FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING CS-IS-2010-1 MIDTERM EVALUATION PROJECT REPORT

Haskell Web Scraper

Saptarishi Dhanuka

Ashoka University

Contents

1	Prob 1.1	Requirements	3
2	Spec 2.1 2.2	Specifications and Analysis Analysis	
3	Desi 3.1 3.2	ign and Architecture High-Level Architecture	
4	Too l 4.1 4.2	ls and Languages Languages	
5	Test 5.1		10 10
6	Prot 6.1 6.2 6.3	Prototype File Structure	12 13 13
7	Plan	for Completion	18

1 Problem Statement and Requirements

Assigned Project Statement

Develop a scraper using Haskell to extract text and code snippets separately.

- 1. Input: Scrape the text and code snippets from the given text source
- 2. **Output**: A Word document containing the text and .txt file containing the code.
- 3. **Method**: Write the algorithm to scrape (you can use the tagsoup library) and all the input-output facilities using Haskell. Do not use any other language.

1.1 Requirements

- 1. The user shall be able to give any text source as input.
- 2. The scraper shall get all the code snippets of the source and write it into a Plaintext file
- 3. The scraper shall get all non-code text of the source and write it into a Word Document.

2 Specifications and Analysis

2.1 Specifications

- 1. The user will be able to enter a text source as input, whose code and non-code parts they wish to be separated.
- 2. The scraper will parse the contents of the text and separate the code snippets from the rest of the text.
- 3. The scraper will output a .docx file containing the textual content.
- 4. The scraper will output a .txt file containing the code snippets.

2.2 Analysis

- 1. There are many ways of solving the problem both by syntactic and semantic approaches. Some semantic approaches are as follows:
 - a) Lexical and semantic analysis with the use of regular expressions
 - b) Using a large language model to differentiate the code and the rest of the text
 - c) Using computer vision to attempt to read text like a human and identify text from the code
- 2. Analyzing the text source by considering not just it's underlying syntactical structure but also it's semantic meaning will add layers of complexity to the project.
- 3. Hence, we limit the scope of the project to text sources being HTML formatted web pages that have code snippets demarcated by particular tags. This gives us a more precise approach to the problem based on syntax and tag structure.
- 4. Consequently, we consider the text source to be a web page, supplied as input through a URL.
- 5. We also consider that the web page is formatted with HTML and that the code snippets are demarcated with certain tags that distinguish them from the rest of the textual content.
- 6. The separation of the text from the code will be carried out based on the HTML tags that differentiate them from each other.
- 7. For readability, the output files will preserve the ordering and formatting of the source content.

3 Design and Architecture

3.1 High-Level Architecture




Figure 3.1: High-Level Architecture

The **high-level architecture** consists of the following:

- 1. Get the contents of the web page specified by the URL.
- 2. Separate the code snippets from the text.
- 3. Writing the code snippets into a .txt file.
- 4. Write the non-code textual content into a .docx file.

3.2 High-Level Design




Figure 3.2: High-Level Design

A more detailed description of the **high-level design** which implements the architecture consists of the following:

- 1. Execute an HTTPS request for fetching the HTML contents of the web page
- 2. Get the body i.e. the HTML content from the response received after executing the request
- 3. Parse the HTML into a list of Tags according to the tagsoup library
- 4. Separate the Tags corresponding to the code from the Tags corresponding to the textual content. By inspecting the HTML, we can see that the code snippets are within tags, so we need to separate everything enclosed within these tags from the rest of the HTML content. We also remove images.
- 5. Insert delimiters between each different code snippet for formatting purposes.

- 6. Convert the Tags back to HTML
- 7. Write the code snippets to the .txt file
- 8. Write the non-code textual content to the .docx file

The lower level implementation details are mentioned in the prototype implementation section.

4 Tools and Languages

4.1 Languages

Only Haskell was used for the project as mentioned in the problem statement

4.2 Tools

- 1. The Glasgow Haskell Compiler (GHC) is used for compilation.
- 2. Stack is used as the build tool. This manages installing project dependencies, building and running the project and testing the project.

3. Libraries:

- a) Network.HTTP.Client.TLS was chosen for handling HTTPS connections in order to use the newTlsManager function
- b) Network.HTTP.Client was chosen for parsing the url into a request, executing the request with the manager, and getting the body from the response. The functions used were parseRequest, httpLbs, responseBody respectively.
- c) Tagsoup was used to parse the HTML into a list of Tag Strings, and then also to convert the separated Tag Strings back into an HTML-formatted string . It was also used in a helper function that inserted a delimiter between the code snippets. The functions used were parseTags, isTagOpenName, isTagCloseName, renderTags, along with the TagText constructor and Tag for Tag String.
- d) Pandoc was used to read the HTML-formatted strings for both the code and the text into intermediate Pandoc representation. Then it was used to write the content into another intermediate string-like representation, which in turn was written into the final files with a standard library. The functions used were readHtml, writePlain, writeDocx, runIO.
- e) Data.ByteString.Lazy.Char8 was used to convert the ByteString obtained from the response body into a string for further processing. The function used was unpack
- f) Data.ByteString.Lazy was used to write the ByteString obtained from the writeDocx function from pandoc, into the final .docx file. The function used was writeFile

- g) Data.Text.Conversions was used to convert the separated HTML strings into the Text type defined under Data.Text, so that it could be converted into intermediate pandoc representation by readHtml. The function used was convertText.
- h) Data. Text was used in order to use the Text type
- i) Data.Text.IO was used to write the Text obtained from the writePlain function from pandoc, into the final .txt file. The function used was writeFile
- j) The standard Prelude was used for various operations.

5 Test Plan

5.1 Test Suite Outline

- 1. Unit Testing: The following functions can be tested
 - a) getHTML :
 - i. Test if the function returns the correct HTML for various URLs by using sample inputs and outputs.
 - ii. Test if the function gracefully handles invalid URLs with error handling.
 - iii. Test if the function handles network errors and HTTPS errors with error handling.

b) parseTheTags :

- i. Test if the function returns the correct list of Tag Strings for various HTML content.
- ii. Test if the function handles malformed HTML and erroneous inputs with error handling.

c) fillTrue :

- i. Test if the function correctly fills in True values for all elements within any two matching pair of True values corresponding to tags.
- ii. Test if the function handles edge cases with empty lists or single element lists.

d) insertNewLines :

- i. Test if the function correctly adds the delimiter before every closing tag.
- ii. Test if the function handles cases where there are no tags, or the Tag String is malformed

e) separateTextCode :

- i. Test if the function correctly returns a tuple of two lists where one list has the Tag Strings corresponding to all the content enclosed within tags, and that the other list has all the other elements, excluding images.
- ii. Test edge cases of no tags, nested tags
- f) writeToTxt: While File I/O cannot be unit-tested in the traditional sense, we can still manually test the file outputs.

- i. Test if the function correctly writes the content of the input Tag String with delimters to the .txt file using sample input output
- g) writeToDocx: While File I/O cannot be unit-tested in the traditional sense, we can still manually test the file outputs.
 - i. Test if the function correctly writes the content of the input Tag String to the .docx file using sample input output

2. Performance Testing:

- a) Test the time taken for the full system to execute from start to finish
- b) Test the resource consumption of the system

3. Functional Testing:

Test whether the program meets the requirements by using sample input URLs and sample output files

6 Prototype Implementation Details

6.1 Prototype File Structure

```
scraper
|-- app
|    | -- Main.hs (main driver code)
|-- src
|    |-- Lib.hs (implementation of functions)
|-- output_files
|    | -- final_code.txt (delimited code snippets)
|    | -- final_text.docx (textual content)
```

6.2 Prototype features and limitations

The prototype correctly identifies the code and text portions of the web page and writes them into the .txt and .docx files as per the requirements and specifications.

Limitations

- 1. The prototype has not been robustly tested, verified for correctness or structured to handle errors. However, this can be developed while making the final system since the prototype is already relatively modular.
- 2. Since the HTML structure of different web pages can vary, it is not necessary that this particular scraper will work for all web pages. It is designed specifically for the given page and may work for some other pages. But no generalisation can be made about the correctness of its text and code extraction for other pages.
- 3. Moreover, it will not necessarily work for web pages with malformed HTML or different structure.
- 4. It is not designed to be robust to design changes, which is in line with the rule stated on the tagsoup library's documentation example, since the website's HTML can change in unpredictable ways. If the site's HTML structure changes, for instance if the code snippets change from being enclosed in pre> tags tags, then the scraper will not be able to separate out the code from the text and extract them accurately.

5. It clearly does not work with text sources that are not HTML formatted web pages.

6.3 Implementation Details

6.3.1 Main.hs

In-line with the design, architecture and choice of tools as mentioned above, the prototype obtains the HTML content of the url in line 2 and parses it into Tag Strings in line 3. Then we separate it into code tags and non-code textual tags in lines 4-6. Then we write the Tags to respective files in like 7-8.

The core structure of the Main.hs file is

```
1. let url = "https://eli.thegreenplace.net/2018/type-erasure-and-reification/"
2. response_html <- getHTML url
3. let parsed_tags = parseTheTags response_html
4. let separated_text_code = separateTextCode parsed_tags
5. let preTags = fst separated_text_code
6. let nonPreTags = snd separated_text_code
7. writeToTxt preTags
8. writeToDocx nonPreTags</pre>
```

6.3.2 Lib.hs

Imports and pragmas

getHTML

getHTML takes the url as input and sets up a new TLS manager with newTlsManager, parses the url with parseRequest and then executes the request with httpLbs. Then it gets the HTML content from the response using responseBody.

```
getHTML :: String -> IO LBSC.ByteString
getHTML url = do

mymanager <- ClientTLS.newTlsManager
myrequest <- Client.parseRequest url
response <- Client.httpLbs myrequest mymanager
let response_html = Client.responseBody response
return response_html</pre>
```

parseTheTags

parseTheTags takes the HTML ByteString and parses it into a list of Tag Strings using parseTags from Tagsoup.

```
parseTheTags :: LBSC.ByteString -> [Soup.Tag String]
parseTheTags response_html = (Soup.parseTags :: String -> [Soup.Tag

String]) (LBSC.unpack response_html)
```

fillTrue

fillTrue is a helper function that fills in True for every element enclosed within a matching pair of True statements corresponding to an opening and closing tags

```
fillTrue :: [Bool] -> [Bool]
fillTrue [] = []
fillTrue [a] = [a]
fillTrue (False:False:xs) = False:fillTrue (False:xs)
fillTrue (False:True:xs) = False:fillTrue (True:xs)

-- fill True for elements after a pre> tag starts
fillTrue (True:False:xs) = True:fillTrue (True:xs)

-- seeing 2 consecutive Trues denotes end of pre> tag, so skip them
fillTrue (True:True:xs) = True:True:fillTrue (xs)
```

insertNewlines

insertNewLines is a helper function that inserts a TagText for a delimiter before every
closing tag for formatting purposes

separateTextCode

The idea of separateTextCode is as follows. Create a boolean list corresponding to every element of the list of Tag Strings. A boolean list element will be True if a Tag is a tag or within a tag. Then zip the boolean list with the original Tag list and get the Tags which have a correponding True value; this is the list of code tags.

Now mark elements to be true if the corresponding tags are tags and create an overall boolean list which has false if the tag is not code and not an image. Extract the

tags which have a false value; this is the list of non-code textual tags. It essentially solves the parenthesization problem with the tags.

```
separateTextCode :: [Soup.Tag String] -> ([Soup.Tag String], [Soup.Tag

    String])

  separateTextCode parsed_tags =
      let bool_mapping_open = Prelude.map (Soup.isTagOpenName "pre")
          parsed_tags
           bool_mapping_close = Prelude.map (Soup.isTagCloseName "pre")
4
           \rightarrow parsed_tags
5
           -- do element-vise or of the two lists
6
           bool_mapping1 = Prelude.zipWith (||) bool_mapping_open
           \hookrightarrow bool_mapping_close
           filled_true_pre = fillTrue bool_mapping1
           combined_pre = Prelude.zip filled_true_pre parsed_tags
10
           preTags = Prelude.map snd (Prelude.filter (fst) combined_pre)
12
           bool_mapping_img_open = Prelude.map (Soup.isTagOpenName "img")
13
           \rightarrow parsed_tags
           bool_mapping_img_close = Prelude.map (Soup.isTagCloseName
14
           bool_mapping2 = Prelude.zipWith (||) bool_mapping_img_open
15
           \rightarrow bool_mapping_img_close
16
           bool_mapping = Prelude.zipWith (||) bool_mapping1
17
           → bool_mapping2
```

```
18
           filled_true = fillTrue bool_mapping
           -- zip parsed_tags list with the boolean list and
20
            → Prelude.filter elements of the first list based on the
               second list to create tuple list
           combined = Prelude.zip filled_true parsed_tags
21
           -- get tags of tuples from combined where the boolean is false
22
           nonPreTags = Prelude.map snd (Prelude.filter (\((a,_) -> not a)
            \rightarrow combined)
24
       in (preTags, nonPreTags)
25
26
```

Writers to files

writeToTxt takes the code Tags and renders them as an HTML formatted string after delimiting the snippets. Then the string is converted into the Text type, which is then fed into readHtml which converts it into an intermediate Pandoc format.

writePlain converts this pandoc into Text, which is finally written to the .txt file.

writeToDocx takes the text Tags and renders them as an HTML formatted string. Then the string is converted into the Text type, which is then fed into readHtml which converts it into an intermediate Pandoc format.

writeDocx converts this pandoc into a ByteString, which is finally written to the .txt file.

```
writeToTxt :: [Soup.Tag String] -> IO ()
  writeToTxt preTags = do
      let htmlPre = Soup.renderTags (insertNewlines preTags)
      pandocPre <- runIO $ readHtml def ( TextConv.convertText (htmlPre</pre>
       → :: String ) :: T.Text )
5
      case pandocPre of
           Right x -> do
               y <- runIO $ writePlain def x
               case y of
                   Right direct_pan_pre -> do
10
                       TIO.writeFile "output_files/final_code.txt"
11

→ direct_pan_pre

12
                   Left err -> Prelude.putStrLn $ "Error with pandoc
13
                    → writePlain: " ++ show err
14
```

```
Left err -> Prelude.putStrLn $ "Error parsing pandoc for pre
15
           16
       putStrLn "Completed writing to txt"
17
18
19
  writeToDocx :: [Soup.Tag String] -> IO ()
20
  writeToDocx nonPreTags = do
       let htmlNonPre = Soup.renderTags nonPreTags
22
       pandocNoPre <- runIO $ readHtml def ( TextConv.convertText</pre>
23
       → (htmlNonPre :: String ) :: T.Text )
24
       case pandocNoPre of
25
           Right x -> do
               y <- runIO $ writeDocx def x
27
               case y of
                   Right direct_pan -> do
29
                       LBS.writeFile "output_files/final_text.docx"
30
                        \hookrightarrow direct_pan
                   Left err -> Prelude.putStrLn $ "Error with pandoc
                    → writeDocx: " ++ show err
33
           Left err -> Prelude.putStrLn $ "Error parsing pandoc for non
34

→ pre tags: " ++ show err

       putStrLn "Completed writing to docx"
36
37
```

7 Plan for Completion

- Implement error handling gracefully wherever possible so that no unhandled errors can occur.
- Implement the test suite
- Attempt to make the scraper more generalized so that it can work with other websites and other HTML structures.
- Document the code well
- Explore methods of separating the code from the non-code textual content that are independent of HTML structure. Such methods were mentioned in the analysis and implementation of these methods will make the scraper more generalizable.