## 14 Chapter 14: SOLVABLE PERMUTATION GROUPS

## 14.1 POLYNOMIAL OF PRIME DEGREE

**Ex. 14.1.1** This exercise is concerned with the proof of part (a) of Lemma 14.1.2. Let  $\theta = (1 \ 2 \dots p) \in S_p$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\tau \in S_p$  lies in the normalizer of  $\langle \theta \rangle$  if and only if  $\tau \theta = \theta^l \tau$  for some  $1 \leq l \leq p-1$ .
- (b) Prove that (14.1) implies that  $\tau(i+j) = \tau(i) + jl$  for all positive integers j.

*Proof.* (a) If  $\theta$  lies in the normalizer of  $\langle \theta \rangle = \{e, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{p-1}\}$ , then

$$\tau \theta \tau^{-1} \in \tau \langle \theta \rangle \tau^{-1} = \langle \theta \rangle,$$

hence

$$\tau \theta \tau^{-1} = \theta^l$$
 for some  $l = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ .

If l=0, then  $\tau\theta\tau^{-1}=e$ , thus  $\tau\theta=\tau$ , and  $\theta=e$ , which is false. Therefore  $l\neq 0$ .

$$\tau\theta\tau^{-1} = \theta^l, \ 1 \le l \le p - 1.$$

(b) By induction suppose that  $\tau(i+j) = \tau(i) + jl$ , then  $\tau(i+j+1) = \tau(i+j) + l = \tau(i) + (j+1)l$ . Case j=1 is valid by the identity (14.1). Hence,  $\tau(i+j) = \tau(i) + jl$  for all positive integers j.

**Ex. 14.1.2** Let H be a normal subgroup of a finite group G and let  $g \in G$ . The goal of this exercise is to prove Lemma 14.1.3.

- (a) Explain why  $(gH)^{o(g)} = (gH)^{[G:H]} = H$  in the quotient group G/H.
- (b) Now assume that gcd(o(g), [G:H]) = 1. Prove that  $g \in H$ .

Proof. (a) Since  $(gH)^2 = gHgH = g^2H$  and  $g^{o(g)} = e$ ,  $(gH)^{o(g)} = g^{o(g)}H = H$ . Since  $gH \in G/H$ , exists some minimal l such that  $(gH)^l = H$  and  $l \mid [G:H]$ , i.e. [G:H] = ql. Then  $(gH)^{[G:H]} = (gH)^{ql} = H^q = H$ .

(b) The assumption  $\gcd(o(g), [G:H]) = 1$  means that o(g)q + [G:H]l = 1 for some  $q, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $gH = (gH)^{o(g)q + [G:H]l} = ((gH)^{o(g)})^q ((gH)^{[G:H]})^l = H^q H^l = H$ , i.e.  $g \in H$ .

**Ex. 14.1.3** Let G satisfy (14.2). Use (14.2) and the Third Sylow Theorem to prove that G has a unique p-Sylow subgroup H of order p. Then conclude that H is normal in G.

*Proof.* By (14.2),

$$|G| = |Gal(L/F)| = pm,$$
  $1 < m < p - 1.$ 

According the Third Sylow Theorem the number N of p-Sylow subgroups of G satisfies

$$N \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \qquad N \mid |G|,$$

П

so that  $N=1+kp,\ k\geq 0$ , thus  $N\wedge p=1$ , and  $N\mid pm$ , therefore  $N\mid m$ . If  $k\neq 0$ , then N > p, but  $N \mid m > 0$ , which implies  $N \le m < p$ . This contradiction shows that k = 0, and N = 1, i.e. there is exactly one p-Sylow subgroup H of G.

For all  $g \in G$ ,  $gHg^{-1}$  is also a p-Sylow subgroup of G, hence  $gHg^{-1} = H$  for all  $q \in G$ : H is normal in G.

The definition of Frobenius group given in the Mathematical Notes involves a group G acting transitively on a set X. Prove that a group G is a Frobenius group if and only if G has a subgroup H such that 1 < |H| < |G| and  $H \cap gHg^{-1} = \{e\}$  for all  $g \notin H$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  Assume that G is a Frobenius group. Then G acts transitively on a set X such that 1 < |X| < |G|, and for every  $(x,y) \in X \times X$  such that  $x \neq y$ , the identity is the only element of G fixing x and y.

First we show that every isotropy group  $G_x$  is non trivial, i.e.  $G_x \neq \{e\}$  and  $G_x \neq G$ , for all  $x \in G$ .

Since G acts transitively on X,  $X = G \cdot x$  is the orbit of x, thus

$$|X| = |G \cdot x| = (G : G_x) = |G|/|G_x|,$$

and since 1 < |X| < |G|, this proves  $1 < |G_x| < |G|$ , so  $G_x \neq \{e\}, G_x \neq G$ . Fix  $x_0 \in G, x_0 \neq e$ , and take  $H = G_{x_0}$  the isotropy group of this chosen element  $x_0$ . Then 1 < |H| < G.

Assume that  $g \in G, g \notin H$ , and  $h \in H \cap gHg^{-1}$ . Then h and  $g^{-1}hg$  are both in  $H = G_{x_0}$ , so that  $h \cdot x_0 = x_0$ , and  $(g^{-1}hg) \cdot x_0 = x_0$ , that is

$$\begin{cases} h \cdot x_0 &= x_0, \\ h \cdot (g \cdot x_0) &= (g \cdot x_0). \end{cases}$$

Since  $g \notin H = G_{x_0}$ ,  $x_0 \neq g \cdot x_0$ , thus h fixes two distinct elements of X, and this shows that h = e. We have proved  $H \cap gHg^{-1} = \{e\}$  for all  $g \notin H$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Conversely, assume that G has a subgroup H such that 1 < |H| < |G| and  $H \cap gHg^{-1} = \{e\} \text{ for all } g \notin H.$ 

Take X as the set of left cosets hH,  $h \in G$  relative to H, and consider the action of G on X defined for all  $h \in G$  by

$$g \cdot hH = (gh)H$$
.

- This action is transitive: if kH and lH are left cosets, then  $(lk)^{-1} \cdot kH = lH$ .
- Since 1 < |H| < |G|, then 1 < |G|/|H| < |G|, thus 1 < |X| < |G|.
- Assume that q fixes two distinct left cosets  $hH \neq kH$ :

$$g \cdot hH = hH,$$
$$q \cdot kH = kH.$$

Then  $l = h^{-1}gh \in H$ ,  $m = k^{-1}gk \in H$ , therefore  $m = k^{-1}gk = k^{-1}hlh^{-1}k \in H$ , so that

$$l \in H$$
,  $(h^{-1}k)^{-1}l(h^{-1}k) \in H$ .

This proves  $l \in H \cap gHg^{-1}$ , where  $g = h^{-1}k \notin H$  (since  $hH \neq kH$ ), and the hypothesis  $H \cap gHg^{-1} = \{e\}$  gives l = e, and  $g = hlh^{-1} = e$ . The identity is the only element of G fixing hH and kH.

Therefore G is a Frobenius group.

**Ex. 14.1.5** Let F be a subfield of the real numbers, and let  $f \in F[x]$  be irreducible of prime degree p > 2. Assume that f is solvable by radicals. Prove that f has either a single real root or p real roots.

*Proof.* Since  $\deg(f) = p$  is odd, f has at least a real root. Suppose that f has two distinct real roots  $\alpha, \beta$ . By Theorem 14.1.1, since f is solvable by radicals, the splitting field of f over F is  $F(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . In this case all roots of f are real, and these roots are distinct, since the characteristic of F is 0, thus the irreducible polynomial f is separable.

We have proved that f has either a single real root or p real roots.

**Ex. 14.1.6** By Example 8.5.5,  $f = x^5 - 6x + 3$  is not solvable by radicals over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Give a new proof of this fact using the previous exercise together with the irreducibility of f and part (b) of Exercise 6 from Section 6.4.

*Proof.* The given polynomial f has prime degree 5 and only three real roots, according to part (b) of Exercise 6.4.6. Since f has more than one but less than 5 real roots, it is not solvable by radicals by Exercise 14.1.5.

Ex. 14.1.7 Use Lemma 14.1.3 and part (a) of Lemma 14.1.2 to give a proof of part (b) of Lemma 14.1.2 that doesn't use the Sylow Theorems.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\tau \in S_p$  satisfies  $\tau \theta \tau^{-1} \in AGL(1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Then, since  $\langle \theta \rangle$  is a group of order p,  $\langle \tau \theta \tau^{-1} \rangle = \tau \langle \theta \rangle \tau^{-1}$  is a subgroup of  $AGL(1, \mathbb{F}_p)$  of order p and each element of this subgroup has order p (or 1).

By part (a) of Lemma 14.1.2,  $\mathrm{AGL}(1,\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the normalizer of  $\langle \theta \rangle$  in  $S_p$ , therefore  $\langle \theta \rangle$  is normal in  $\mathrm{AGL}(1,\mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $[\mathrm{AGL}(1,\mathbb{F}_p):\langle \theta \rangle]=p-1$ . The order of each element of  $\tau \langle \theta \rangle \tau^{-1}$  is relatively prime to p-1, then, by Lemma 14.1.3,  $\tau \langle \theta \rangle \tau^{-1} \in \langle \theta \rangle$ , thus  $\tau \langle \theta \rangle \tau^{-1} \subset \langle \theta \rangle$ , therefore  $\tau \langle \theta \rangle \tau^{-1} = \langle \theta \rangle$ , since both groups have the same order p.

Thus  $\tau$  normalizes  $\langle \theta \rangle$ , hence  $\tau \in AGL(1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**Ex. 14.1.8** Let  $f \in F[x]$  be irreducible of prime degree  $p \geq 5$ , where F has characteristic 0, and let  $\alpha \neq \beta$  be roots of f in some splitting field. If  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  contains all other roots of f, then f is solvable by radicals by Theorem 14.1.1. But suppose that there is some third root  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma \in F(\alpha, \beta)$ . Is this enough to force f to be solvable by radicals?

- (a) Use the classification of transitive subgroups of  $S_5$  from Section 13.2 to show that the answer is "yes" when p=5.
- (b) Use the polynomial  $x^7 154x + 99$  from Example 13.3.10 to show that the answer is "no" when p=7.

*Proof.* (a) By hypothesis,  $\deg(f) = p = 5$ , and  $\alpha \neq \beta$  are roots of f in some splitting field.

Since  $\alpha$  is a root of f, which is irreducible over F,

$$[F(\alpha):F] = \deg(f) = p = 5.$$

Then  $\beta$  is a root of  $\frac{f(x)}{x-\alpha} \in F(\alpha)[x]$ , so that the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $F(\alpha)$  has degree  $d \leq p-1$ . Thus

$$[F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\alpha) \le p - 1 = 4.$$

By the Tower Theorem,

$$[F(\alpha, \beta) : F] = [F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\alpha)] [F(\alpha) : F] \le p(p-1) = 20.$$

Now, suppose that there is some third root  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma \in F(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = F(\alpha, \beta)$ . Let  $\delta, \varepsilon$  be the remaining roots of f. Since the characteristic is 0, the irreducible polynomial f is separable. Then  $\delta$  is a root of  $\frac{f(x)}{(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)} \in F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)[x]$ , so that

$$[F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) : F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)] \le 2.$$

Since  $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = F(\alpha, \beta)$ , the tower theorem gives

$$[F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) : F] \le 40.$$

Moreover  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon = \sigma_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon) \in F$ , thus  $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon) = F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ . Write  $L = F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon)$  the splitting field of f over F. We have proved

$$[L:F] \le 40.$$

The classification of transitive subgroups of  $S_5$  from Section 13.2 shows that any transitive subgroup of  $S_5$  with cardinality  $\leq 40$  is a subgroup of AGL(1,  $\mathbb{F}_5$ ), thus is solvable. So Gal(L/F) is a solvable group, where F has characteristic 0, therefore f is solvable (Theorem 8.5.3).

To conclude, the answer is "yes" when  $p = \deg(f) = 5$ .

(b) To prove that the answer is "no" when  $p = \deg(f) = 7$ , we use the counterexample  $f = x^7 - 154 x + 99$  from Example 13.3.10.

The polynomial f is not solvable, since its Galois group is  $GL(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$ , which is simple (Section 14.3) and not commutative, thus non solvable.

We prove that there are roots  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  of f such that  $\gamma \in F(\alpha, \beta)$ .

As in Example 13.3.10, consider the resolvant

$$\Theta_f(y) = \prod_{1 \le i < j < k \le 7} (y - (\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_k)) \in \mathbb{Q}[y].$$

Then the factorization of  $\Theta_f(y)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is

$$\Theta_f(y) = g(y)h(y),$$

where the polynomials g, h, given in Example 13.3.10, are irreducible factors of degrees 7 and 28.

Take three roots  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  of f such that  $y - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$  is any linear factor of g, so that the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$  is g, with  $\deg(g) = 7$ , thus

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) : \mathbb{Q}] = 7.$$

Now we prove that  $\gamma \in F(\alpha, \beta)$ . Consider the chain of extensions

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \subset L$$

where L is the splitting field of f over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is f, thus  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}]=7$ , and

$$[L:\mathbb{Q}] = |Gal(L/\mathbb{Q})| = |GL(3,\mathbb{F}_2)| = 168 = 2^3 \times 3 \times 7.$$

By the Tower Theorem,

$$[L:\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = \frac{[L:\mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}]} = 2^3 \times 3$$

is not divisible by 7.

Since  $\gamma$  is a root of f, the minimal polynomial of  $\gamma$  over f divides f. Thus

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)] = 1 \text{ or } 7.$$

If  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)] = 7$ , by the Tower Theorem, 7 divides  $[L : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = 2^3 \times 3$ . This contradiction proves that

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)] = 1,$$

therefore  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

In this example, there exist roots  $\alpha \neq \beta$  of f, and some third root  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma \in F(\alpha, \beta)$ , but f is not solvable.

This shows that the answer is "no" when  $p = \deg(f) = 7$ .

Note: In the proof of the Proposition 13.3.9, we saw that  $G_f$  must be conjugate to  $GL(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$ . This means that there is some numbering of the roots

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_2^3 \setminus \{(0,0,0\} & \rightarrow & \{\alpha \in L \,|\, f(\alpha) = 0\} \\ (\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3) & \rightarrow & \alpha_{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3} \end{array} \right.$$

which verify that, for all  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/F)$ , there is some  $g \in \operatorname{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$  such that

$$\sigma(\alpha_{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3}) = \alpha_{q\cdot(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3)}.$$

In this correspondence, the roots of f are seen as nonzero vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^3$ , and the seven roots of g correspond to the seven (unordered) triples of linearly dependent nonzero vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^3$ . So the roots  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  where chosen in the preceding proof such that the corresponding vectors u, v, w verify w = u + v (but not  $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ ).

This is what we understand in the hint of D.A. Cox "Regard the roots as the nonzero vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_2^3$  and pick roots  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  such that  $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ ".

This last equality is not true in L, but true for the corresponding vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^3$ .

Moreover, let  $\alpha \neq \beta$  be any pair of roots. The corresponding vectors u, v are such that u, v, u + v = -u - v is not a base, so that the root  $\gamma$  corresponding to u + v is such that  $y - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$  is a factor of g, and the preceding proof shows that  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ . For each pair  $\alpha \neq \beta$  of roots of  $f = x^7 - 154x + 99$ , there exists a third root  $\gamma \notin \{\alpha, \beta\}$  such that  $\gamma \in F(\alpha, \beta)$ .