

Combinatory Logic

This originates from an article, in my notes, that I wrote in 1984-1985.

Combinatory logic is a mathematical language whose expressions can be built up from

- (I) **Combinators** **B, C, K, W**
- (II) **Variable Symbols** x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots

using a binary combination rule called *application*. In practice, terms like

$$(((\alpha\beta)\gamma)\delta)$$

are represented as

$$\alpha\beta\gamma\delta.$$

We will follow the convention of removing all the left-most and outer-most brackets.

The basic axioms of the language, through which the combinators are defined, are called *combinatory axioms*. They are

$$\mathbf{C}\alpha\beta\gamma = \alpha\gamma\beta, \quad \mathbf{B}\alpha\beta\gamma = \alpha(\beta\gamma), \quad \mathbf{W}\alpha\beta = \alpha\beta\beta, \quad \mathbf{K}\alpha\beta = \alpha.$$

The extensionality axiom

$$\text{Ext} \quad \text{if } \alpha x = \beta x \rightarrow \alpha = \beta, \text{ where } \alpha, \beta \text{ are expressions not containing } x.$$

will also be adopted.

1. Combinator Types

The constant terms are called combinators. They fall into four basic families: *permuters*, *groupers*, *replicators* and *deleters*. Other, more complex, combinators can be built up from **B, C, K, W**, by decomposition into these basic types.

1.1. Permuters

The basic permuters, **C, T**, satisfy the rules

$$\mathbf{T}\beta\gamma = \gamma\beta, \quad \mathbf{C}\alpha\beta\gamma = \alpha\gamma\beta.$$

In general, the permuter \mathbf{C}_n is defined by the rule

$$\mathbf{C}_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \beta \gamma = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \gamma \beta \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Thus we find that

$$\mathbf{C}_0 = \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{C}_1 = \mathbf{C},$$

and in general for $n \geq 0$ that

$$\mathbf{C}_{n+1} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}_n, \quad \mathbf{C}_n = \mathbf{C}_{n+1}\mathbf{I},$$

where **I** is the *identity combinator* defined by the rule

$$\mathbf{I}\alpha = \alpha.$$

It can be expressed in terms of the elementary combinators as

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{K}.$$

1.2. Groupers

The basic groupers **B, I'** satisfy the rules

$$\mathbf{I}'\beta\gamma = \beta\gamma, \quad \mathbf{B}\alpha\beta\gamma = \alpha(\beta\gamma).$$

In general

$$\mathbf{B}_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \beta \gamma = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n (\beta\gamma) \quad (n \geq 0),$$

with \mathbf{B}_n defined analogously to \mathbf{C}_n .

1.3. Replicators

The basic replicators are **W, D**, given by the rules

$$\mathbf{D}\beta = \beta\beta, \quad \mathbf{W}\alpha\beta = \alpha\beta\beta,$$

with the general replicator given by

$$\mathbf{W}_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \beta = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \beta\beta \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Again, by extensionality, we can write $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{I}$.

1.4. Deletors

In a similar way, the basic deletors can be defined by

$$\mathbf{O}\beta = \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{K}\alpha\beta = \alpha,$$

and the general deletors by

$$\mathbf{K}_n \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \beta = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \quad (n \geq 0).$$

1.5. Summary

Overall, we have basic combinators of each type given by the rules

$$\mathbf{C}_n \alpha \beta \gamma = \alpha \gamma \beta, \quad \mathbf{B}_n \alpha \beta \gamma = \alpha(\beta \gamma), \quad \mathbf{W}_n \alpha \beta = \alpha \beta \beta, \quad \mathbf{K}_n \alpha \beta = \alpha \quad (n \geq 0)$$

where we write $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$. The different orders are related by

$$\alpha_{n+1} = \mathbf{B}\alpha_n, \quad \alpha_n = \alpha_{n+1} \mathbf{I}$$

for $n \geq 0$ and $\alpha = \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{W}$. The combinators $\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{W}_1$ are then defined respectively as $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{W}$, with the corresponding rules reducing to familiar form; while $\mathbf{C}_0, \mathbf{K}_0, \mathbf{W}_0$ may be defined respectively as $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{D}$, recapturing the rules of the familiar forms through extensionality with

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{O} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{I},$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}\beta\gamma = \gamma\beta, \quad \mathbf{O}\beta = \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{D}\beta = \beta\beta.$$

In tabular form, we have, for the lowest orders,

Type	$n = 0$	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$...
Permuter	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{BC}	$\mathbf{B(BC)}$...
Grouper	\mathbf{I}'	\mathbf{B}	\mathbf{BB}	$\mathbf{B(BB)}$...
Replicator	\mathbf{D}	\mathbf{W}	\mathbf{BW}	$\mathbf{B(BW)}$...
Deleter	\mathbf{O}	\mathbf{K}	\mathbf{BK}	$\mathbf{B(BK)}$...

A combinator, like \mathbf{S} , given by the rule

$$\mathbf{S}\alpha\beta\gamma = \alpha\gamma(\beta\gamma)$$

is seen to be a mixture of a replicator, grouper and permuter. It can be constructed successively as follows

$$\alpha\gamma(\beta\gamma) = \mathbf{B}_2 \alpha \gamma \beta \gamma = \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{B}_2 \alpha \beta \gamma \gamma = \mathbf{W}_3 (\mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{B}_2) \alpha \beta \gamma.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{B(BW)(BC(BB))}.$$

This result will hold true in general

Combinatory Completeness

Every term $\varphi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ can be expressed in the form $\Phi\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ where

(I) $\Phi = \mathbf{w(c(bI))}$ if all terms $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ occur in φ

(II) $\Phi = \mathbf{k(w(c(bI)))}$ if some $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ do not occur in φ

with $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{w}$ being general grouper, permuter, deleter, replicator strings.

A general α -string (for $\alpha = \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{W}$) has the form

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_n a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_n}$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda}_n$ is defined by the rule

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_n \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_n x = \beta_1 (\beta_2 (\dots (\beta_n x) \dots)).$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_0 = \mathbf{B}_0, \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}_{n+1} = \mathbf{B}_{n+1} \mathbf{\Lambda}_n \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Thus, the general alpha-string

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_n a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_n}$$

will reduce to the form

$$\mathbf{B}(\dots(\mathbf{B}a_{i_1}a_{i_2})\dots a_{i_{n-1}})a_{i_n}.$$

Example:

The step-wise reduction of the expression $\rho(\alpha x)(\beta x)(\gamma x)$ would proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\alpha x)(\beta x)(\gamma x) &= \mathbf{I}\rho(\alpha x)(\beta x)(\gamma x) \\ &= \mathbf{B}_4\mathbf{I}\rho(\alpha x)(\beta x)\gamma x \\ &= \mathbf{B}_3(\mathbf{B}_4\mathbf{I})\rho(\alpha x)\beta x\gamma x \\ &= \mathbf{B}_2(\mathbf{B}_3(\mathbf{B}_4\mathbf{I}))\rho\alpha x\beta x\gamma x \\ &= \mathbf{bI}\rho\alpha x\beta x\gamma x & (\mathbf{b} = \Lambda_3\mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{B}_3\mathbf{B}_4) \\ &= \mathbf{C}_5(\mathbf{bI})\rho\alpha x\beta\gamma xx \\ &= \mathbf{C}_3(\mathbf{C}_5(\mathbf{bI}))\rho\alpha\beta x\gamma xx \\ &= \mathbf{C}_4(\mathbf{C}_3(\mathbf{C}_5(\mathbf{bI})))\rho\alpha\beta\gamma xxx \\ &= \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{bI})\rho\alpha\beta\gamma xxx & (\mathbf{c} = \Lambda_3\mathbf{C}_4\mathbf{C}_3\mathbf{C}_5) \\ &= \mathbf{W}_5(\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{bI}))\rho\alpha\beta\gamma xx \\ &= \mathbf{W}_5(\mathbf{W}_5(\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{bI})))\rho\alpha\beta\gamma x \\ &= \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{bI}))\rho\alpha\beta\gamma x & (\mathbf{w} = \Lambda_2\mathbf{W}_5\mathbf{W}_5)\end{aligned}$$

As a function $\varphi(\rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, x) = \rho(\alpha x)(\beta x)(\gamma x)$, where the variable δ is not explicitly involved, this would then be expressed with an additional set of combinators to effect the deletion. The result would be

$$\varphi(\rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, x) = \Phi\rho\alpha\beta\gamma\delta x, \quad \Phi = \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{bI}))) = \Lambda_4\mathbf{k}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{c}\mathbf{bI},$$

where, in addition, we have

$$\mathbf{k} = \Lambda_2\mathbf{K}_5\mathbf{K}_5.$$

2. Recursion

Corresponding to each number $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is a *numeral* \mathbf{n} formed from the combinators, as follows

$$\mathbf{0} \equiv \mathbf{O}, \quad \mathbf{1} \equiv \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{2} \equiv \mathbf{SBI}, \quad \mathbf{3} \equiv \mathbf{SB(SBI)}, \quad \dots$$

Through application of the extensionality axiom, we have

$$\mathbf{SBO}xy = \mathbf{B}x(\mathbf{O}x)y = x(\mathbf{O}xy) = xy = \mathbf{I}xy \rightarrow \mathbf{SBO} = \mathbf{I},$$

therefore, we may write in general

$$\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{SBn},$$

therefore \mathbf{SB} represents the successor function. The action of the combinator is given by

$$\mathbf{n}\varphi x = \varphi^n x \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where

$$\varphi^0 x = x, \quad \varphi^1 x = \varphi x, \quad \varphi^2 x = \varphi(\varphi x),$$

and generally

$$\varphi^{n+1} x = \varphi(\varphi^n x), \quad (n \geq 0).$$

These combinators are known as the *Church numerals*. They represent the exponents of repeated function application.

Primitive recursion is a method of producing a new function $p(\mathbf{x}, n)$ from functions

$$f(\mathbf{x}), \quad g(\mathbf{x}, n, y),$$

where $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_k$ with $k \geq 0$. The function is defined in terms of itself at smaller values of $n \geq 0$ by

$$p(\mathbf{x}, 0) = f(\mathbf{x}), \quad p(\mathbf{x}, n+1) = g(\mathbf{x}, n, p(\mathbf{x}, n)) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

In terms of combinatory logic, primitive recursion can be represented as follows

$$(p\mathbf{x})\mathbf{O} = f\mathbf{x}, \quad (p\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{SBn}) = (g\mathbf{x})\mathbf{n}((p\mathbf{x})\mathbf{n}) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Writing P, F, G for $p\mathbf{x}, f\mathbf{x}, g\mathbf{x}$ respectively, this becomes

$$P\mathbf{O} = F, \quad P(\mathbf{SBn}) = G\mathbf{n}(P\mathbf{n}) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

2.1. The Recursion Hierarchy

This construction leads to a hierarchy characterized by the function's *order*, based on the number of applications of primitive recursions with respect to n are needed to define the function $p(\mathbf{x}, n)$. At the bottom of the hierarchy are the recursive functions of the lowest, or 0th order. These consist of the closure of the following

- The *zero function*: $\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{O}$,
- The *successor function*: $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$,
- The *projection functions*: $\mathbf{K}^n \mathbf{K}^m x_0 x_1 \dots x_n \dots x_{m+n} = x_n$ for $n > 0$ and $m \geq 0$,

under *composition*

$$(\mathbf{G}_{mn} \psi \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n) x_1 \dots x_m = \psi(\varphi_1 x_1 \dots x_m) \dots (\varphi_n x_1 \dots x_m) \quad (m, n \geq 0).$$

The recursive functions of order $n+1$ are the closure under composition of these functions and the primitive recursive functions formed with recursive functions $g(\mathbf{x}, n)$ of order n or less.

Though not exhaustive of the hierarchy, the following special cases are of separate interest. The *first-order recursions* are those formed as solutions to

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O} = a_0, \quad \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{n}) = a_1(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{n}) \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where a_1 is independent of \mathbf{n} . As previously discussed, the solution involves the Church numerals, themselves

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{n} = a_1^n a_0 = \mathbf{n} a_1 a_0 = \mathbf{BCT} a_1 a_0 \mathbf{n} \rightarrow P = [a_1, a_0] = \langle a_1, a_0 \rangle,$$

where

$$\langle x, y \rangle \equiv \mathbf{BCT}xy.$$

For *second-order recursion* the defining relations are

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O} = a_0, \quad \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{n}) = (a_2^n a_1)(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{n}) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

From this we get

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{1} = a_1 a_0 = \mathbf{O} a_2 a_1 a_0, \quad \mathbf{P}\mathbf{2} = a_2 a_1 (a_1 a_0) = \mathbf{F} a_2 a_1 a_0,$$

using the combinator defined by

$$\mathbf{F}xyz = xy(yz).$$

Going further, we have

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{3} = a_2(a_2 a_1)(a_2 a_1(a_1 a_0)) = \mathbf{F} a_2(a_2 a_1)(a_1 a_0) = \mathbf{FF} a_2 a_1(a_1 a_0) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{FF} a_2) a_1 a_0 = \mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{FF}) a_2 a_1 a_0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\mathbf{4} &= a_2(a_2(a_2 a_1))(a_2(a_2 a_1)(a_2 a_1(a_1 a_0))) \\ &= \mathbf{F} a_2(a_2(a_2 a_1))(a_2 a_1(a_1 a_0)) \\ &= \mathbf{FF} a_2(a_2 a_1)(a_2 a_1(a_1 a_0)) \\ &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{FF} a_2)(a_2 a_1)(a_1 a_0) \\ &= \mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{FF}) a_2(a_2 a_1)(a_1 a_0) \\ &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{FF})) a_2 a_1(a_1 a_0) \\ &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{FF}))) a_2 a_1 a_0 \\ &= \mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{FF}))) a_2 a_1 a_0. \end{aligned}$$

The combinator in the last expression on the right can be written more explicitly as

$$\mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{FF}))) = \mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{FF})) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{FF}) = (\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{F})^2 \mathbf{F}.$$

Noting that

$$(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{F})^1 \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{FF} = \mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{FF}),$$

and applying extensionality to

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{F}\mathbf{O}xyz = \mathbf{BF}(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{O})xyz = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{O}x)yz = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{O}xy(yz) = \mathbf{O}x(xy)(yz) = xy(yz) = \mathbf{F}xyz,$$

we also have

$$(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{F})^1 \mathbf{O} = \mathbf{F}, \quad (\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{F})^{n+1} \mathbf{O} = (\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{BF})\mathbf{F})^n \mathbf{F} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Noting that

$$PO = a_0 = \mathbf{O}a_1a_0 = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{O}a_2a_1a_0,$$

and that, with another application of extensionality to

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O}).xyz = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O})).xyz = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O})x)yz = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O}).xy(yz) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{O}x(xy)(yz) = yz = \mathbf{O}yz,$$

we have

$$(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F})^{n+1}(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O}) = (\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F})^n \mathbf{O}, \quad (\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F})^{n+2}(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O}) = (\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F})^n \mathbf{F} \quad (n \geq 0),$$

we obtain the apparent result

$$Pn = (\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F})^n (\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O})a_2a_1a_0.$$

Indeed, what we find is that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F}\mu a_2(a_2^n a_1)(Pn) &= \mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}\mu a_2(a_2^n a_1)(Pn)) \\ &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}\mu a_2(a_2^n a_1)(Pn)) \\ &= \mathbf{F}\mu a_2(a_2^n a_1)(a_2^n a_1(Pn)) \\ &= \mu a_2(a_2(a_2^n a_1))(a_2^n a_1(Pn)) \\ &= \mu a_2(a_2^{n+1} a_1)(P(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}n)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F})^n (\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O})a_2a_1a_0 = (\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F})^n (\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O})a_2a_1(PO) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{O}a_2(a_2^n a_1)(Pn) = Pn.$$

This leads to the general solution

$$Pn = n(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F})(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{O})a_2a_1a_0 = \Lambda_3 \mathbf{C}_3 \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{C} \langle \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{K}\mathbf{O} \rangle a_2a_1a_0 n,$$

from which we get

$$P = [a_2, a_1, a_0] \equiv \Lambda_3 \mathbf{C}_3 \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{C} \langle \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{K}\mathbf{O} \rangle a_2a_1a_0.$$

Returning to the first-order recursion, and extending this process analogously here, as well, we could write

$$\mathbf{F}\mu a_1(a_1^n a_0) = \mu a_1(a_1(a_1^n a_0)) = \mu a_1(a_1^{n+1} a_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^n \mu a_1 a_0 = \mu a_1(a_1^n a_0).$$

Consequently, the general solution could equivalently be written

$$[a_1, a_0]n = \mathbf{F}^n \mathbf{O}a_1a_0 = n\mathbf{F}\mathbf{O}a_1a_0 = \Lambda_2 \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{C} \langle \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{O} \rangle a_1a_0 n \rightarrow [a_1, a_0] = \Lambda_2 \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{C} \langle \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{O} \rangle a_1a_0.$$

This provides a glimpse into how recursion of order k should be handled. Here, we have

$$PO = a_0, \quad P(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}n) = [a_k, \dots, a_1]n(Pn) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

The general solution will be

$$Pn = F_k^n (\mathbf{K}^k \mathbf{I})a_k \dots a_1a_0,$$

with

$$F_k = (\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{B})^k \mathbf{I}.$$

This not only includes recursion of order 0, with $F_0 = \mathbf{I}$ and $[a_0]n = a_0$, but also the case for $k = 1$, through application of extensionality to

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{B}\mathbf{I}xy = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{I})xy = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}xy = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{F}x)y = \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{F}xy) = \mathbf{F}xy \rightarrow F_1 = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{B}\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{F}.$$

For $k = 2$ we then have

$$F_2 = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F})\mathbf{F}.$$

2.2. Formal Integration and Differentiation

If P and G are functions related by

$$P(n+1) = G(n, P(n)) \quad (n \geq 0),$$

we will write $G = dP$ and call G the *formal differential* of P . Applying the converse notion of anti-derivative, we may then write the solution to the equation

$$P(0) = F, \quad P(n+1) = G(n, P(n)) \quad (n \geq 0)$$

formally as an integral

$$P = \int_F G,$$

and call P a *formal integral* of G . Thus, for primitive recursion of order 1, we have

$$[a_1, a_0] = \int_{a_0} a_1$$

and for order $k + 2$,

$$[a_{k+1}, a_k, \dots, a_1, a_0] = \int_{a_0} \int_{a_1} \dots \int_{a_k} a_{k+1},$$

with

$$a_i = d^{k-i} [a_k, \dots, a_0](0), \quad (0 \leq i \leq k).$$

Example:

For

$$P = [d, c, b, a] = \int_a \int_b \int_c d$$

we have

$$P(0) = a, \quad dP(0) = b, \quad d^2 P(0) = c, \quad d^3 P(0) = d.$$

For the smallest values of n , this leads to the following tabulation

n	$d^3 P(n)$	$d^2 P(n)$	$dP(n)$	$P(n)$
0	d	c	b	a
1	d	dc	cb	ba
2	d	$d(dc)$	$dc(cb)$	$cb(ba)$
3	d	$d(d(dc))$	$d(dc)(dc(cb))$	$dc(cb)(cb(ba))$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots

The order- k recursor F_k is cast in such a way as to produce the table row-by-row from the topmost row. This requires

$$F_k \mu a_k \dots a_1 a_0 = \mu a_k (a_k a_{k-1}) \dots (a_1 a_0),$$

and for the topmost row

$$\mu a_k \dots a_1 a_0 = a_0 = \mathbf{K}^k \mathbf{I} a_k \dots a_1 a_0,$$

thus identifying the “seed” function as the projector $\mu = \mathbf{K}^k \mathbf{I}$.

For order 0, the recursor is just $F_0 \mu a_0 = \mu a_0 = \mathbf{I} \mu a_0$. For order $k + 1$, the recursor can be resolved inductively as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k+1} \mu a_{k+1} a_k \dots a_1 a_0 &= \mu a_{k+1} (a_{k+1} a_k) (a_k a_{k-1}) \dots (a_1 a_0) \\ &= \mathbf{F} \mu a_{k+1} a_k (a_k a_{k-1}) \dots (a_1 a_0) \\ &= F_k (\mathbf{F} \mu a_{k+1}) a_k \dots a_1 a_0 \\ &= \mathbf{B} F_k (\mathbf{F} \mu) a_{k+1} a_k \dots a_1 a_0 \\ &= \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{B} F_k) \mathbf{F} \mu a_{k+1} a_k \dots a_1 a_0. \end{aligned}$$

Through application of extensionality, this leads to

$$F_{k+1} = \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{B} F_k) \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F} (\mathbf{B} F_k) = \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{B} F_k.$$

Thus,

$$F_k = (\mathbf{B} (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F}) \mathbf{B})^k \mathbf{I}.$$

The general solution for recursion of order k may thus be written

$$[a_k, \dots, a_1, a_0] \mathbf{h} = F_k^n (\mathbf{K}^k \mathbf{I}) a_k \dots a_1 a_0,$$

or in terms of the combinatory numerals

$$F_k^n (\mathbf{K}^k \mathbf{I}) a_k \dots a_1 a_0 = \mathbf{n} F_k (\mathbf{K}^k \mathbf{I}) a_k \dots a_1 a_0 = \Lambda_{k+1} \mathbf{C}_{k+1} \dots \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{C} \langle F_k, \mathbf{K}^k \mathbf{I} \rangle a_k \dots a_1 a_0 \mathbf{n}.$$

Thus,

$$[a_k, \dots, a_1, a_0] = \Lambda_{k+1} \mathbf{C}_{k+1} \dots \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{C} \langle F_k, \mathbf{K}^k \mathbf{I} \rangle a_k \dots a_1 a_0.$$

2.3. Representation of Primitive Recursion as Integration

The hierarchy is not exhaustive of all the primitive recursive functions, since the integral $P = \int_F G$ may involve a function G formed by compositions, rather than just one of the form $G = [a_k, \dots, a_1]$. However, by using a similar tabulation method, the more general case can also be resolved by keeping explicit account of \mathbf{n} in the tabulation of $P\mathbf{n}$:

$$\lambda\mu G\mathbf{n}(P\mathbf{n}) = \mu G(\mathbf{SBn})(G\mathbf{n}(P\mathbf{n})) .$$

Assuming a solution of the form $P\mathbf{n} = \lambda^n \mu G\mathbf{O}F$, this leads to the general relation

$$P\mathbf{n} = \lambda^n \mu G\mathbf{O}F = \lambda^n \mu G\mathbf{O}(P\mathbf{O}) = \mu G\mathbf{n}(P\mathbf{n}) ,$$

which is satisfied with the projector $\mu = \mathbf{K}^2 \mathbf{I}$. The expression for the update is satisfied if

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\mu Gxy &= \mu G(\mathbf{SB}x)(Gxy) \\ &= \mathbf{B}_3 \mu G(\mathbf{SB}x)(Gx)y \\ &= \mathbf{B}_3 \mathbf{B}_3 \mu G(\mathbf{SB})x(Gx)y \\ &= \mathbf{C}_2 (\mathbf{B}_3 \mathbf{B}_3) \mu (\mathbf{SB})Gx(Gx)y \\ &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{C}_2 (\mathbf{B}_3 \mathbf{B}_3))(\mathbf{SB}) \mu Gx(Gx)y \\ &= \mathbf{S}_3 (\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{C}_2 (\mathbf{B}_3 \mathbf{B}_3))(\mathbf{SB})) \mu GGxy \\ &= \mathbf{W}_2 (\mathbf{S}_3 (\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{C}_2 (\mathbf{B}_3 \mathbf{B}_3))(\mathbf{SB}))) \mu GGxy. \end{aligned}$$

By extensionality, this leads to the solution

$$\lambda = \mathbf{W}_2 (\mathbf{S}_3 (\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{C}_2 (\mathbf{B}_3 \mathbf{B}_3))(\mathbf{SB}))) ,$$

to the solution to the recursive system

$$P\mathbf{n} = \left(\int_F G \right) \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n} \lambda \mu G\mathbf{O}F = \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle \mathbf{n} G\mathbf{O}F = \mathbf{\Lambda}_3 \mathbf{C}_3 \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{C} \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle G\mathbf{O}F \mathbf{n} ,$$

and to the formal integral,

$$\int_F G = \mathbf{\Lambda}_3 \mathbf{C}_3 \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{C} \langle \lambda, \mathbf{K}^2 \mathbf{I} \rangle G\mathbf{O}F = \mathbf{\Lambda}_4 \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}_3 \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{C} \langle \lambda, \mathbf{K}^2 \mathbf{I} \rangle \mathbf{O}GF .$$