

## Dimension Theorem and its Applications

**Textbook:** 2.4

**Theorem 2.3.17 (Dimension Theorem or Rank-Nullity Theorem)**

For any linear transformation  $V \xrightarrow{T} W$

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\ker(T)) + \dim(\operatorname{im}(T))$$

**Remark**

- $\dim(\operatorname{im}(T))$  is the same as the rank of  $[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$  and by abuse of notation also referred to as  $\operatorname{rank}(T)$ .
- Some books refer to  $\dim(\ker(T))$  as the *nullity* of  $T$ .

*Proof.* ■

**Proposition 2.4.2**

A linear transformation  $T$  is injective if and only if  $\ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$

*Proof.* ■

**Example**

If a linear transformation  $V \xrightarrow{T} W$  is injective, then the image

$$T(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T(\vec{v}_k)$$

of a linearly independent family in  $V$

$$\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$$

under  $T$  is linearly independent in  $W$ .

**True or False**

Let  $V \xrightarrow{T} W$  be a linear transformation.

- ☐ If  $\dim(V) > \dim(W)$ ,  $T$  has to be injective.
- ☐ Can  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{dx}} \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$  be injective?
- ☐  $T$  is surjective if and only if  $\dim(\text{im}(T)) = \dim(W)$ .
- ☐ If  $T$  is an isomorphism, then  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ .

**Corollary (2.4.4 & 2.4.5)**

A linear transformation might be injective if and only if  $\dim(V) \leq \dim(W)$ .

**Warning:** But it doesn't have to be injective!!

**Proposition (2.4.7)**

A linear transformation  $V \xrightarrow{T} W$  is surjective if and only if  $\dim(\text{im}(T)) = \dim(W)$

*Proof.* ■

**Discussion**

How do the dimensions of  $V$  and  $W$  obstruct whether or not  $V \xrightarrow{T} W$  can be surjective? Explain your answer.

**Hint:** Think along the lines of Corollary 2.4.4

**Proposition (2.4.10)**

Let  $\dim V = \dim W$ . A linear transformation  $V \xrightarrow{T} W$  is injective if and only if it is surjective.

*Proof.*

**Example (2.4.21)**

The Dimension Theorem is really nothing new.

**Discussion**

Consider the linear map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \\ p(x) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} p(0) \\ p(5) \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Argue without computation whether this map is injective or surjective.

**Homework**

Read Proposition 2.4.11 including the proof and look again at Example 2.4.21 in the book.

## Composition

**Textbook:** Section 2.5

### Recall

The *composition* of two transformations  $U \xrightarrow{S} V$  and  $V \xrightarrow{T} W$  is the transformation

$$U \xrightarrow{T \circ S} W$$

defined by

$$T \circ S(\vec{u}) = T(S(\vec{u}))$$

### Proposition (2.5.1)

In the above setup,  $T \circ S$  is linear if  $T$  and  $S$  are linear.

*Proof.* ■

### Examples

1.

2. Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$  be a polynomial and consider the linear transformations

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{t_y} \mathbb{R}$$

$$t_y(x) = x - y$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{ev_x} \mathbb{R}$$

What is the composition

$$ev_x \circ t_y$$

3. What is the composition  $\frac{d}{dx} \circ \frac{d}{dx}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ?

**Discussion 2.5.6**

Let  $U \xrightarrow{S} V$  and  $V \xrightarrow{T} W$  be two composable linear transformations. Can you argue why

1.  $\ker(S) \subseteq \ker(T \circ S)$
2.  $\operatorname{im}(T \circ S) \subseteq \operatorname{im}(T)$

**Observation**

Let  $U \xrightarrow{S} V$  and  $V \xrightarrow{T} W$  be two composable linear transformations. Fix bases  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\varepsilon$  of  $U$ ,  $V$  and  $W$  respectively. How does the matrix of the composition  $T \circ S$  relate to the matrices  $[T]_{\beta}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $[S]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ ?

So the real question is what the composition of matrices should be.

**Definition**

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be matrices of size  $m \times n$  and  $n \times p$ . The *product* of the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  is the matrix  $AB$  such that

$$AB\vec{x} = A \cdot (B\vec{x})$$

for all  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proposition (2.5.9)**

If  $A$  has entries  $[a]_{ij}$  and  $B$  has entries  $[b]_{ij}$ , then the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $AB$  is

$$[AB]_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

*Proof.*

**Intuition**



**Example** Compute the matrix product of ...

**Discussion**

If  $A$  and  $B$  are composable matrices, is the rank of  $A$  or the rank of  $AB$  (possibly) greater? Explain!

**Discussion**

Recall that the matrix representing  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{dx}} \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$  in the basis  $\alpha = \{1, x, x^2\}$  is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Verify that  $A^2$  is the matrix representing  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$

**Remark**

*Literally* everything we know about linear transformations also holds for matrices.

1.  $A(BC) = (AB)C$
2.  $I \cdot A = AC$
3.  $\dots$

**Discussion**

Given two composable matrices, is

$$AB = BA$$

true?

## The Inverse of a Linear Transformation

**Textbook:** Section 2.6

tbd