Advanced Logics - Assignment 12

Christel Baier

Due: Thursday, 14. July 2022, 9:20

The stars indicate the difficulty of an exercise (no star - easiest, one star - harder, two stars - hardest).

Exercise 12.1 [FOL-sentences for star-free languages]

Let $\Sigma = \{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\}$. Provide an FOL-sentence φ over $Voc_{\Sigma, \leqslant}$ that defines the language over $\Sigma = \{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\}$ given by the following star-free expression:

 $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}\overline{\emptyset}\mathfrak{a}\overline{\emptyset}$

Exercise 12.2 [From FOL to star-free and regular expressions]

Let $\Sigma = \{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\}$. Provide star-free and regular expressions for the star-free languages defined by the following FOL-sentences ϕ_i over $Voc_{\Sigma,\leq}$:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \varphi_1 & = & \forall x \exists y. (\, P_{\mathfrak{a}}(x) \wedge P_{\mathfrak{b}}(y) \,) \\ \\ \varphi_2 & = & \forall x. (\, P_{\mathfrak{a}}(x) \rightarrow \exists y \exists z. (\, \mathsf{E}(y,x) \wedge \mathsf{E}(z,y) \wedge P_{\mathfrak{b}}(z) \,) \end{array}$$

Exercise 12.3 [Star-freedom of a regular language?]

Is the regular language given by the regular expression

 $(\mathfrak{ab})^*$

star-free? Justify your answer.

Exercise 12.4 [Regular language associated with an MSO-formula]

Provide a characterization of $\mathfrak{L}(\phi)$ for the MSO-formula over $Voc_{\Sigma,graph}$ for $\Sigma = \{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}\}$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \varphi(z,Y) & = & \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2, \text{ where} \\ \psi_1 & = & P_{\mathfrak{a}}(z) \rightarrow z \notin Y \\ \psi_2 & = & \exists X. (\textit{first} \in X \wedge z \in X \wedge \forall y \forall y'. (E(y,y') \rightarrow (y \in X \leftrightarrow y' \notin X))) \end{array}$$

and depict an NFA for $\mathfrak{L}(\phi)$.

Exercise 12.5 [REG2EMSO (*)]

Provide an alternative proof for the EMSO-definability of regular languages by defining a direct transformation from regular expressions to EMSO-sentences without using the equivalence of regular expressions and finite automata.

Exercise 12.6 [Hamilton path problem and MSO/ESOL-definability]

(a) Show that there is no MSO-sentence ϕ over $\textit{Voc}_{\textit{graph}}$ such that for all finite undirected graphs \mathcal{G} :

 $\mathcal{G} \models \varphi$ if and only if \mathcal{G} has a Hamilton path.

Remind: A Hamilton path in a graph $\mathfrak{G}=(V,E)$ is a path $\nu_0\nu_1\ldots\nu_n$ s.t. $\nu_i\neq\nu_j$ for $0\leqslant i< j\leqslant n$ and $V=\{\nu_0,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_n\}$

(b) Does there exists an ESOL-sentence φ over $\textit{Voc}_{\text{graph}}$ s.t. for all finite undirected graphs \mathcal{G} :

 $\mathcal{G} \models \phi$ if and only if \mathcal{G} has a Hamilton path?