Color coding: Relative difference between test runs and original experiment			dataset SNLI		dataset QQP		dataset Babi-1		dataset Babi-2		dataset Babi-3		dataset CNN	
MODEL	landina.	C () (TEST RU		TEST RU		TEST RU	PAPER delta	TEST RU		TEST RU	PAPER delta	TEST RU
TABLE 2 <u>vanilla lstm</u> Benchmark data	Indicator test accuracy bes conicity_mean conicity_std	Source of info evaluate.json evaluate.json evaluate.json	0,782 -1% 0,560 5% n/avail -		rel% 0,787 0% 0,590 -1% n/avail -		0,991 1% 0,560 37% n/avail -	1,000 0,766 0,023	rel% 0,401 36% 0,480 -10% n/avail -	0,544 0,432 0,048	rel% 0,477 -56% 0,430 116% n/avail -	0,211 0,927 0,044	rel% 0,631 -6% 0,450 -12% n/avail -	0,595 0,395 0,046
ortho Istm	test accuracy bes conicity_mean conicity_std	evaluate.json evaluate.json evaluate.json	0,765 0% 0,270 13% n/avail -	0,766 0,306 0,071	0,786 0% 0,330 -4% n/avail -		0,999 0% 0,220 5% n/avail -		0,561 5% 0,210 -20% n/avail -	0,590 0,169 0,030	0,512 13% 0,120 12% n/avail -	0,577 0,135 0,018	0,543 -1% 0,070 41% n/avail -	
diversity lstm	test accuracy bes conicity_mean conicity_std	evaluate.json evaluate.json evaluate.json	0,770 -4% 0,120 -64% n/avail -	0,740 0,043 0,022	0,784 0% 0,040 -17% n/avail -		1,000 0% 0,070 5% n/avail -		0,402 36% 0,050 158% n/avail -	0,546 0,129 0,030	0,509 11% 0,100 9% n/avail -	0,563 0,109 0,024	0,582 0,060 n/avail -	0,463 0,377
FIGURE 3 Fraction of hid vanilla lstm - ATI Box plots visual inspection paper RANDOM	1st quartile 3rd quartile	importance_ranking_l importance_ranking_l	MAvDY_all.png MAvDY_all.png MAvDY_all.png MAvDY_all.png MAvDY_all.png MAvDY_all.png	0,330 0,100 0,860 0,800 0,530 1,000	1,00 0% 0,66 -39% 1,00 0% 1,00 0% 0,85 -18% 1,00 0%	1,000 0,400 1,000 1,000 0,700 1,000	0,06 -33% 0,05 -60% 0,10 -40% 1,00 -50% 0,90 -67% 1,00 -30%		- - - - -	0,020 0,010 0,030 0,550 0,300 0,800	- - - - -	0,030 0,010 0,050 0,550 0,250 0,850	- - - - -	0,020 0,010 0,030 0,660 0,330 0,900
orth Istm - ATTN RANDOM	1st quartile 3rd quartile	importance_ranking_importance_ra	MAvDY_all.png MAvDY_all.png MAvDY_all.png MAvDY_all.png	0,300 0,100 0,800 0,750 0,470 1,000	0,60 17% 0,20 25% 1,00 0% 1,00 0% 0,66 -9% 1,00 0%	0,250 1,000 1,000 0,600	0,04 0% 0,01 100% 0,07 -14% 0,45 11% 0,25 20% 0,70 0%	0,020 0,060 0,500 0,300	- - - - -	0,020 0,010 0,030 0,550 0,300 0,800	- - - - -	0,010 0,000 0,020 0,500 0,250 0,750	- - - - -	0,050 0,010 0,200 0,830 0,470 0,950
diversity Istm - A	1st quartile 3rd quartile	importance_ranking_l importance_ranking_l importance_ranking_l importance_ranking_l	MAVDY_all.png MAVDY_all.png MAVDY_all.png MAVDY_all.png MAVDY_all.png MAVDY_all.png MAVDY_all.png	0,460 0,100 0,820 0,820 0,500 1,000	0,80 9% 0,50 10% 1,00 0% 1,00 0% 0,80 -6% 1,00 0%	0,870 0,550 1,000 1,000 0,750 1,000	0,04 0% 0,01 100% 0,08 -25% 0,70 -29% 0,35 -14% 1,00 -30%	0,020 0,060 0,500	- - - -	0,020 0,010 0,030 0,550 0,300 0,800	= = = = =	0,020 0,010 0,030 0,500 0,250 0,750	- - - - -	0,020 0,010 0,030 0,700 0,330 0,900
FIGURE 4 Comparison Violin plots visual inspective [0.25-0.50] [0.75-1.00]	median median	Source of info Permutation.png Permutation.png Permutation.png Permutation.png Permutation.png	- - -	- - -	- - - -	0,070 0,100 0,100 0,100	- - -	- 0,820 0,870 0,900	- - -	0,800 0,920 0,920 0,970	- - - -	0,500 0,650 0,650 0,700	- - -	0,600 0,700 0,900 0,950
ortho lstm - [0.0] [0.25-0.50] [0.50-0.75] [0.75-1.00]	median median	Permutation.png Permutation.png Permutation.png Permutation.png	- - -	- - -	- - - -	0,070 0,100 0,100 0,150	- - -	0,400 0,750 0,980 0,980	- - - -	0,750 0,900 0,900 0,950	- - - -	0,700 0,750 0,850 0,950	- - - -	0,600 0,800 0,950
diversity lstm - [0 [0.25-0.50] [0.50-0.75] [0.75-1.00]	median median	Permutation.png Permutation.png Permutation.png Permutation.png	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	0,070 0,170 0,250 0,200	- - -	0,850 0,870 0,870	- - -	0,820 0,950 0,970 0,970	- - - -	0,750 0,850 0,900 0,950	- - - -	0,550 0,700 0,900 0,800
TABLE 3 Mean attentio <u>vanilla Istm</u>		Source of info rationale_summary_to rationale_summary_to	est.txt -		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ortho lstm		rationale_summary_to rationale_summary_to			-	-	- -	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-
diversity lstm		rationale_summary_to rationale_summary_to			-	-	- -	-	-	- -	-	-	-	-
TABLE 4 Comparison tc vanilla lstm Correlatons We use std.dev in paper to judg	Std Pearson corr Overall mean Pea Std Pearson corr Overall mean JS of Std JS div	Source of info Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Integrated_Grad Attn_Integrated_Grad Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Integrated_Grad Attn_Integrated_Grad	0,33 0,38 1% 0,40 0,11 4% 0,07 0,16 -10%	0,384 0,114	0,19 116% 0,34 -0,06 -240% 0,34 0,15 -33% 0,08 0,19 -22% 0,10	5,	0,56 71% 0,34 0,33 138% 0,37 0,33 -64% 0,12 0,43 -43% 0,13	0,785 0,120	0,16 294% 0,23 0,05 432% 0,22 0,53 -27% 0,09 0,58 -12% 0,09	0,630 0,266 0,389 0,510	0,39 21% 0,24 -0,01 ##### 0,08 0,46 -43% 0,08 0,64 -46% 0,05	0,470 0,362 0,263 0,348	0,58 35% 0,25 0,45 42% 0,28 0,22 -29% 0,07 0,30 -25% 0,10	0,702
ortho lstm	Std Pearson corr Overall mean Pea Std Pearson corr Overall mean JS of Std JS div	Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Integrated_Grad Attn_Integrated_Grad Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Integrated_Grad Attn_Integrated_Grad	n/avail - lent_X_val_pear n/avail - jsd.csv n/avail -	0,120 0,146	n/avail - n/avail - n/avail - n/avail -	0,378 0,238 0,120 0,140	n/avail - n/avail - n/avail -	0,867 0,709 0,212 0,282	n/avail - n/avail - n/avail -	0,709 0,227 0,384 0,540	n/avail - n/avail - n/avail - n/avail -	0,665 0,044 0,426 0,639	n/avail - n/avail - n/avail - n/avail -	0,204 0,099 0,391 0,439
diversity lstm	Std Pearson corr Overall mean Pea Std Pearson corr Overall mean JS of Std JS div	Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Integrated_Grad Attn_Integrated_Grad Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Gradient_X_val_ Attn_Integrated_Grad Attn_Integrated_Grad	0,35 0,26 5% 0,39 0,10 9% 0,06 0,13 11%	0,498 0,274 0,109 0,144	0,36 0,10 10% 0,05	0,494 0,116 0,110 0,141	0,91 -7% 0,10 0,91 -9% 0,10 0,21 7% 0,08 0,24 -8% 0,08	0,824 0,226	0,70 -13% 0,13 0,75 3% 0,10 0,23 72% 0,06 0,19 206% 0,05	0,612 0,771 0,396 0,582	0,67 10% 0,19 0,47 -44% 0,25 0,37 -3% 0,07 0,41 56% 0,08	0,265	0,75 -50% 0,20 0,66 -87% 0,23 0,17 101% 8,00 0,21 143% 0,10	0,375 0,086 0,342 0,511
FIGURE 5 Distribution of vanilla lstm	%attention PUNC %attention ADJ			[NOUN,	[NOUN, 23% 7%	[NOUN, 9% 9%		[NOUN] 0% 0%		[NOUN] 1% 0%	_	[NOUN, 8% 0%	_	[NOUN, 3% 9%
ortho lstm diversity lstm	%attention PUNO %attention ADJ Order of POS pre %attention PUNO	quant_pos_attn.png		[NOUN,	[NOUN, 3% 10%	[NOUN, 17% 10% [NOUN, 5% 9%		[NOUN, 0% 0% [NOUN] 0% 0%		[NOUN] 0% 0% [NOUN] 0% 0%		[NOUN] 0% 0% [NOUN] 0% 0%		[PUNC, 20% 3% [NOUN, 3% 9%
%Change	%attention ADJ %attention PUNC %attention ADJ	2			43%	9%		U%		U76		U%		970
TABLE 5 Preference given to vanilla vs div	Indicator	Source of info iman annotators)			.5%	J/0								