# Consistency scores in text data \*

	<b>Ider</b> University of Toronto University of Toronto
Keywords:	

### Introduction

When we think about a data science project, we may like to think that our job is to 'let the data speak'. But this is rarely the case in practise. Datasets can have errors, be biased, incomplete, or messy. In any case, it is the underlying statistical process of which the dataset is an artifact that is typically of interest. In order to use statistical models to understand that process we often need to prepare the dataset in some way. This is particularly the case when working with text. However, this process requires many decisions. Should we correct obvious errors? What about slightly-less-obvious errors? To what extent have we introduced new errors? Have we made decisions that have affected, or even driven, our results?

In this paper we introduce the concept of consistency for a text corpus. Consistency refers to the proportion of words that are able to be predicted by a trained model based on the previous words and surrounding context. Further, we define internal consistency as when the model is trained on the corpus itself, and external consistency as when the model is trained on a more general corpus. Together, these concepts provide a guide to the cleanliness and consistency of a text dataset. This can be important when deciding whether a dataset is fit for purpose; when carrying out data cleaning and preparation tasks; and as a comparison between datasets.

To provide an example, consider the sentence, 'the cat in the...'. A child who has read this book could tell you that the next word should be 'hat'. Hence if the sentence was actually 'the cat in the bat', then that child would know something was likely wrong. A consistency score would likely be lower than if the sentence were 'the cat in the hat'. After the researcher corrects this error, a consistency score would likely increase. By following how the consistency scores change during the data preparation and cleaning stages the researcher can better understand the effect of the changes. Including consistency scores when corpuses are shared allows researchers to be more transparent about their corpus. And finally, the use of consistency scores allows for automation in the cleaning process.

We apply our approach to X (one option is a Hansard, but it's really big, so yeah, I don't really want to do that). Additionally, we construct a Shiny app that computes internal and external consistency scores for smaller corpuses and allows the researcher to make changes and see how it updates.

The remainder of our paper is structured as follows...

<sup>\*</sup>Our code and datasets are available at: X. Comments on the 08 July 2020 version of this paper are welcome at: rohan.alexander@utoronto.ca.

# Background

A typical data science workflow involves Internal and external consistency

Article: Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training (2018)

Introduction of a semi-supervised approach for language understanding machine learning tasks. The approach is the combinaiton of unsupervised pre-training and supervised fine-tuning.

Article: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners (2020)

Official paper from OpenAI to introduce OpenAI GPT-3. Emphasizes on the "fewer-shots" and "task-agnostic" aspects of the latest langual model compared to its predecessor GPT-2.

In recent years, pre-trained language models have tremendous contributions in advancing a wide range of diverse natural language processing (NLP) tasks such as reading comprehension, text generation, and many others. The pre-trained models enables (citation). As the pre-trainde models remove the need for researchers and technologists to train models from scratch, they promote faster growth and advancement int he field of NLP. OpenAI GPT-3 is the latest generative pre-trained language model released by OpenAI in 2020. It has 175 billion parameters and is trained to [do that and that]. GPT-2, the predecessor of GPT-3, employs a semi-supervised approach that combines unsupervised pre-training and supervised fine-tuning where task-specific data set and task-specific fine-tuning were still needed in achieving these tasks until the birth of GPT-3 (citation). GPT-3 removes such needs, and its task-agnostic nature enables the accessible creation of cutting-edge NLP applications.

GPT-3 learns like human do — with brief directive and simple instruction. . .

In our application, we deploy OpenAI GPT-3 to generate text and ...

# Stylized example

As a stylized example, let's consider the following actual paragraph from Jane Eyre, by Charlotte Bronte:

There was no possibility of taking a walk that day. We had been wandering, indeed, in the leafless shrubbery an hour in the morning; but since dinner (Mrs. Reed, when there was no company, dined early) the cold winter wind had brought with it clouds so sombre, and a rain so penetrating, that further out-door exercise was now out of the question.

Let's pretend that this text had been created from optical character recognition and that it had the following errors: some 'h' were replaced with 'b'; and some 'd' have been replaced with 'al':

There was no possibility of taking a walk that day. We had been wandering, indeed, in the leafless shrubbery an hour in the morning; but since dinner (Mrs. Reeal, when there was no company, dined early) the cold winter wind had brought with it clouds so sombre, and a rain so penetrating, that further out-door exercise was now out of the question.

Assume a model that is trained to perfectly forecast the next word in Jane Eyre. For this fragment there are 62 words, comprising 5 errors and 57 correct words. So the internal consistency score of this fragment would be: 57/62 = 0.919. When we recognise and correct the errors, this consistency score would increase to 1.

Similarly, assume a model that is trained on an external data source. This means that it will recognise the the cases where some 'h' were replaced with 'b', but not recognise that 'Reed' has become 'Reeal'. Hence, the external consistency score would be 58/62 = 0.935.

#### Models

Various models can be used....

ngrams

N-gram is a sequence of n words. Taking the same excerpt from Jane Eyre as an example, "There" is an unigram, "There was" is a bigram, "There was no" is a trigram, and "There was no possibility" is a 4-gram. N-gram is widely applied in text prediction and spelling-correction because the chaining of words reveals the statistical behaviour in human language. Without exception, all human languages have some words that co-occur more frequently with others. Under this assumption, we can regard the production of English text as a set of conditional probabilities, written as  $Pr(w_k \mid w_1^{k-1})$ , where  $w_k$  is the prediction and  $w_1^{k-1}$  is the history (citation).

N-gram enables us to assign a probability to the occurrence of a sequence of words or the probability of a word occurring next in a sequence of words.

There was no possibility of taking a walk that day

This is useful because...

N-gram is a sequence of words that has n words in it. The n-gram model is widely applied to Since n-gram N-gram is a model that is widely applied to N-gram is under the assumtion that the production of English text can be characterized by a set of conditional probabilities,  $Pr(w_k \mid w_1^{k-1})$ , where  $w_k$  is the prediction and  $w_1^{k-1}$  is the history (Citation).

Article: Class-Based n-gram Models of Natural Language (1992)

Syntax-based (grammartical) and semantic-based (sensible) word classifications in n-gram models.

Article: A Statistical Approach to Automatic OCR Error Correction in Context (1996)

Context-sensitive correction system to both non-word and real-word errors based on n-gram models applied to OCR postprocessing.

Article: Unsupervised Learning of Sentence Embeddings using Compositional n-Gram Features (2017)

Embedding of sentences (sequence of words with semantic representations) compositional n-gram features.

Something

Soemthing else

### Data

Various data can be used....

# **Application**

## Discussion

Internal validity vs external- one is their own words the other is a general set of words. In the same way that precision and recall provide important measures...