

Introduction >

Consider a graph $G(V, E)$

- A **matching** in G is a subset of its edges such that no two are adjacent.
- A **maximum matching** is a matching with maximum cardinality.

This paper describes an efficient algorithm to find a maximum matching.

Definitions and Notations >

- $A \oplus B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ (XOR operation on sets)
- Alternating Path: For matchings M and M' , an alternating path is a path that has alternate edges from M and M' .
- Augmenting Path: For a matching M on a graph G , an M -augmenting path is a path (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k) of odd length from v_1 to v_2 such that v_1 and v_2 are not covered by M , $e_1, e_k \notin M$, and the edges alternate membership in M .

M is maximum \iff There is no augmenting path >

- M is maximum \rightarrow There is no augmenting path
 - Suppose P is an augmenting path
 - Invert P (swap matched and unmatched edges) to form M' .
 - $|M'| > |M|$, hence contradiction
- There is no augmenting path $\rightarrow M$ is maximum
 - M is not maximum \rightarrow There is an augmenting (Converse)
 - Suppose M' is a maximum matching
 - Consider the graph $G'(V, M \oplus M')$
 - Its components are alternating paths as each vertex can lie only on edges from M or M' (which are each at most one), hence maximum degree = 2
 - There exists an alternating path P in G' such that there are more edges from M'
 - Suppose there is no such P
 - Then for every component C , $C \cap M \geq C \cap M'$
 - Hence $|M| \geq |M'|$, contradiction
 - P is an Augmenting path for M

Rough Outline of the Algorithm >

```
M = {};  
while ( There is an Augmenting Path P ) {  
    // M is not maximum  
    M = M ^ P;    // xor
```

```
}  
return M;
```

Correctness


- while loop
 - initialisation: M is not maximum
 - maintenance: M is not maximum
 - termination: M is maximum
- follows from the theorem above

Challenge: How to efficiently find augmenting paths?

Definitions and Notations >

At any step in the algorithm, let M be the current matching and let X be the set of exposed vertices (vertices not covered by M).

- **M-Alternating trees:** An M -alternating tree is a tree in G with a root vertex $r \in X$ such that along every path $P = e_1 e_2 \dots e_j$ from r to a leaf v the edges alternate between being in M and not being in M ($e_i \in M \Leftrightarrow i$ is even).
- For an M -alternating tree T with root $r \in X$, **Odd** is the set of vertices in T at an odd distance from r and **Even** is the set of vertices in T at an even distance from r (including r).
- A **maximal M-alternating tree** is an M -alternating tree such that no Even vertex in the tree has an edge to a vertex not in the tree (i.e. no additional vertices can be added to the M -alternating tree).

 **If an M-alternating tree contains a vertex $v \in X$ (set of exposed vertices) distinct from the root, then there exists an M-augmenting path.** >

- There is a unique path P from r to v
- The edges alternate as per definition
- The edge incident on v is not in M
- Hence, P is an augmenting path

Blossom Algorithm >

```
for( r in V ){  
    if( match[r] != NULL ) continue;  
    bfs_queue.push(r);  
    parent[n];  
    level[n];  
  
    parent[r] = r;  
    level[r] = 0;  
    while(bfs_queue.empty() == false){  
        v = bfs_queue.pop();  
        if(v != r) level[v] = level[parent[v]]+1;  
        for(all neighbours w of v){  
            if( parent[w] == NULL && match[w]!=null ){  
                parent[w] = v;  
                level[w] = level[parent[w]] + 1;  
                parent[match[w]] = w;  
                level[match[w]] = level[parent[match[w]]] + 1;  
            }  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```

    }
    else if (parent[w] != NULL){
        if( (level[v] + level[w] + 1) % 2 == 0 ){ // even cycle
            continue;
        }
        else { // odd
            contract cycle;
        }
    }
    else if ( w in F ){
        expand contracted cycles and reconstruct augmenting path;
        invert augmenting path;
        break;
    }
}
}
}

```

Time Complexity

- The for loop iterates n times
- The bfs takes $O(n+m)$ time but due to cycle detection and contraction, it takes $O(n * m)$ time
- Hence overall time complexity of $O(m * n^2)$