

Solovay–Kitaev theorem

Ryuhei Mori

Tokyo Institute of Technology

November 9, 2020

Solovay–Kitaev theorem

Theorem

Assume that $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ generates a dense subset of $SU(2)$.

Then, any $U \in SU(2)$ can be approximated with error ϵ by

$\lceil \log(1/\epsilon) \rceil^c$ multiplications of $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ for

$c = \log 5 / \log(3/2) \approx 3.97$.

Special unitary group

- $U(n) :=$ the set of $n \times n$ unitary matrices.
- $SU(n) :=$
the set of $n \times n$ unitary matrices U with $\det(U) = 1$.
- $U(n)$ and $SU(n)$ are groups.
- For $U \in SU(n)$ and $V \in U(n)$, $VUV^\dagger \in SU(n)$.
- For $V \in U(n)$ and $W \in U(n)$, $VWV^\dagger W^\dagger \in SU(n)$.
- For $U \in U(n)$, there exists $V \in SU(n)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $U = e^{i\theta} V$.

Special unitary group and rotation

For a real unit vector $\hat{n} = [n_X \ n_Y \ n_Z]$, let

$$R_{\hat{n}}(\theta) := \cos \frac{\theta}{2} I - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (n_X X + n_Y Y + n_Z Z).$$

For any $U \in U(2)$, there exist $\alpha, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and a real unit three-dimensional vector \hat{n} such that $U = e^{i\alpha} R_{\hat{n}}(\theta)$.

$U \in U(2)$ is in $SU(2)$ iff $U = R_{\hat{n}}(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and real unit vector $\hat{n} \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Special unitary group and group commutator

Theorem

For any $U \in \text{SU}(2)$, there exist $V, W \in \text{SU}(2)$ such that $U = VWV^\dagger W^\dagger$.

Proof.

From

$$R_z(\theta)(iX)R_z(-\theta)(-iX) = R_z(2\theta)$$

any Z -rotation has the group commutator decomposition. For some unitary S , $U = SR_z(\eta)S^\dagger$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, U has a group commutator decomposition $U = VWV^\dagger W^\dagger$ for $V = SR_z(\eta/2)S^\dagger$ and $W := S(iX)S^\dagger$. □

Special unitary group and group commutator

Theorem

For any $U \in \text{SU}(2)$, there exist $V, W \in \text{SU}(2)$ such that $U = VWV^\dagger W^\dagger$ for some V, W satisfying $\|I - V\| < c_{\text{GC}} \sqrt{\|I - U\|}$ and $\|I - W\| < c_{\text{GC}} \sqrt{\|I - U\|}$ for some constant $c_{\text{GC}} > 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} R_Z(\theta)R_X(\theta)R_Z(\theta)^\dagger R_X(\theta)^\dagger &= R_Z(\theta)R_X(\theta)R_Z(-\theta)R_X(-\theta) \\ &= R_Z(\theta)R_X(\theta)R_Z(-\theta)R_X(-\theta) \\ &= \left[\cos \frac{\theta}{2} I - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} Z \right] \left[\cos \frac{\theta}{2} I - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} X \right] \left[\cos \frac{\theta}{2} I + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} Z \right] \left[\cos \frac{\theta}{2} I + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} X \right] \\ &= \left[\cos^4 \frac{\theta}{2} + 2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \right] I + \dots \\ &= \left[1 - 2 \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \right] I + \dots = R_{\hat{n}_\theta}(\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

$\cos \frac{\varphi}{2} = 1 - 2 \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2}$. For some $S \in \text{U}(2)$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$, $U = SR_{\hat{n}_\theta}(\varphi)S^\dagger$.
For $V := SR_Z(\theta)S^\dagger$ and $W := SR_X(\theta)S^\dagger$, $U = VWV^\dagger W^\dagger$.

Rotation matrix and distance

$$\begin{aligned}\|I - R_{\hat{n}}(\theta)\| &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1 - e^{i\theta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - e^{-i\theta/2} \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= |1 - e^{i\theta/2}| \\ &= 2 \left| \sin \frac{\theta}{4} \right|\end{aligned}$$

For $U \in \text{SU}(2)$, $V, W \in \text{SU}(2)$ satisfying $U = VWV^\dagger W^\dagger$ in the construction

$$\|I - U\| = 2 \left| \sin \frac{\varphi}{4} \right| = 2 \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}}{2}} = 2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \approx 8 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{4} = 2 \|I - V\|^2$$

With some constant $c_{\text{GC}} > 1/\sqrt{2}$, $\|I - V\| \leq c_{\text{GC}} \sqrt{\|I - U\|}$.

Solovay–Kitaev algorithm

function SOLOVAY–KITAEV(U, n)

if $n = 0$ **then**

return Basic approximation to U

end if

$U_{n-1} \leftarrow \text{SOLOVAY–KITAEV}(U, n-1)$

$V, W \leftarrow \text{GC–DECOMPOSE}(UU_{n-1}^\dagger)$

$V_{n-1} \leftarrow \text{SOLOVAY–KITAEV}(V, n-1)$

$W_{n-1} \leftarrow \text{SOLOVAY–KITAEV}(W, n-1)$

return $V_{n-1} W_{n-1} V_{n-1}^\dagger W_{n-1}^\dagger U_{n-1}$.

end function

function GC–DECOMPOSE(Δ)

return (V, W) satisfying $VWV^\dagger W^\dagger = \Delta$ with
 $\|I - V\|, \|I - W\| \leq c_{\text{GC}} \sqrt{\|I - \Delta\|}$.

end function

Theorem

If $\|I - V\|, \|I - W\| \leq \delta, \|V - \tilde{V}\|, \|W - \tilde{W}\| \leq \Delta$

$$\|VVV^\dagger W^\dagger - \tilde{V}\tilde{W}\tilde{V}^\dagger \tilde{W}^\dagger\| \leq c_B \Delta(\delta + \Delta).$$

From this (surprising) theorem for $\Delta = \epsilon_{n-1}$, $\delta = c_{GC} \sqrt{\epsilon_{n-1}}$, for $c_{\text{approx}} \approx c_B c_{GC}$.

$$\ell_n \leq 5\ell_{n-1}$$

$$\epsilon_n \leq c_{\text{approx}} \epsilon_{n-1}^{3/2}$$

Then,

$$\ell_n \leq 5^n \ell_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{approx}}^2 \epsilon_n &\leq c_{\text{approx}}^3 \epsilon_{n-1}^{3/2} = (c_{\text{approx}}^2 \epsilon_{n-1})^{3/2} \\ &\leq (c_{\text{approx}}^2 \epsilon_0)^{(3/2)^n} \end{aligned}$$

If $\epsilon_0 < 1/c_{\text{approx}}^2$, $\ell_n = O\left((\log(1/\epsilon))^{\frac{\log 5}{\log(3/2)}}\right)$.

Proof 1/2

Theorem

If $\|I - V\|, \|I - W\| \leq \delta, \|V - \tilde{V}\|, \|W - \tilde{W}\| \leq \Delta$

$$\|VWV^\dagger W^\dagger - \tilde{V}\tilde{W}\tilde{V}^\dagger \tilde{W}^\dagger\| \leq 8\Delta^2 + 8\Delta\delta + 4\Delta\delta^2 + 4\Delta^3 + \Delta^4.$$

Proof.

Let $\Delta_V := \tilde{V} - V$ and $\Delta_W := \tilde{W} - W$.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}\tilde{W}\tilde{V}^\dagger \tilde{W}^\dagger &= VWV^\dagger W^\dagger + \Delta_V WV^\dagger W^\dagger + V\Delta_W V^\dagger W^\dagger \\ &\quad + VW\Delta_V^\dagger W^\dagger + VWV^\dagger \Delta_W^\dagger + O(\Delta^2).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|VWV^\dagger W^\dagger - \tilde{V}\tilde{W}\tilde{V}^\dagger \tilde{W}^\dagger\| &\leq \|\Delta_V WV^\dagger W^\dagger + VW\Delta_V^\dagger W^\dagger\| \\ &\quad + \|V\Delta_W V^\dagger W^\dagger + VWV^\dagger \Delta_W^\dagger\| + \binom{4}{2}\Delta^2 + \binom{4}{3}\Delta^3 + \Delta^4.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof 2/2

Proof.

Let $\delta_W := W - I$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_V W V^\dagger W^\dagger + V W \Delta_V^\dagger W^\dagger\| &= \|\Delta_V V^\dagger + V \Delta_V^\dagger + \Delta_V \delta_W V^\dagger + V \Delta_V^\dagger \delta_W^\dagger + \dots\| \\ &\leq \|\Delta_V V^\dagger + V \Delta_V^\dagger\| + 4\Delta\delta + 2\Delta\delta^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since V and $V + \Delta_V$ are unitary,

$$\begin{aligned} (V + \Delta_V)(V + \Delta_V)^\dagger &= I \\ \iff V V^\dagger + V \Delta_V^\dagger + \Delta_V V^\dagger + \Delta_V \Delta_V^\dagger &= I \\ \iff V \Delta_V^\dagger + \Delta_V V^\dagger + \Delta_V \Delta_V^\dagger &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\Delta_V W V^\dagger W^\dagger + V W \Delta_V^\dagger W^\dagger\| \leq \Delta^2 + 4\Delta\delta + 2\Delta\delta^2.$$

□

$c_B \approx 8$.

Assignments

- 1 Show a quantum circuit for a controlled-Hadamard gate using arbitrary single-qubit gates and CNOT gates.
- 2 [Very advanced] By modifying levels of Solovay–Kitaev algorithm in the recursion, can we improve the exponent $c = \log 5 / \log(3/2)$?