

Department of Computer Science and Technology

Machine Learning

Homework 3

Sahand Sabour

2020280401

1 Clustering: Mixture of Multinomials (2 points)

1.1 MLE for multinomial (1 point)

The likelihood function for this multinomial distribution is given as

$$P(x|\mu) = \frac{n!}{\prod_{i} x_{i}!} \prod_{i} \mu_{i}^{x_{i}}, \quad i = 1, ..., d$$
 (1)

Taking log from both side of the above equation gives the log-likelihood function

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu) = log(P(x|\mu)) = log(n!) - log(\prod_{i} x_i!) + log(\prod_{i} \mu_i^{x_i})$$
 (2)

This can be considered a Lagrange problem with the constraint $\sum_i \mu_i = 1$. Hence, the Lagrangian equation can be formulated as

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu) = \log(n!) - \log(\prod_{i} x_i!) + \log(\prod_{i} \mu_i^{x_i}) - \lambda(\sum_{i} \mu_i - 1)$$
(3)

where λ is Lagrangian multiplier, giving

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu) = \log(n!) - \sum_{i} \log(x_i!) + \sum_{i} x_i \log(\mu_i) - \lambda(\sum_{i} \mu_i - 1)$$
(4)

Taking the derivative of the equation with respect to μ_i and setting it to 0 gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mu_i} = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{\sum_i \mu_i} - \lambda = 0 \tag{5}$$

Hence, we get that

$$\lambda = \frac{\sum_{i} x_i}{\sum_{i} \mu_i} = \frac{n}{1} = n \tag{6}$$

Accordingly, we could derive the maximum-likelihood estimator μ_i as

$$\mu_i = \frac{x_i}{\lambda} = \frac{x_i}{n}, \quad i = 1, ..., d \tag{7}$$

1.2 EM for mixture of multinomials (1 point)

Initially, for each document d, a latent topic c_d is generated as follows:

$$p(c_d = k) = \pi_k \quad where \quad k = 1, 2, ..., K$$
 (8)

Accordingly, given a topic μ_k , the bag of words for d is generated:

$$p(d|c_d = k) = \frac{n_d!}{\prod_w T_{dw}!} \prod_w \mu_{w_k}^{T_{dw}} \quad where \quad n_d = \sum_w T_{dw}$$
 (9)

Combining the above two equations gives

$$p(d) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(d|c_d = k)p(c_d = k)$$

$$p(d) = \frac{n_d!}{\prod_w T_{dw}!} \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \prod_w \mu_{w_k}^{T_{dw}}$$

E-Step: estimate the responsibilities.

$$\gamma(c_{dk}) = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(d_n | \mu_k, \sum_k)}{\sum_j \pi_j \mathcal{N}(d_n | \mu_j, \sum_j)}$$
(10)

M-Step: re-estimate the parameters. Initially, we have the log likelihood

$$log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} log(\sum_{j} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(d_{j} \mu_{j}))$$
(11)

Accordingly, we need to consider this log likelihood as a Lagrangian optimization problem and solve it with respect to π_k and μ_k .

$$L = \sum_{k=1}^{K} log(\sum_{j} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(d_{j} \mu_{j})) - \sum_{j} \lambda(\mu_{j} - 1)$$
(12)

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \pi_{\nu}} \tag{13}$$

2 PCA (2 points)

2.1 Minimum Error Formulation (2 points)

Assuming that we have a set of complete orthonormal basis $\{\mu_i\}$, where $i \in [1, p]$, we have that $\mu_i^T \mu_j = \delta_{ij}$ and each data point can be represented as $x_n = \sum_i a_{ni} \mu_i$. Accordingly, due to orthonormal property, we can get that

$$a_{ni} = x_n^T \mu_i \tag{14}$$

Inserting this in the data point representation gives

$$x_n = \sum_i (x_n^T \mu_i) \mu_i \tag{15}$$

For this approach, the aim is to formulate PCA as minimizing the mean-squarederror of a low-dimensional approximation of the given basis. Hence, we assume a low-dimensional approximation of the point representation as follows

$$\widetilde{x}_n = \sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \mu_i$$
 where b_i are constants for all points (16)

Therefore, the best approximation is to minimize the following error

$$\min_{U,z,b} J := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} ||x_n - \widetilde{x}_n||^2$$
(17)

Consequently, we have that

$$J = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} ||x_n - \widetilde{x}_n||^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \widetilde{x}_n)^T (x_n - \widetilde{x}_n)$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^T x_n - 2x_n^T \widetilde{x}_n + \widetilde{x}_n^T \widetilde{x}_n$$

Inserting equation 10 in the above equation and replacing \tilde{x}_n gives

$$J = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^T x_n - 2x_n^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_i + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \mu_i\right)$$
$$+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_i^T + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \mu_i^T\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_i + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \mu_i\right)$$

Accordingly, for minimizing this error, we calculate the derivative with respect to z and b and set it to 0.

$$\frac{\delta J}{\delta z_{nj}} = \frac{1}{n} \left[-2x_n^T \mu_j + \mu_j^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_i + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \mu_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_i^T + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \mu_i^T \right) \mu_j \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{\delta J}{\delta z_{nj}} = \frac{1}{n} \left[-2x_n^T \mu_j + 2\mu_j^T \left(\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_i + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \mu_i \right) \right] = 0$$

$$2\mu_j^T \left(\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_i + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \mu_i \right) = 2x_n^T \mu_j$$

$$\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_j^T \mu_i + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \mu_j^T \mu_i = x_n^T \mu_j$$

$$\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \delta ij + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_i \delta ij = z_{ni} + 0 = x_n^T \mu_i$$

Giving $z_{ni} = x_n^T \mu_i$ for $i \in [1, d]$. Similarly, we the derivative with respect to b

$$\frac{\delta J}{\delta b_{j}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=d+1}^{T} \left[-2x_{n}^{T} \mu_{j} + \mu_{j}^{T} \left(\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_{i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_{j} \mu_{i} \right) + \left(\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_{i}^{T} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_{j} \mu_{i}^{T} \right) \mu_{j} \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{\delta J}{\delta b_{j}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=d+1}^{T} \left[-2x_{n}^{T} \mu_{j} + 2\mu_{j}^{T} \left(\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_{i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_{j} \mu_{i} \right) \right] = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} \left[\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_{j}^{T} \mu_{i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_{j} \mu_{j}^{T} \mu_{i} \right] = \sum_{i=d+1}^{d} x_{n}^{T} \mu_{j}$$

$$\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} b_{j} \left[\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_{j}^{T} \mu_{i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_{j} \mu_{j}^{T} \mu_{i} \right] = \sum_{i=d+1}^{d} x_{n}^{T} \mu_{j}$$

$$\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} b_{j} \left[\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_{i}^{T} \mu_{i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_{j} \mu_{j}^{T} \mu_{i} \right] = \sum_{i=d+1}^{d} x_{n}^{T} \mu_{j}$$

$$\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} b_{j} \left[\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_{i}^{T} \mu_{i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_{j} \mu_{i}^{T} \mu_{i} \right] = \sum_{i=d+1}^{d} x_{n}^{T} \mu_{j}$$

$$\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} b_{j} \left[\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_{i}^{T} \mu_{i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_{j} \mu_{i}^{T} \mu_{i} \right] = \sum_{i=d+1}^{d} x_{n}^{T} \mu_{i}$$

$$\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} b_{j} \left[\sum_{i=d+1}^{d} z_{ni} \mu_{i}^{T} \mu_{i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{p} b_{j} \mu_{i}^{T} \mu_{i} \right] = \sum_{i=d+1}^{d} x_{n}^{T} \mu_{i}$$

Which in turn gives $b_i = \bar{x}^T u_i$ for $i \in [d+1, p]$. Accordingly, from equation 9, we can get the displacement lines in the orthogonal subspace as follows

$$x_n - \widetilde{x}_n = \sum_{i=d+1}^p \{ (x_n - \bar{x})^T \mu_i \} \mu_i$$
 (18)

Which produces the following optimization problem for error J

$$\min_{\mu_j} J \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_i^T \mu_i = 1 \tag{19}$$

Assuming d=1 (1-dimensional subspace) and p=2 (2-dimensional space), the optimization problem becomes

$$\min_{\mu_2} J = \mu_2^T S \mu_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_2^T \mu_2 = 1$$
(20)

Which gives $S\mu_2 = \lambda_2\mu_2$, meaning that μ_2 should be chosen as the eigenvector that corresponds to the smaller eigenvalue. Accordingly, the principal subspace is chosen by the eigenvector of the larger eigenvalue.

3 Deep Generative Models: Class-conditioned VAE (5 Points)

In the MNIST dataset, there are 10 possible labels for the samples (0-9). Binarizing the labels with the one-hot encoding method, gives a sequence of 10 digits with one 1 and nine 0s. Hence, there could be 10 locations for the 1; the probability of a label 1 to be one of the 10 labels L would be $p(l = L) = \frac{1}{10} = 0.1$. According to the lecture notes, the variational lower bound for the normal case of VAE was obtained as follows:

$$L(\theta,x) = E_{q(z|x)}[logp(z,x;\theta) - logq(z|x)] = E_{q(z|x)}[logp(x|z;\theta)] - KL(q(z|x)||p(z;\theta))$$

However, it can be noticed that the output of this equation is only dependent on the latent variable z and therefore, does not produce any specific results, which is not practical for our case. Hence, we should modify the lower bound to include the label l of the sample we would like to generate likewise.

$$L(\theta, x, l) = E_{q(z|x, l)}[logp(x, l|z; \theta)] - KL(q(z|x, l)||p(z; \theta))$$

Since $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ for Gaussian, the KL-divergence is as follows:

$$-KL(q(z|x,l)||p(z;\theta)) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \log\sigma^2 - \mu^2 - \sigma^2)$$
 (21)

Consequently, the expected log-likelihood would be

$$E_{q(z|x,l)}[logp(x,l|z;\theta)] = E_{q(z|x,l)}[-\sum_{i} \frac{1}{2}log\sigma_{j}^{2} + \frac{(x_{ij} - \mu_{xi})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}]$$
(22)

Approximating the above equation with Monte Carlo methods gives

$$E_{q(z|x,l)}[logp(x,l|z;\theta)] \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k} logp(x,l|z^{(k)}) \quad \text{where} \quad z^{(k)} \sim q(z|x,l)$$
 (23)

where $z^{(k)}$ is a random variable, which cannot be used for back-propagation. Hence, by utilizing re-parameterization techniques, we have $z^{(k)} = \mu(x,l) + \sigma(x,l).\epsilon^{(k)} = g(x,l,\epsilon^{(k)})$, where g is a deep neural network. The lower bound becomes

$$L(\theta, x, l) = E_{p(\epsilon)}[log \frac{p(g(x, l, \epsilon), x; \theta)}{q(g(x, l, \epsilon)|x; \theta)}] - KL(q(z|x, l)||p(z; \theta))$$

$$L(\theta, x, l) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k} log p(x, l | z^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{j} [1 + log \sigma^{2} - \mu^{2} - \sigma^{2}]$$