

## CSSE 304 Day 31

More call/cc examples

continuations as a datatype



# CALL/CC DEFINITION (FOR REFERENCE)

## Two more call/cc examples

```
(let ([f 0] [i 0])
    (call/cc (lambda (k) (set! f k)))
    (printf "~a~n" i)
    (set! i (+ i 1))
    (if (< i 10) (f "ignore")))
h) (define strange1
     (lambda (x)
        (display 1)
        (call/cc x)
        (display 2)))
   (strange1
     (call/cc
       (lambda (k) k)))
```

# "mondo bizarro" example

```
(define strange2
  (lambda (x)
    (display 1)
    (call/cc x)
    (display 2)
    (call/cc x)
    (display 3)))
```

We probably will not do this one in class; good practice for you.

#### Recap: Environment representations

```
(define extend-env
           (define apply-env
                                       (lambda (syms vals env)
             (lambda (env sym)
                                         (lambda (sym)
               (env sym)))
                                           (let ([pos (list-find-position
                                                      SYM
(define empty-env
                                                      syms)])
(lambda ()
                                             (if (number? pos)
 (lambda (sym)
                                                  (list-ref vals pos)
    (eopl:error 'apply-env
                "No binding for ~s"
                                           (apply-env env sym))))))
                 sym))))
```

1. Use Scheme procedures as environments



#### 2. Use environment datatype

```
(define-datatype environment environment?
```



This time we represent continuations by our variant-record datatypes

### BACK TO WRITING CPS CODE



# Continutaion representations Two possibilities

- 1. Use Scheme procedures as your continuations (as we have done previously)
- 2. Use the continuation datatype
  With many variants and a complex apply-k
  procedure

You should understand both, but you only have to use the continuation datatype in your A18 interpreter (and, yes, you **must** use it)



- You can "see into" the continuations
  - Thus easier to debug. "trace" will let you see
     "what's inside" the continuations.
  - And easier to use this exercise as a means of understanding what continuations are all about.
- You can implement continuations in a language that does not have first-class procedures. And more efficiently in a language that does have them.



# Advantage of Scheme Procedure Continuations

- It's more like what we did with CPS before.
- All of the information needed for the continuation is in the procedure definitions, so understanding the code requires less mental "jumping around".

```
(define read-flatten-print
  (lambda ()
                                                    Starting
    (display "enter slist to flatten: ")
    (let ([slist (read)])
     (unless (eq? slist 'exit)
                                                    code
       (flatten-cps slist
                    (make-k (lambda (val)
                               (pretty-print val)
                               (read-flatten-print)))))))
(define flatten-cps
 (lambda (ls k)
  (if (null? ls)
      (apply-k k ls)
      (flatten-cps (cdr ls)
        (make-k
          (lambda (v) (if (list? (car ls))
                          (flatten-cps (car ls)
                                  (make-k (lambda (u) (append-cps u v k))))
                          (apply-k k (cons (car ls) v)))))))
(define append-cps
  (lambda (L1 L2 k)
    (if (null? L1)
                                                     Transformations:
       (apply-k k L2)
       (append-cps (cdr L1)
                                                    Live coding
                   (make-k (lambda (appended-cdr)
                             (apply-k k (cons (car L1)
                                                appended-cdr)))))))))
```