# Mathematics

P. Tansuntorn

Last updated July 22, 2019

# Contents

Ι	Pa	rt IA													
1	Numbers and Sets														
	1.1	Introd	uction to number systems and logic												
	1.2	Sets, r	elations and functions												
		1.2.1	Union, intersection and equality of sets												
		1.2.2	Indicator functions												
		1.2.3	Functions												
		1.2.4	Relations and equivalence relations												
		1.2.5	The Inclusion-Exclusion Principle												
	1.3	The in	itegers												
		1.3.1	Natural numbers												
	1.4	Eleme	ntary number theory												
		1.4.1	Prime numbers												
		1.4.2	Euclid's algorithm												
		1.4.3	Solution in integers of $ax + by = c$												
		1.4.4	Modular arithmetic												
		1.4.5	Chinese remainder theorem												
		1.4.6	Wilson's theorem												
	1.5	The re	eal numbers												
		1.5.1	Least upper bounds												
		1.5.2	Sequences and series												
		1.5.3	Irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$ and $e$												
		1.5.4	Decimal expansions												
		1.5.5	Construction of a transcendental number												
	1.6	Count	ability and uncountability												
2	Gro	oups													
	2.1	Examp	oles of groups												
		2.1.1	Axioms for groups												
		2.1.2	Examples from geometry												
		2.1.3	Permutation on a set												
		2.1.4	Subgroups and homomorphisms												
		2.1.5	Symmetry groups												
	2.2	The M	löbius group												

		2.2.1	Fixed points and uniqueness
		2.2.2	Cross-ratios
	2.3	Lagrar	$ m nge's\ theorem\ \dots \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
		2.3.1	Cosets
		2.3.2	Lagrange's theorem
		2.3.3	Group of small order (up to 8)
		2.3.4	Quaternions
		2.3.5	Fermat-Euler theorem
	2.4	Group	actions
		2.4.1	Orbit-stabilizer theorem
		2.4.2	Cayley's theorem
		2.4.3	Conjugacy classes
		2.4.4	Cauchy's theorem
	2.5	Quotie	ent groups
		2.5.1	Normal subgroups
		2.5.2	Quotient groups
		2.5.3	The isomorphism theorem
	2.6	Matrix	groups
		2.6.1	The general and special linear groups
		2.6.2	The orthogonal and special orthogonal groups
		2.6.3	Basis change
	2.7	Permu	tations
		2.7.1	Permutations, Cycles and Transpositions
		2.7.2	Sign of Permutations
		2.7.3	Conjugacy in $S_n$ and $A_n$
		2.7.4	Simple Groups
3	Voc	tong on	nd Matrices 19
3	3.1		ex Numbers
	3.1		Complex logarithm
	3.2		• •
	3.4		s
		3.2.1 $3.2.2$	Vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$
		3.2.2	Concepts in linear algebra
		3.2.4	Suffix notation
		3.2.4 $3.2.5$	Vector product and triple product
		3.2.6	Solution of linear vector equations
		3.2.7	Applications
	3.3		res
	5.5	3.3.1	Algebra of matrices
		3.3.2	Determinant and trace
		3.3.3	Matrix as linear transformation
		3.3.4	Simultaneous linear equations
	3.4		ralues and Eigenvectors
	J. 1	V	

4	Diff	Ferential Equations 23							
	4.1	Basic Calculus							
		4.1.1 Differentiation							
		4.1.2 Big $O$ and small $o$ notation							
		4.1.3 Rules of differentiation							
	4.2	1st-order LDEs							
		4.2.1 Equations with constant coefficients							
		4.2.2 Equations with non-constant coefficients							
	4.3	Nonlinear first-order equations							
		4.3.1 Separable equations							
		4.3.2 Exact equations							
	4.4	Higher-order LDEs							
	4.5	Multivariate Functions							
5		alysis I 25							
	5.1	Limit and Convergences							
		5.1.1 Series and sequences in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$							
		5.1.2 Sums, products and quotients							
		5.1.3 Absolute convergence							
		5.1.4 Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem							
		5.1.5 Comparison and ratio test							
		5.1.6 Alternating series test							
	5.2	Continuity							
		5.2.1 Continuity of real and complex function							
		5.2.2 The intermediate value theorem							
	5.3	Differentiability							
		5.3.1 Differentiability of functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$							
		5.3.2 Derivative of sums and products							
	5.4	Power series							
	5.5	Integration							
		5.5.1 Integrability of monotonic functions							
6	Pro	bability 31							
	6.1	Basic concepts							
	6.2	Axiomatic approach							
	6.3	Discrete random variables							
	6.4	Continuous random variables							
	6.5	Continuous random variables							
		6.5.1 Markov's and Chebyshev's inequality							
		6.5.2 Weak law of large numbers							
		6.5.3 Convexity and Jensen's inequality							
		6.5.4 AM-CM inequality							

7	Vect	or Cal	cul	us																						33
	7.1	Curves	in	$\mathbb{R}^3$			. <b>.</b>																			33
	7.2	Integrat	ıtioı	ı in	$\mathbb{R}^{2}$	$^{2}$ a	nd	$\mathbb{R}^3$	}																	33
	7.3	Vector of	оре	erat	ors	3.																				33
	7.4	Integrat	ıtioı	n th	ieo:	ren	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{s}$																			33
	7.5	Laplace																								33
	7.6	Cartesia	ian	ten	sor	s ii	n ℝ	$ enskip^3 $ .					•					•			 •	•				33
8	Med	hanics																								35
	8.1	Kinema	atic	s of	fа	$\sin$	gle	e pa	art	icle	е.															35
	8.2	Equilibr	riu	m c	of a	si	ngl	ер	ar	tic	le															35
	8.3	Equilibr	riu	m c	of a	rię	gid	bc	ody	7																35
	8.4	Dynami	nics	of	par	tic	les																			35
	8.5	Energy	·																							35
	8.6	Momen	ıtur	n																						35
	8.7	Springs	s, st	rin	gs	and	d S	HN	A.																	35
	8.8	Motion	ı in	a c	irc	le .							•					•				•				35
9	Dyn	amics a	anc	l R	tela	ati	vit	$\mathbf{v}$																		37
	9.1	Basic co	conc	ept	S																					37
	9.2	Newton	niar	ı dy	/na	mi	cs (	of a	a s	ing	gle	pa	ırti	icl	e											37
	9.3	Newton	niar	ı dy	/na	mi	cs (	of s	sys	ter	ns	of	pa	art	tic	les	,									37
	9.4	Rigid b	oodi	ies																						37
	9.5	Special	rel	ati	vity	<i>y</i> .																				37
Η	Pa	art IB	'																							39
10	Met	ric and	l T	opc	olo	gic	al	$\mathbf{Sp}$	ac	es	<b>,</b>															41
	10.1	Metrics	s .																							41
		10.1.1	De	fini	tio	n a	nd	ex	an	npl	es.															41
		10.1.2	Lir	nits	s ar	nd	cor	atir	nui	ty																42
		10.1.3	Op	en	set	s a	nd	ne	eigl	abo	our	hc	000	$_{ m ls}$												42
		10.1.4	Ch	ara	cte	risi	ing	; lir	mit	S 8	anc	lс	on	tir	nui	ity										42
	10.2	Topolog	gy																							42
		10.2.1	De	fini	tio	n.																				42
		10.2.2	Μe	etric	e to	opo	log	gies																		42
		10.2.3	Ne	igh'	boı	ırh	000	ds																		42
		10.2.4																								42
		10.2.5	Но	me	om	orp	his	sms	s .																	42
				pol																						42
		10.2.7	Со	mp	lete	ene	SS																			42
		10.2.8		_																						42
	10.3	Connec		-			•				_					-										49

		10.3.1 Definition	12
		10.3.2 Components	12
		10.3.3 Path-connectedness	12
	10.4	Compactness	12
11	Vari	ational Principles 4	13
12	Line	ar Algebra	15
			15
	12.2	Linear maps	15
	12.3	Determinant	15
			15
	12.5	Duals	15
			15
	12.7	Inner Product Spaces	15
12	Cro	ıps, Rings and Modules	١7
TO			17
	10.1	1	± 1 17
		1	# 1 17
			‡ 1 17
	13 9	of the state of th	# 1 17
	10.2	0	# 1 17
			±1 48
			±0 18
			±0 18
			±0 18
	13 3		±0 18
	10.0		18
			18
			±0 18
			18
		19.6.1 I mively generated metalics ever Euchaean demands 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
<b>14</b>		<b>v</b>	<b>19</b>
	14.1	Uniform Convergence	19
		v	19
	14.3	$\mathbb{R}^n$ as a Normed Space	19
	14.4	Differentiation from $\mathbb{R}^m$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$	49
	14.5	Metric Spaces	<b>1</b> 9
	14.6	The Contraction Mapping Theorem	<b>1</b> 9
15	Con	plex Analysis 5	51
- 0		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	51
		v	51

	15.3 Expansions and Singularities	51 51
16	Complex Methods	53
	16.1 Analytic Functions	53
	16.2 Contour Integration and Cauchy's Theorem	53
	16.3 Residue Calculus	53
	16.4 Fourier and Laplace Transforms	53
	10.4 Fourier and Laplace Transforms	99
<b>17</b>	Geometry	55
II	I Part II	<b>57</b>
18	Number Theory	59
	18.1 Basics	59
	18.2 Chinese Remainder Theorem	59
	18.3 Law of Quadratic Reciprocity	59
	18.4 Binary Quadratic Forms	59
	18.5 Distribution of the Primes	59
	18.6 Continued fractions and Pell's equation	59
	18.7 Primality testing	59
	18.8 Factorisation	59
19	Topics in Analysis	61
20	Coding and Cryptography	63
21	Automata and Formal Languages	65
	21.1 Register machines	65
	21.2 Regular languages and finite-state automata	65
	21.3 Pushdown automata and context-free languages	65
<b>22</b>	Logic and Set Theory	67
	22.1 Ordinals and Cardinals	67
	22.1.1 Well-orderings and order-types	67
	22.2 Posets and Zorn's Lemma	67
	22.3 Propositional Logic	67
	22.4 Predicate Logic	67
	22.5 Set Theory	67
	22.6 Consistency	67
23	Graph Theory	69
_0	23.1 Introduction	69
	23.2 Connectivity and matchines	60

•	
1	X

	23.4 23.5 23.6	Extremal graph theory	69 69 69
24	Galo	Probabilistic methods	69 <b>71</b>
	24.1	Fields extensions	71
<b>25</b>	25.1	Representations of Finite Groups	<b>73</b> 73 73
	25.3 25.4 25.5	Character Theory	73 73 73 73 73
	23.0	Further Worked Examples	10
26	26.1 26.2 26.3 26.4	Algebraic Number Fields  Ideals  Units  Ideal classes  Dedekind's theorem on the factorisation of primes	75 75 75 75 75 75
27	27.1 27.2 27.3 27.4 27.5	Covering Spaces	77 77 77 77 77 77
<b>2</b> 8	Line	ear Analysis	<b>7</b> 9
<b>2</b> 9	Ana	lysis of Functions	81
		mann Surfaces	83
31	Alge	ebraic Geometry	85
32	Diffe	erential Geometry	87
33	Prob	bability and Measure	89

# Part IA

# Chapter 1

# Numbers and Sets

- 1.1 Introduction to number systems and logic
- 1.2 Sets, relations and functions
- 1.2.1 Union, intersection and equality of sets
- 1.2.2 Indicator functions
- 1.2.3 Functions
- 1.2.4 Relations and equivalence relations
- 1.2.5 The Inclusion-Exclusion Principle
- 1.3 The integers
- 1.3.1 Natural numbers
- 1.4 Elementary number theory

#### 1.4.1 Prime numbers

**Definition 1.4.1.** For two integers a and b, a divides b if there exists an integer k such that b = ak. We call a a factor of b and write  $a \mid b$ .

**Definition 1.4.2.** A number p is *prime* if its divisors are only 1 and itself. A number which is not prime is called a *composite* number.

**Theorem 1.1.** Every number greater than 1 has a prime factor.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. Note that 2 obviously has a prime factor 2. Suppose that every number less than m has a prime factor, we need to show that m also has a prime

factor. If m is prime then we are done. If m is not prime then there exists  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $a \leq m$  such that ab = m and  $a \neq 1$ . Then by the hypothesis, a has a prime factor. That prime factor must also divide m. Thus every number greater than 1 has a prime factor.  $\square$ 

This proof of infinitude of prime is first described by Euclid.

#### **Theorem 1.2.** There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof. Suppose there are only finitely many prime numbers, denoted  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ . Consider the number obtained by multiplying all primes in the list, and then adding one;  $p_1p_2\cdots p_k+1$ . This number is obviously greater than 1, and so it must have a prime factor q. It then follows that q must be one of the finitely many primes in the list. But for all  $p_i$  with  $1 \le i \le k$ ,  $p_i \nmid p_1p_2\cdots p_k+1$ . This means that q is not equal to any of the prime in the list, a contradiction.

- 1.4.2 Euclid's algorithm
- 1.4.3 Solution in integers of ax + by = c.
- 1.4.4 Modular arithmetic
- 1.4.5 Chinese remainder theorem
- 1.4.6 Wilson's theorem
- 1.5 The real numbers
- 1.5.1 Least upper bounds
- 1.5.2 Sequences and series
- 1.5.3 Irrationality of  $\sqrt{2}$  and e

What does it mean for a number to be rational? Recalls the definition of a rational number, which says that a number a is rational if it can be expressed in the form

$$a = \frac{p}{q}$$

for relatively prime integers p, q with  $q \neq 0$ .

We start by the classic proof of irrationality of  $\sqrt{2}$ .

## Theorem 1.3. $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Proof. Suppose  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational, and  $\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$  with (p,q) = 1 and  $q \neq 0$ . Then  $(\sqrt{2})^2 = 2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$ , so  $2q^2 = p^2$ . Therefore  $2 \mid p^2$ ; it follows that p is even. But then  $p = 2p_0$  for some integer  $p_0$ , which means that  $q^2 = 2(p_0)^2$  and q is even. But this contradicts our assumption that p and q are relatively prime.

More generally,

**Theorem 1.4.**  $\sqrt{p}$  is irrational if p is prime.

*Proof.* We provide another proof using unique factorisation of integers. Assume that  $\sqrt{p}$  is a rational number, and that  $\sqrt{p} = \frac{a}{b}$ , with coprime a, b and  $b \neq 0$ . If b = 1, then p must divide  $a^2$ , then it divides a, which is absurd. Then there exists a prime q in the factorisation of b such that  $q \nmid a$ , or else they have a common factor.

Now consider  $2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$ .  $a^2$  is factored into the product of primes of a, but squared. The prime factor of  $b^2$  includes  $q^2$ . As so the fraction  $\frac{a^2}{b^2}$  cannot be reduced to an integer, contradicting  $2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$ .

We can extend the result to the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.**  $\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}}$  is rational if and only if p and q are perfect squares.

Even more generally,

**Theorem 1.6.** If an integer a is not an exact k-th power of another integer then  $\sqrt[k]{a}$  is irrational.

We now provide a proof that e is irrational, starting with the definition of e.

**Definition 1.5.1.** The number e is defined as

$$e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n.$$

We will show later on that the two definition is indeed equal. The proof of irrationality of e will use the fact that

$$e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} = 1 + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \cdots$$

Note that  $2 = 1 + 1 < e = 1 + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots < 1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \dots\right) = 3$ , that is e is bounded between 2 and 3. Now we present the proof of irrationality of e, as presented by Joseph Fourier.

**Theorem 1.7.** e is irrational.

*Proof.* Suppose e is rational and with usual condition  $(a,b)=1, e=\frac{a}{b}$ . Define

$$x = b! \left( e - \sum_{n=0}^{b} \frac{1}{n!} \right). \tag{1.1}$$

This renders x an integer, for if we substitute  $e = \frac{a}{b}$ ,

$$x = b! \left( \frac{a}{b} - \sum_{n=0}^{b} \frac{1}{n!} \right) = a(b-1)! - \sum_{n=0}^{b} \frac{b!}{n!}.$$

For each of  $0 \le n \le b$ , n! divides entirely into b!, so the sum is an integer.

Notice that we are using an idea that the difference between the fast-converging series expansion of e and  $\sum_{n=0}^{b} \frac{1}{n!}$  multiplied by b! is still less than 1, thus making x an integer between 0 and 1. This would give us a contradiction.

Let's bound x first by showing that it is indeed positive, since

$$x = b! \left( e - \sum_{n=0}^{b} \frac{1}{n!} \right) = b! \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} - \sum_{n=0}^{b} \frac{1}{n!} \right) = \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \frac{b!}{n!}, \tag{1.2}$$

and all of its terms is positive, so x > 0.

Consider  $\frac{b!}{n!}$ . For all term  $n \geq b+1$ ,

$$\frac{b!}{n!} = \frac{1}{(b+1)(b+2)\cdots(b+(n-b))} < \frac{1}{(b+1)^{n-b}}.$$

The inequality is strict for n > b + 1, we now have

$$x = \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \frac{b!}{n!} < \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(b+1)^{n-b}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(b+1)^k} = \frac{1}{b+1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{b+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{b} < 1.$$
 (1.3)

A contradiction.  $\Box$ 

Later in the 19th century, e is proven to be transcendental, i.e. e is not a root of any polynomial with rational coefficient, by Charles Hermite. Furthermore,  $e^a$  is transcendental if a is rational and non-zero, by the result of the Lindemann-Weierstrass theorem. The same theorem also shows that  $\pi$  is transcendental.

## 1.5.4 Decimal expansions

#### 1.5.5 Construction of a transcendental number

# 1.6 Countability and uncountability

# Chapter 2

# Groups

# 2.1 Examples of groups

#### 2.1.1 Axioms for groups

**Definition 2.1.1.** A group is a set G, together with a binary operation \* on G with the following properties.

- 1. (Closure) for all g and h in G,  $g * h \in G$ ;
- 2. (Associativity) for all f, g and h in  $G, g * h \in G, f * (g * h) = (f * g) * h$ ;
- 3. (Existence of identity) there is a unique e in G such that for all g in G, g\*e=g=e\*g;
- 4. (Existence of inverse) if  $g \in G$  there is some h in G such that g \* h = e = h \* g.

These results follow nicely.

**Lemma 2.1.1.** Let G be any group. Then, given  $g \in G$ , there is only one element h such that g \* h = e = h \* g. Particularly  $(g^{-1})^{-1} = g$ .

**Lemma 2.1.2** (Cancellation law). Suppose that a, b and x are in a group G. If a \* x = b \* x then a = b.

**Lemma 2.1.3.** Suppose that a and b are in a group G. Then the equation a \* x = b has a unique solution  $x = a^{-1} * b$ .

**Lemma 2.1.4.** In any group G, e is the unique solution of x \* x = x.

Notice that we do not include the familiar assumption that f \* g = g \* f normally found in arithmetic. In fact, for some interesting groups this equality does not hold.

**Definition 2.1.2.** Let G be a group with respect to \*. The elements f and g commute if f \* g = g \* f. We call G abelian if for all f and g in G, we have f \* g = g \* f.

We adopt the notation gh as equivalent to g\*h for simplicity.

#### 2.1.2 Examples from geometry

In this section we examine the idea of group in geometry, using polygons.

#### 2.1.3 Permutation on a set

In this section we will show that permutations of a non-empty set X, in fact, form a group, starting with the definition of permutations acting on a set, although only for finite sets, before developing the idea further into arbitrary sets.

**Definition 2.1.3.** A permutation  $\alpha: X \to X$  is a bijection from X to itself. We say that  $\alpha$  acts on the set X. The set of all permutations of X is denoted  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .

This set is indeed a group.

**Theorem 2.1.** The set  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  forms a group under composition of functions. We shall write  $\alpha\beta(x)$  in place of  $\alpha(\beta(x))$ .

*Proof.* We will show that all group axioms are satisfied.

- 1. It is obvious that if  $\alpha, \beta$  are permutations, then  $\alpha\beta$  is also a permutation. Thus the set  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is closed under composition.
- 2. For any permutations  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , let  $\mu = \alpha\beta$  and  $\nu = \beta\gamma$ . Then for every x in X,

$$(\alpha(\beta\gamma))(x) = (\alpha\nu)(x)$$

$$= \alpha(\nu(x))$$

$$= \alpha(\beta(\gamma(x)))$$

$$= \mu(\gamma(x))$$

$$= (\mu\gamma)(x)$$

$$= ((\alpha\beta)\gamma)(x).$$
(2.1)

Thus the permutations are commutative under composition.

- 3. The identity permutation  $\iota(x) = x$  is the identity of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ , since  $\alpha \iota(x) = \alpha(x) = \iota \alpha(x)$ .
- 4. For any element  $\alpha$  of X, the inverse is simply its functional inverse  $\alpha^{-1}$ . Direct verification shows that  $\alpha \alpha^{-1} = \iota = \alpha^{-1} \alpha$ .

The above proof lets us write  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  for any composition of three or more permutations without any confusion.

Setting  $X = \{1, ..., n\}$ , the study of permutation groups is simpler. We shall give a name for such group.

**Definition 2.1.4.** The symmetric group  $S_n$  is a set of permutations of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ . We say that the group is of degree n.

**Theorem 2.2.** The order of  $S_n$  is n!.

*Proof.* Evidently, there are n! permutations on a set with n elements.

We now introduce a customary notation for permutation  $\rho(x)$  in the form

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ \rho(1) & \rho(2) & \rho(3) & \cdots & \rho(n) \end{pmatrix},$$

which mean that the image of the permutation  $\rho(i)$  is underneath i in the first row. For example, let  $\alpha$  be a permutation on  $\{1,2,3,4\}$  with  $\alpha(1)=1,\alpha(2)=4,\alpha(3)=2$  and  $\alpha(4)=3$ , then

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 2.1.1.** There are 6 permutations in  $S_3$ , they are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore  $S_3$  is not abelian. More generally  $S_n$  is not abelian for  $n \geq 3$ . We will study permutations in more details later on.

## 2.1.4 Subgroups and homomorphisms

**Definition 2.1.5.** A *subgroup* of a group G is a subset of G which itself form a group under the operation taken from G.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let H be a subgroup of G, then the identity element of H is that of G.

A group G always at least admits two subgroup, namely G and the singleton  $\{e\}$ . We call  $\{e\}$  the *trivial subgroup* of G, and we say that H is the *non-trivial subgroup* of G if  $H \neq \{e\}$ . We say that H is a *proper subgroup* of G if  $H \neq G$ .

We now give a test for a subset to be a subgroup.

**Theorem 2.4** (A test for subgroup). Let G be a group, and H be a non-empty subset of G. Then H is a subgroup of G if and only if

- 1. if  $g \in H$  and  $h \in H$ , then  $gh \in H$ , and
- 2. if  $g \in H$  then  $g^{-1} \in H$ .

Another test is similar and follows from the above theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let G be a group, and H be a non-empty subset of G. Then H is a subgroup of G if and only if  $xy^{-1} \in H$  whenever  $x, y \in H$ .

**Example 2.1.2.** The group  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ .

The following property of the class of subsets of G is important.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let G be any group, then the intersection of any collection of subgroups of G is itself a subgroup of G.

Proof. Note that the intersection  $\cap_t H_t$  of the subgroups of G, defined as  $H_t$  for some t in the index set T, is not empty. Then for every elements  $g \in \cap_t H_t$  and  $h \in \cap_t H_t$ , they also lie in  $H_t$  for every t. And thus  $gh \in H_t$ , so  $gh \in \cap_t H_t$ . Any element  $g \in \cap_t H_t$  also has its inverse in every subgroup  $H_t$ . It then follows that  $g^{-1} \in \cap_t H_t$ . Therefore  $\cap_t H_t$  forms a subgroup under the operation of G.

As a consequence, we see that for any non-empty subset  $G_0$  of G, we can consider the intersection of the collection of all subgroups H of G than contain  $G_0$ . The collection is not empty, since G is itself in the collection. It follows that the intersection is itself not empty, and is a subgroup of G that contain  $G_0$ . In fact, it is the *smallest subgroup* to contain  $G_0$ . This allows us to propose the next definition.

**Definition 2.1.6.** Let  $G_0$  be a non-empty subset of a group G. The subgroup of G generated by  $G_0$  is the smallest subgroup of G that contains  $G_0$ .

The idea of subgroup is expanded into the notion of a **coset**, which will be explored later.

Let's now turn to homomorphism, as a tool to study relationship between two groups.

**Definition 2.1.7.** Let G, G' be groups. A function  $\phi: G \to G'$  is a homomorphism if it takes the action of G to that of H, namely

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y),$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

**Definition 2.1.8.** A homomorphism  $\phi$  is called an *isomorphism* if it is bijective.

**Lemma 2.1.5.** The homomorphism  $\phi: G \to H$  sends the identity of G to that of H.

*Proof.* Let  $x=y=e_G$ . So  $\phi(e_G)=\phi(e_G)\phi(e_G)$ . This equation is satisfied only when  $\phi(e_G)=e_H$ .

**Lemma 2.1.6.**  $\phi(xy^{-1}) = \phi(x)\phi(y)^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* This is clear from the fact that  $\phi(y)\phi(xy^{-1}) = \phi(x)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.7.**  $\phi(x^{-1}) = \phi(x)^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.8.** If  $\phi \colon G \to H$  and  $\theta \colon H \to K$  are homomorphisms, then  $\theta \phi \colon G \to K$  is also a homomorphism. Similarly, if  $\phi \colon G \to H$  and  $\theta \colon H \to K$  are isomorphisms, then  $\theta \phi \colon G \to K$  is an isomorphism.

The idea of kernel, introduced for vector spaces, motivates us to find an analogy for homomorphisms between groups. As the kernel of a linear map is the set of vectors mapped to the identity elements of the image spaces, we naturally define kernel as follows.

**Definition 2.1.9.** The *kernel* ker  $\phi$  of a homomorphism  $\phi: G \to H$  is the set of elements of g mapped to the identity of H, that is,

$$\{g \in G \colon \phi(g) = e_H\}.$$

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\phi: G \to H$ . Then  $\ker \phi$  is a subgroup of G.

This result is similar to those of kernels of vector spaces.

#### 2.1.5 Symmetry groups

## 2.2 The Möbius group

We first begin with the definition of Möbius transformation.

**Definition 2.2.1.** A Möbius transformation is a function f of a complex variable z in the form

$$f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d},$$

for some complex numbers a, b, c and d, with the condition that  $ad - bc \neq 0$ .

The condition  $ad - bc \neq 0$  might not be obvious, but it follows from the fact that

$$f(z) - f(w) = \frac{(ad - bc)(z - w)}{(cz + d)(cw + d)}.$$

If ad - bc = 0, then f is constant. This also shows that f is injective.

This definition of the Möbius transformation has two problems. First, a Möbius transformation f is not unique. As for example, the 4-tuples (a, b, c, d) and (ma, mb, mc, md) with  $m \neq 0$  will all map a complex number z to a same number. Thus, given f, we cannot say what are the coefficients.

The second problem stems from the fact that, for example  $1/(z-z_0)$  is not defined at the point  $z_0$ . This means that there is no subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  on which all Möbius maps are defined.

Here is an example of this.

**Example 2.2.1.** Let f(z) = (z+2)/z and g(z) = (z+1)/(z-1). Then,

$$f(g(z)) = \frac{g(z) + 2}{g(z)} = \frac{(z+1) + 2(z-1)}{z+1} = \frac{3z-1}{z+1},$$

so that fg fixes the point 1. However, g is not defined when z = 1. What's worse is that, if h(z) = 1/z then hfg(z) = (z+1)/(3z-1), although g is not defined when z = 1, fg(z) is not defined when z = -1, and hfg(z) is not defined when z = 1/3. More generally, a composition  $f_1 \cdots f_n$  of Möbius maps will not be defined at n distinct points in the complex plane.

The following theorem addresses the first problem.

**Theorem 2.8.** Suppose that  $a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  are complex numbers with  $(ad - bc)(\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma) \neq 0$ , and such that for at least three distinct values of z in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $cz + d \neq 0$ ,  $\gamma z + \delta \neq 0$ , and

$$\frac{az+b}{cz+d} = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}.$$

Then there is some non-zero complex number  $\lambda$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

*Proof.* Consider the quadratic polynomial

$$(az + b)(\gamma z + \delta) = (\alpha z + \beta)(cz + d).$$

The polynomial has three distinct roots, and so it must be a zero polynomial. Therefore,  $a\gamma = c\alpha$ ,  $b\gamma + a\delta = c\beta + d\alpha$  and  $b\delta = d\beta$ , which is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mu^2 = (ad - bc)(\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma) \neq 0$ . We then have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\mu}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first problem is then resolved by showing that the 4-tuple (a, b, c, d) determines f, up to non-zero multiple. The second problem will be resolved differently, by joining an extra point, which is called *the point at infinity* to  $\mathbb{C}$ . This point is denoted  $\infty$ .

**Definition 2.2.2.** The set of complex numbers joined with the set  $\{\infty\}$  of the point at infinity is called an *extended complex plane*, and is denoted  $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ .

We already have our notion of the Möbius map approaching infinity, since we have

$$\lim_{z\to\infty}\frac{az+b}{cz+d}=\frac{a}{c},\quad \lim_{z\to-d/c}\frac{az+b}{cz+d}=\infty$$

when  $c \neq 0$ . And if c = 0 then  $\lim_{z \to \infty} f(z) = \infty$ . So we naturally use them to assign value to  $f(\infty)$ .

**Definition 2.2.3.** For  $c \neq 0$ , define  $f(\infty) = a/c$  and  $f(-d/c) = \infty$ . If c = 0 then  $f(\infty) = \infty$ .

This assignment of values is well-defined only because we have shown before that either  $c \neq 0$  or c = 0, and if  $c \neq 0$  then the value of a/c and -d/c is always the same for any multiple of c. The main result of this definition is that, all Möbius transformation is now defined on the set  $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  so that the composition of any two Möbius is defined. In fact,

**Theorem 2.9.** Every Möbius map is a bijection from  $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  onto itself, and that they form the Möbius group  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to composition.

**Theorem 2.10.** Every Möbius map transformation can be expressed as the composition of at most four maps, which are

- 1. rotation and dilation of the form  $z \mapsto az$ ,
- 2. translation of the form  $z \mapsto z + b$ ; and
- 3. complex inversion of the form  $z \mapsto 1/z$ .

There is a connection between Möbius maps and  $2 \times 2$  complex matrices. We have seen that, if M is a non-singular  $2 \times 2$  matrix with complex entries, then we can find a corresponding Möbius map f. Indeed this mapping, explicitly stated

$$\phi \colon \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto f, \quad f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d},$$

gives us a homomorphism between the group of  $2 \times 2$  non-singular complex matrices  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** The mapping  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from the group  $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$  onto the Möbius group  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.1.** The kernel of  $\phi$  is  $\{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . where I is the identity matrix.

## 2.2.1 Fixed points and uniqueness

#### 2.2.2 Cross-ratios

# 2.3 Lagrange's theorem

#### 2.3.1 Cosets

We have introduced the idea of subgroup in the previous section. Now we come to the idea of constructing a subset of any group G from its subgroup. For example, we could define a new subset XY of G by

$$XY = \{xy \colon x \in X, y \in Y\}$$

for any subgroup X, Y of G. If X is a singleton, that is  $X = \{x\}$ , we shall adopt a notation XY = xY. Such constructions which we shall consider are of the form

$$gH = \{gh \colon h \in H\} \text{ or } Hg = \{hg \colon h \in H\}$$

for some  $g \in G$ , and H is a subgroup of G. The set gH is called the *left coset* of H with respect to g, similarly, Hg is the *right coset* of H with respect to g. Some constructions of this type might turn out to be the same set H. This is illustrated below.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let H be a subgroup of G, and  $g \in G$ . Then  $g \in H$  if and only if gH = H (or Hg = H).

Thus we concern ourselves to the study of gH when  $g \notin H$ . We will adopt an additive notation g+H in place of gH when such subgroups employ addition. The next results show that a group can be divided into disjoint cosets. This is called the *coset decomposition of G*.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let H be a subgroup of a group G, then G is a union of its left (or right) cosets.

*Proof.* Clearly, for any  $g \in G$ ,  $g \in gH$ . So g is contained in the union.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let H be a subgroup of a group G, then any two left cosets of G are either equal or disjoint.

Proof. Let  $f, g \in G$  and fH, gH are the two left cosets. Suppose that fH and gH are disjoint, that is, the set  $fH \cap gH$  is not empty. Then there exists an element  $x \in fH \cap gH$ , and so  $fy_1 = gy_2$  for some  $y_1, y_2 \in H$ . Thus  $g^{-1}f = y_2y_1^{-1} \in H$  and so  $g^{-1}fH = H$ ; hence  $gH = gg^{-1}fH = fH$ , hereby proving the theorem.

Corollary 2.3.1. If fH = gH, then  $g^{-1}f \in H$ .

## 2.3.2 Lagrange's theorem

Recall the definition of an *order* of a group, denoted |G|. The next theorem shows the connection between the orders of a group and its subgroup.

**Theorem 2.15** (Lagrange's theorem). Let H be a subgroup of a finite group G. Then |H| divides G, and |G|/|H| is the number of distinct left (or right) cosets of H in G.

*Proof.* From the previous theorem we can write a group G as a union of the pairwise disjoint coset left of H. Therefore  $G = g_1 H \cup g_2 H \cup \cdots \cup g_r H$ . Consequently,

$$|G| = |g_1H| + |g_2H| + \dots + |g_rH|.$$

It remains to show that  $|g_1H| = |g_2H| = \cdots = |g_rH| = |H|$ . Notice that the map  $x \mapsto g_j x$  is a bijection from H to  $g_j H$ , and so  $|g_1H| = |g_2H| = \cdots = |g_rH| = |H|$ . Therefore |G| = r|H| and the results follow.

The corollaries of Lagrange's theorem are as follows.

Corollary 2.3.2. Let g be an element of a finite group G. Then the order of g divides the order of G.

*Proof.* Let d be the order of g. The subgroup  $H = \{e, g, g^1, \dots, g^{d-1}\}$  is a subgroup of order d. By Lagrange's Theorem,  $|H| \mid |G|$ .

Corollary 2.3.3. If the order of a group is prime, then it is cyclic.

*Proof.* Let G be a group with prime order p. Suppose  $x \in G$ ,  $x \neq e$  and  $H = \langle x \rangle$  be its subgroup. Then  $|H| \mid |G|$ . But |G| is prime, therefore |H| must either be 1 or p. But H contains both x and e, therefore |H| = p, that is  $H = G = \langle x \rangle$  as claimed.

#### 2.3.3 Group of small order (up to 8)

Now we use the result from Lagrange's theorem to classify all groups with order less than 8.

#### 2.3.4 Quaternions

#### 2.3.5 Fermat-Euler theorem

# 2.4 Group actions

This section studies group actions, which involves a "product" between a group and an arbitrary set X and returns an element of X. We refer to this by saying that G acts on X.

A more precise formulation of the above can be given as following:

**Definition 2.4.1.** Let X be any set and G be any group, we say that G acts on X on the left by the product \*, if for each pair (g,x) with  $g \in G, x \in X$ , an element  $g*x \in X$  is defined, such that for all  $g, g' \in G$  and all  $x \in X$  the following axioms hold:

- 1. e \* x = x, and,
- 2. g \* (g' \* x) = (gg') \* x.

An alternate formulation when G acts on X on the right can also be defined. Our study of group action will rely on this notion and some geometric ideas in the study. Later on we shall apply them to the study of the symmetry groups of regular solids.

**Definition 2.4.2.** Suppose that G acts on X. We say that x is a *fixed point* of g in G if g \* x = x, and the set of fixed points of g is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}(g)$ .

**Definition 2.4.3.** Given x in X, the set  $\{g \in G : g * x = x\}$  of elements of G that fix x is called the *stabilizer*  $G_x$  of x.

This set is indeed a group.

**Theorem 2.16.** The stabilizer  $G_x$  is a subgroup of G.

**Definition 2.4.4.** Suppose that G acts on X. Then the subset  $\{g * x : g \in G\}$  of X is called the *orbit*  $O_x$  of x under G. As we could see, it is the set of all images of x varying g to every elements of G.

**Definition 2.4.5.** The action of G on X is called *transitive* if for each pair x, y in X there exists a g in G such that g \* x = y.

**Lemma 2.4.1.** The group G acts transitively on X if and only if  $O_x = X$  for one x in X.

Note that any two orbits of two elements of X are either disjoint or equal. Thus the orbits partition X into equivalence classes.

**Lemma 2.4.2.** Let x be a fixed element of X and  $G_x$  its stabilizer. Then for any elements g, h of  $G, g^{-1}h \in G_x$  if and only if g \* x = h \* x.

- 2.4.1 Orbit-stabilizer theorem
- 2.4.2 Cayley's theorem
- 2.4.3 Conjugacy classes
- 2.4.4 Cauchy's theorem
- 2.5 Quotient groups
- 2.5.1 Normal subgroups
- 2.5.2 Quotient groups
- 2.5.3 The isomorphism theorem
- 2.6 Matrix groups
- 2.6.1 The general and special linear groups
- 2.6.2 The orthogonal and special orthogonal groups
- 2.6.3 Basis change
- 2.7 Permutations

## 2.7.1 Permutations, Cycles and Transpositions

We have given the definition of permutations before. More importantly, we have show that, generally,  $S_n$  is not abelian, but some elements of  $S_n$  is abelian.

**Example 2.7.1.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in S_6$ , and define

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 6 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\alpha\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 5 & 6 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \beta\alpha.$$

We shall now provide a sufficient condition for two permutations to commute.

**Definition 2.7.1.** Any permutations  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are said to be *disjoint* if, for every k in  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , either  $\alpha(k) = k$  or  $\beta(k) = k$ .

**Theorem 2.17.** Two permutations commute if they are disjoint.

*Proof.* Let the two permutations be  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . For any  $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , suppose that  $\alpha$  fixes k, the case for  $\beta$  can be argued similarly.

Let  $\beta(k) = k'$ . Then  $\alpha\beta(k) = \alpha(k')$  and  $\beta\alpha(k) = \beta(k) = k'$ . We shall prove that indeed  $\alpha(k') = k$ .

If  $\beta(k') \neq k'$  then we are done by the premise. So suppose  $\beta(k') = k'$ , but then  $\beta(k') = k' = \beta(k)$ . This implies k = k' and so  $\alpha(k') = \alpha(k) = k'$  as required.

The conventional notation for permutations is unwieldy, especially for large n. We shall further simplify it, by introducing fixed points.

**Definition 2.7.2.** We call that k is a fixed point of  $\alpha$ , and that  $\alpha$  fixes k, if  $\alpha(k) = k$ .

And so, by convention, we shall left out any integers fixed by  $\alpha$ . For example, the permutation

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

interchanges 1 and 3, and fixes 2. This notation is still too cumbersome for large n, this drives us to find a new notation. Let us start by noticing that, if we repeatedly apply any permutation  $\alpha$ , any elements in  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  must eventually return. For example, let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then  $\alpha^2(1) = 1$ ,  $\alpha^3(2) = 1$ ,  $\alpha^3(3) = 3$ ,  $\alpha^3(4) = 4$  and  $\alpha^2(5) = 5$ . This is easily proven using the pigeonhole principle. Notice that 1 and 5 form a *cycle* between each other, as  $\alpha$  sends 1 to 5 and also send 5 to 1; this is also the case for 2, 3, 4. The permutation  $\alpha$  sends 2 to 3, 3 to 4, and 4 to 2. This is the motivation to define *cycles*.

**Definition 2.7.3.** A cycle between  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_q$  is the permutation

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 & \cdots & n_q \\ n_2 & n_3 & \cdots & n_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is denoted by  $(n_1 n_2 \cdots n_q)$ . The cycle is said to be of length q.

**Definition 2.7.4.** A transposition is a cycle of length 2.

The integers  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_q$  need not be in an increasing order. By inspection,  $\alpha = (15)(234) = (234)(15)$ . We will show that any permutation can be written in this manner, as the compositions of cycles.

**Theorem 2.18.** Any permutation  $\alpha$  in the symmetric group  $S_n$  can be written as a composition of disjoint cycles.

*Proof.* This will employ the similar strategy used above. For any integer  $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , we apply  $\alpha$  repeatedly, and so we have the sequence  $k, \alpha(k), \alpha^2(k), ...,$  and so some elements of this sequence must coincide. Let the two such elements be  $\alpha^p(k) = \alpha^q(k)$ , with p < q. Thus  $\alpha^{q-p}(k) = k$ . Now there exists a smallest positive number u such that  $\alpha^u(k) = k$ .

The sequence  $k, \alpha(k), \alpha^2(k), \ldots, \alpha^{u-1}(k)$  must be distinct. Construct the cycle

$$\gamma_k = (k \ \alpha(k) \ \alpha^2(k) \ \cdots \ \alpha^{u-1}(k)).$$

Now, two cycles are either disjoint or identical. For if  $y = \alpha^d(x)$  for some integer d, then  $\gamma_x = \gamma_y$ , and we say that x and y belong to the same cycle. Continue doing this for all elements of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ , we will have a collection of cycles  $\{\gamma_{k_1}, \gamma_{k_2}, \ldots, \gamma_{k_m}\}$ , all of them are pairwise disjoint.

Now consider the composition  $\gamma_{k_1}\gamma_{k_2}\cdots\gamma_{k_m}$ . For any  $x\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ , then  $\gamma_{k_d}(x)=\alpha(x)$  if x and  $k_d$  belong to the same cycle; else  $\gamma_{k_d}(x)=x$ . And so  $\alpha=\gamma_{k_1}\gamma_{k_2}\cdots\gamma_{k_m}$ .

The proof above use the idea of constructing the sequence  $k, \alpha k, \alpha^2(k), \ldots, \alpha^{u-1}(k)$  of elements of a group, which is indeed the **orbits**. This decomposition is also unique up to the order of  $y_{k_i}$ , and it is called the *standard representation* of  $\alpha$ .

Let's try to decompose a permutation using the theorem. Consider

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 3 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 8 & 2 & 7 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\alpha \in S_8$ . The cycle formed by 1 is  $\gamma_1 = (134)$ . Continuing this, we have the collection  $\{(134), (2586), (7)\}$ , and the standard representation of  $\alpha$  is (134)(2586)(7). One can drop the single cycle (7) and so

$$\alpha = (1\,3\,4)(2\,5\,8\,6).$$

Finally, consider a cycle  $\alpha$  of length n. Note that  $\alpha^n = \iota$ . Furthermore, for any positive integer d,

$$\alpha^d = (\gamma_{k_1} \gamma_{k_2} \cdots \gamma_{k_m})^d = \gamma_{k_1}^d \gamma_{k_2}^d \cdots \gamma_{k_m}^d,$$

since all cycles commute. It follows that if d is the least common multiple of  $n_{k_1}, n_{k_2}, \ldots, n_{k_m}$ , where  $n_{k_i}$  is the length of  $\gamma_{k_i}$ , then  $\alpha^d = \iota$ . The least common multiple is indeed the smallest positive integer with such property.

- 2.7.2 Sign of Permutations
- **2.7.3** Conjugacy in  $S_n$  and  $A_n$
- 2.7.4 Simple Groups

# Chapter 3

# Vectors and Matrices

## 3.1 Complex Numbers

#### 3.1.1 Complex logarithm

#### 3.2 Vectors

## 3.2.1 Vector Algebra in $\mathbb{R}^3$

This section will review algebra of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . They are usually regarded as an arrow, one with *dimension* and *length*. Starting from two points inside the space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , namely  $P(p_1, p_2, p_3)$  and  $Q(q_1, q_2, q_3)$  we may draw a vector from P to Q, and is expressed by

$$\overrightarrow{PQ} = (q_1 - p_1, q_2 - p_2, q_3 - p_3).$$

Generally let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ . This vector can also be written as a sum of unit vectors laying on the axis. Those unit vectors are

$$\mathbf{i} = (1, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{j} = (0, 1, 0), \text{ and } \mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 1).$$

And  $\mathbf{u} = u_1 \mathbf{i} + u_2 \mathbf{j} + u_3 \mathbf{k}$ . We can multiply vectors by a scalar, which is a real number, by

$$\mu \mathbf{u} = (\mu u_1, \mu u_2, \mu u_3).$$

The usual properties of vectors should be familiar, that is  $\mu(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \mu \mathbf{u} + \mu \mathbf{v}$ ,  $(\mu + \lambda)\mathbf{u} = \mu \mathbf{u} + \lambda \mathbf{u}$ , and  $(\mu \lambda)\mathbf{u} = \mu(\lambda \mathbf{u})$ .

#### 3.2.2 Vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$

Let us consider vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the natural generalisation of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Definition 3.2.1.** Using the standard basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $x = \sum_j x_j e_j$  and  $y = \sum_j y_j e_j$ , we write

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j, ||x||^2 = x \cdot x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2,$$

and  $x \perp y$  when  $x \cdot y = 0$ .

Note that ||x|| = ||-x||. The distance ||x - y|| between the points x and y is given by the natural extension of Pythagoras' theorem, and importantly, satisfies the *triangle inequality*.

$$||x - z|| \le ||x - y|| + ||y - z||. \tag{3.1}$$

To prove this assertion, it is sufficient to show that  $|x \cdot y| \le ||x|| ||y||$ , so that we have  $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ , which readily implies the triangle inequality. Thus we seek to prove

**Theorem 3.1** (the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality). For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$|x \cdot y| \le ||x|| ||y||. \tag{3.2}$$

The equality holds if and only if  $||x||y = \pm ||y||x$ , i.e. one vector is a multiple of one another.

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ . The equation holds true when x = 0 and when y = 0. So we assume that ||x|| ||y|| > 0.

Consider the equation

$$0 \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\|x\|y_j - \|y\|x_j)^2 = 2\|x\|\|y\| (\|x\|\|y\| - xy),$$

so  $x \cdot y \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ ; similarly, put -x as x and we have  $-x \cdot y \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ . Therefore  $|x \cdot y| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ . Equality holds if  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\|x\|y_j - \|y\|x_j)^2$  or  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\|x\|y_j + \|y\|x_j)^2$  is equal to zero, which implies  $\|x\|y = \pm \|y\|x$ .

Now we are sufficiently equipped with the tool to prove the triangle inequality for general  $\mathbb{R}^n$  space.

**Theorem 3.2** (The triangle inequality for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). For all x, y, z in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$||x - z|| \le ||x - y|| + ||y - z||. \tag{3.3}$$

*Proof.* Set a = x - y and b = y - z. The inequality is equivalent to  $||a + b|| \le ||a|| + ||b||$ , which we seek to prove. Note that

$$||a + b||^2 = (a + b) \cdot (a + b) = ||a||^2 + ||b||^2 + 2a \cdot b$$
  
$$\leq ||a||^2 + ||b||^2 + 2||a|| ||b|| = (||a|| + ||b||)^2.$$

Taking square root on both sides we arrive at  $||a + b|| \le ||a|| + ||b||$ .

3.3 Matrices 21

- 3.2.3 Concepts in linear algebra
- 3.2.4 Suffix notation
- 3.2.5 Vector product and triple product
- 3.2.6 Solution of linear vector equations
- 3.2.7 Applications

#### 3.3 Matrices

**Definition 3.3.1.** An  $n \times m$  matrix is an array of numbers of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nm} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Sometimes it will be denoted by  $(a_{ij})$ , where  $a_{ij}$  is the general element of the matrix, where i is the row and j is the column of the element.

**Definition 3.3.2.** An  $n \times n$  matrix is called a *square* matrix.

# 3.3.1 Algebra of matrices

#### 3.3.2 Determinant and trace

**Theorem 3.3.** The *trace* of an  $n \times n$  matrix **A**, denoted tr **A** is the sum of its diagonal entries, that is

$$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii.} = a_{11} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{nn}.$$

It is evident that, for two square matrices A and B with same dimension,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) = \operatorname{tr}\mathbf{A} + \operatorname{tr}\mathbf{B}.$$

But it is not so obvious that the following holds.

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{AP}) = \operatorname{tr}\mathbf{A}$$

for any invertible  $n \times n$  matrix **P** and any matrix **A**. Less so of its importance which shall be used later.

#### 3.3.3 Matrix as linear transformation

We start with the definition of linear transformations.

**Definition 3.3.3.** A map  $\alpha: V \to W$  between vector spaces V and W is *linear* if, for all scalars  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , and all vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ ,

$$\alpha (\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) = \lambda_1 \alpha(v_1) + \dots + \lambda_n \alpha(v_n).$$

If  $\alpha$  is linear we say that it is a *linear transformation*, or a *linear map*, if for all scalars  $\lambda$  and all vectors u and v,  $\alpha(\lambda x) = \lambda \alpha(x)$  and  $\alpha(x+y) = \alpha(x) + \alpha(y)$ .

The two definitions are equivalent.

Theorem 3.4 (Rank-nullity theorem). content...

## 3.3.4 Simultaneous linear equations

# 3.4 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

# Chapter 4

# Differential Equations

## 4.1 Basic Calculus

#### 4.1.1 Differentiation

**Definition 4.1.1.** The derivative of a function f(x) with respect to x, is the rate of change of f(x) at x, is defined as

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}.$$
(4.1)

The function f is differentiable at x if the limit exists. We may write  $\frac{df}{dx} = f'(x)$ . And more generally,  $\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f(x) = f^{(n)}(x)$  is the n-th derivative of f.

We shall adopt the convention that f'(x) is the derivative with respect to the argument, or variable, of the function. For example, f'(2x) is to be view as a derivative of f with respect to 2x, that is,  $f'(2x) = \frac{df}{d(2x)}$ .

## 4.1.2 Big O and small o notation

**Definition 4.1.2.** We say that f(x) = o(g(x)) as  $x \to x_0$  if  $\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$ . That is, f(x) is much *smaller* than g(x).

**Definition 4.1.3.** We say that f(x) = O(g(x)) as  $x \to x_0$  if  $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  is bounded as  $x \to x_0$ . That is, f(x) is as big as g(x).

The definition of O does not requires that  $\lim_{x\to x_0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  exists;  $\sin x = O(1)$  as  $x\to \infty$  but  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \sin x$  does not exists.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let f be a function differentiable at  $x_0$ , then

$$f(x_0 + h) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)h + o(h)$$
(4.2)

as  $h \to 0$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of differentiation and o,

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{h} - \frac{o(h)}{h}.$$
(4.3)

The result follows.  $\Box$ 

#### 4.1.3 Rules of differentiation

**Theorem 4.2** (Chain rule). Let f(x) = F(g(x)), F is differentiable at g(x) and g is differentiable at x, then

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{dF}{dq} \frac{dg}{dx}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F(g(x+h)) - F(g(x))}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F(g(x) + hg'(x) + o(h)) - F(g(x))}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{den}$$

4.2 First-order Linear Differential Equations

- 4.2.1 Equations with constant coefficients
- 4.2.2 Equations with non-constant coefficients
- 4.3 Nonlinear first-order equations
- 4.3.1 Separable equations
- 4.3.2 Exact equations
- 4.4 Higher-order Linear Differential Equations
- 4.5 Multivariate Functions

# Chapter 5

# Analysis I

A rigorous theory of mathematical analysis must take an axiomatic approach as its foundation. Thus it is preferable to start from the construction of real numbers, and then discover their properties, as not to take them for granted. This foundational rigour is, fortunately, available for us by Dedekind and his model for the real number.

What are the essential properties of  $\mathbb{R}$ ? We have learnt that  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field, with the usual addition and multiplication; the usual subtraction and division is also possible.

Secondly, there is a total order on  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is, if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  then either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$ , and only x = y when both condition are satisfied. Furthermore, if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$  then  $x \leq z$ . This means  $\mathbb{R}$  is an ordered field and that is, if  $x \leq y$  then  $x + z \leq y + z$ , and if  $w \geq 0$  then  $xw \leq yw$ .

Of course,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is also an ordered field, but it is not *complete*. This is the most important property of  $\mathbb{R}$  to keep in mind. Let's start by a notion of an *upper bound*. If A is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then b is an upper bound for A if  $b \geq a$  for all  $a \in A$ . By saying that  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, this means that, if A is a non-empty set of  $\mathbb{R}$  with an upper bound, then A has a *least upper bound*, or *supremum* sup A. This translates to, for any upper bound b of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , should it exist, we have sup A < b.

Another central theme of analysis regards absolute value, that is the function

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0\\ -x & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases}$$
 (5.1)

Note that |x - y| = |y - x| and  $|x| \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$ , with equality when  $xy \ge 0$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** (Triangle Inequality) For all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$|x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z|.$$
 (5.2)

*Proof.* Simply substitute x - y and y - z in place of x and y, respectively.

26 Analysis I

## 5.1 Limit and Convergences

Let's start with sequences.

#### 5.1.1 Series and sequences in $\mathbb R$ and $\mathbb C$

**Definition 5.1.1.** A sequence is an ordered list of number, with a natural number n corresponding to the nth term in the sequence.

**Definition 5.1.2.** A sequence  $s_n$  is a *null sequence* if, to every positive number  $\epsilon$ , there corresponds an integer N such that

 $|s_n| < \epsilon$  for all values of n greater than N.

We can adapt the definition to any sequence whose terms approach any number s.

**Definition 5.1.3.** A sequence  $s_n$  is said to tend to the limit s if, given any positive number  $\epsilon$ , there is an integer N (depending on  $\epsilon$ ) such that

$$|s_n - s| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > N.$$

We then write  $\lim s_n = s$ .

A more clear notation  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$  can be given.

*Note.* 1. Clearly,  $\lim s_n = s$  if and only if  $s_n - s$  is a null sequence.

2. The inequality  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$  is equivalent to the two inequalities

$$s - \epsilon < s_n < s + \epsilon$$
.

This is clear that  $s_n$  is bounded.

3. A short notation  $s_n \to s$  stands for  $\lim s_n = s$ . A further symbolism for the above definition may be given:

$$s_n \to s \text{ if } \epsilon > 0; \quad \exists N. |s_n - s| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > N.$$

If limits exist, they are unique.

**Theorem 5.3.** If  $a_n \to s$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $a_n \to l$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then s = l.

*Proof.* We will prove this theorem by contradiction. Suppose  $s \neq l$ . Let  $\epsilon = |s - l|/3 > 0$ . There exists  $n_0$  such that  $|a_n - s| < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq n_0$ , and there exists  $m_0$  such that  $|a_n - l| < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq m_0$ . Let  $N = \max(n_0, m_0)$ . Then if  $n \geq N$ ,

$$|l-s| \le |a_n-l| + |a_n-s| < 2\epsilon = 2|l-s|/3,$$

a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

We have discussed on upper bound and lower bound of a set, it is time to introduce a notion of *boundedness*, and expand it to those of sequences in general.

**Definition 5.1.4.** A subset A of  $\mathbb{R}$  is bounded if it is bounded above and bounded below. A sequence  $s_n$  is bounded if the set  $\{s_n \colon n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is bounded.

**Theorem 5.4.** If a sequence tends to a limit, then it is bounded.

*Proof.* Let the sequence  $a_n$  tends to the limit l. We choose an arbitrary  $\epsilon$  so that for any  $n \geq n_0$  the difference  $|a_n - l|$  is less than  $\epsilon$ .

Let  $\epsilon = 1$ , so that  $|a_n - l| < 1$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Choose

$$M = \max\{|a_1|, |a_2|, \dots, |a_{n_0}|, |l| + 1\}.$$

Then for all  $n \ge n_0$   $|a_n| \le |a_n - l| + |l| < 1 + |l|$ . Clearly,  $|a_n| \le M$  and we are set.

Note that the converse of the theorem might not be true; if a sequence is bounded, then it *might not* tends to a limit. Consider the sequence  $a_n = \cos n\pi$ . It is bounded, but  $a_n$  does not tend to a limit.

**Theorem 5.5.** Suppose that  $a_n$  is an increasing sequence of real numbers. If it is bounded then  $a_n \to \sup\{a_n \colon n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  as  $n \to \infty$ ; otherwise  $a_n \to +\infty$ .

Similarly, for any decreasing sequence  $a_n$ , if it is bounded, then  $a_n \to \inf\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ ; otherwise  $a_n \to -\infty$ .

One sequence worth considering is the sequence  $a_n = r^n$ . The convergence of the sequence depends on the value of r.

- 1. If r = 1, then  $a_n \to 1$ , and if r = 0 then  $a_n \to 0$ .
- 2. If r > 1, then r = 1 + k for some k > 0, so we have

$$a_n = (1+k)^n > 1 + kn$$

by considering the first two terms in the binomial expansion. And so  $a_n \to +\infty$ .

3. If 0 < r < 1, then  $r^{-1} = 1 + l > 1$  with l > 0, thus

$$0 < a_n = \frac{1}{(1+l)^n} < \frac{1}{1+nl}.$$

As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $1/(1+nl) \to 0$  and therefore  $a_n \to 0$ .

- 4. If -1 < r < 0, set s = -r, so that 0 < s < 1, it follows that  $s^n \to 0$  as  $n \to 0$ , and therefore  $a_n = (-s)^n = 0$ .
- 5. If r = -1, then  $a_n$  takes the values -1 and 1 alternatively, and so it oscillates finitely.
- 6. If r < -1, set s = -r, then  $s^n \to \infty$ . And so  $a_n = (-s)^n$  takes numerically increasing values alternating between negative and positive. That is to say  $a_n$  oscillates infinitely.

Another proof of convergence of  $a_n = r^n$  when 0 < r < 1 can be given, as follows: the sequence  $r^n$  is decreasing and bounded (by 0), therefore it tends to  $\inf\{r^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ , which is 0.

28 Analysis I

### 5.1.2 Sums, products and quotients

We start with important theorem of sums and products of null sequence.

**Theorem 5.6.** If  $s_n$  and  $t_n$  are null sequences, so is  $s_n + t_n$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** If  $s_n$  is a null sequence and  $t_n$  is a bounded sequence, then  $s_n t_n$  is a null sequence.

Corollary 5.1.1. If  $s_n$  is a null sequence and c is a constant, then  $cs_n$  is a null sequence.

We then now extend the results to general sequences.

**Theorem 5.8.** If  $s_n \to s$  and  $t_n \to t$ , then

- 1.  $s_n + t_n \rightarrow s + t$ ,
- 2.  $s_n t_n \to st$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** If  $s_n \to s$  and  $t_n \to t$  with  $t \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{s_n}{t_n} \to \frac{s}{t}$$

**Theorem 5.10.** If  $s_n \to s$  and  $t_n \to t$  and  $s_n \le t_n$  for all n, then  $s \le t$ .

**Theorem 5.11.** If  $s_n \to s$  and  $s_{n_k}$  is a subsequence, then  $s_{n_k} \to s$ .

### 5.1.3 Absolute convergence

#### 5.1.4 Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem

**Theorem 5.12.** (Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem) Suppose that  $a_n$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers. There there is a subsequence  $a_{n_k}$  which converges.

- 5.1.5 Comparison and ratio test
- 5.1.6 Alternating series test
- 5.2 Continuity
- 5.2.1 Continuity of real and complex function
- 5.2.2 The intermediate value theorem
- 5.3 Differentiability
- 5.3.1 Differentiability of functions from  $\mathbb R$  to  $\mathbb R$
- 5.3.2 Derivative of sums and products

### 5.4 Power series

**Definition 5.4.1.** An infinite series of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

composed of multiples of powers of z is called a *power series*. Both the variable z and the coefficients  $a_n$  might be real of complex.

There are three possibilities with convergence of a power series.

1. The series converges for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

### 5.5 Integration

### 5.5.1 Integrability of monotonic functions

# Probability

- 6.1 Basic concepts
- 6.2 Axiomatic approach
- 6.3 Discrete random variables
- 6.4 Continuous random variables
- 6.5 Inequalities and limits
- 6.5.1 Markov's and Chebyshev's inequality
- 6.5.2 Weak law of large numbers
- 6.5.3 Convexity and Jensen's inequality
- 6.5.4 AM-GM inequality

### **Vector Calculus**

- 7.1 Curves in  $\mathbb{R}^3$
- 7.2 Integration in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$
- 7.3 Vector operators
- 7.4 Integration theorems
- 7.5 Laplace's equation
- 7.6 Cartesian tensors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

### Mechanics

- 8.1 Kinematics of a single particle
- 8.2 Equilibrium of a single particle
- 8.3 Equilibrium of a rigid body
- 8.4 Dynamics of particles
- 8.5 Energy
- 8.6 Momentum
- 8.7 Springs, strings and SHM
- 8.8 Motion in a circle

36 Mechanics

# Dynamics and Relativity

- 9.1 Basic concepts
- 9.2 Newtonian dynamics of a single particle
- 9.3 Newtonian dynamics of systems of particles
- 9.4 Rigid bodies
- 9.5 Special relativity

Part II

Part IB

### Metric and Topological Spaces

### 10.1 Metrics

### 10.1.1 Definition and examples

We extend the notion of continuity, first to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . But let's start from the case of continuity in  $\mathbb{R}$ . What does it mean for f to be continuous at a? One might start form the definition that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0; \quad \exists \delta > 0. |f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon \text{ for } |x - a| < \delta.$$

That is, we can make the distance between f(x) and f(a) as small as we want, by choosing x so that the distance |x-a| between x and a is sufficiently small. What about  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ?

**Definition 10.1.1.** A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is *continous* at a point  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , if given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$  for every  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  satisfying

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - a_i)^2} < \delta.$$

- 10.1.2 Limits and continuity 10.1.3 Open sets and neighbourhoods 10.1.4 Characterising limits and continuity 10.2 Topology 10.2.1 Definition Metric topologies 10.2.2 10.2.3 Neighbourhoods 10.2.4 Hausdorff spaces Homeomorphisms 10.2.5Topological and non-topological properties 10.2.6 Completeness 10.2.7 Subspace, quotient and product topologies 10.2.810.3 Connectedness Definition 10.3.1 10.3.2 Components
- 10.4 Compactness

Path-connectedness

10.3.3

Chapter 11
Variational Principles

# Linear Algebra

- 12.1 Vector Spaces
- 12.2 Linear maps
- 12.3 Determinant
- 12.4 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors
- **12.5** Duals
- 12.6 Bilinear Forms
- 12.7 Inner Product Spaces

### Groups, Rings and Modules

### 13.1 Groups

We have gone into details of groups in Part IA.

### 13.1.1 Basics concepts

#### 13.1.2 Normal subgroups

### 13.1.3 Sylow subgroups and Sylow theorems

### 13.2 Rings

#### 13.2.1 Definition

Rings are abstraction of systems with addition and multiplication. The prototype of rings are the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers.

We define the general notion of ring in a similar way. We say that a set R with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted x+y and  $x\cdot y$ , respectively. We write  $x\cdot y$  as xy for comprehensiveness.

#### **Definition 13.2.1.** A set R is a ring if the following properties are satisfied:

- 1. R forms an abelian group under addition.
- 2. R forms a monoid under multiplication.
- 3. The distributive laws hold true, i.e.

$$x(y+z) = xy + xz, (y+z)x = yx + zx.$$

- 13.2.2 Ideals
- 13.2.3 Fields
- 13.2.4 Factorisation in rings
- 13.2.5 Rings  $\mathbb{Z}[a]$  of algebraic integers
- 13.3 Modules
- 13.3.1 Definition
- 13.3.2 Submodules
- 13.3.3 Equivalence of matrices
- 13.3.4 Finitely generated modules over Euclidean domains

# Analysis II

- 14.1 Uniform Convergence
- 14.2 Uniform Continuity and Integration
- 14.3  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a Normed Space
- 14.4 Differentiation from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- 14.5 Metric Spaces
- 14.6 The Contraction Mapping Theorem

# Complex Analysis

- 15.1 Analytic Functions
- 15.2 Contour Integration and Cauchy's Theorem
- 15.3 Expansions and Singularities
- 15.4 The Residue Theorem

# Complex Methods

- 16.1 Analytic Functions
- 16.2 Contour Integration and Cauchy's Theorem
- 16.3 Residue Calculus
- 16.4 Fourier and Laplace Transforms

Geometry

Part III

Part II

# Number Theory

### 18.1 Basics

Most fundamentals are covered in part IA.

- 18.2 Chinese Remainder Theorem
- 18.3 Law of Quadratic Reciprocity
- 18.4 Binary Quadratic Forms
- 18.5 Distribution of the Primes
- 18.6 Continued fractions and Pell's equation
- 18.7 Primality testing
- 18.8 Factorisation

Chapter 19
Topics in Analysis

Chapter 20
Coding and Cryptography

## Automata and Formal Languages

- 21.1 Register machines
- 21.2 Regular languages and finite-state automata
- 21.3 Pushdown automata and context-free languages

# Logic and Set Theory

- 22.1 Ordinals and Cardinals
- 22.1.1 Well-orderings and order-types
- 22.2 Posets and Zorn's Lemma
- 22.3 Propositional Logic
- 22.4 Predicate Logic
- 22.5 Set Theory
- 22.6 Consistency

# Graph Theory

- 23.1 Introduction
- 23.2 Connectivity and matchinhs
- 23.3 Extremal graph theory
- 23.4 Eigenvalue methods
- 23.5 Graph colouring
- 23.6 Ramsey theory
- 23.7 Probabilistic methods

# Chapter 24 Galois Theory

24.1 Fields extensions

## Representation Theory

- 25.1 Representations of Finite Groups
- 25.1.1 Representations on vector spaces
- 25.2 Character Theory
- 25.3 Arithmetic Properties of Characters
- 25.4 Tensor Products
- **25.5** Representations of  $S^1$  and  $SU_2$
- 25.6 Further Worked Examples

#### Number Fields

- 26.1 Algebraic Number Fields
- 26.2 Ideals
- **26.3** Units
- 26.4 Ideal classes
- 26.5 Dedekind's theorem on the factorisation of primes

# Algebraic Topology

- 27.1 The Fundamental Group
- 27.2 Covering Spaces
- 27.3 The Seifert-Van Kampen Theorem
- 27.4 Simplicial Complexes
- 27.5 Homology
- 27.6 Homology Calculations

Chapter 28
Linear Analysis

Chapter 29
Analysis of Functions

Chapter 30 Riemann Surfaces

Chapter 31
Algebraic Geometry

Differential Geometry

Probability and Measure

# Index

Fixed point, 15, 17

Group, 7

Lagrange's theorem, 14

Orbit, 16

Stabilizer, 15

Symmetric group, 8

Trace, 21