Biomarker	Chr	Start	End	Beta	SE	P Value
GPNMB	2	89,613,000	89,613,200	-9.91E-01	1.56E-01	3.33E-10
PD-L2	3	98,411,600	98,411,800	4.09E-01	4.47E-02	3.92E-19
IL-18	5	70,393,100	70,393,300	4.18E-01	5.61E-02	2.38E-13
ST1A1	16	28,613,645	28,613,845	7.86E-01	6.93E-02	1.61E-27
hOSCAR	19	54,558,900	$54,\!559,\!100$	-7.21E-01	6.27E-02	1.61E-28
$\overline{\text{CD48}}$	1	158,867,600	158,867,800	-2.82E-01	4.71E-02	3.15E-09
FCRLB	1	161,640,580	161,640,780	6.17E-01	9.11E-02	2.42E-11
LY9	1	179,455,600	179,455,800	5.36E-01	8.86E-02	2.17E-09
ICAM-2	3	98,410,600	98,410,800	6.48E-01	3.95E-02	5.67E-53
Siglec-9	3	98,411,800	98,413,400	6.82E-01	4.17E-02	3.48E-52
CD200R1	3	98,411,800	98,413,400	5.08E-01	4.45E-02	3.71E-28
VEGFR-3	3	98,414,600	98,414,800	5.96E-01	4.17E-02	1.10E-41
ICAM-3	3	98,899,900	98,900,100	3.96E-01	5.52E-02	1.45E-12
AMBP	5	745,070	$745,\!270$	-2.84E-01	4.51E-02	5.05E-10
MIC-AB	6	32,496,600	32,496,800	6.19E-01	6.69E-02	3.35E-19
CCL19	6	$32,\!522,\!200$	32,522,400	-4.67E-01	5.37E-02	1.93E-17
FR-gamma	11	63,443,100	63,445,300	-1.03E+00	9.83E-02	5.17E-24
FR-gamma	11	$67,\!331,\!355$	$67,\!331,\!955$	-1.23E+00	1.03E-01	1.64E-30
CNTN1	12	45,909,600	45,909,800	-2.85E-01	4.69E-02	1.73E-09
CCL4	17	36,392,670	36,394,670	1.45E-01	2.29E-02	4.65E-10
CCL15	17	39,210,800	39,211,000	-9.21E-01	1.23E-01	1.45E-13
SMPD1	19	35,863,600	35,863,800	3.69E-01	6.08E-02	1.97E-09
MIA	19	$41,\!381,\!925$	$41,\!385,\!125$	-1.23E+00	1.69E-01	8.58E-13
hK11	19	51,508,940	51,510,740	1.41E+00	2.22E-01	3.84E-10
WFDC2	20	$44,\!204,\!435$	$44,\!205,\!035$	4.17E-01	6.81E-02	1.37E-09

Table 4.1: CN-GWAS results. The CNVs in the top section were used to select the 15 individuals for resequencing.

## 4.3 Results and Discussion

Overall, 872 individuals had both genotyping and protein data which passed QC. CN-Vnator reported 243,987 CNVs, which after post-processing resulted in 23,831 variants to be included in our analysis. We found 30 CNVs to be associated with 17 biomarkers (table 4.1).

The quality of our long-read sequencing results was mixed. While most samples received high coverage, in three cases less than half of all ZMWs produced high-quality reads. The CNV on chromosome 2 was not called by any of our long-read callers. The CNV on chromosome 3 was only detected by SVIM, which also called all copy numbers in accordance with CNVnator. The CNV on chromosome 5 was not detected in the long-read data. The coverage in this region was spotty at best, in both Illumina and