

Introduction to Machine Learning

Alessandro Rudi, Umut Simsekli

Notes by Antoine Groudiev

6th March 2024

Contents

1	An overview of Machine Learning	1
1.1	What is ML?	1
1.2	Topics in Machine Learning	1
1.2.1	Supervised Learning	1
1.2.2	Probabilistic approach	2
1.2.3	Unsupervised Learning	2
2	Linear Least Squares Regression	3
3	Logic regression and convex analysis	3
3.1	3

Introduction

1 An overview of Machine Learning

1.1 What is ML?

Considering a problem, such as image classification: given an input image of a dog or a cat, the program is asked to determine whether the image is a dog or a cat. Conventional programming would hardcode the solution to this problem. But this process takes time and is not easily generalisable. Instead, an ML model is trained on a dataset to produce a program to solve the problem.

Many successful applications of Machine Learning are:

- Face recognition
- Spam filtering
- Speech recognition
- Self-driving systems; pedestrian detection

1.2 Topics in Machine Learning

1.2.1 Supervised Learning

Example (Classification). *Features* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, *labels* $y \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

Definition (Regression). Features $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, labels $y \in \mathbb{R}$. To tackle such problem, we look for a parametrized function $f_\theta(x_i) \simeq y_i$ for some f_θ in a function space

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$$

Our goal is therefore to find the best function in \mathcal{F} such that f "fits" the training data. For example, we can say that f "fits" the training data when

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i) - y_i)^2$$

is "small". Such a function is not interesting in general, like for classification.

Definition (Loss function). Assumes that the features are in \mathcal{X} and the labels are in \mathcal{Y} . We introduce the more general *loss function* notion:

$$l : \mathcal{Y}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

For a regression task, we can use $l(\hat{y}, y) = (\hat{y} - y)^2$. For a classification task, $l(\hat{y}, y) = \mathbb{1}_{\hat{y} \neq y}$.

Therefore, for a regression problem, we might choose:

$$f^\star = \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l(f(x_i), y_i)$$

In the parametric case, when $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$, we might minimize with respect to θ :

$$\theta^\star = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l(f_\theta(x_i), y_i)$$

1.2.2 Probabilistic approach

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ be the feature space. Let D be a distribution on \mathcal{Z} ; we make the assumption that the training data is iid from D :

$$(x_i, y_i) \sim D$$

and the same thing hold for the test data:

$$(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i) \sim D$$

According to the Strong Law of Large Numbers, the test loss converges almost surely:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l(f_\theta(\tilde{x}_i), \tilde{y}_i) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim D} [l(f_\theta(x), y)] =: R(\theta) = R(f_\theta)$$

where $R(\theta)$ is the *population risk*.

Definition (Risk minimization).

1.2.3 Unsupervised Learning

Example (Clustering).

Example (Dimensionality reduction). We are given features $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and labels $y \in \{0, 1\}$ which form a "training" dataset $S = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$. We assume that $d \gg 1$; our goal is to find $d' \ll d$ such that (x_1, y_1, \dots)

2 Linear Least Squares Regression

Consider an input space X and an output space Y . We consider a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ unknown to us, that we want to recover. We are given samples $D_N = [(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)]$. Our goal is to produce \hat{f}_D such that \hat{f}_D "converges" to f when $|D| \rightarrow +\infty$.

3 Logic regression and convex analysis

Recap of important notions and notations

We are given an input space X and an output space Y . We want to learn the relationship between input and output, modelised by a probability distribution $\rho \in \mathbb{P}(X \times Y)$. Thus, we try to find the best function $f_\star : X \rightarrow Y$, given a loss function $l : Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, f_\star is often defined by:

$$f_\star = \operatorname{argmin}_{f: X \rightarrow Y} \mathbb{E}_{X,Y}[l(f(X), Y)]$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}_{X,Y}[g(X, Y)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(x, y) \cdot d\rho(x, y)$$

In practice, you only know some samples $D_N = [(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)]$ with $(x_i, y_i) \sim \rho$, making it impossible to choose such an f_\star . Therefore, we try to find a good model \hat{f}_{D_N} , such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}(\hat{f}_{D_N}) - \mathcal{E}(f) = 0$$

Such a result will often be given by a *learning rate function* $c(N)$, with

$$\mathbb{E}_{D_N}[\mathcal{E}(\hat{f}_{D_N}) - \mathcal{E}(f)] \leq c(N) = o(1)$$

The function \hat{f}_{D_N} can be chosen such that it minimizes the empirical error:

$$\hat{f}_{D_N} = \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f) = \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N l(f(x_i), y_i)$$

3.1

We consider the case where $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $Y = \mathbb{R}$. We define the loss l to be the least squares, $l(y, y') = (y - y')^2$, and we choose our functions to be of the form of $f_\star = \theta_\star^T X$. In this case, ERM is OLS:

$$\hat{\theta}_N = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\theta^T x_i - y_i)^2$$

We can also define $\hat{\theta}_{N,\lambda}$ to be:

$$\hat{\theta}_{N,\lambda} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\theta^T x_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|^2$$

This allows to regulate the "complexity" of the function to avoid overfitting. This is called Tikhonov regularization. In this case, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\hat{Y}}[\hat{\theta}_N - \mathcal{E}(\theta_\star)] = \frac{\sigma^2 d}{N}$$

and therefore the optimal function is

$$\hat{f}_{N,\lambda} = \underset{f \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f) + \lambda R(f)$$

We define $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d} := (x_1^T, \dots, x_N^T)$, and $\hat{Y} = (\hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_n)$. With this notation, we have

$$\hat{\theta}_{N,\lambda} = \frac{1}{N} \|X\theta - \hat{Y}\|^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|^2$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta) &:= \frac{2}{N} X^T X \theta - 2 \frac{X^T \hat{Y}}{N} + 2\lambda \theta = 0 \\ \left(\frac{X^T X}{N} + \lambda\right) \theta &= X^T \hat{Y} \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\hat{\theta}_{N,\lambda} = \left(\frac{X^T X}{N} + \lambda I\right)^{-1} \frac{X^T \hat{Y}}{N} = (X^T X + \lambda N I)^{-1} X^T \hat{Y}$$

We introduce the singular value decomposition of X :

$$X = U \Sigma V^T$$

where $U^T U = U U^T = I_N$, $V^T V = V V^T = I_d$, and Σ is diagonal with $\forall i, \Sigma_{i,i} \geq 0$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} X^T X + \lambda N I_d &= V \Sigma U^T U \Sigma V^T + \lambda N I_d \\ &= V \underbrace{(\Sigma^2 + \lambda N I)}_{\text{invertible}} V^T \end{aligned}$$