2019 National Terrestrial Carbon Sinks Assessment for South Africa
District Municipality Profile | Ehlanzeni

Organic carbon pools

Figure 1. The split of different carbon pools: SOC = Soil Organic Carbon, BGW = Below Ground Woody Biomass, AGW = Above Ground Woody Biomass, AGH = Above Ground Herbaceous Biomass. Biomass Carbon including BGH = Below Ground Herbaceous Biomass and AGL = Above Ground Litter Biomass. **Please see Note 1** for an explanation of the carbon pools used in the NTCSA 2020 and how they were created.

The total of the different terrestrial organic carbon pools within the district of **Ehlanzeni** are estimated to be **300 Tg** and distributed per carbon pool as depicted in the Figure (1) above. Most carbon is to be found as **soil organic carbon (SOC).** The models that estimate the proportion of carbon as above ground woody carbon (AGW) are based **on satellite imagery** and do not differentiate between **natural woody vegetation** or planted trees. Below ground woody (BGW) biomass carbon is estimated as a proportion of the above ground woody biomass, and varies across the country based on climate. Above ground herbaceous biomass carbon **(AGH)** and below ground herbaceous biomass carbon **(BGH)** includes both natural vegetation and annual fields crops in the proportions as mapped by the NLC 2018. Litter is estimated based on published biome level studies, and also includes dead wood which is calculated as a proportion of live woody biomass.

SOURCE: CSIR
our future through science

Land cover classes in 2018 (from national land cover map)

Land cover is used to determine changes in **carbon stocks**, as land cover change is considered as one of the key drivers for change in terrestrial carbon. Data from three national land cover products, NLC **1990, NLC 2014 and NLC 2018** are compared against 17 (or 18) land cover classes **(see Note 2).** Three of the land cover classes can be regarded as natural vegetation, the wetlands class, the indigenous closed canopy forest land cover class and other natural vegetation class (which includes woodlands, grasslands, fynbos, savanna, thicket, karoo and other natural vegetation). The water land cover class includes both man-made and natural water bodies. All other land cover classes represent land that has been transformed by humans from natural vegetation to an altered land cover and use. Only the **2018 land** cover includes **fallow land,** which was mapped as **natural land** or **agricultural land** in earlier land cover products. The **bare ground** land cover classes was found to show large changes in extent between land cover products, possibly as a consequence of that years rainfall. Figure 2 gives a summary of land cover classes as mapped in the 2018 NLC. Table 1 summarises important **changes in land cover for the district between 1990, 2014 and 2018.**

Ehlanzeni is the 4 **highest rate of land lost to urbanisation** between **1990 and 201**8 out of the 52 South African districts, **with 290 km² of natural or agricultural land converted to urban expansion.**

Ehlanzeni has the **25 highest rate of land lost to irrigation** between **1990 and 2018** out of the 52 South African districts.

Ehlanzeni lost **72 ha of dryland agricultural land** between 1990 and 2018.


Figure 2. Estimates of the proportion of the district in each land cover class in 2018 as mapped by 2018 NLC. Note, wetlands and indigenous forest, though natural vegetation, are displayed separately from other natural vegetation.

Table 1. Drivers of change based on national land cover data from 1990, 2014 and 2018 **(see Note 3** on how this data were generated, and how to interpret it).

	Area in km^2			% of total land area			Decrease (-) or increase (+) in land area of each land type between 1990 and 2018	
	1990	2014	2018	1990	2014	2018	Km^2	% of total area
Water	46	84	90	0	0	0	44	0
Wetlands	333	284	393	1	1	1	61	0
Indigenous Forest	215	271	334	1	1	1	119	0
Natural Vegetation	21129	21199	20204	76	76	73	-925	-3
Commercial Agriculture	546	354	315	2	1	1	-232	-1
Pivot Agriculture	7	36	59	0	0	0	52	0
Orchards	309	416	449	1	1	2	140	1
Viticulture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pineapple	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subsistence Agriculture	484	258	373	2	1	1	-112	0
Sugarcane Irrigated	36	113	109	0	0	0	72	0
Sugarcane Dry	320	504	430	1	2	2	110	0
Plantation Forest	3507	3205	2973	13	12	11	-534	-2
Mines	17	14	16	0	0	0	0	0
Bare degraded	25	66	337	0	0	1	313	1
Built-up	846	1016	1136	3	4	4	290	1
Fallow	0	0	567	0	0	2	567	2

Loss in soil organic carbon (SOC) over time

Soil organic carbon loss is based on estimates of loss due to land cover change. Estimates of the total loss of SOC in the district based on both historic and recent land cover is given in table 2. In addition crude estimates are given on total carbon gains that might be possible from changed farming practices. Actual gains that can be realised will require local data based on local research, local crop choices, local farming practices and soil and climatic conditions.

SOURCE: CSIR
our future through science

Table 2. Estimated Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) loss due to agricultural activities and estimates of potential gains if all land cover was converted to conservation agriculture. Note: the extent to which conservation agriculture can restore SOC has not been established for the municipality, but will depend of crop choice, management methods, soil type and climate (**see Note 4** on how this data was generated, and how to interpret it).

	Estimated loss (-) or gain (+) in soil organic carbon due to land use. Tg C			Estimated potential 20 year gains from conservation agriculture, assuming a 25%, 50% or 75% of lost SOC is regained Tg C		
	Loss before 1990	Total loss by 2014*	Total loss by 2018*	25%	50%	75%
Commercial Agriculture	Commercial Agriculture	0.105	0.068	0.060	0.015	0.030
Pivot Agriculture	Pivot Agriculture	0.001	0.003	0.005	0.001	0.003
Orchards	Orchards	0.037	0.049	0.053	0.013	0.027
Viticulture	Viticulture	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Pineapple	Pineapple	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Subsistence Agriculture	Subsistence Agriculture	0.080	0.041	0.054	0.013	0.027
Sugarcane Irrigated	Sugarcane Irrigated	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Sugarcane Dry	Sugarcane Dry	0.089	0.136	0.116	0.029	0.058
Bare degraded	Bare degraded	0.004	0.011	0.048	0.012	0.024
Fallow	Not estimated in 1990 or 2014		0.029	0.015	0.058	0.087
Built-up	Zero loss was assumed based on IPCC recommendations, but in reality this will depend on land use within the build-up area					
Total	0.315	0.307	0.452	0.113	0.226	0.339

- Note, total change might reduce within a class over time because land in that class reverts to a different land use class. Change between 2014 and 2018 is due to the inclusion of fallow land losses in the 2018 data, and should not be interpreted as a change between those two years. The 1990 to 2014 change data should be used to best understand long-term rates of loss, as the methodology for these two data sets was very similar.