Table 1: 08-transition data cluster-magnitude (21 $)\,$

Estimate	95% CI	$\mathrm{d}\mathrm{f}$	t	p	Significan
0.1	[-, -]	145.34	6.7	<.001	*
0.2	[-, -]	203.58	6.67	<.001	*
0	[-, -]	3538.63	-0.17	.861	
-0.1	[-, -]	441.32	-9.23	<.001	*
0	[-, -]	100.05	0.03	.979	
0.03	[-, -]	3556.68	0.9	.366	
-0.1	[-, -]	576.79	-5.89	<.001	*
0	[-, -]	3536.76	0.23	.822	
-0.02	[-, -]	101.41	-0.77	.442	
0.02	[-, -]	3524.41	2.02	.087	
0.01	[-, -]	256.53	0.32	.746	
-0.04	[-, -]	3552.28	-1.83	.068	
-0.03	[-, -]	3524.04	-1.56	.12	
0	[-, -]	3568.95	0.2	.841	
0	[-, -]	747.79	-0.27	.791	
-0.01	[-, -]	3565.91	-0.43	.854	
	0.1 0.2 0 -0.1 0 0.03 -0.1 0 -0.02 0.02 0.01 -0.04 -0.03 0	0.1	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

fixed-effect model matrix is rank deficient so dropping 4 columns / coefficients