected). The p's, q's disappear because any collection of r people are equally likely to be infected (naive def of probability) and we know enough to use m,n,r,k to

(b) Give an intuitive explanation for the distribution of (a), explaining how this problem relates to other problems we've seen, and why  $p_1$  disappears (magically?) in the distribution found in (a).

Consider the following algorithm for sorting a list of n distinct numbers into increasing order. Initially they are in a random order with all orders equally likely. The algorithm compares the numbers in positions 1 and 2, and swaps them if needed, then it compares the new numbers in positions 2 and 3, and swaps them if needed, etc., until it has gone through the whole list. Call this one "sweep" through the list. After the first sweep, the largest number is at the end, so the second sweep (if needed) only needs to work with the first n-1 positions. Similarly, the third sweep (if needed) only needs to work with the first n-2 positions, etc. Sweeps are performed until n-1 sweeps have been completed or there is a swapless sweep.

For example, if the initial list is 53241 (omitting commas), then the following 4 sweeps are performed to sort the list, with a total of 10 comparisons:

$$53241 \rightarrow 35241 \rightarrow 32541 \rightarrow 32452 \rightarrow 32415$$
 $32415 \rightarrow 23415 \rightarrow 23145 \rightarrow 23145 \rightarrow 23145 \rightarrow 23145 \rightarrow 21345$ 

(a) An inversion is a pair of numbers that are out of order (e.g., 12345 has no inversions, while 53241 has 8 inversions). Find the expected number of inversions in the friginal list.

(See BACK OF PROVING PAGE)

Show that the expected number of comparisons is between  $\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{2}$  and  $\binom{n}{2}$ . Hint for (b): for one bound, think about how many comparisons are made if n-1 sweeps are done; for the other bound, use Part (a).

7. Athletes compete one at a time at the high jump. Let  $X_j$  be how high the jth jumper jumped, with  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  i.i.d. with a continuous distribution. We say that the jth jumper set a record if  $X_j$  is greater than all of  $X_{j-1}, \ldots, X_1$ .

(a) Is the event "the 110th jumper sets a record" independent of the event "the 111th jumper sets a record"? Justify your answer by finding the relevant probabilities in the definition of independence and with an intuitive explanation.

(b) Find the mean number of records among the first n jumpers (as a sum). What happens to the mean as  $n \to \infty$ ? (500 Back)

\* Because each gumper's Jump is (i) d, 2 the P(110th jumper sets record) = 110 and P(111th jumper sets record) = 111 because the distribution is continuous, so each granger's height is unique. By symmetry it's equally thicky that any of the 110 or 111 jumpers achieves max neight. The probability both own of the 110 or 111 jumpers achieves max neight. The probability both events happen is 110.111, which by DEF of 100, manns They are independent. This is because pere is only 1 way both set records conscentively and 110.111 ways to give 1st v 2nd place among 111 jumpers.

(1) s. Find the mean # of records among first in jumpers as From above/earlier, let I; he indicator that it jumper sels a record. If X is r. country records, X = \( \frac{7}{2} \) Ij. By

LINEARITY of Expertation, \( \tau(x) = \frac{5}{2} \) E(\( \tau(x) = \frac{5}{2} \) We know

P(\( \tau(x) = \frac{5}{2} \) inner cuts record) - \( \tau(x) = \frac{5}{2} \) E(\( \ P(je junger sets record) = + (from above lendler), so E(x) = 1+ 2+ 3+ ++... ( hormonie sours!) ... Phis diverges by compression TEST: PROOF. Replace each form with greatest power of 2 that is & said term if this ver certes diverges, harmonic Devies diruges. E(X) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac シィナシャサナサーカナカナカナカナ 2.4 4.6 三 1+2+2+2+....

(E(1) -> (00), by compare 150N REST, when N-> 00