Expressions of Defining characteristic: Cultural appropriation in the works of Rushdie

Stephen P. C. Long Department of Ontology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

1. Contexts of genre

"Sexual identity is used in the service of hierarchy," says Lacan. Lyotard promotes the use of postdialectic discourse to deconstruct sexism.

If one examines cultural appropriation, one is faced with a choice: either accept postdialectic discourse or conclude that discourse is a product of the collective unconscious. In a sense, the subject is contextualised into a capitalist desublimation that includes language as a totality. Bataille uses the term 'postdialectic discourse' to denote the role of the observer as writer.

In the works of Rushdie, a predominant concept is the distinction between creation and destruction. It could be said that the subject is interpolated into a cultural appropriation that includes consciousness as a reality. The main theme of the works of Rushdie is not narrative, but neonarrative.

"Class is fundamentally a legal fiction," says Sontag. In a sense, the precultural paradigm of context implies that art, somewhat paradoxically, has intrinsic meaning. The primary theme of Dahmus's[1] essay on capitalist desublimation is the futility, and thus the genre, of dialectic sexual identity.

In the works of Rushdie, a predominant concept is the concept of postcultural truth. Thus, the subject is contextualised into a cultural

appropriation that includes art as a paradox. The characteristic theme of the works of Rushdie is the role of the reader as artist.

The primary theme of Abian's[2] critique of Foucaultist power relations is not theory, but subtheory. Therefore, Lyotard's analysis of postdialectic discourse holds that the raison d'etre of the poet is significant form. The characteristic theme of the works of Stone is the role of the observer as reader.

"Class is part of the dialectic of narrativity," says Sartre; however, according to Hubbard[3] , it is not so much class that is part of the dialectic of narrativity, but rather the stasis, and subsequent absurdity, of class. In a sense, Marx suggests the use of the materialist paradigm of reality to attack society. Many discourses concerning postdialectic discourse exist.

Therefore, Sontag promotes the use of capitalist desublimation to deconstruct elitist perceptions of sexual identity. In *Natural Born Killers*, Stone affirms cultural appropriation; in *Heaven and Earth*, however, he deconstructs precultural structural theory.

But Foucault uses the term 'postdialectic discourse' to denote not, in fact, deconstruction, but postdeconstruction. The paradigm, and eventually the defining characteristic, of neodialectic discourse which is a central theme of Stone's *Platoon* is also evident in *JFK*.

It could be said that postdialectic discourse implies that reality is capable of truth, but only if narrativity is interchangeable with culture. Debord suggests the use of Sontagist camp to analyse and challenge society.

However, an abundance of narratives concerning the role of the writer as reader may be revealed. The main theme of d'Erlette's[4] model of postdialectic discourse is the dialectic, and subsequent economy, of

subtextual class.

It could be said that many narratives concerning capitalist desublimation exist. Humphrey[5] states that we have to choose between Baudrillardist simulation and postconstructivist capitalist theory.

But the premise of cultural appropriation holds that academe is responsible for capitalism. An abundance of theories concerning the role of the writer as poet may be found.

Thus, the primary theme of the works of Stone is the futility, and some would say the collapse, of neopatriarchial society. Lyotard's analysis of Sartreist absurdity states that narrative comes from the masses, given that capitalist desublimation is invalid.

However, if cultural appropriation holds, we have to choose between postdialectic discourse and dialectic narrative. Lyotard promotes the use of Foucaultist power relations to deconstruct sexism.

2. Stone and capitalist desublimation

The main theme of McElwaine's[6] model of precapitalist feminism is the role of the participant as writer. In a sense, in *Erotica*, Madonna analyses cultural appropriation; in *Sex* she affirms capitalist desublimation. The primary theme of the works of Madonna is the economy, and therefore the futility, of deconstructive sexual identity.

It could be said that the premise of postdialectic discourse suggests that society has significance. The main theme of Finnis's[7] analysis of capitalist desublimation is not discourse, as capitalist narrative suggests, but neodiscourse.

Thus, several situationisms concerning cultural appropriation exist. The characteristic theme of the works of Madonna is the failure, and eventually

the
stasis, of pretextual class.

It could be said that any number of theories concerning the common ground between sexual identity and truth may be revealed. Geoffrey[8] states that we have to choose between postdialectic
discourse and precapitalist nihilism.

1. Dahmus, U. (1980) *Cultural appropriation in the works of Lynch*. Loompanics

2. Abian, I. N. T. ed. (1992) *The Iron Key: Cultural appropriation in the works of Stone*. Panic Button Books

3. Hubbard, W. F. (1976) *Cultural appropriation and postdialectic discourse*. O'Reilly & Associates

4. d'Erlette, C. B. J. ed. (1990) *Deconstructing Lyotard: Postdialectic discourse and cultural appropriation*. Schlangekraft

5. Humphrey, Q. U. (1973) *Cultural appropriation and postdialectic discourse*. Cambridge University Press

6. McElwaine, J. F. M. ed. (1991) *The Expression of Genre: Cultural appropriation in the works of Madonna*. Loompanics

7. Finnis, V. (1970) *Postdialectic discourse and cultural appropriation*. University of Michigan Press

8. Geoffrey, Q. F. E. ed. (1987) *Forgetting Lyotard: Cultural appropriation and postdialectic discourse*. And/Or Press

The essay you have just seen is completely meaningless and was randomly generated by the Postmodernism Generator. To generate another essay,

follow this link.

If you liked this particular essay and would like to return to it, follow this link for a bookmarkable page.

The Postmodernism Generator was written by Andrew C. Bulhak using the Dada Engine, a system for generating random text from recursive grammars, and modified very slightly by Josh Larios (this version, anyway. There are others out there).

This installation of the Generator has delivered 16,594,606 essays since 25/Feb/2000 18:43:09 PST, when it became operational.

More detailed technical information may be found in Monash University Department of Computer Science Technical Report 96/264: "*On the Simulation of Postmodernism and Mental Debility Using Recursive Transition Networks*".

More generated texts are linked to from the sidebar to the right.

If you enjoy this, you might also enjoy reading about the *Social Text* Affair, where NYU Physics Professor Alan Sokal's brilliant(ly meaningless) hoax article was accepted by a cultural criticism publication.