Introduction Computational Intelligence, Lecture 1

by Sergei Savin

Spring 2022

CONTENT

- Motivation
- Motivating example
 - ► fmincon
 - quadprog
 - ▶ SVD-based solution
 - CVX-based solution
- Homework

MOTIVATION

Statement

A lot of modern methods, computations and work in general in Robotics are backed by numerical optimization tools.

What we want?

To go from a "I hope it works" to a solid understanding of the mathematics and use-cases of those tools.

Why we want it?

It should allow us to solve a much wider range of problems, and solve them much more effectively.

MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

We have the following problem: find such \mathbf{x} that minimizes $\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}$, while $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$. In other words:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{minimize} & \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}, \\
\mathbf{x} & \text{subject to} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}.
\end{array} \tag{1}$$

More concrete:

minimize
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix},$$
 subject to
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$
 (2)

How do we solve it?

MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

fmincon

One very popular way of doing it is by use of a general-purpose local optimization solver, such as fmincon provided by MATLAB. Here is one possible solution:

```
M = [1 0 1; 0 5 0; 1 0 3];
C = [1 7 2];
y = 1;

fnc = @(x) x'*M*x;
con = @(x) deal([], C*x-y);
x = fmincon(fnc, zeros(3, 1), [],[],[],[],[], con)
```

Average solution time is **4.8** ms (this depends on many factors, so treat it only as a relative information). Solution is $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0442 & 0.1239 & 0.0442 \end{bmatrix}$.

Motivating example quadprog

A more sophisticated, but still a very straightforward approach is to use a dedicated solver for this class of problems quadprog provided by MATLAB. Here is the solution:

```
 \begin{array}{l} 0 \\ M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1; & 0 & 5 & 0; & 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}; \\ C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix}; \\ y = 1; \\ x = quadprog(M, [], [], C, y) \end{array}
```

Average solution time is **0.56** ms, an order of magnitude less than with fmincon.

MOTIVATING EXAMPLE SVD-based solution

We can use an algebraic solution, based on SVD decomposition (or its derivative methods - null space and pseudo-inverse), as follows:

Where pinv_null is a function combining pinv and null, obtained from a single SVD decomposition.

Average solution time is 0.027 ms, ~ 20 times faster than quadprog and ~ 200 times faster than fmincon.

MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

CVX-based solution

Finally, we can invoke one of the most powerful convex optimization tools with a user-friendly coding style - CVX:

However, we will see that the overhead for the call to the solver for this task is excessive. Average solution time is 282 ms, which is $\sim 60 \text{ times slower than fmincon}$.

HOMEWORK

Solve problem (2) by the method you know and understand.

Lecture slides are available via Moodle.

You can help improve these slides at: github.com/SergeiSa/Computational-Intelligence-Slides-Spring-2022



Check Moodle for additional links, videos, textbook suggestions.