Convex Analysis and Fundamental of Asymptotic Cones and Functions

Ryota Iwamoto

Master's Program in Fundamental Sciences Graduate School of Science and Technology Niigata University

March 2024

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	2
2	2 Preliminaries		3
3	Convex Sets and Functions		4
	3.1	Convex Sets	4
	3.2	Convex Functions	5
	3.3	Conjugate Functions	5
	3.4	Support Functions	5
4	Asymptotic Cones and Functions		6
	4.1	Definitions of Asymptotic Cones	6
	4.2	Asymptotic Functions	7
5	Application of Asymptotic Functions to Semidefinite Pro-		
	gramming		9
	5.1	Semidefinite Programming	9
	5.2	Spectrally Defined Matrix Functions	10
6	Cor	nclusion	15

Introduction

This is the first section. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Preliminaries

 \mathbb{R}^n : n-dimensional real Euclidean space.

 \mathbb{S}^n : n-dimensional real symmetric matrix space.

The inner product of $\mathbb{R}^n \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \text{ for } x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The norm is defined by $||x|| := \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2}$. Like that, we can define the inner product and the norm of \mathbb{S}^n .

$$\langle X,Y\rangle \coloneqq \operatorname{tr}(XY) \text{ for } X,Y \in \mathbb{S}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \|X\| \coloneqq \langle X,X\rangle^{1/2}$$

Convex Sets and Functions

Convexity is one of the most important concepts in convex analysis. In this chapter, we mainly introduce the definition of convex sets and functions.

3.1 Convex Sets

Definition 3.1.1. A subset C of \mathbb{R}^n is convex if

$$tx + (1-t)y \in C, \ \forall x, y \in C, \ t \in [0,1].$$

If a given set is empty, for convenience, we define that the set is convex. We recall some basic topological concepts of sets. The closed unit ball in n dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n will be denoted by:

$$B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x|| \le 1 \}.$$

The ball considering the center x_0 and the radius δ is written as $B(x_0, \delta) := x_0 + \delta B$. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty set. The interior and closure of C are defined respectively by int C and cl C, respectively.

$$\operatorname{int} C \coloneqq \{x \in C \mid \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } x + \epsilon B \subset C\},$$

$$\operatorname{cl} C \coloneqq \bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} C + \epsilon B.$$

The boundary of C is denoted by ∂C .

Definition 3.1.2. A subset $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a cone if

$$tx \in C, \forall x \in C, t \ge 0.$$

3.2 Convex Functions

Definition 3.2.1. A function f is sublinear if f satisfies the condition

$$f(\lambda x + \mu y) \le \lambda f(x) + \mu f(y), \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

3.3 Conjugate Functions

Definition 3.3.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. The conjugate function of f is defined by

$$f^*(y) \coloneqq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \langle x, y \rangle - f(x) \}.$$

Proposition 3.3.2. (Fenchel-Young Inequality) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper function. Then

$$f(x) + f^*(y) > \langle x, y \rangle, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

and the equality holds if and only if $y \in \partial f(x)$.

We now provide the definition of conjugate functions in \mathbb{S}^n .

Definition 3.3.3. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a function. The conjugate function Φ^* of Φ is defined by

$$\Phi_f^*(Y) := \sup\{\langle X, Y \rangle - \Phi_f(X) \mid X \in \mathbb{S}^n\}, \forall Y \in \mathbb{S}^n.$$

3.4 Support Functions

Definition 3.4.1. Given a nonempty set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the function $\sigma_C : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$\sigma_C(d) := \sup\{\langle x, d \rangle \mid x \in C\}$$

is called the support function of C.

Asymptotic Cones and Functions

4.1 Definitions of Asymptotic Cones

Definition 4.1.1. p.26 definition 2.1.1

Definition 4.1.2. $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $C \neq \emptyset$. Then, the asymptotic cone of the set C, denoted by C_{∞} , is the set below with $\{x_k\} \subset C$;

$$C_{\infty} = \left\{ d \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists t_k \to +\infty, \exists x_k \in C \text{ with } \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{x_k}{t_k} = d \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.1.3. p.50 proposition 2.1.1

Proposition 4.1.4. A set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded if and only if $C_{\infty} = \{0\}$.

Definition 4.1.5. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty and define

$$C^1_{\infty} = \left\{ d \in \mathbb{R}^n \,\middle|\, \forall t_k \to +\infty, \exists x_k \in C \text{ with } \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{x_k}{t_k} = d \right\}.$$

We say that C is asymptotically regular if $C_{\infty} = C_{\infty}^1$.

Proposition 4.1.6. Let C be a nonempty convex set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then C is asymptotically regular.

Proposition 4.1.7. p.27 proposition 2.1.4

Proposition 4.1.8. p.27 proposition 2.1.5

Proposition 4.1.9. p.30 proposition 2.1.9

Proposition 4.1.10. p.31 proposition 2.1.10

4.2 Asymptotic Functions

Definition 4.2.1. For any proper function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, there exists a unique function $f_{\infty}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ associated with f, called the asymptotic function, such that epi $f_{\infty} = (\text{epi } f)_{\infty}$.

Proposition 4.2.2. For any proper function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, we have:

- (i) f_{∞} is lsc and positively homogeneous.
- (ii) $f_{\infty}(0) = 0 \text{ or } f_{\infty}(0) = -\infty.$
- (iii) If $f_{\infty}(0) = 0$, then f_{∞} is proper.

Proof. We show each statement.

(i) By the definition of the asymptotic function and the fact that asymptotic cones are closed (see Proposition 4.1.3), it follows that f_{∞} is l.s.c.

First, note that $0 \in \text{dom } f_{\infty}$. Let $x \in \text{dom } f_{\infty}$. Since $(\text{epi } f)_{\infty}$ is a cone, we have

$$(\lambda x, \lambda f_{\infty}(x)) \in \text{epi } f_{\infty}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

By the definition of epigraph, we obtain $f_{\infty}(\lambda x) \leq \lambda f_{\infty}(x)$.

Likewise, $\forall x \in \text{dom } f_{\infty}, \lambda > 0$, $(\lambda x, f_{\infty}(\lambda x)) \in \text{epi } f_{\infty}$ and hence one has $(x, \lambda^{-1} f_{\infty}(\lambda x)) \in \text{epi } f_{\infty}$ by the cone property. Therefore, $\lambda f_{\infty}(x) \leq f_{\infty}(\lambda x)$

- (ii) Since f is proper, then epi f is nonempty, and hence either $f_{\infty}(0)$ is finite or $f_{\infty}(0) = -\infty$. If $f_{\infty}(0)$ is finite, then by the result of (i), $f_{\infty}(0) = \lambda f_{\infty}(0), \forall \lambda > 0$. Thus, $f_{\infty}(0) = 0$.
- (iii) Suppose that f_{∞} is not proper. Then, by the definition, there exists x such that $f_{\infty}(x) = -\infty$. Now let $\{\lambda_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$ be a positive sequence converging to 0. Then $\lambda_k x \to 0$, and under our assumption, the lower semicontinuity of f_{∞} , and the result of (i), we have

$$0 = f_{\infty}(0) \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} f_{\infty}(\lambda_k x) = \liminf_{k \to \infty} \lambda_k f_{\infty}(x) = -\infty,$$

leading to a contradiction. Therefore, f_{∞} is proper.

We now give a fundamental representation of the asymptotic function.

Theorem 4.2.3. For any proper function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, the asymptotic function f_{∞} is represented as

$$f_{\infty}(d) = \liminf_{t \to +\infty, d' \to d} \frac{f(td')}{t}, \tag{4.1}$$

or equivalently,

$$f_{\infty}(d) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{f(t_k d_k)}{t_k} \mid t_k \to +\infty, d_k \to d \right\}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\{t_k\}$ and $\{d_k\}$ are sequences in \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^n , respectively.

Proposition 4.2.4. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper, l.s.c, convex function. The asymptotic function f_{∞} is positively homogeneous, l.s.c., proper convex function, and for any $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one has

$$f_{\infty}(d) = \sup\{f(x+d) - f(x) \mid x \in \text{dom } f\}$$
 (4.3)

and

$$f_{\infty}(d) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{f(x+td) - f(x)}{t} = \sup_{t>0} \frac{f(x+td) - f(x)}{t}, \forall x \in \text{dom } f.$$
(4.4)

Theorem 4.2.5. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper convex function, and f^* its conjugate. The following relations hold:

(a)
$$(f^*)_{\infty} = \sigma_{\text{dom } f}$$
.

(b) If f is also assumed l.s.c., then

$$f_{\infty} = \sigma_{\text{dom } f^*}, \quad f_{\infty}^* = \delta_{\text{cl dom } f^*}.$$

Application of Asymptotic Functions to Semidefinite Programming

This chapter can be considered as the main part of the paper. Semidefinite programming (SDP) is one of the most significant optimization problems because these problems have appeared in several areas of mathematical sciences and engineering; and have been expected as a wide rage of application. At first, we introduce the definition of SDP and some examples of SDP. After that, we show relations between SDP and asymptotic functions.

5.1 Semidefinite Programming

Mathematical optimization problems are initially classified into two types, continuous optimization problem and discrete optimization problem. In continuous optimization problem, the variables of the problem are continuos. On the other hand, in the case that the variables can only be taken as discrete values like 0 or 1, the problem is called discrete optimization. General mathematical programming have twe types, convex programming and nonconvex programming. Convex programming is a problem of minimizing a convex function subject to convex constraints of the domain. SDP we centrally consider is one of the convex programming problems and has several

famous mathematical optimization problems like liner programming, convex quadratic programming, and second-order cone programming.

When we suppose that $C \in \mathbb{S}^n$, $A_i \in \mathbb{S}^n$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, ..., m, and $X \in \mathbb{S}^n$, which is a variable on the problem, the following constrained problem is called semidefinite programming (SDP).

min
$$\langle C, X \rangle$$

s.t. $\langle A_i, X \rangle = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$
 $X \geq 0.$

5.2 Spectrally Defined Matrix Functions

Spectrally defined matrix functions are often seen in Semidefinite programming. They have strong connection with matrix optimizations, called semidefinite programming. At first, we introduce a key concept of matrix optimization, symmetric functions.

Definition 5.2.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. f is said to be symmetric if $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $P: n \times n$ permutation matrix, f(Px) = f(x).

For example, each of the following functions is symmetric.

$$(i) f(x) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} x_i \text{ (or } \min_{1 \le i \le n} x_i),$$

(ii)
$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$
 (or $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i$).

Definition 5.2.2. The function $\Phi: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be spectrally defined if there exists a symmetric function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that

$$\Phi(X) = \Phi_f(X) := f(\lambda(X)), \forall X \in \mathbb{S}^n$$

where $\lambda(X) := (\lambda_1(X), \dots, \lambda_n(X))^T$ is the vector of eigenvalues of X in nondecreasing order.

When we define the following symmetric function

$$f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \lambda_i & \text{if } \lambda > 0; \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

the spectrally defined function is deduced;

$$\Phi_f(X) = \begin{cases} -\log \det(X) & \text{if } X \succ 0; \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.2.3. The Φ is spectrally defined if and only if Φ is othonormally in variant, that is,

$$\Phi(UAU^T) = \Phi(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{U}_n,$$

where $U_n := \text{the set } n \times n \text{ orthogonal matrices.}$

To prove the following key theorem, we introduce two properties of symmetric matrices.

Lemma 5.2.4. $\forall Y \in \mathbb{S}^n$, there exists a orthogonal matrix U_0 diagonalizing Y and satisfying

$$\forall X \in \mathbb{S}^n, \langle X, Y \rangle = \langle U_0^T X U_0, \operatorname{diag} \lambda(Y) \rangle.$$

Proof. Since Y is an element in \mathbb{S}^n , there exists a orthogonal matrix U_0 diagonalizing Y. Then, for all $X \in \mathbb{S}^n$, we have

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(XY) = \operatorname{tr}(XYU_0U_0^T) = \operatorname{tr}(U_0^TXYU_0)$$
$$= \operatorname{tr}(U_0^TXU_0U_0^TYU_0)$$
$$= \langle U_0^TXU_0, U_0^TYU_0 \rangle$$
$$= \langle U_0^TXU_0, \operatorname{diag} \lambda(Y) \rangle.$$

Lemma 5.2.5. Let $B: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{S}^n$. Suppose to $B(X) = U^T X U$ with $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ where $\mathcal{U}_n :=$ the set $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices. Then $U^T X U \in \mathbb{S}^n, \forall X \in \mathbb{S}^n$ and B is surjective.

Proof. $\forall X \in \mathbb{S}^n, U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, since X is symmetric,

$$(U^T X U)^T = U^T X^T U = U^T X U.$$

Thus, $U^T X U$ is an element of \mathbb{S}^n .

In addition, we show that $\forall Y \in \mathbb{S}^n, \exists X \in \mathbb{S}^n \text{ s.t. } B(X) = Y$. For any $Y \in \mathbb{S}^n$, we put $X = UYU^T$. Then, we obtain $B(X) = U^TXU = Y$. Therefore, B is surjective.

Theorem 5.2.6. (A.S.Lewis (1996), [4]) Suppose that the function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is symmetric, then

$$\Phi_f^* = \Phi_{f^*}.$$

Proof. By the results of Lemma 5.2.4 and Lemma 5.2.5, we have $\Phi_f^*(Y) = \Phi^*(\text{diag }\lambda(Y))$. Using the definition of the conjugate functions, one thus has

$$\Phi_f^*(Y) = \Phi^*(\operatorname{diag} \lambda(Y))$$

$$= \sup_{X \in \mathbb{S}^n} \{ \langle X, \operatorname{diag} \lambda(Y) \rangle - \Phi_f(X) \}$$

$$\geq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \langle \operatorname{diag} x, \operatorname{diag} \lambda(Y) \rangle - \Phi_f(\operatorname{diag} x) \}$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \langle x, \lambda(Y) \rangle - f(x) \}$$

$$= f^*(\lambda(Y)) = \Phi_{f^*}(Y).$$

The proof of the reverse inequality $\Phi_f^*(Y) \leq \Phi_{f^*}(Y)$ is obtained by combining the Fenchel-Young inequality $f^*(\lambda(Y)) + f(\lambda(X)) \geq \langle \lambda(X), \lambda(Y) \rangle$ with the trace inequality. Moving to the details of the proof, it holds that $\forall X \in \mathbb{S}^n$,

$$\Phi_{f^*}(Y) \ge \langle \lambda(X), \lambda(Y) \rangle - f(\lambda(X))$$

$$\ge \langle X, Y \rangle - f(\lambda(X))$$

$$= \langle X, Y \rangle - \Phi_f(X).$$

As a result, we obtain $\Phi_f^*(Y) \ge \Phi_{f^*}(Y) = \Phi_f^*(Y)$.

Proposition 5.2.7. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a symmetric proper convex and lsc function, $\Phi_f: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ the induced spectral function. Then, f^* is symmetric, and the following relations hold:

(i) Φ_f is proper, convex, and lsc.

(ii)
$$\Phi_f^*(Y) + \Phi_f(X) \ge \langle \lambda(X), \lambda(Y) \rangle, \forall X, Y \in \mathbb{S}^n$$
.

(iii)
$$Y \in \partial \Phi_f(X) \Leftrightarrow \langle \lambda(X), \lambda(Y) \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle \ and \ \lambda(Y) \in \partial f(\lambda(x)).$$

Proof. We now prove each statement.

- (i) It is obvious by the definition of the induced spectral function Φ_f and the assumption of f.
- (ii) By the definition of the conjugate function, it holds that $\forall Y \in \mathbb{S}^n$,

$$\begin{split} \Phi^*(Y) &= \sup_{X \in \mathbb{S}^n} \{ \langle X, Y \rangle - \Phi(X) \} \\ &\geq \langle X, Y \rangle - \Phi(X), \quad \forall X \in \mathbb{S}^n. \end{split}$$

Thus, we obtain $\Phi^*(Y) + \Phi(X) \ge \langle X, Y \rangle$.

- (iii) We show (\Rightarrow) and (\Leftarrow) .
- (\Rightarrow) By Fenchel-Young inequality, the definition of the spectral function, the assumption, the result of (ii) and the trace inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \lambda(X), \lambda(Y) \rangle &\leq f^*(\lambda(Y)) + f(\lambda(X)) \\ &= \Phi^*(Y) + \Phi(X) \\ &= \langle X, Y \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \lambda(X), \lambda(Y) \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

Additionally, for X and Y satisfying $Y \in \partial \Phi_f(X)$, one has

$$\Phi(Z) \ge \Phi(X) + \langle Z - X, Y \rangle, \quad \forall Z \in \mathbb{S}^n$$

$$f(\lambda(Z)) \ge f(\lambda(X)) + \langle \lambda(Z), \lambda(Y) \rangle - \langle \lambda(X), \lambda(Y) \rangle, \quad \forall Z \in \mathbb{S}^n$$

$$f(z) \ge f(\lambda(X)) + \langle z - \lambda(X), \lambda(Y) \rangle, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus, $\lambda(Y) \in \partial f(\lambda(X))$.

 (\Leftarrow) It is clear by the proof of (\Rightarrow) .

As a result, the optimization problems $\min\{\Phi_f(X)|X\in\mathbb{S}^n\}$ and $\min\{f(x)|x\in\mathbb{R}^n\}$ are equivalent. In fact,

$$\inf_{X \in \mathbb{S}^n} \Phi_f(X) = -\sup_{X \in \mathbb{S}^n} \{-\Phi_f(X)\} = -\sup_{X \in \mathbb{S}^n} \{\langle X, 0 \rangle - \Phi_f(X)\}$$
$$= -\Phi_f^*(0) = -\Phi_{f^*}(0) = -f^*(0) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x).$$

Definition 5.2.8. The asymptotic functions of the proper convex lsc function $\Phi: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is defined by, for all $D \in \mathbb{S}^n$

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\infty}(D) &= \sup_{t>0} \frac{\Phi(A+tD) - \Phi(A)}{t}, \forall A \in \operatorname{dom} \Phi \quad \text{and} \\ &= \sup\{\langle B, D \rangle \mid B \in \operatorname{dom} \Phi^*\}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 5.2.9. (A.Seeger (1997), [5]) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a symmetric, lsc, proper, convex function with induced spectral function Φ_f . Then

$$(\Phi_f)_{\infty} = \Phi_{f_{\infty}}.$$

Proof. TODO

Conclusion

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Bibliography

- [1] A. Auslender and M. Teboulle, Asymptotic cones and functions in optimization and variational inequalities, Springer monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [2] J.M. Borwein and A.S. Lewis, Convex Analysis and Nonlinear Optimization: Theory and Examples, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [3] A. Göpfert, H. Riahi, C. Tammer, and C. Zălinescu, Variational methods in partially ordered spaces, vol. 17 of CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [4] A.S. Lewis. Convex Analysis on the Hermitian matrices. SIAM J. Optimization,6, 1996, 164-177.
- [5] A. Seeger. Convex analysis of spectrally defined matrix functions. SIAM J. Optimization, 7, 1997, 679-696.