1. Title: Deep process-based learning networks for Earth Biodiversity

2. Summary 2,000 characters: most relevant aspects; goals; duration in months

We are in a enthralling scientific era. We have the computer power, the open-source tools, the know-how in many highly specialized fields and the team capabilities to integrate Earth science and Biodiversity research in open and decentralized automated research platforms. We are in a period where novel analytical methods and data are being fussioned at an incredible speed to decipher the complexity and feedbacks between the Earth system and the diversity of life. Yet, we are in a massive human-driven biodiversity extinction with large uncertain consequences for Earth climate, life conditions and the stability of Earth (Figure 1). This combination of an enthralling scientific era and rapid global change put us in an edge to team up to go beyond our disciplinary boundaries to contrast scenarios accounting for feedbacks between the Earth system and Biodiversity (Figure 2). For this to happen we need to connect fundamental and applied science (Figure 3b) and one way to do it is throughout distributed open research platforms to provide information for management forums in applied conservation and sustainability centers. This proposal aims to develop a distributed open-source automated research platform to integrate multiple databases into Biodiversity dynamics and function scenarios taking into account the interdependencies among biological levels and scales in ecological and evolutionary networks (Box 1 and Figures 3 and 4).

3. Milestones (Internal)

 $\mathbf{M1}$ Submission proposal "Deep Knowledge Ledger Network ($DEEPKLEN\ \&\ ROBHOOT$)"

Funding scheme: FETOPEN-EU Challenging Current Thinking

Deadline: March 2020)

Team:

Switzerland (SDSC, Christine Choirat, and EAWAG (Carlos Melian))

Spain, IFISC (Victor Eguiluz) Estonia, U. Tartu (Raul Vicente)


M2 Submission proposal "Deep process-based learning networks for Biodiversity research"

Funding scheme: BBVA Deadline: November 2019

4. Deep process-based learning networks in Biodiversity research

4.1. Background and objectives: degree of innovation technical, economic and social importance

Specialization has produced an immense gain in detailed knowledge at each of the levels and scales studied in Biodiversity research. Yet, the information gained and the data obtained in specialized fields are not sufficiently integrated to understand the consequences of biodiversity decline in predicting the outcome of feed-



diversity decline in predicting the outcome of feed-backs between Bio-inal work at http://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/news/2016/july/biodiversity-breaching-diversity and Earth*

system. Despite

the development of automated research platforms integrating different aspects of the scientific cycle is rapidly advancing¹ distributed open-source automated research platforms in Biodiversity research are still at a very incipient stage.

One of the reasons of still being at a very incipient stage is because most methods in data science and Biodiversity research have been considered classically as distinct fields. However, the current scientific ecosystem is at the stage where merging methods from distinct fields is radically transforming the discipline boundaries, the reproducibility of science and our predicting-understanding power(Reichstein, M. et al., 2019). Many of the recent approaches applying deep learning methods in ecology and evolution have mostly focused at one level of biological organization(Sheehan and Song, 2016). While this might produce additional gain in detailed knowledge at each level, it remains unknown how many layers are going to be needed for predicting the consequences of feedbacks between the Earth system and Biodiversity.

¹This is by no means an exhaustive list but it gives an indication of the many projects taking place: NakamotoT,BigQuery,Automated statistician,Modulos,Google AI,Iriseaseml

The one-level and one-scale approach might be insufficent to understand the consequences of biodiversity decline in predicting the outcome of feedbacks between Earth system and the diversity of life. To gain predictive and understanding power in biodiversity research we are going to need to merge distinct databses into hybrid deep process-based learning methods accounting for many layers and the topology of the interactions within and between the layers (Melián et al., 2015). Many methods from data science and biological systems share fundamental properties (i.e., network-like patterns, multiple layers, etc). Yet the full potential of these shared properties have not been sufficiently explored. Biological systems are composed by many layers, and they can contain interdependent hierarchies and feedbacks with interacting learning entities within and between the layers (Figure 2). We will integrate different biological layers into a platform to explore contrasting scenarios of Biodiversity dynamics accounting for interdependencies and feedbacks within and between layers (Box 1 and Figures 3 and 4).




Figure 2: Biodiversity is hierarchically structured yet inferring interdependencies among the levels developing hybrid deep-process based learning approaches to predict the consequences of biodiversity decline remains poorly studied. A) Biodiversity has been studied mostly considering independent levels, from genes, traits and populations to communities and ecological networks. B) Biodiversity represented as interdependent levels accounting for feedbacks from genes and traits, and from traits and populations to communities. It remains unknown which of these two scenarios best predict current trends in Biodiversity decline and its consequences for Earth climate, life conditions and the stability of Earth.

Box 1. Deep process-based learning networks in Biodiversity research

We will infer process-based species distribution maps accounting for biological levels using learning networks. Most datasets in biodiversity are collections of small data. In areas such as species ranges and species interactions, there is a large amount of data, but only a relatively small amount of data match the species ranges or the species interactions with lower biological level data as the gene architecture or the phenotypes. To account for such uncertainty we will use a formalism considering the heterogeneity at individual level (Ghaharmani, 2015) coupling the gene-to-phenotype map to populations, and interactions among phenotypes to communities and species ranges, so that information can be borrowed from other similar levels across the landscape. We will develop our formalism into hierarchical Bayesian neural networks to generate biodiversity distribution maps accounting for biotic, abiotic and migration traits that can be compared against the empirical distribution patterns. We will consider many populations characterized each by individuals containing T normally distributed traits (i.e., biotic, abiotic, and migration traits represented as z_i with i the biotic, abiotic or the migration trait). Populations will be located in a network of discrete/continuous sites guided by long/lat empirical data connected by migration events and the local population demography will be driven by the temporal dependent fitness function accounting for trait architecture following

$$W(\mathbf{z_i})_{jx}^t = exp\left[-\gamma(((\mathbf{z_i}_{jx}^t - \theta_{jx})^2)^T \omega^{-1} (\mathbf{z_i}_{jx}^t - \theta_{jx})^2)\right], \tag{1}$$

where $(\mathbf{z_i})_{jx}^t$ is the vector of trait values of phenotype z at time t for species j and site x, θ_{jx} is the multivariate fitness optimum of species j in site x, ω is the covariance matrix (Lande, 1980; Melo and Marroig, 2014), and γ determines the interaction sensitivity to deviations from the biotic, abiotic and migration optimum. If the covariance matrix, ω , is diagonal, then we are in a no correlated stabilizing selection scenario. Each trait is independently evolving and the connections within and between each biological level are modular and mostly weak. Adding covariation among traits will result in correlated stabilizing selection with strong interactions within and between each biological level. The population dynamics of species j in site x is then given by

$$\frac{dN_{jx}}{dt} = r_{jx}(F(W(\mathbf{z})) + m_{jx}(F(W(\mathbf{z}))), \tag{2}$$

where r_{jx} and m_{jx} are the multivariate fitness-dependent intrinsic growth and migration rate, respectively. The first scenario accounting for independently evolving traits will be our proxy for quasi-independent levels considering modularity within- and between-layers (i.e., a highly modular pleiotropy matrix determining the genotype-phenotype map and a highly modular within- and between-species interactions with most interactions weak or zero across the land-scape). Such scenario will produce a non- or weakly-interactive species biodiversity map. The second scenario will account for correlated traits and we will consider this scenario as our proxy for feedbacks within and among layers. We will explore a range of topologies from bidirectional recurrent neural networks (BRNN) to feedforward neural networks (FNN) and reinforcement learning (RL) in both static and unknown and dynamic optimum(Schmidhuber, 2015). This scenario will produce an strongly-interactive species biodiversity map. We will disturb both scenarios following random and non-random disturbance regimes (i.e., removing specific interactions, abundances and habitats) and will quantify responses to disturbances using a variety of metrics, from local, regional and global biodiversity metrics(Melián et al., 2015).




Figure 3: Prediction and understanding power map. This figures shows a cartoon of a prediction power map (top), an understanding power map (middle), and a predicting-understanding power map (bottom). x- and y-axis represent data-based inference (i.e., gradient of AI methods from low (left) to high (right) predictive power) and process-based inference (i.e., gradient of process-based methods from low (bottom left) to high (top left) understanding power). The gradient of predicting power map (top) shows a hot spot red area in the bottom right highlighting the region where AI methods best predict the empirical data. The gradient of understanding power map (middle) shows a hot spot red area in the top left highlighting the region where the best mechanistic understanding occur. The predicting-understanding power map (bottom) shows the sum of the two previous maps highlighting a red hot spot where the best synthesis research joining predicting and understanding power of the empirical data might occur.




Figure 4: Prototyping a distributed and open automated research platform: a) Our initial prototype will contain five layers (this is not an exhaustive number. Some might be merged and others, like reporting generation, can be introduced): Data Integration, Complexity reduction, Pattern-process inference, Validation, and Visualization. Nodes and links represent algorithms and interactions between two algorithms, respectively. The inter-layer interactions will be implemented using the Renku-SDSC platform². The intra-layer interactions will be developed initially in julia language (other languages will come into play during the development of each layer). b) A julia-computing-language prototype of an automated research platform. Nodes and links in each layer represent julia packages and interactions between two packages, respectively. The figure shows the julia packages to be used for the Data integration layer containing the packages "Retriever.jl" (Re), "Query.jl" (Qu), "MySQL.jl" (My), "SQlite.jl" (lite), and "DataFrames.jl" (df). This cartoon representing many intra- and inter-layer connections might be helpful to show the vision of the platform. For example, the path taken to solve a specific intra- or inter-domain (fundamental or applied) question can be quantified by many metrics each producing a distribution of automated solutions across many nodes in a distributed and open network, the Robhoot Open Network (RON). This distribution can be analyzed to quantify properties as robustness, reproducibility and bias of a fundamental or applied solution.

 $^{^2 {}m Renku}$

Goals:

- To infer how the interdependencies of the different biological levels of organization impact Earth biodiversity.
- To develop an automated data-driven platform combined with process-based AI for Earth Biodiversity.

?? The recent success of Artifical Intelligence can be easily illustrated with applications to many discipline. Examples could include machine learning nowcasts lightening occurrence ?, ... astounding performance in beating board and video games ??, computer vision that enables self-driving cars ??, medical diagnosing ?, ...

economic and social importance Significance of the project for data science The project will help to improve multilayer inference from broad classes of multidimensional data. It will bring a class of deep learning networks, deep process-based learning networks, to facilitate merging biodiversity research and data science. Deep process-based learning networks will serve as a fundamental and applied tool to unfold the interdependence among biological levels for understanding biodiversity dynamics and its current decline with and without feedback. The project will also be communicating in a permanent public exhibition how data science and biodiversity research account for interacting biological levels for predicting future response scenarios to rapid global change.

5. Methodology

6. Experience of the research group

VME is a member of the Group of Interdisciplinary Physics which forms the core of IFISC (Institute for Cross-Disciplinary Physics and Complex Systems), a joint research Institute of the University of the Balearic Islands (UIB) and the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC) created in 2007. IFISC has been awarded in 2018 the "Unit of Excellence María de Maeztu" distinction, entering the selective SOMMa Alliance and thus consolidating IFISC as a reference institute in the research field of complex systems. The award has been granted by the Spanish National Agency (AEI), Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities. Emerging from a backbone transversal research line of exploratory nature on Complex Systems, Statistical and Nonlinear Physics, IFISC has 5 research lines of transfer of knowledge in the interface with other disciplines (Quantum Technologies, Information and Communication Technologies, Earth Sciences, Life Sciences and Social Sciences). These are: i) Biocomplexity, ii) Dynamics and collective phenomena of social systems, iii) Transport and Information in Quantum Systems, iv) Nonlinear Photonics, v) Nonlinear dynamics in fluids.

As a member of IFISC, we have access to cutting edge facilities. These include a computer cluster with 46 nodes and a total of 552 cores and 3.1TB of RAM and configured for High Throughput Computing (HTC) and used for intensive numerical calculations; a new cluster being deployed in December 2019 with 20 nodes with next generation AMD Epyc Rome processors with a total of 960 cores and 12TB of RAM configured for High Performance Computing (HPC) to be used for big data analysis and memory intensive simulations; a MongoDB database cluster used for big data storage with a primary node with 42 TB SSD storage and 512GB of RAM a replica node with 40TB HD storage an 256GB of RAM; and a data repository with 80 TB HD storage. This is complemented by general purpose purpose equipment including a private cloud OpenNebula cluster used for virtualization with a total of 180 cores, 1.7TB of RAM and 70TB storage; a NFS disk server with 128GB of RAM and 80 TB storage; a server for data backup with 104 TB HD storage and a 44" plotter. Transparent access to computational clusters and servers is provided through a fully integrated network of about 60 Linux desktops complemented by several windows desktops and iMacs and around 40 laptops. IFISC has also a specific system to life webcast seminars and to distribute the recordings on demand.

7. Work plan: tasks, milestones; person in charge

Task 1: Data selection and uploading Task 2: Multilayer inference Task 3: deep process-based learning

 $\mathrm{M}1$: multilayer inference package $\mathrm{M}2$: deep processe-based learning method $\mathrm{M}3$: visualization tool

12

8. Dissemination: deliverables

9. Budget

Human resources. We require a two-year post-doc: $38648.95 \times 2 = 77297.90$ Travel: one-week visit every six months (VME + + postdoc to EAWAG; CJM to IFISC) 300 transport + 120*5 accommodation + 40*5 food= $1300 \times 6 = 7800$ one-month stay per semester (postdoc) = 2600 Computation resources:

Pre-doc 26775,15

10. References

- Reichstein, M., Camps-Valls, G., Stevens, B., Jung, M., Denzler, J., Carvalhais, N., and Prabhat. Deep learning and process understanding for data-driven earth system science. *Nature*, 566:195–2024, 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-0912-1.
- S. Sheehan and Y. S. Song. Deep learning for population genetic inference. PLoS Comput. Biol., 12, 2016.
- C. J. Melián, B. Matthews, C. S. Andreazzi, J. P. Rodríguez, L. J. Harmon, and M. A. Fortuna. Deciphering the interdependence between ecological and evolutionary networks. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 33: 504–512, 2015.
- Z. Ghaharmani. Probabilistic machine learning and artificial intelligence. Nature, 521:452–459, 2015.
- R. Lande. The genetic covariance between characters maintained by pleiotropic mutations. *Genetics*, 94: 203–215, 1980.
- D. Melo and G. Marroig. Directional selection can drive the evolution of modularity in complex traits. *Proceedings of the National Academy of the Sciences*, USA., 112:470–475, 2014.
- J. Schmidhuber. Deep learning in neural networks: An overview. Neural Networks, 61:85–117, 2015.