

Information theory and coding

Bartek Blaszczyzyn
Notes by Antoine Groudiev

5th February 2024

Introduction

This document is Antoine Groudiev's class notes while following the class *Théorie de l'information et codage* (Information theory and coding) at the Computer Science Department of ENS Ulm. It is freely inspired by Bartek Blaszczyzyn's class notes.

1 Entropy and source coding

We shall introduce *Shannon's entropy* of a probability distribution on a discrete space and study its basic properties. Our goal is to prove *Shannon's source coding theorem* formulated in 1948. It will allow us to interpret the entropy as a notion of the *amount of information* "carried" by random variables of a given distribution.

1.1 Shannon's entropy

Let \mathcal{X} be a finite or countable set, and $p := \{p(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ be a probability distribution on \mathcal{X} .

Definition (Shannon's entropy). We define (Shannon's) entropy $H(p)$ of p to be:

$$H(p) := - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log p(x) \quad (1)$$

with the convention that $0 \log 0 = 0$, and $a \log 0 = -\infty$ for $a > 0$. We will later on discuss the base of the logarithm.

Definition (Entropy of a random variable). Let X be a random variable on \mathcal{X} with distribution p , that is $\mathbb{P}(X = x) = p(x)$, also denoted $X \sim p$. We define:

$$H(X) := H(p) = -\mathbb{E}(\log p(X)) \quad (2)$$

Observe that $0 \leq H(p) \leq +\infty$, and that $H(p) = 0$ if and only if X is constant almost surely.

Property. Entropy is invariant with respect to deterministic injective mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$:

$$H(X) = H(f(X))$$

The entropy $H(p)$ can be interpreted as the *amount of information* carried on average by one realization from the distribution p . Later in this chapter, we shall prove a result supporting this interpretation.

Definition (Entropy units). The unit of the entropy depends on the *base of the logarithm*:

- In binary basis, when $\log = \log_2$, we denote $H(p) = H_2(p)$, and its unit is the $[bit/symbol]$ (per realization of X).
- In arbitrary basis $b > 0$, when $\log = \log_b$, we denote $H(p) = H_b(p)$, and its unit is the $[b - digit/symbol]$ (a b -digit is a digit which can take b values).
- In basis e , when $\log = \ln$, we denote $H(p) = H_e(p)$, and its unit is the $[nat/symbol]$ (nat is the natural unit of information).

The conversion between units can be done by changing the base of the logarithm:

$$H_b(p) = \frac{H_2(p)}{\log_2(b)}$$

Example (Bernoulli distribution). Let $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$, and p the Bernoulli distribution such as

$$\begin{cases} p(0) = p \\ p(1) = 1 - p \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have $H(p) = -p \log(p) - (1 - p) \log(1 - p)$. The Bernoulli distribution with the maximum entropy is:

$$\max_{0 \leq p \leq 1} H_2(p) = H_2(1/2) = 1 [bit/symbol]$$

Example (Uniform distribution). Let \mathcal{X} be a finite set, and p the uniform distribution, that is:

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, p(x) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}$$

Therefore, we have $H(p) = \log(|\mathcal{X}|)$.

Example (Geometric distribution). Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{N}^*$ and p the geometric distribution of parameter $p > 0$, that is:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, p(n) = p(1 - p)^{n-1}$$

Recall that $\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{p}$ when X follows a geometric law of parameter p .

Therefore, we have:

$$H(p) = \log\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{p} \log(1-p)$$

1.2 Gibbs' inequality

Theorem (Gibbs' inequality). Let p and q be two probability distributions on \mathcal{X} . Then:

$$H(p) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log p(x) \leq - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log q(x) \quad (3)$$

Moreover, if $H(p) < \infty$, then there is equality in (3) if and only if $p = q$.

The right-hand-side of (3) is called *cross entropy* between p and q .

Proof. Let $x \sim p$. Gibbs' inequality is equivalent to:

$$\mathbb{E}[\log p(X)] \geq \mathbb{E}[\log q(X)]$$

If $\mathbb{E}[\log q(X)] = -\infty$, the inequality is trivial. Otherwise, since we have $\mathbb{E}[\log q(X)] \leq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\log q(X)] - \mathbb{E}[\log p(X)] &= \mathbb{E}[\log q(X) - \log p(X)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\log\left(\frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right)\right]\end{aligned}$$

\log being concave, by applying Jensen's inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\log\left(\frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right)\right] &\leq \log \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right] \\ &= \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} p(x) \\ &= \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} q(x) \\ &= \log 1 = 0\end{aligned}$$

The equality in Jensen's inequality holds if and only if $\frac{q(X)}{p(X)}$ is almost surely constant, that is $p = \lambda q$ almost surely; furthermore, we must have $\lambda = 1$ since both p and q are distributions, hence $p = q$ almost surely. \square

Corollary (Uniform distribution maximizes entropy). *Let p be a probability distribution on some set \mathcal{X} with $|\mathcal{X}| < \infty$. Then:*

$$0 \leq H(p) \leq \log(|\mathcal{X}|)$$

and the equality holds if and only if p is uniform on \mathcal{X} .

Proof. Let $X \sim p$ and be q the uniform distribution on \mathcal{X} . By Gibbs' inequality:

$$H(p) \leq - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}\right) = \log |\mathcal{X}|$$

Notice that $\log |\mathcal{X}|$ is the entropy of the uniform distribution q . \square

Corollary (Geometric distribution maximizes entropy in the set of probability measures on \mathbb{N}^* having given expectation). *Let p be a probability distribution on $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{N}^*$ with mean $\mu = \sum_{n \geq 1} np(n) < \infty$. Then:*

$$H(p) \leq \mu \log(\mu) - (\mu - 1) \log(\mu - 1)$$

where the right-hand-side is the entropy of the geometric distribution with parameter $1/\mu$.

Proof. Let p be a probability distribution on $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{N}^*$ with mean $\mu < \infty$, and q the geometric distribution of parameter $1/\mu$. According to Gibbs' inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}H(p) &\leq - \sum_{n \geq 1} p(n) \log q(n) \\ &= - \sum_{n \geq 1} p(n) \log \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{n-1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} p(n) \log \mu - \sum_{n \geq 1} (n-1) p(n) \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \\ &= \log \mu - \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) (\mu - 1) \\ &= \log \mu - (\log(\mu - 1) - \log \mu) (\mu - 1) \\ &= \log \mu + \mu \log \mu - \mu \log(\mu - 1) + \log(\mu - 1) - \log \mu \\ &= \mu \log \mu - (\mu - 1) \log(\mu - 1) = H(q)\end{aligned}$$

\square

1.3 Entropy of random vectors

Definition (Entropy of random vectors). Let $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a random vector on $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_n$, for some $n \geq 1$, with distribution

$$p(x_1^n) = p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$$

The entropy of X is defined as the entropy of its distribution:

$$H(X) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log p(x) = -\mathbb{E}[\log p(X)] \quad (4)$$

Property (Entropy of independent variables). Let $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a vector of independent, random variables. Then:

$$H(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i) \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let p be the joint distribution of X . By independence, $p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i(x_i)$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} H(X) &= -\mathbb{E}[\log p(X)] \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\log \prod_{i=1}^n p_i(X_i) \right] \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log p_i(X_i) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\mathbb{E}[\log p_i(X_i)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i) \end{aligned}$$

□

Property (Independence maximizes entropy). Let $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a vector of (arbitrary) random variables for some $n \geq 1$. Then:

$$H(X) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i) \quad (6)$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if X_1, \dots, X_n are independent.

Proof. By induction. If $n = 1$, the results holds. Let X be an n -vector of random variables and X_{n+1} another random variable. Denote $q(x, y) = p(x)p_{n+1}(y)$, where $X \sim p$ and $X_{n+1} \sim p_{n+1}$, and $(X_1, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1}) \sim p'$. Since:

$$\begin{aligned} H(X) + H(X_{n+1}) &= -\mathbb{E}[\log p(X) + \log p_{n+1}(X_{n+1})] \\ &= -\mathbb{E}[\log q(X, X_{n+1})] \\ &\geq -\mathbb{E}[\log p'(X, X_{n+1})] = H(X_1, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

by Gibbs' inequality, the property is hereditary. Furthermore, there is equality in Gibbs' when $p' = q$, hence when X_{n+1} is independent from X , i.e. when X_1, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1} are independent.

□

1.4 Typical sequences of random variables

Definition (Typical sequences). Let \mathcal{X} be a set with $D := |\mathcal{X}| < \infty$, and $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ a vector of independent and identically distributed random variables. Let p be the distribution of X on \mathcal{X} , with $p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)$. We denote $H_D := H_D(p) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_D p(X)]$, expressed in D -digits/symbol.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, the following subset of realizations of \mathcal{X}^n

$$A_\varepsilon := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{X}^n : \left| -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log_D p(x_i) - H_D \right| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^n \quad (7)$$

is called the set of ε -typical vectors in \mathcal{X}^n with respect to p .

Remark.

$$\mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log_D p(X_i) \right] = \mathbb{E}[-\log_D p(X)] = H_D$$

and, by the Law of Large Numbers (LLN for short):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log_D p(X_i) = \mathbb{E}[-\log_D p(X)] = H_D$$

We shall see that the probability distribution of X concentrates on the set of typical sequences, and, depending on the entropy H_D , the dimension of this set can be smaller than n (the dimension of the whole space \mathcal{X}^n).

Property (Typical sequences concentrate probability). Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a vector of i.i.d. random variables, with $X_i \sim p$ on \mathcal{X} , with $D := |\mathcal{X}| < \infty$. We have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P}(X \in A_\varepsilon) = 1 \quad (8)$$

and

$$|A_\varepsilon| \leq D^{n(H_D + \varepsilon)} \quad (9)$$

Proof. (8) follows from the LLN. For (9), observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A_\varepsilon &\implies -\sum_{i=1}^n \log_D p(x_i) \leq n(H_D + \varepsilon) \\ &\iff \log_D \left(\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i) \right) \geq -n(H_D + \varepsilon) \\ &\iff \log_D p(x) \geq -n(H_D + \varepsilon) \\ &\iff p(x) \geq D^{-n(H_D + \varepsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

and since:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \mathbb{P}(X \in A_\varepsilon) = \sum_{x \in A_\varepsilon} p(x) \\ &\geq |A_\varepsilon| D^{-n(H_D + \varepsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Property (A_ε is the smallest set concentrating probability). Under the assumptions of Property 1.4, let $B \subseteq \mathcal{X}^n$ and $R > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P}(X \in B) = 1$$

and

$$|B| \leq D^{nR}$$

Then $R \geq H_D$, that is that A_ε is the smallest set concentrating probability.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and assume $D > 1$, otherwise the result is trivial. Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A_\varepsilon &\implies -\sum_{i=1}^n \log_D p(X_i) \geq n(H_D - \varepsilon) \\ &\iff p(x) \leq D^{-n(H_D - \varepsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X \in A_\varepsilon \cap B) &\leq |B| D^{-n(H_D - \varepsilon)} \\ &\leq D^{-n(H_D - R - \varepsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

Since $D > 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P}(X \in A_\varepsilon \cap B) = 1$, we must have $H_D - R - \varepsilon \leq 0$, meaning that $H_D - \varepsilon \leq R$. We complete the proof by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square