Web Development Full Time Program

Student Transcript

A minimum passing grade of 70% is required for completion of the Web Development Full Time Program.

| Unit | Weight | Grade |
|---|--------|-------|
| Unit 1: Introduction to Web Development | 15% | 80.0% |
| Unit 2: Development Fundamentals | 25% | 44.8% |
| Unit 3: Programming with JavaScript | 25% | |
| Unit 4: Collaborative Development | 15% | |
| Unit 5: Professional Development | 20% | |
| Total | 100% | |

Cumulative Grade: 58.0%

Attendance Grade

Below is a weekly breakdown of your attendance record. A minimum attendance grade of 90% is required for completion of the Web Development full-time program. Please notify your TA of your absence with a reason ahead of time.

| Week | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thur | Fri | Grade |
|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|--------|
| 1 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 100.0% |
| 2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 100.0% |
| 3 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 100.0% |
| 4 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 125.0% |
| 5 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | 60.0% |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |

Overall Attendance Grade:

97.0%

Grading System

The BrainStation grading system employs a numerical marking system. Below is a description of grade meanings.

| Grade Meanings | Numerical Scale of Marks |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| Excellent | 90-100% |
| Very Good | 80%-90% |
| Good | 70%-80% |
| Developing | 60%-70% |
| Limited | 0-60% |

Student ID

| Student ID: | 143441 |
|-------------|---------|
| Philip | Bertogg |

| Web Development Full-Time | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Start Date: | Date: 6-Jan-2020 | | | | | |
| End Date: | 27-Mar-2020 | | | | | |

| Program Completion | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Status: | In Progress | | | | | | |
| Transcript Issued: | 5-Feb-2020 | | | | | | |
| Withdrawal Date (if Applicable) | | | | | | | |



Unit 2: Development Fundamentals

25%

Student ID

BandSite

Student ID: 143441

Philip

| Deliverable One 25% of Unit Grade | | Performance Rating | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|--|
| | | Weight | Excellent | Very Good | Satisfactory | Developing | Limited | Incomplete | Days Late | |
| Categories | Requirements/Functionality | 30% | x | | | | | | | |
| | Comprehension/Execution | 30% | | х | | | | | | |
| | Code Quality | 20% | | x | | | | | | |
| | Visual Design | 20% | | x | | | | | | |

93.0%

| Deliverable Two 25% of Unit Grade | | Performance Rating | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|--|
| | | Weight | Excellent | Very Good | Satisfactory | Developing | Limited | Incomplete | Days Late | |
| | Requirements/Functionality | 30% | | x | | | | | | |
| Categories | Comprehension/Execution | 30% | | x | | | | | | |
| | Code Quality | 20% | | x | | | | | | |
| | Visual Design | 20% | | | x | | | | | |

Grade: 86.0%

| Deliverable Th | ree 50% of Unit Grade | Performance Rating | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|--|
| | | Weight | Excellent | Very Good | Satisfactory | Developing | Limited | Incomplete | Days Late | |
| | Requirements/Functionality | 30% | | | | | | | | |
| Categories | Comprehension/Execution | 30% | | | | | | | | |
| | Code Quality | 20% | | | | | | | | |
| | Visual Design | 20% | | | | | | | | |

Grade:

Overall Grade

Unit Grade:

Additional Comments

Sprint 1 Feedback:

Some key highlights of this project:
Excellent work completing both diving deeper sections
Good use of comments in code. Continue this practice as we move forward into JS.
Very clearly organized in HTMI and CSS. Visible by comments and structure in which partials were imported.

Some opportunities to improve on:

Consider removing stylistic HTML elements from your code. They can be replaced by good SCSS. Remember -> HTML is structure, CSS is appearance. Don't use structure to modify appearance Styles looked very good, but a few small details didn't match the mockups. You've demonstrated the ability to produce excellent styling - be consistent with that.

Sprint 2 Feedback:

Some key highlights of this project:
Demonstrtes comprehension of DOM manipulation that was covered in class.
Great use of BEM within JS functions while adding class names to elements
Great comments throughout large blocks of JS. They greatly help navigate through your code.
Good use of DOM API to manipulate the page and add comments

Some opportunities to improve on:
Opportunity to improve styling between breakpoints in order to improve the overall quality of your visual design.

Certan file paths were linked incorrectly - causing displays to be off from mockups or errors when navigating between pages.

BrainStation | 455 Granville St. | Vancouver, BC, Canada

Copyright BrainStation Inc. 2017-2019. All Rights Reserved.